



2020-2021 Sustainable Communities Program
Scoring Tables – Housing Sustainability Districts, Workforce Housing Opportunity Zones, and Housing Supportive Tax Increment Financing Districts

B. Project Need

1 | Nexus with Increased Housing Production

| b. | Points | Nexus with Increased Housing Production | Score |
|----|---------------|--|-------------------|
| | 20-30 points | Agency/Community has no objective development standards, Prohousing designation programs, or parking innovation strategies or has not updated these plans in more than 5 years. | For Reviewer Only |
| | 10-20 points | Agency/community completed some objective development standards, Prohousing designation programs, or parking innovation strategies, and project sponsor provides comprehensive justification for the need for additional planning. | For Reviewer Only |
| | 0-10 points | Agency/community has updated objective development standards, Prohousing designation programs, or parking innovation strategies, and/or presents limited justification to support the need for more planning. | For Reviewer Only |

2 | VMT Reduction

| a. | Points | VMT Reduction | Score |
|----|---------------|--|-------------------|
| | 11-15 points | Applicant supports implementation of 5 or more SCS policies AND clearly describes how the project will significantly support SCS implementation. | For Reviewer Only |
| | 5-10 points | Applicant supports implementation of 2-4 SCS policies AND somewhat describes how the project will support SCS implementation. | For Reviewer Only |
| | 0-4 points | Applicant supports implantation of 0-1 SCS policies AND does not describe how the project will support SCS Implementation | For Reviewer Only |

3 | Disadvantaged Communities & Public Health

| a. | Points | Disadvantaged Community Need | Score |
|----|---------------|--|-------------------|
| | 6-10 points | Applicant provides clear examples of how project will significantly improve communities of disadvantaged areas listed above. | For Reviewer Only |
| | 0-5 points | Applicant provides few or no examples of how project would impact disadvantaged areas listed above. Project provides minor or no impact to communities of disadvantaged areas. | For Reviewer Only |

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE →



2020-2021 Sustainable Communities Program
*Scoring Tables – Housing Sustainability Districts, Workforce Housing
 Opportunity Zones, and Housing Supportive Tax Increment Financing Districts*

C. Scope of Work and Project Outcomes

1 | Estimated Housing Production Potential

| a. | Points | Estimated Housing Production Potential | Score |
|----|---------------|--|-------------------|
| | 11-15 points | Project plan or program provides significant increase in housing production. | For Reviewer Only |
| | 6-10 points | Project plan or program provides moderate increase in housing production. | For Reviewer Only |
| | 0-5 points | Project plan or program provides minimal increase in housing production. | For Reviewer Only |

2 | VMT Reduction

| a. | Points | VMT Reduction | Score |
|----|---------------|---|-------------------|
| | 6-10 points | Score is equal to or below 25. | For Reviewer Only |
| | 0-5 points | Score is equal to or less than 50 but more than 25. | For Reviewer Only |

3 | Supporting AFFH Policies

| a. | Points | Supporting AFFH Policies | Score |
|----|---------------|--|-------------------|
| | 3-5 points | Applicant describes significant effort by project to affirmatively further fair housing policies and objectives. | For Reviewer Only |
| | 0-2 points | Applicant describes minimal or no effort by project to affirmatively further fair housing policies and objectives. | For Reviewer Only |

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE →



2020-2021 Sustainable Communities Program
Scoring Tables – Housing Sustainability Districts, Workforce Housing Opportunity Zones, and Housing Supportive Tax Increment Financing Districts

D. Partnerships & Engagement

1 | Partnerships

a.

| Points | Partnerships | Score |
|---------------|--|-------------------|
| 3-5 points | Project provides minimum required letters of commitment and has multiple partners, workshops, or other engagement planned. | For Reviewer Only |
| 0-2 points | Project does not provide enough required letters of commitment and does not include multiple partners, workshops, or other engagement planned. | For Reviewer Only |

2 | Inclusive Diverse & Equitable Community Engagement

a.

| Points | Inclusive Diverse & Equitable Community Engagement | Score |
|---------------|--|-------------------|
| 8-10 points | Project includes robust and innovative outreach strategies that will engage identified target audience. | For Reviewer Only |
| 4-7 points | Project includes meaningful outreach and includes outreach strategies to reach identified target audience. | For Reviewer Only |
| 0-3 points | Project includes minimal or limited outreach strategies. | For Reviewer Only |

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE →



2020-2021 Sustainable Communities Program
Scoring Tables – Housing Sustainability Districts, Workforce Housing Opportunity Zones, and Housing Supportive Tax Increment Financing Districts

Scoring Matrix

Project Title: _____
 Reviewer’s Name: _____
 Agency: _____
 Phone Number: _____
 Email: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

| Scoring Criteria | Points Possible | Points Received |
|---|------------------|-----------------|
| Focus Area A: Project Need | 55 points | |
| Nexus to increased ADU production through updated policies, ordinances and programs | 30 | |
| VMT Reduction | 15 | |
| Disadvantaged Community Need | 10 | |
| Focus Area B: Project Desired Outcomes | 30 points | |
| Estimated Housing Production Potential | 15 | |
| VMT Reduction | 10 | |
| Supporting AFFH Policies | 5 | |
| Focus Area C: Partnerships and Engagement | 15 points | |
| Partnerships | 5 | |
| Inclusive Diverse & Equitable Community Engagement | 10 | |

Reviewer’s Notes