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## Why Differential Privacy?

- Title 13 specifies that "the Census Bureau shall not make any publication whereby the data furnished by any particular establishment or individual ... can be identified" (Title 13 U.S.C. § 9(a)(2), Public Law 87-813);
- Title 5 further prohibits "any representation of information that permits the identity of the respondent to whom the information applies to be reasonably inferred by either direct or indirect means" (Title 5 U.S.C. §502 (4), Public Law 107-347);


## What is Differential Privacy?

- Differential Privacy (DP) is a mathematical technique that allows for the formal quantification of the risk of data disclosure;
- Formally, DP is a property of algorithms for answering queries;
- As a result, DP allows for mathematically quantifying the risk of identifying a specific element in a dataset;
- Specifically, differentially private algorithms provide formal bounds as to how many queries can be made before the probability of learning specific information about a database increases beyond acceptable levels.


## What is Differential Privacy?

- Imagine two databases - one that contains your information and one that doesn't. DP stipulates that the probability that the result generated by a statistical query from either database will be (nearly) identical.
- In other words, an observer cannot determine which is the true database by observing the output.
- DP ‘quantifies’ the effect your information will have on a query



## The Components of Differential Privacy

- The privacy loss budget. The privacy loss budget is typically represented by epsilon ( $\varepsilon$ ).
- When $\varepsilon=0$, the resulting data would be random and essentially useless (perfect privacy).
- When $\varepsilon=\infty$, the resulting data would allow for full identification of survey participants (perfect accuracy).
- Values of epsilon between 0 and $\infty$ represent a trade off between privacy and accuracy.


## The Privacy Budget

- An alternative interpretation of epsilon is that of a "privacy budget".
- If only a single query on the data is expected to be performed, that query might use up the entirety of the budget;
- However, performing a series of queries on the data requires allocation of the budget over all the queries;
- There are two methods of allocating the privacy budget - sequential and parallel.


## The Privacy-Accuracy Tradeoff

This graph illustrates the privacy-accuracy trade off for a privacy mechanism with epsilon values between 1 and 6 .


## Census Bureau and DP

- Differential Privacy Implementation for the 2020 Census.
$>$ Employs top-down methodology.
$>$ Creates a histogram of demographic attributes (total population, voting age, race/ethnicity, group quarters type, and combinations of attributes).
$>$ Assigns them iteratively to various geographies (Nation, State, County, Place, Tract, Block Group, Block, etc.).
$>$ Applies 'noise' to the attributes by adding results from random number generator (discrete Gaussian) to the attribute counts.
$>$ Post-processes the resulting noisy data subject to 'invariants' - total population at the state and national level, and total housing unit and group quarters counts at the block level.


## The DP Mechanism - Noise

- The DP mechanism works by injecting statistically calibrated "noise" into the data.
- The amount of noise injected is determined by three parameters:
- Epsilon - the privacy loss budget;
- Sensitivity - the amount that one or more individuals (or records) can influence the output of the mechanism; and
- Delta - for 'nearly' pure DP, the probability of a catastrophic data breach.
- Statistical "noise" is typically derived from two distributions:
- The geometric distribution (the discrete variant of the Laplace distribution)
$\checkmark$ Returns pure DP (used in previous 2020 Census DP testing); and
- The discrete Gaussian distribution (the discrete variant of the Normal distribution).
$\checkmark$ Returns 'nearly' pure DP (current noise engine).


## Post-Processing

- One important characteristic of DP is that once a dataset has been privatized through a DP algorithm, additional processing on the privatized dataset maintains the differential privacy;
- Therefore, additional data processing can address issues such as:
$>$ Counts less than zero;
$>$ Ensuring the sum of counts for lower geographies are equal to counts for higher geographies (for example, the sum of the counts for all counties in a state equal the total count for the state).


## 2010 Census DAS-DHC Changes

- The DHC implementation includes updates to the geographic hierarchy -
- Added new geographic category - "Population Estimates Primitive Geographies":
- Most granular geographic unit used by the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program to derive tables for every geography with population estimates;
- Because the primitive geographies do not always align with census tracts, the hierarchy also incorporates tracts subsets and tract groups;
- Tract subsets are the intersection of Population Estimates Geographies with tabulation tracts;
- Tract subset groups are the union of multiple tracts subsets within the same primitive estimates geography;
- These geographies do not impact how the data tabulated within the DHC;


## 2010 Census DAS-DHC Changes

- The second release of the 2010 DHC-DAS demonstration incorporates changes to the DAS from previous versions;
- Mechanism changes:
- Increased the global epsilon for units tables from 3.87 to 6.14;
- Revised distribution of privacy-loss budgets to favor national and state tables at the expense of block and block group tables (county and tract tables relatively unchanged);
- Table changes:
- A total of 249 tables are proposed for inclusion in the 2020 DHC release;
- Reduced the geography to census tract level from state or county for 16 tables and 63 iterated tables or tables repeated by race and ethnicity;
- Added sex by single year of age table repeated by race and ethnicity at the tract level;


## 2020 Census Product Release Schedule

- Released:
- Apportionment File - April 26, 2021
- Redistricting File - August 12, 2021 (FTP)/September 16, 2021 (data.census.gov)
- Planned Future Releases:
- Demographic Profile/DHC - May 2023
- Detailed DHC-A (Total population and sex by age by detailed race/ethnicity) - August 2023
- Detailed DHC-B (Household and tenure by detailed race/ethnicity) - TBD
- Supplemental DHC (S-DHC - People in households) - TBD
- Future Efforts (include PUMS File and Special Tabulations) - TBD


## 2020 Census Product Development Schedule



## The DP Mechanism - Parameters

- The August 2022 DP mechanism release incorporates the following parameter distributions:
$>$ Person Tables:
- Global $\rho$ (rho): 3.65 (which returns global $\varepsilon$ (epsilon): 21.97);
- Global $\delta$ (delta): $10^{-10}$
> Units (Housing) Tables:
- Global $\rho$ (rho): 6.14 (which returns global $\varepsilon$ (epsilon): 29.92);
- Global $\delta$ (delta): $10^{-10}$


## The DP Mechanism - Parameters

- The percent distributions for $\rho(r h o)$ by geometry are:

| Geography - Persons | rho Allocation by <br> Geographic Level |
| :--- | ---: |
| US | $2.0 \%$ |
| State | $27.4 \%$ |
| County | $8.5 \%$ |
| Population Estimates Primitive Geography ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $13.1 \%$ |
| Tract Subset Group ${ }^{\ddagger}$ | $13.1 \%$ |
| Tract Subset ${ }^{\ddagger}$ | $23.8 \%$ |
| Optimized Block Group ${ }^{\circ}$ | $11.8 \%$ |
| Block | $0.3 \%$ |


| Geography - Units | rho Allocation by <br> Geographic Level |
| :--- | ---: |
| US | $7.87 \%$ |
| State | $29.43 \%$ |
| County | $11.79 \%$ |
| Population Estimates Primitive Geography |  |

+Population Estimates Primitive Geographies are the most granular geographic unit used by the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program. These geographic units are the most granular geographic areas that are required in order to derive tables for every geography for which official population estimates are produced.
$\ddagger$ Tract Subsets are defined as the intersection of Population Estimates Primitive Geographies with census tabulation tracts. Tract Subset Groups are defined as the union of multiple tract subsets that are all within the same Population Estimates primitive geography.
$\diamond$ Optimized Block Groups are defined as sequentially grouped blocks within the same Tract Subset in the order of the geoid until either there are no more blocks within the Tract Subset left or there are sqrt(number_of_blocks_in_tract_subset) + 13 blocks in the block group.

## The DP Mechanism - Parameters

- The percent distributions for $\rho(r h o)$ by geographical level are:

| Query - Persons | Per Query rho Allocation by Geographic Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | US | State | County | Population Estimates <br> Primitive Geography ${ }^{+}$ | Tract Subset Group ${ }^{\ddagger}$ | Tract <br> Subset ${ }^{\ddagger}$ | Optimized Block Group ${ }^{\circ}$ | Block |
| AGE_18_64_116* RELGQ_4_GROUPS | 0.20\% | 2.74\% | 0.85\% | 1.31\% | 1.31\% | 2.38\% | 1.18\% | 0.03\% |
| AGE_18_64_116 * SEX | 0.20\% | 2.74\% | 0.85\% | 1.31\% | 1.31\% | 2.38\% | 1.18\% | 0.03\% |
| AGE_26_GROUPS * SEX | 0.20\% | 2.74\% | 0.85\% | 1.31\% | 1.31\% | 2.38\% | 1.18\% | 0.03\% |
| HISPANIC * SEX | 0.20\% | 2.74\% | 0.85\% | 1.31\% | 1.31\% | 2.38\% | 1.18\% | 0.03\% |
| SEX * RELGQ_4_GROUPS | 0.20\% | 2.74\% | 0.85\% | 1.31\% | 1.31\% | 2.38\% | 1.18\% | 0.03\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GQ_CONSTR_GROUPS * } \\ & \text { AGE_10_GROUPS } \end{aligned}$ | 0.20\% | 2.74\% | 0.85\% | 1.31\% | 1.31\% | 2.38\% | 1.18\% | 0.03\% |
| POPSEHSDTARGETSRELSHIP | 0.20\% | 2.74\% | 0.85\% | 1.31\% | 1.31\% | 2.38\% | 1.18\% | 0.03\% |
| HISPANIC * SEX * AGE_29_GROUPS <br> *RELSHIP_AND_EIGHT_LEVEL_GQ* CENRACE | 0.20\% | 2.74\% | 0.85\% | 1.31\% | 1.31\% | 2.38\% | 1.18\% | 0.03\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RELGQ * AGE_29_GROUPS * } \\ & \text { HISPANIC* } \end{aligned}$ | 0.20\% | 2.74\% | 0.85\% | 1.31\% | 1.31\% | 2.38\% | 1.18\% | 0.03\% |
| DETAILED | 0.20\% | 2.74\% | 0.85\% | 1.31\% | 1.31\% | 2.38\% | 1.18\% | 0.03\% |

## The DP Mechanism - Parameters

- The percent distributions for $\rho(r h o)$ by geographical level are:

| Query - Units | Per Query rho Allocation by Geographic Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | US | State | County | Population Estimates <br> Primitive Geography ${ }^{+}$ | Tract Subset Group $\ddagger$ | Tract <br> Subset $\ddagger$ | Optimized Block Group $\diamond$ | Block |
| DETAILED | 1.71\% | 7.10\% | 1.81\% | 1.58\% | 1.58\% | 5.03\% | 1.73\% | 0.07\% |
| SEX * HISP * HHTENSHORT_3LEV * RACE * <br> FAMILY_NONFAMILY_SIZE | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 5.03\% | 1.73\% | 0.07\% |
| SEX * HISP * HHTENSHORT_3LEV * RACE * <br> HHAGE * FAMILY_NONFAMILY_SIZE | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 5.03\% | 1.73\% | 0.07\% |
| TENVACGQ | 0.42\% | 5.81\% | 1.81\% | 1.58\% | 1.58\% | 5.03\% | 1.73\% | 0.07\% |
| MULTIG * HISP * HHTENSHORT_2LEV | 1.29\% | 1.29\% | 1.29\% | 1.58\% | 1.58\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| HISP*HHTENSHORT_2LEV | 0.35\% | 0.35\% | 0.35\% | 0.35\% | 0.35\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| PARTNER_TYPE_OWN_CHILD_STATUS * SEX * HHTENSHOT_2LEV | 1.29\% | 1.29\% | 1.29\% | 1.58\% | 1.58\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| COUPLED_HH_TYPE * HISP * HHTENSHORT_2LEV | 1.29\% | 1.29\% | 1.29\% | 1.58\% | 1.58\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| SEX * HISP * HHTENSHORT_3LEV * RACE * DETAILEDCOUPLETYPEMULTGENDETOWN CHILDSIZE | 0.42\% | 5.81\% | 1.81\% | 1.58\% | 1.58\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| CHILDSIZE | 0.42\% | 5.81\% | 1.81\% | 1.58\% | 1.58\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| HHTENSHORT_3LEV * HHAGE * <br> DETAILEDCOUPLETYPEMULTGENDETOWN CHILDSIZE | 0.35\% | 0.35\% | 0.35\% | 0.35\% | 0.35\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| HISP * HHTENSHORT_2LEV * RACE | 0.35\% | 0.35\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |

## The DP Mechanism - Parameters

- The $\sigma^{2}$ distributions for persons tables by geographical level are:

| Query - Persons | Per Query sigma ${ }^{2}$ Allocation by Geographic Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | US | State | County | Population Estimates <br> Primitive Geography ${ }^{+}$ | Tract Subset Group ${ }^{\ddagger}$ | Tract Subset ${ }^{\ddagger}$ | Optimized Block Group ${ }^{\circ}$ | Block |
| AGE_18_64_116* RELGQ_4_GROUPS | 274 | 20 | 64 | 42 | 42 | 23 | 46 | 1,826 |
| AGE_18_64_116 * SEX | 274 | 20 | 64 | 42 | 42 | 23 | 46 | 1,826 |
| AGE_26_GROUPS * SEX | 274 | 20 | 64 | 42 | 42 | 23 | 46 | 1,826 |
| HISPANIC * SEX | 274 | 20 | 64 | 42 | 42 | 23 | 46 | 1,826 |
| SEX * RELGQ_4_GROUPS | 274 | 20 | 64 | 42 | 42 | 23 | 46 | 1,826 |
| GQ_CONSTR_GROUPS * AGE_10_GROUPS | 274 | 20 | 64 | 42 | 42 | 23 | 46 | 1,826 |
| POPSEHSDTARGETSRELSHIP | 274 | 20 | 64 | 42 | 42 | 23 | 46 | 1,826 |
| HISPANIC * SEX * AGE_29_GROUPS <br> * RELSHIP_AND_EIGHT_LEVEL_GQ* <br> CENRACE | 274 | 20 | 64 | 42 | 42 | 23 | 46 | 1,826 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RELGQ * AGE_29_GROUPS * } \\ & \text { HISPANIC * } \\ & \text { CENRACE * SEX } \end{aligned}$ | 274 | 20 | 64 | 42 | 42 | 23 | 46 | 1,826 |
| DETAILED | 274 | 20 | 64 | 42 | 42 | 23 | 46 | 1,826 |

[^0]
## The DP Mechanism - Parameters

- The $\sigma^{2}$ distributions for units tables by geographical level are:

| Query - Units | Per Query sigma ${ }^{2}$ Allocation by Geographic Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | US | State | County | Population Estimates <br> Primitive Geography ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Tract Subset Group ${ }^{\ddagger}$ | Tract Subset ${ }^{\ddagger}$ | Optimized Block <br> Group | Block |
| DETAILED | 19 | 5 | 18 | 21 | 21 | 6 | 19 | 465 |
| SEX * HISP * HHTENSHORT_3LEV * RACE * <br> FAMILY_NONFAMILY_SIZE | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 6 | 19 | 465 |
| SEX * HISP * HHTENSHORT_3LEV * RACE * <br> HHAGE * FAMILY_NONFAMILY_SIZE | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 6 | 19 | 465 |
| TENVACGQ | 78 | 6 | 18 | 21 | 21 | 6 | 19 | 465 |
| MULTIG * HISP * HHTENSHORT_2LEV | 25 | 25 | 25 | 21 | 21 | NA | NA | NA |
| HISP*HHTENSHORT_2LEV | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | NA | NA | NA |
| PARTNER_TYPE_OWN_CHILD_STATUS * SEX * HHTENSHOT_2LEV | 25 | 25 | 25 | 21 | 21 | NA | NA | NA |
| COUPLED_HH_TYPE * HISP * HHTENSHORT_2LEV | 25 | 25 | 25 | 21 | 21 | NA | NA | NA |
| SEX * HISP * HHTENSHORT_3LEV * RACE * DETAILEDCOUPLETYPEMULTGENDETOWN CHILDSIZE | 78 | 6 | 18 | 21 | 21 | NA | NA | NA |
| HHAGE * DETAILEDCOUPLETYPEMULTGENDETOWN CHILDSIZE | 78 | 6 | 18 | 21 | 21 | NA | NA | NA |
| HHTENSHORT_3LEV * HHAGE * DETAILEDCOUPLETYPEMULTGENDETOWN CHILDSIZE | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | NA | NA | NA |
| HISP * HHTENSHORT_2LEV * RACE | 93 | 93 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

Source: author's calculations.

## The DP Mechanism - Parameters

- The $\sigma^{2}$ distributions for persons tables by geographical level (graph);
- Because the $\sigma^{2}$ are the same for each query and differ only by geography, only one graph is needed to display the distributions by query.



## The DP Mechanism - Parameters

- The $\sigma^{2}$ distributions for units tables by geographical level (graphs);


DHC 8-25-2022 Comparison of $\mathcal{N}_{2}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$ Distributions


DHC 8-25-2022 Comparison of $\mathcal{N}\left(10, \sigma^{2}\right)$ Distributions



DHC 8-25-2022 Comparison of $\mathcal{N}_{2}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$ Distribution




DHC 8-25-2022 Comparison of $\mathcal{N}_{z}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$ Distributions ZCDP Mechanism-Units
PARTNE_TYPE_OWN_CHLD_STATUS * SEX *


## A Tale of 3 Population Pyramids - Small Population

This pyramid compares the population distribution derived from the 2010 SF1 published data with data derived from the 2010 DHC-DAS for Acampo CDP.
$\square$ 2010 Census with DP
$\square$ Published 2010 Census Data

2010 SF1 Population: 341
Population by Age and Sex - Acampo CDP


Absolute Error

| Age $85+$ | 1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Age 80 to 84 | 6 |
| Age 75 to 79 | 1 |
| Age 70 to 74 | 9 |
| Age 65 to 69 | 4 |
| Age 60 to 64 | 10 |
| Age 55 to 59 | 4 |
| Age 50 to 54 | 10 |
| Age 45 to 49 | 2 |
| Age 40 to 44 | 8 |
| Age 35 to 39 | 10 |
| Age 30 to 34 | 4 |
| Age 25 to 29 | 4 |
| Age 20 to 24 | 9 |
| Age 15 to 19 | 1 |
| Age 10 to 14 | 3 |
| Age 5 to 9 | 0 |
| Age 0 to 4 | 0 |
| Mean Absolute Error | 4.8 |

## A Tale of 3 Population Pyramids - Mid Population

This pyramid compares the population distribution derived from the 2010 SF1 published data with data derived from the 2010 DHC-DAS for Susanville city.
$\square$ 2010 Census with DP
$\square$ Published 2010 Census Data

2010 SF1 Population: 17,947

Population by Age and Sex - Susanville City


Absolute Error

| Age $85+$ | 3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Age 80 to 84 | 2 |
| Age 75 to 79 | 4 |
| Age 70 to 74 | 7 |
| Age 65 to 69 | 18 |
| Age 60 to 64 | 3 |
| Age 55 to 59 | 0 |
| Age 50 to 54 | 7 |
| Age 45 to 49 | 7 |
| Age 40 to 44 | 6 |
| Age 35 to 39 | 18 |
| Age 30 to 34 | 4 |
| Age 25 to 29 | 2 |
| Age 20 to 24 | 13 |
| Age 15 to 19 | 26 |
| Age 10 to 14 | 3 |
| Age 5 to 9 | 13 |
| Age 0 to 4 | 6 |
| Mean Absolute Error | 7.9 |

## A Tale of 3 Population Pyramids - Large Population

This pyramid compares the population distribution derived from the 2010 SF1 published data with data derived from the 2010 DHC-DAS for Sacramento city.
$\square$ 2010 Census with DP
$\square$ Published 2010 Census Data

2010 SF1 Population: 466,488

Population by Age and Sex - Sacramento City


Absolute Error

| Age $85+$ | 57 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Age 80 to 84 | 18 |
| Age 75 to 79 | 6 |
| Age 70 to 74 | 11 |
| Age 65 to 69 | 1 |
| Age 60 to 64 | 9 |
| Age 55 to 59 | 11 |
| Age 50 to 54 | 1 |
| Age 45 to 49 | 18 |
| Age 40 to 44 | 15 |
| Age 35 to 39 | 7 |
| Age 30 to 34 | 1 |
| Age 25 to 29 | 51 |
| Age 20 to 24 | 24 |
| Age 15 to 19 | 19 |
| Age 10 to 14 | 32 |
| Age 5 to 9 | 25 |
| Age 0 to 4 | 57 |
| Mean Absolute Error | 20.2 |

## Demonstration Products - Metrics Tables

- Starting in March 2020, Census began releasing updated metrics designed around use cases and stakeholder feedback;
- The purpose is to allow users/stakeholders to see improvements from changes to the DAS mechanism;
- The metrics include measures of accuracy, bias, and outliers;
- For users needing to explore tabulations not included in metrics tables, the Census Bureau has provided a complete dataset with all tables and geographies.


## Demonstration Products - Metrics Tables - Accuracy

- Measures of accuracy.
> Accuracy is measured by comparing the post-disclosure protected tabulations to the original, publicly available tabulations from the 2010 Census and the internal predisclosure avoidance microdata from the 2010 Census.
- Accuracy measures include -
> Mean Absolute Error (MAE);
> Mean Numeric Error (ME) ;
$>$ Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE);
> Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE); and
> Coefficient of Variation (CV)


## Demonstration Products - Metrics Tables - Bias

- Measures of bias.
> Related to accuracy, but bias measures the direction of change and whether it varies with population size or some other characteristic.
- Bias measures include -
$>$ Mean Numeric Error (ME); and
$>$ Mean Percent Error (MALPE).


## Demonstration Products - Metrics Tables - Examples - Accuracy

- Sample metrics table with measures of accuracy (3/16/2022 compared with the 8/25/2022 release):

| Table 1.a: Total Population for county size categories MAE, RMSE, MAPE, CV, MALPE, and outliers - 3/16/2022 |  |  |  |  |  | Table 1.a: Total Population for county RMSE, MAPE, CV, MALPE, and outliers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { categories - MAE, } \\ & \text { 25/2022 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Universe: Total population |  |  |  |  |  | Universe: Total population |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geography: Summary Level 050-State-County |  |  |  |  |  | Geography: Summary Level 050-State-County |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Count of Units ( N ) | MAE | RMSE | MAPE (\%) | CV |  | Count of Units ( N ) | MAE | RMSE | MAPE (\%) | CV |
| All counties | 3,143 | 1.75 | 2.27 | 0.02 | - | All counties | 3,143 | 1.75 | 2.27 | 0.02 | - |
| Counties with total population less than 1,000 | 35 | 1.31 | 1.53 | 0.31 | 0.22 | Counties with total population less than 1,000 | 35 | 1.31 | 1.53 | 0.31 | 0.22 |
| Counties with total population 1,000 to 4,999 | 268 | 1.46 | 1.97 | 0.05 | 0.06 | Counties with total population 1,000 to 4,999 | 268 | 1.46 | 1.97 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| Counties with total population 5,000 to 9,999 | 395 | 1.72 | 2.19 | 0.02 | 0.03 | Counties with total population 5,000 to 9,999 | 395 | 1.72 | 2.19 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| Counties with total population 10,000 to 49,999 | 1,469 | 1.78 | 2.28 | 0.01 | 0.01 | Counties with total population 10,000 to 49,999 | 1,469 | 1.78 | 2.28 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Counties with total population 50,000 to 99,999 | 398 | 1.72 | 2.21 | - | - | Counties with total population 50,000 to 99,999 | 398 | 1.72 | 2.21 | - | - |
| Counties with total population of 100,000 or more | 578 | 1.89 | 2.49 |  |  | Counties with total population of 100,000 or more | 578 | 1.89 | 2.49 |  |  |

## Demonstration Products - Metrics Tables - Example - Accuracy, Bias, Outliers

- Sample metrics table with measures of accuracy, bias, and outliers (3/16/2022 compared with the 8/25/2022 release):

| DHC Use Case Table 7.b: Household size for county size categories - MAE, RMSE, MAPE, CV, MALPE, and outliers - 3/16/2022 |  |  |  | DHC Use Case Table 7.b: Household size for county size categories - MAE, RMSE, MAPE, CV, MALPE, and outliers - 8/25/2022 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Universe: Occupied Housing Units |  |  |  | Universe: Occupied Housing Units Geography: Summary Level 050 - State-County |  |  |  |
| Geography: Summary Level 050 - State-County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Count of Units (N) | MALPE <br> (\%) | Count of geographies where the absolute percent difference exceeds 5\% |  | Count of Units <br> (N) | MALPE <br> (\%) | Count of geographies where the absolute percent difference exceeds 5\% |
| All counties |  |  |  | All counties |  |  |  |
| 1-person household | 3,143 | (0.40) | 44 | 1-person household | 3,143 | (0.49) | 73 |
| 2-person household | 3,143 | (0.50) | 68 | 2-person household | 3,143 | (0.61) | 100 |
| 3-person household | 3,143 | (0.25) | 264 | 3 -person household | 3,143 | (0.41) | 378 |
| 4-person household | 3,143 | 0.61 | 366 | 4-person household | 3,143 | 0.42 | 499 |
| 5-person household | 3,143 | 1.64 | 694 | 5-person household | 3,143 | 2.58 | 918 |
| 6-person household | 3,143 | 7.87 | 1,428 | 6-person household | 3,143 | 10.24 | 1,707 |
| 7-or-more-person household | 3,143 | 13.53 | 1,920 | 7-or-more-person household | 3,143 | 18.98 | 2,115 |

## Demonstration Products - Metrics Tables - Examples - Accuracy

- Sample metrics table with measures of accuracy (3/16/2022 compared with the 8/25/2022 release):



## Demonstration Products - Call for Public Feedback

- The Census Bureau has requested public feedback on the most recent demonstration products;
- The Census Bureau will accept feedback through Monday, September 26;
- Census is requesting the feedback to take the following forms:
- Identifying the table or tables in question;
- Analyzing the differences between the demonstration data and the 2010 Census data;
- Noting whether the differences (along with the metrics) are acceptable or not acceptable;
- If the differences or metrics are not acceptable, providing what difference or metric value would be acceptable; and
- How these differences impact the use of the data.
- Submit feedback and questions to 2020DAS@census.gov


## Demonstration Products - Call for Public Feedback

- Census Bureau provided two examples to provide useful feedback on the demonstration product:
- Comparing the measures of accuracy or bias across the two DHC demonstration products for multiple characteristics; and
- Comparing the measures of accuracy or bias with the average or count of the characteristic and geography.
- Comparing measures across the two DHC demonstration data products has the advantage of having the measures provided in the metrics tables (assuming the table and geography is represented);
- Comparing a relevant measure with the geographic counts also has the advantage of being able to use the metrics table;
- Comparing measures with the population average for a geography might require custom calculations to derive the average.


## Demonstration Products - Call for Public Feedback - Example

- Comparing the measures of accuracy or bias across the two DHC demonstration products for multiple characteristics

| Universe: Population 0 to 17 years old Geography: Summary Level 050 - State-County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count of Units (N) | MAE |  | MAPE (\%) |  | Count of counties where the numeric difference exceeds 5\% |  |
| All counties |  | 3/16/2022 | 8/25/2022 | 3/16/2022 | 8/25/2022 | 3/16/2022 | 8/25/2022 |
| Under 1 years old | 3,143 | 16.50 | 14.62 | 7.56 | 6.39 | 1,241 | 1,093 |
| 1 years old | 3,143 | 16.88 | 14.93 | 7.07 | 6.21 | 1,199 | 1,057 |
| 2 years old | 3,143 | 16.77 | 14.56 | 6.99 | 6.08 | 1,194 | 1,044 |
| 3 years old | 3,143 | 17.07 | 13.15 | 6.90 | 5.80 | 1,144 | 934 |
| 4 years old | 3,143 | 16.98 | 13.20 | 7.17 | 5.82 | 1,153 | 951 |
| 5 years old | 3,143 | 17.02 | 16.50 | 7.19 | 6.56 | 1,196 | 1,143 |
| 6 years old | 3,143 | 17.18 | 16.47 | 7.16 | 6.96 | 1,200 | 1,136 |
| 7 years old | 3,143 | 16.69 | 16.42 | 6.96 | 7.01 | 1,190 | 1,184 |
| 8 years old | 3,143 | 16.25 | 17.07 | 6.76 | 6.80 | 1,176 | 1,190 |
| 9 years old | 3,143 | 17.35 | 17.01 | 6.78 | 6.78 | 1,177 | 1,141 |
| 10 years old | 3,143 | 17.29 | 16.69 | 7.04 | 6.54 | 1,126 | 1,111 |
| 11 years old | 3,143 | 17.34 | 16.55 | 6.72 | 6.58 | 1,165 | 1,161 |
| 12 years old | 3,143 | 16.60 | 16.88 | 6.87 | 6.62 | 1,151 | 1,140 |
| 13 years old | 3,143 | 16.76 | 16.82 | 7.00 | 6.58 | 1,152 | 1,139 |
| 14 years old | 3,143 | 16.93 | 16.87 | 6.60 | 6.69 | 1,106 | 1,181 |
| 15 years old | 3,143 | 16.25 | 5.11 | 6.14 | 2.77 | 1,063 | 399 |
| 16 years old | 3,143 | 16.33 | 5.97 | 6.20 | 2.81 | 1,094 | 402 |
| 17 years old | 3,143 | 16.70 | 6.33 | 5.87 | 2.76 | 1,048 | 406 |

## Demonstration Products - Call for Public Feedback - Example

- Comparing the measures of accuracy or bias with the average or count of the characteristic and geography.

Table P14: Age and Sex for the Population Under 20 Years Universe: Persons
Geography: California Counties

|  | SF1 Population | Average SF1 <br> Population | MAE | MAPE (\%) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Under 1 years old | 494,058 | 8,518 | 31.34 | 2.88 |
| 1 years old | 497,754 | 8,582 | 31.48 | 4.91 |
| 2 years old | 516,002 | 8,897 | 32.16 | 2.81 |
| 3 years old | 516,611 | 8,907 | 22.91 | 2.87 |
| 4 years old | 506,908 | 8,740 | 24.31 | 2.62 |
| 5 years old | 505,175 | 8,710 | 29.24 | 2.64 |
| 6 years old | 500,418 | 8,628 | 31.69 | 2.87 |
| 7 years old | 497,030 | 8,569 | 30.34 | 3.54 |
| 8 years old | 493,551 | 8,510 | 27.14 | 3.24 |
| 9 years old | 509,665 | 8,787 | 35.67 | 2.02 |
| 10 years old | 511,352 | 8,816 | 34.50 | 4.52 |
| 11 years old | 508,004 | 8,759 | 26.40 | 2.65 |
| 12 years old | 513,257 | 8,849 | 30.24 | 2.07 |
| 13 years old | 523,312 | 9,023 | 35.74 | 3.36 |
| 14 years old | 535,005 | 9,224 | 31.00 | 2.93 |
| 15 years old | 546,806 | 9,428 | 8.57 | 1.37 |
| 16 years old | 556,657 | 9,598 | 15.95 | 1.27 |
| 17 years old | 563,475 | 9,715 | 19.88 | 1.22 |

Questions/Discussion

## Resources - Census Bureau

- Basics of Differential Privacy -
$>$ Differential Privacy: An Introduction For Statistical Agencies - https://gss.civilservice.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/12-1218 FINAL Privitar Kobbi Nissim article.pdf
> Differential Privacy: A Primer for a Non-technical Audience -
https://salil.seas.harvard.edu/files/salil/files/differential privacy primer nontechnical audience.pdf
- Census Bureau -
> Disclosure Avoidance and the 2020 Census - https://www.census.gov/about/policies/privacy/statistical safeguards/disclosure-avoidance-2020-census.html
> 2010 Demonstration Products - https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial-census/decade/2020/planning-management/process/disclosure-avoidance/newsletters/New-Demonstration-Data-DHC-Webinar-August-31.html
> Github Python repositories -
> DAS 2020 Redistricting Production Code - https://github.com/uscensusbureau/DAS 2020 Redistricting Production Code
> DAS 2010 Demonstration Data Products Disclosure Avoidance System Release - https://github.com/uscensusbureau/census2020-das2010ddp
> DAS E2E Release - https://github.com/uscensusbureau/census2020-das-e2e
> Disclosure Avoidance Repository - https://github.com/uscensusbureau/census-dp


## Resources - Outside Analysis and Data Products

- IPUMS -
$>$ Changes to Census Bureau Data Products - https://ipums.org/changes-to-census-bureau-data-products
$>$ Demonstration Data For U.S. Census Bureau Disclosure Avoidance System -https://www.nhgis.org/privacy-protected-2010-census-demonstration-data
- National Academy of Sciences 2020 Census Data Products: Workshop on the Demographic and Housing Characteristics Files - https://www.nationalacademies.org/event/06-21-2022/2020-census-data-products-workshop-on-the-demographic-and-housing-characteristics-files
- National Academy of Sciences Committee on National Statistics (CNSTAT) December 11-12 workshop on the 2010 Demonstration Data Products - https://sites.nationalacademies.org/DBASSE/CNSTAT/DBASSE 196518?\#
- Department of Finance-8-25-2022 Demonstration data sets for California -
$\rightarrow$ https://web-services.dof.ca.gov/dru/dhc2 8-25-2022/CA sf1 dhc2 8-25-2022 demo.zip
$>$ https://web-services.dof.ca.gov/dru/dhc2 8-25-2022/CA das dhc2 8-25-2022 demo.zip


## Contact Information

- Jonathan Buttle - jonathan.buttle@dof.ca.gov
- California Department of Finance
- Demographic Research Unit
- (916) 323-4086


[^0]:    Source: author's calculations.

