REAP 2.0 Metrics Instructions, Outcomes, and Notes

PATH, SRP2, and Call 4 Projects

Instructions

Please select at least two (2) metrics from each of the following three categories under metrics 1 through 14. Grantees must provide baseline and outcome metrics for the selected metrics.

- Accelerating Supply, Choice, and Affordability
- Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH)
- Reducing Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)

Outcomes and Units of Measurement

Accelerating Infill Development

| Description | Baseline | Target Outcome |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| (Specify a quantifiable metric[s] that can be | (Quantified status quo at time of | (Quantified goal or expected result |
| measured over time) | program start) | upon program completion) |
| 1: Increase in residential Capacity in infill | Collect the current infill | Increase in infill housing |
| areas | development rate (units/year) by | development potential - increased |
| | building size during prior planning | residential development capacity or |
| (1) the increased infill construction rate | cycle (5 th or 6 th cycle); Collect for: (a) | process improvements and |
| (estimated additional number of units); and | the jurisdiction; and (b) the project | streamlining reducing time |
| | area(s)/service shed(s). | to entitlements and building permits |
| (2) (if available) the expected number of | | |
| affordable infill units achieved during the 6th | OR | |
| planning cycle (using the most current rate of | | |
| development, organized by housing element | Collect the current residential | |
| annual progress report categories) | capacity. | |

| Description | Baseline | Target Outcome |
|---|---|--|
| (Specify a quantifiable metric[s] that can be | (Quantified status quo at time of | (Quantified goal or expected result |
| measured over time) | program start) | upon program completion) |
| OR | | |
| (3) increase residential development capacity | | |
| 2: Increase in residential capacity - | Number of units served by existing | Increase in number of units served |
| Infrastructure | utility and/or infrastructure capacity | by utility capacity and/or |
| | | infrastructure improvements |
| (1) Collect information on residential utility | | leading to increased |
| and/or infrastructure capacity | | housing development potential and capital investments to support |
| (2) Number of new public facilities planned or | | housing development |
| developed in infill areas and added residential | | Troubing development |
| capacity | | |
| 3: Increased land use intensity | Current unit density (units/acre) and | Increase in zoning capacity |
| | area (in acres) for the project area | |
| (1) Net new infill units per acre, or | | |
| (2) Allowable floor-to-area ratio possible within | | |
| the project area size (in acres) in terms of | | |
| estimated units | | |
| 4: Increase in land available for housing | Current count of available infill sites | Increase in available* infill sites for |
| development with estimate for potential | and number of units possible (from | housing |
| number of new infill units | 6 th Cycle Housing Element Technical | |
| | Background Report) | *Available means public agency |
| (1) Count of parcels and size (in acres); and | | controls and has identified land as |
| | | surplus, meets infill definition, and |
| (2) Number of units possible per acre | | housing is a viable use |
| (units/acre) | | |

| Description | Baseline | Target Outcome |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| (Specify a quantifiable metric[s] that can be | (Quantified status quo at time of | (Quantified goal or expected result |
| measured over time) | program start) | upon program completion) |
| 5: Capital investments to support infill | (1) the amount of funding leveraged | Increase in investments for housing |
| housing development; | from local investments; and | development in infill areas |
| (1) Count of new investment strategies, or | (2) the number of infill units achieved during the 5 th planning | |
| (2) Amount of REAP 2 dollars invested and amount of funding leveraged, and | cycle. | |
| | When available: | |
| When available: | The cost per unit, and any amount of | |
| | funds recycled and available for new | |
| (3) The number of infill units possible, | projects | |
| (4) The estimated cost of unit, | | |
| (5) Any amount of funds recycled and available for new projects | | |
| 6: Investment strategies for supporting | (1) the amount of funding leveraged | Increase in investments and/or |
| housing infrastructure* improvements | from local investments; | improvements for Housing |
| increasing potential for infill housing unit | | Supportive Infrastructure in infill |
| capacity | (2) the number of major or minor improvements made; and | areas |
| * Housing Supportive Infrastructure includes | | |
| non-transportation, nonpetroleum utilities, | (3) the number of units achieved | |
| parks, neighborhood | during the 5 th planning cycle. | |
| (1) Count of new investment strategies, or | When available: The cost per unit, and any amount of funds | |

| Description | Baseline | Target Outcome |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| (Specify a quantifiable metric[s] that can be | (Quantified status quo at time of | (Quantified goal or expected result |
| measured over time) | program start) | upon program completion) |
| (2) amount of REAP 2 dollars invested and | recycled and available for new | |
| amount of funding leveraged, and | projects | |
| When available: | | |
| (3) the number of units possible, | | |
| (4) the estimated cost of unit, | | |
| (5) any amount of funds recycled and available for new projects | | |
| 7: Reductions to barriers to higher density | (1) the number of MFR buildings | Number and magnitude of changes |
| infill housing, buildings with 5 or more units, | achieved or unlocked in infill area | in local policy and processes |
| and accessibility (reduced regulatory and | during the 5 th planning cycle; and | making it easier and/or quicker to |
| permitting processes and average permitting | | build multi-family buildings infill |
| and development timelines) | (2) the MFR development rate | areas |
| | (units/year) by building size during | |
| (1) Count and magnitude of policy or process changes, and | the 5th planning cycle; and | |
| | When available: | |
| (2) Estimated additional number of multi-family | | |
| residential (MFR) buildings; and | (3) the number of affordable units | |
| | achieved in the 5 th planning cycle | |
| (3) Estimated new MFR development rate | (organized following the HE annual | |
| (units/year) and | report categories) | |
| When available: | | |

| Description | Baseline | Target Outcome |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| (Specify a quantifiable metric[s] that can be | (Quantified status quo at time of | (Quantified goal or expected result |
| measured over time) | program start) | upon program completion) |
| (4) The number of affordable infill units unlocked (using 6th cycle development as a basis and organized by HE annual progress report categories) | | |

Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing

| Description | Baseline | Target Outcome |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 8: Increase in development capacity for new | (1) the number of affordable | Increased capacity to achieve |
| Affordable Housing in infill, including, but | buildings and number of units | affordable housing and/or housing |
| not limited to, in relatively higher | completed during the 5th planning | choices in High or Higher |
| opportunity or higher income areas and in | cycle (organized by HE annual report | Opportunity Zones |
| low-income communities; | categories); and | |
| | | |
| (1) Number of affordable buildings possible | (2) the development rate of | |
| (organized by HE annual report categories) or | affordable units (units/year) by | |
| | building size during the 5th planning | |
| (2) New affordable housing development rate | cycle | |
| | | |
| (3) Increased housing choices (e.g., ADU, | (3) Number of ADU, missing middle, | |
| missing middle, multifamily) | MF units available | |
| | | |
| 9: Increase in number of Affordable Housing | (1) Current local rate of annual | Increased funding and investments |
| units conserved | investment (dollars per year); and | in extending affordable housing |
| | | covenants and conserving NOAH |
| (1) Amount of dollars invested in preservation; | When available: | properties |
| and when available: | | |

| Description | Baseline | Target Outcome |
|---|--|---|
| | (2) Current rate of displacement | |
| (2) The amount of dollars invested in | (households/year) | |
| neighborhood stabilization in areas | | |
| experiencing high rates of displacement | | |
| 10: Increases in planning for access to public | Current estimated utility capacity | Increased infrastructure and |
| services and amenities | for housing units possible in the project area | services or improvements made to existing infrastructure and services |
| (1) Number of additional housing units that will | | in Moderate or Low Opportunity |
| be accommodated due to increased | | Zones |
| infrastructure and services | | |
| (2) Number of major and/or minor | | |
| improvements made to public services and amenities | | |
| 11: Increases in planning for Housing- | Current local rate of annual | Direct dollars invested in relatively |
| supportive infrastructure and services in | investment (dollars per year) in | lower resource and lower income |
| areas of concentrated poverty or similar | relatively lower resource and lower | area Planning projects, capital |
| areas | income areas. | dollars |
| (1) The estimated amount of funding investments in infrastructure resulting from the project; and | | |
| When available: | | |
| (2) The amount of dollars invested in | | |
| neighborhood stabilization in areas | | |
| experiencing high rates of displacement | | |

Reducing Vehicle Miles Traveled

| Description | Baseline | Target Outcome |
|---|--|--|
| 12: Estimate for VMT reduced Per Capita | Collect: | Increase in the number of residential units located in ¼ and ½ mile of |
| (1) Expected units, new and/or preserved in the | (1) the existing number of units in | transit and other mobility options as |
| area and in ¼ and ½ mile of transit, and | the project area, and within ¼ and ½ mile of transit stops; and | a proxy for VMT reduction |
| (2) Expected number of housing units that will | | |
| be generated within ¼ mile of mobility | (2) the existing number of housing | |
| connections (walking, biking routes, transit | units within ¼ mile of mobility | |
| routes) | connections (walking, biking routes, transit routes in and within 1/4 mile | |
| | of the project area) | |
| 13: Number of distinct land uses in and | Collect: | Increase in the number of land uses |
| around the project areas, number of mobility | | and the number of easily accessed |
| connections, off street parking | (1) the number of existing | mobility options in and around the |
| limits/reductions | distinct land uses in and around | project Area. |
| | (within ¼ mile) the project area; and | |
| (1) Number and type of change(s) adopted; or | | Reduction in parking requirements |
| | (2) the existing number of housing | on or near project sites. |
| (2) New distinct land uses adopted in and | units within ¼ mile of mobility | |
| around (within ¼ mile) the project area; and | connections (walking, biking | |
| When available: | routes, transit routes in and within | |
| | 1/4 mile of the project area) | |
| (3) Expected number of housing units that will | | |
| be generated within ¼ mile of mobility | | |
| connections (walking, biking routes, transit | | |
| routes) | Collect: | Increase in the number of residential |
| 14: The number of potential residential units | Collect: | |
| within 30-minute commutes to Job Centers | | units, within 30 minutes of job |

| Description | Baseline | Target Outcome |
|--|--|---|
| (1) Potential units, within 30 minutes of job centers, and | (1) the existing number of units within the commute area, and | centers (walking, biking, transit), and the number of housing units that will be generated within the commute |
| (2) Potential number of housing units that will be generated within the commute area or in a Transit Priority Area | (2) the existing number of housing units within the commute area or in a Transit Priority Area | area or in a Transit Priority Area |

Notes

- Metric 1: Increase in Infill Housing Development Potential
 - For 2-, 3-, 4-Plex and 5+ Unit Buildings report the number of units/year and the number of structures
- Metric 3: Increased land use intensity
 - Use option 1 for zoning codes that include unit minimums, maximums, or averages expressed as units/acre
 - Use option 2 for zoning codes that are form-based and do not include unit densities but instead regulate development using Floor Area
- Metric 6: (2) the number of major or minor improvements made
 - Grantee is required to provide data on improvements made. SCAG will distinguish between minor and major improvements.
- Metric 7: (1) Count and magnitude of policy or process changes
 - Grantee will provide information describing policy or process changes. SCAG will describe and distinguish 'the magnitude' of those changes.
- Metric 7: (4) The number of affordable infill units unlocked
 - Grantee to report on the expected number of units

- Metric 8: Increase in development capacity for new Affordable Housing in relatively higher opportunity or higher income areas
 - A Grantee will provide information on income characteristics of project area as to whether defined as high or low income. Higher opportunity areas are areas with a high concentration of place-based opportunities, such as jobs and high performing schools, and lower exposure to environmental hazards and pollutants.
- Metric 11: Current local rate of annual investment (dollars per year) in relatively lower resource and lower income areas.
 - ♣ Grantee will provide income characteristics of project area and identify low-income areas. Lower resource areas are those that have little to no access to place-based opportunities, such as jobs and high performing schools, and may experience exposure to environmental hazards and pollutants.