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I. INTRODUCTION

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq.), hereinafter referred to as “Title VI,” is a federal statute that prohibits recipients of Federal financial assistance from discriminating on the basis of race, color or national origin in their programs or activities, and it obligates Federal funding agencies to enforce compliance. The Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 extended Title VI’s applicability to all programs sponsored by federally-aided agencies, regardless of the program’s specific funding source.

As a direct recipient of funds from the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) is accountable for compliance with both Title VI and the following implementing regulations: US DOT’s Nondiscrimination in Federally-Assisted Programs of the Department of Transportation (49 CFR Part 21), FTA’s Title VI Requirements and Guidelines for FTA Recipients (FTA Circular 4702.1B), and FHWA’s Title VI Program and Related Statutes (23 CFR Part 200). These regulations have expanded the original Title VI protections to incorporate subsequent related statutes, including protections against discrimination based on gender, age, and disability, and federal policies regarding environmental justice (EJ) and Limited-English Proficiency (LEP).

This Title VI Program reflects SCAG’s commitment to comply with Title VI and to ensure that no person shall, on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, or disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity provided by SCAG. SCAG policies, procedures, and programs are consistent with federal and state laws, executive orders, and regulations, including Title VI and Executive Orders 12898, 13166, and 14096, and reflect the principles of EJ.

The concept of EJ emerged from the Title VI regulations and is expressed through FTA Circular 4703.1 principles: (1) mitigating disproportionately high and adverse health or environmental effects on minority or low-income populations; (2) ensuring that all affected communities have the ability to participate fully in transportation decision making processes; and (3) preventing the denial, reduction or delay of receiving benefits by minority and low income populations. Executive Order 14096 provided a new definition for EJ, meaning “the just treatment and meaningful involvement of all people, regardless of income, race, color, national origin, Tribal affiliation, or disability, in agency decision-making and other Federal activities that affect human health and the environment so that people: (i) are fully protected from disproportionate and adverse human health and environmental effects (including risks) and hazards, including those related to climate change, the cumulative impacts of environmental and other burdens, and the legacy of racism or other structural or systemic barriers; and (ii) have equitable access to a healthy, sustainable, and resilient environment in which to live, play, work, learn, grow, worship, and engage in cultural and subsistence.” SCAG adheres to all directives on EJ with respect to its regional planning work, and as further described in this report, has an EJ program based on two main elements: public outreach and technical analysis. Finally, Executive Order 13166 requires agencies to identify and develop services to provide those with LEP access to federally conducted and funded programs. SCAG serves one of the most diverse regions in the United States and is committed to providing meaningful and substantive opportunities for input and participation in its regional planning activities. The policies and plans that guide SCAG’s decision-making impact the quality of life for all individuals who live, work and play in the region. Therefore, in accordance with federal law, and in keeping with SCAG’s policy to enhance access and opportunities for input for all interested parties, this plan includes a Language Assistance Plan (LAP) for LEP populations to address the needs of LEP populations in the six-county region.

SCAG is required to submit a Title VI compliance report to FTA every three years. SCAG last submitted a Title VI compliance report in 2020. This 2023 Title VI Program reflects SCAG’s latest efforts regarding Title VI compliance
and reflects the commitment by SCAG to comply with Title VI and to ensure that no person is excluded from participation or denied the benefits of its services on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age or disability, as protected by Title VI and the implementing regulations listed above.

Title VI Coordinator Contact information:

Chief Legal Counsel/ Legal Department Director
Southern California Association of Governments
900 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90017

II. BACKGROUND INFORMATION ABOUT SCAG

SCAG was founded in 1965 as a voluntary association of cities and counties for the six-county region of Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Imperial, and Ventura counties. Established as a Joint Powers Authority under California state law, its joint powers agreement states that SCAG’s purpose is “to provide a forum for discussion and study of regional problems of mutual interest and concern to the counties and cities, and to facilitate the development of recommendations for the solution of such problems.” Under state law, SCAG also acts as the Council of Governments for the region. Finally, under federal law, SCAG has been designated as the MPO for the region, and in fact, is the largest MPO in the nation.

The Regional Council is SCAG’s main governing body. The Regional Council is comprised of 86 individuals representing 191 cities, six counties, five County Transportation Commissions, one representative from the Transportation Corridor Agencies, one public transit representative, one Tribal Government representative, one representative for the air districts within Southern California and one non-voting, ex-officio representative of the private sector. Except for the private sector representative, all serve as elected officials from within the six-county region. All policymaking, the annual Overall Work Program, project budgets, and all material financial matters are discussed and acted upon through the Regional Council.

SCAG, as the MPO for the region, is charged with developing long-range regional transportation plans which include a sustainable communities strategy and growth forecast components; regional transportation improvement programs; regional housing needs allocations; and a portion of the South Coast Air Quality Management District’s Air Quality management plans.

SCAG’s Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainability Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) is the agency’s long-range (20+ years) visioning plan and is updated every four years. The RTP/SCS balances future mobility and housing needs with economic, environmental, and public health goals. It embodies a collective vision for the region’s future and is developed with input from the public, local governments, county transportation commissions, tribal governments, non-profit organizations, businesses and local stakeholders within the six-county region, as well as other state and federal agencies.

SCAG’s 2020 RTP/SCS (also referred to as “Connect SoCal” or the “Plan” herein) is the agency’s current long-range regional transportation plan and was adopted by the Regional Council in September 2020. It serves as the culmination of a multi-year effort that involved stakeholders from across the region and represents the most comprehensive long-term vision for the future of the region’s transportation system while supporting the State’s greenhouse gas reduction targets. In addition to putting forth bold transportation initiatives, including an unprecedented level of emphasis on system preservation, Connect SoCal evaluated and presented some of the most innovative strategies to meet funding challenges in the near-term as well as the long-term. New and
expedited focus areas found in Connect SoCal included innovations in transportation technology, public health, conservation of natural and farm lands and a robust EJ analysis. Connect SoCal was amended on November 4, 2021, and October 6, 2022. These amendments allowed for project sponsors to update regionally significant transportation projects in the Connect SoCal Project List and identified new project priorities and projects that are no longer priorities. SCAG is currently preparing a third amendment to Connect SoCal for approval later this year.

SCAG is currently developing its 2024 RTP/SCS (“Connect SoCal 2024”). SCAG anticipates releasing a draft of Connect SoCal 2024 in Fall 2023 and seeking adoption of the final Connect SoCal 2024 in April 2024. Connect SoCal 2024 will incorporate important updates of fundamental data, enhanced strategies and investments based on, and intended to strengthen the Plan. Connect SoCal 2024 will incorporate analysis based on trends disrupted by the COVID-19 pandemic, such as increased work-from-home rates. Additionally, based on policy direction from the Regional Council, Connect SoCal 2024 will have an increased emphasis on both equity and resilience.

III. EQUITY AND SOCIAL JUSTICE

In July 2020, SCAG’s Regional Council adopted Resolution 20-623-2, affirming its commitment to meaningfully advance justice, equity, diversity, and inclusion, and declaring its intent to end racial and social disparities internal to the agency, strengthen the way it engages and convenes to protect and expand community voice and power, and work in partnership with others to close the gap of racial injustice and better serve the region’s communities of color. The resolution called for the formation of an ad hoc Special Committee on Equity and Social Justice to further develop SCAG’s response to advancing social justice throughout the agency’s activities and advise the Regional Council on policies and practices to advance its resolved intentions. The Committee met on a quarterly basis starting in September 2020 and concluding in March 2021, culminating in the development of an early action plan.

On May 6, 2021, SCAG’s Regional Council adopted the Racial Equity Early Action Plan (EAP), which will guide and sustain SCAG’s regional leadership in service of equity and social justice over the years to come. The EAP provides a definition of equity and establishes goals, strategies, and a set of “early actions” to advance racial equity through SCAG’s policies, practices and activities.

At the federal level, Executive Order 13985 and the subsequent Executive Order 14091 direct federal agencies to make policy changes to strengthen the federal government’s ability to address the barriers that underserved communities continue to face, including the creation of Equity Action Plans with annual progress reports. USDOT’s Equity Action Plan includes key performance indicator for MPOs to adopt a quantitative equity screening component for Statewide Transportation Improvement Program and Transportation Improvement Program development processes to incorporate community vision and need in project selection and design.

Additionally, Executive Order 14008 among several other steps to address the climate crisis, created a government wide Justice40 Initiative with the goal of delivering 40% of the overall benefits of relevant federal investments to disadvantaged communities and reestablished the White House EJ Interagency Council and White House EJ Advisory Council, who recommended changes to Executive Order 12898, some of which were incorporated in Executive Order 14096, discussed above.

a) Defining Racial Equity

Executive Order 14091 provides a definition for “equity” that includes individuals who belong to “underserved communities” that have been “denied consistent and systemic fair, just, and impartial
treatment, including Black, Latino, and Indigenous and Native American persons, Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders and other persons of color; members of religious minorities; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer (LGBTQ+) persons; persons with disabilities; persons who live in rural areas; and persons otherwise adversely affected by persistent poverty or inequality.” For purposes of this Title VI Policy, SCAG shall define “underserved Communities” to mean Black, Latino, and Indigenous and Native American persons, Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders and other persons of color; members of religious minorities; LGBTQ+; persons with disabilities; persons who live in rural areas; and persons otherwise adversely affected by persistent poverty or inequality. When providing required information regarding minority populations, SCAG uses the term underserved communities to discuss how it meets its obligations to minority populations and the additional groups included in the definition.

The Special Committee on Equity and Social Justice, SCAG staff, and stakeholder groups developed a working definition of racial equity to guide work moving forward. This definition forms the foundation of the EAP. The goal is to lead with racial equity as a focal point in addressing the pervasive and deep inequities faced by peoples of color and support the overarching goal of the creation of a just and equitable society.

“As central to SCAG’s work, racial equity describes the actions, policies, and practices that eliminate bias and barriers that have historically and systemically marginalized communities of color, to ensure all people can be healthy, prosperous, and participate fully in civic life.”

SCAG acknowledges that federal guidance refers to racial and ethnic “minority” persons or communities, which no longer describes the demographic make-up of the SCAG region. In most of SCAG’s work, the term “people of color” is used to describe people who identify as non-white and/or Hispanic/Latino who are impacted by the effects of racism.

b) EAP

The EAP provides a framework for internal and external focused actions. It is a critical step in ensuring that SCAG’s equity-related work continues to advance and that it endures for years to come. The EAP is an iterative process, with opportunities to identify new actions and commitments going forward to ultimately improve regional conditions, through SCAG’s policies and programs and in partnership with other agencies and institutions, across sectors, putting community in the center of efforts. The framework of the EAP was informed by Equity in the Center’s publication, Awake to Woke to Work: Building a Race Equity Culture, which provides insights, tactics, and best practices to shift organizational culture and operationalize equity, and by consultation with Mr. Charles Brown of Equitable Cities.

c) Baseline Conditions

During the development of the EAP, SCAG recognized a need to acquire a better understanding of the agency and the region’s existing conditions. SCAG staff developed a preliminary baseline assessment of racial equity in Southern California to inform future planning efforts. In March 2021, SCAG released the first Racial Equity Baseline Conditions Report to help stakeholders develop a deeper understanding of disparities and monitor progress toward addressing them by highlighting past transportation and housing policies and practices and providing a snapshot of current existing inequitable conditions. SCAG published the second version and latest update of the report in November 2022. The Connect SoCal 2024 Equity Analysis will include updates to all measures included in these baseline assessments.
In addition to the adoption of the EAP, in May 2021, SCAG’s General Assembly amended the SCAG Bylaws to allow the six County Regional Council representatives to each appoint one local elected representative from SCAG members with a “Community of Concern” to serve on a policy committee. The term “Community of Concern” is defined as Census Designated Places (CDPs) and City of Los Angeles Community Planning Areas (CPAs) that fall in the upper one-third of all communities in the SCAG region for having the highest concentration of people of color and low-income households. The purpose of this amendment was to further and build on the Regional Council’s articulated commitment to inclusion and diversity at SCAG. The amendment provided structural governance opportunities to increase the representation of people of color and low-income communities in regional policy conversations and made “the table” bigger by adding voices reflective of the region’s diverse residents.

Recognizing the significance of racial equity, as part of the development of Connect SoCal 2024, a special Regional Council subcommittee was created around Racial Equity and Regional Planning (RERP). The goals of the RERP Subcommittee were to identify opportunities to advance racial equity through the policies and strategies in Connect SoCal and to guide how planning and investments over the next 30 years can address and rectify the effects of racially discriminatory policies in the SCAG region. The RERP Subcommittee met four times between September 2022 and January 2023 to identify recommendations that will inform how racial equity will be addressed in Connect SoCal 2024. The RERP Subcommittee prepared a whitepaper with recommendations to inform the ongoing development and policy discussions related to Connect SoCal 2024.

IV. COMPLIANCE WITH TITLE VI GENERAL REQUIREMENTS AND GUIDELINES

On October 1, 2012, FTA published Circular FTA C 4702.1B (Circular) to provide recipients of FTA financial assistance with guidance and instructions necessary to carry out the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) Title VI regulations (49 CFR part 21) and to integrate into their programs and activities considerations expressed in DOT’s Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients’ Responsibilities to LEP Persons (70 FR 74087, December 14, 2005). The following summarizes SCAG’s compliance with the General Requirements in Chapter III of the Circular that all FTA recipients must follow to ensure that their programs, policies, and activities comply with DOT’s Title VI regulations.

a) Requirement to Provide Title VI Assurances

In compliance with 49 CFR Section 21.7(a), SCAG submits its Title VI Assurances as part of its annual Certifications and Assurances submission to DOT, FHWA and FTA. SCAG collects Title VI Assurances from subrecipients prior to passing through FTA funds. The federal fiscal year 2023 FTA Certifications and Assurances for SCAG were electronically pinned in TrAMS on March 16, 2023, by SCAG’s Chief Financial Officer, Cindy Giraldo.

b) Requirement to Prepare and Submit a Title VI Program

In compliance with 49 CFR Section 21.9(b), SCAG updates and submits its Title VI Program to its FTA (Region 9) regional civil rights officer every three years or as otherwise directed by FTA. SCAG also submits its Title VI Program to the State of California Department of Transportations (Caltrans) in order to assist the State in its compliance efforts. SCAG’s current Title VI Program was submitted to FTA in November 2020. FTA provided its concurrence letter to the agency in April 2022 and noted that SCAG’s current 2020 Title VI Program will expire on November 30, 2023.
c) Requirement to Notify Beneficiaries of Protection Under Title VI

In compliance with 49 CFR Section 21.9(d), SCAG actively provides information to the public regarding its Title VI obligations and apprises members of the public of the protections against discrimination afforded to them by Title VI. SCAG uses a variety of methods to convey this information, including but not limited to having its Title VI Program, LAP, and Title VI complaint procedure available on the SCAG website and provided to staff, citizens, consultants and subrecipients. Notice of SCAG’s non-discrimination policy is included in all SCAG contracts and bid advertisements.

SCAG’s Title VI Notice to the Public (Notice) is included in Appendix B. This Notice is available on the SCAG website at https://scag.ca.gov/title-vi-civil-rights-act-1964, and posted in SCAG’s main office as well as its regional offices. The Notice has also been translated into Spanish, Chinese, Korean and Vietnamese; copies of which are included as part of Appendix B.

d) Requirement to Develop Title VI Complaint Procedures and Complaint Form

In order to comply with the reporting requirements established in 49 CFR Section 21.9(b), SCAG has developed a process for investigating and tracking all Title VI complaints filed against the agency. Members of the public may file a signed, written complaint within sixty (60) calendar days from the date of the alleged discrimination. Full procedures for filing a complaint, SCAG’s procedures for investigating complaints and a copy of SCAG’s Title VI Complaint Form are attached herein as Appendix C. Given that the Complaint Procedures and Complaint Form are vital documents under DOT’s Title VI regulations, these documents have been translated into Spanish, Chinese, Korean and Vietnamese in accordance with SCAG’s LAP, copies of such translated documents are also included with Appendix C. These procedures and forms are available on the SCAG website at https://scag.ca.gov/title-vi-civil-rights-act-1964.

e) Requirement to Record and Report Transit-Related Title VI Investigations, Complaints or Lawsuits

In order to comply with the reporting requirements established in 49 CFR Section 21.9(b), SCAG maintains a file of any transit-related Title VI active investigations conducted by entities other than FTA, lawsuits, and complaints naming SCAG. The files include a list that describes the date that the investigation, lawsuit or complaint was filed; a summary of the allegation(s); the status of the investigation, lawsuit or complaint; and actions taken by SCAG in response, or final findings related to, the investigation, lawsuit or complaint.

Since the last reporting period in 2020, SCAG has had no Title VI investigations, complaints or lawsuits filed against it. Moreover, SCAG maintains a dedicated phone line for Title VI matters. Since the last reporting period in 2020, there have been no calls received by SCAG on the dedicated phone line.

f) Promoting Inclusive Public Participation

In compliance with Title VI, Executive Orders 12898, 13166, and 14096 and DOT LEP Guidance, SCAG implements a public involvement process to provide complete information, timely public notice and full public access to key decisions and to support early and continuing public involvement in developing its regional plans.
SCAG’s public involvement processes are guided by its Public Participation Plan (PPP), last amended and adopted by SCAG’s Regional Council in April 2022. The PPP describes the agency’s core values related to public participation, provides a menu of tactics for increasing public information and engagement in the planning process, and establishes processes for communicating with and obtaining input from the public concerning agency programs, projects, and program funding. SCAG’s current PPP is included herein as Appendix D and is also available on the SCAG website at https://scag.ca.gov/community-participation-public-participation-plan.

With each RTP/SCS cycle, SCAG seeks to improve its public engagement efforts, including more efforts to involve underserved communities, including LEP populations, in the regional transportation planning process. Although SCAG does not implement or construct transportation projects, the agency recognizes its critical role in policy development impacting all individuals in the region. Thus, SCAG recognizes that effective public involvement can help the agency understand the needs and concerns of stakeholders, which should lead to more meaningful planning efforts. Like previous plans, Connect SoCal was supported by a comprehensive public involvement program that complied with Title VI and the Executive Order on EJ and is fully documented in the Connect SoCal Public Participation & Consultation Technical Report, available on the SCAG website at https://scag.ca.gov/post/technical. Similarly, amendments to Connect SoCal were supported by public notices made available in English, as well as the four languages outlined in SCAG’s LAP, Spanish, Chinese, Korean and Vietnamese, via paid media placements in community publications.

As part of the Connect SoCal 2024 development process, SCAG conducted a public outreach and engagement process during April-May 2023. Through the outreach effort, SCAG engaged residents across the region by conducting 20 in-person workshops and seven virtual workshops, hosting 20 pop-up and street team engagements, collecting over 3,600 surveys, and partnering with 16 community-based organizations. Meetings and events were held at a variety of locations, dates and times, including evenings and weekends to increase accessibility to participate. The survey and advertisements were published in several languages including: English, Spanish, Vietnamese, Chinese and Korean.

SCAG’s LAP outlines how SCAG reaches out to and provides meaningful access to persons and communities with LEP. More information on the LAP is included in the following section on meaningful access to LEP persons.

Additionally, as discussed above in Section III, the EAP includes four goals and three strategies to help guide and sustain SCAG’s regional and organizational leadership in service of equity and social justice. One of the strategies focus on engaging and co-powering to encourage creating an environment where everyone is included, able to share their experiences, and equipped to talk about racial equity and inequities. As detailed in the latest EAP Progress report, SCAG staff have made progress in promoting more inclusive public participation through the following:

- Coinciding with the adoption of the EAP, SCAG’s Bylaws were amended to expand Policy Committee membership to include Communities of Concern representatives to create a more inclusive governance structure.
- SCAG updated the PPP to include several goals and strategies to ensure SCAG’s communications are looked at through an equity framework whenever possible.
- Quarterly, SCAG staff convenes a Regional Equity Working Group to engage stakeholders on SCAG’s equity-focused regional and local planning activities as well as uplift efforts across the region to advance equity in land-use and transportation planning.
• SCAG continues to support Community Based Organizations (CBOs) through the Call for Collaboration in partnership with three foundations. SCAG provided $1 million of its REAP 1.0 funding to develop a program that provided capacity-building technical assistance and grants to non-profits and CBOs. Fifteen organizations were invited to engage in land use planning efforts that support the acceleration of housing production, with an emphasis on ensuring principles of equity are included in planning processes, new funding programs, and policies.

• In September 2022, Go Human completed its Mini Grant Program, which aimed to build street-level community resiliency and increase the safety of people most harmed by traffic injuries and fatalities, prioritizing Black, Indigenous, and People of Color; people with disabilities; and frontline workers, particularly those walking and biking. The program emphasized community-driven co-creation and community engagement. Go Human awarded more than $350,000 to 26 CBO-identified and led projects, directly engagement more than 300,000 people. Go Human also provided direct resources through the distribution of its Kit of Parts to more than 13 partners and provided robust safety messaging materials and campaigns to more than 25 partners.

• SCAG will leverage the REAP 2021 funding program to utilize Civic Sparks and Public Health fellows in support of REAP 2.0 implementation and capacity building in under resourced jurisdictions. SCAG staff will also use the REAP 2021 funding program to provide a Big Data Consulting Practice to provide consulting services to under resourced jurisdictions who may not have capacity to use big data to advance racial equity in local transportation planning.

• Throughout three Calls for Applications completed in 2020/2021, SCAG prioritized equity criteria in the project evaluation and program goals. Specifically, the evaluation criteria required applicants to address anti-displacement strategies and discuss how projects will engage community-based partners and the most impacted communities, including non-English speaking populations. The program goals point to prioritizing disadvantaged communities in alignment with SCAG’s resolution on race and equity. The Sustainable Communities Program piloted opportunities to compensate CBO partners committed to equity to serve as evaluators to ensure projects were assessed with intentional attention to equity.

Further information about the progress of all actions in the EAP can be found as Agenda Item 12 of the January 5, 2023, Regional Council Meeting Agenda available on the SCAG website at https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/rc010523fullpacket.pdf.

Prior to the adoption of the EAP, SCAG’s equity efforts were concentrated in its EJ Program, which has long focused on public outreach, engagement, early and meaningful participation of EJ communities in the decision-making process, and equal and fair access to a healthy environment. SCAG’s EJ Program addresses both state and federal requirements by aiming to protect people of color and low-income communities from incurring disproportionately adverse environmental impacts. In response to the EAP, SCAG created the Equity Working Group (EWG) in June 2021 which consists of many EJ and public health stakeholders including environmental advocacy groups, non-profit organizations, academics, local jurisdictions and subregional agencies. For the development of the Connect SoCal 2024 Equity Analysis, SCAG presented performance measures and strategies for identifying equity areas at three of the nine working group meetings as part of its outreach effort.

As described previously, SCAG hosted several workshops and issued a survey to gather input regarding challenges each community faces to establish planning priorities for the next 20 to 30 years. At the workshops, participants learned about the Plan’s policy direction and were encouraged to respond to various prompts by placing sticky-notes on a board to indicate priorities. One board included questions
related to equity and resilience, two central pieces to the Plan’s vision. SCAG also distributed a 15-question survey hosted online and partnered with 16 community-based organizations to distribute the survey. This partnership helped secure several more responses via online and hard-copy survey options. The survey included two questions focused on equity challenges in the region, one focused on transportation issues and another on more general regional issues. Through this outreach, SCAG received valuable feedback that will shape the Plan and the Equity Analysis. SCAG received a wide range of comments from input on how to conduct outreach to improvements on specific technical analysis areas. SCAG will review all comments and thoughtfully incorporate as many as possible and when applicable.

SCAG’s Connect SoCal 2024 Equity Analysis is still in development. The most recently adopted Connect SoCal EJ Technical Report is available on the SCAG website at https://scag.ca.gov/post/technical-reports.

g) Requirement to Provide Meaningful Access to LEP Persons

In compliance with Title VI, DOT’s implementing regulations, and Executive Order 13166, SCAG takes reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to benefits, services, information and other important portions of its programs and activities for individuals who are LEP.

In accordance with Executive Order 13166, SCAG developed a LAP to help identify reasonable steps to ensure that persons with LEP are provided, free of charge, meaningful access to SCAG’s programs, services, and information. For this cycle, SCAG has reviewed and updated its LAP to reflect more recent data.

In developing the LAP, SCAG analyzed the U.S. Department of Transportation four factor analysis, which considers the following:

- The number or proportion of LEP persons to be served or likely to be encountered in a SCAG program, activity, or service.
- The frequency with which LEP individuals come in contact with SCAG programs.
- The nature and importance of programs, activities or service provided by SCAG to the LEP population.
- The resources available to SCAG and overall costs to provide LEP assistance.

A full copy of SCAG’s updated LAP for LEP Populations can be found in Appendix E and is available on the SCAG website at https://scag.ca.gov/title-vi. Key elements of the LAP include:

- Translating vital documents into the four largest LEP languages – Spanish, Chinese, Korean and Vietnamese. The agency will determine, on a case-by-case basis, the effectiveness and appropriateness to translate other, non-vital documents.
- Identifying LEP individuals who need language assistance by using the U.S. Census Bureau’s “I Speak” language identification list.
- Having translators, including bilingual staff members, available for public meetings and workshops, as needed.
- Instituting formal procedures to document the frequency with which LEP persons come into contact with SCAG staff and the nature of the interaction, as well as documenting the frequency in which translated documents are accessed on the website.
h) Minority Representation on Planning and Advisory Boards

SCAG acknowledges its responsibility to comply with Title 49 CFR Section 21.5(b)(1)(vii); however, at this time, SCAG does not have any transit-related, non-elected planning boards, advisory councils or committees, or similar committees, of which the membership is selected by SCAG, and therefore, no table is provided denoting the racial breakdown of the membership of such committees. To the extent that in the future SCAG creates such committees and selects its membership, SCAG will encourage the participation of minorities in these committees and provide the required information.

i) Providing Assistance to Subrecipients

In compliance with 49 CFR Section 21.9(b), as a primary recipient of federal pass-through funds, SCAG ensures that its subrecipients submit such compliance reports to SCAG as may be necessary to enable SCAG to carry out its obligations under Title VI. SCAG assists its subrecipients in complying with DOT’s Title VI regulations, including general reporting requirements. Assistance is provided to each subrecipient by SCAG, as necessary.

SCAG periodically reviews the Title VI programs of its subrecipients and works cooperatively to assist them in updating their programs to address DOT Title VI regulations and meet program approval deadlines. Upon request, SCAG provides the following information to each subrecipient:

- A copy or access (via internet link) to SCAG’s Title VI Program, which includes the agency’s notice to the public informing beneficiaries of their rights under DOT’s Title VI regulations, procedures on how to file a Title VI complaint and SCAG’s Title VI complaint form.
- Additional sample notices and procedures, including a link and resources to all applicable FTA circulars including Circular FTA C 4702.1B.
- Demographic information on the race and English proficiency of residents served by the subrecipient, and other data such as travel patterns, that will assist the subrecipient in complying with Title VI.

j) Monitoring Subrecipients

In compliance with 49 CFR Section 21.9(b), and to ensure that subrecipients comply with the DOT’s Title VI regulations, SCAG, as a primary recipient of federal pass-through funds, monitors subrecipients for compliance with the regulations. However, when a subrecipient is also a direct recipient of FTA funds the subrecipient/direct recipient reports directly to FTA and SCAG is not responsible for and does not monitor compliance of that subrecipient/direct recipient.

As applicable, in order to ensure SCAG and subrecipients (which are not direct recipients) are following Title VI requirements, SCAG undertakes the following monitoring activities:

- Document its process for ensuring that all subrecipients are complying with the general reporting requirements of the Circular, as well as other requirements that apply to the subrecipient based on the type of entity and the number of fixed route vehicles it operates in peak service, if a transit provider.
- Collect Title VI Programs from subrecipients and review programs for compliance.
• At the request of FTA, in response to a complaint of discrimination, or as otherwise deemed necessary by SCAG, request that subrecipients who provide transportation services verify that their level and quality of FTA C 4702.1B Chap. III–11 service is provided on an equitable basis.

• Conduct on-site visits of subrecipients as needed or after the filing of a Title VI complaint.

In the event of a subrecipient’s noncompliance with Title VI, SCAG may impose sanctions pursuant to terms and conditions of an agreement between SCAG and each subrecipient, such as the withholding of payments and/or the cancellation, termination, or suspension of a project agreement.

Subrecipients are required to submit a Title VI program to SCAG after the execution of an agreement. Following submission of the subrecipient’s Title VI program, subrecipients are required to resubmit every three years an updated Title VI program. If SCAG staff identifies that modifications are needed, subrecipients must provide the most updated version of the Title VI program within 30 days of finalizing an update. Additionally, changes in the FTA’s Title VI requirements may necessitate updates to subrecipients’ Title VI programs in order to ensure compliance. In order to assist SCAG in its compliance efforts, subrecipients’ Title VI Programs are set on a schedule determined by SCAG and in compliance with FTA requirements. Some of SCAG’s subrecipients are also direct recipients of FTA funds. As of July 1, 2023, SCAG does not have active subrecipients receiving FTA pass-through funding.

k) Determination of Site or Location of Facilities

SCAG acknowledges its responsibility to comply with Title 49 CFR Section 21.9(b)(3) and complete a Title VI equity analysis if SCAG constructs a facility, such as an operation center, storage facility, etc.; however, SCAG does not currently operate nor have plans to construct such a facility at this time. SCAG will complete the Title VI equity analysis during the planning stage with regard to where a project is located or sited to ensure the location is selected without regard to race, color or national origin. This process would include outreach to persons potentially impacted by the siting of facilities. The Title VI equity analysis would compare the equity impacts of various siting alternatives and occur before the selection of the preferred site.

l) Requirement to Provide Additional Information Upon Request

SCAG will provide information other than that required by the Circular to FTA upon request, should it be necessary to investigate complaints of discrimination or to resolve concerns about possible noncompliance with DOT’s Title VI regulations.

V. COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIC TO METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS

In addition to the General Requirements for all FTA recipients, Chapter VI of the Circular includes specific requirements that MPOs must follow to comply with the DOT’s Title VI regulations. The following is a summary of SCAG’s compliance with the MPO-specific requirements described in Chapter VI of the Circular. It should also be noted that SCAG is not a provider of fixed route public transportation, and therefore, the requirements set out in Chapter IV of the Circular for transit providers are not applicable to SCAG.
a) Requirement that Metropolitan Planning Activities Comply with Title VI

SCAG fully recognizes that all its metropolitan transportation planning activities must comply with 49 U.S.C. Section 5303, Metropolitan Transportation Planning, as well as subpart C of 23 CFR part 450, Metropolitan Planning and Programming. As previously noted, SCAG updates and submits its Title VI Program every three years or as otherwise directed by FTA. SCAG also submits its Title VI Program to Caltrans in order to assist the State in its compliance efforts. A copy of the resolution approving this 2023 Title VI Program by SCAG’s Regional Council is attached as Appendix F.

b) Demographic Profile of the Metropolitan Area

In compliance with the Circular, SCAG has prepared the following demographic profile of SCAG’s metropolitan area which includes identification of the locations of minority populations in the aggregate. For more information on the demographics of the SCAG region, please see the demographics section of the Racial Equity Baseline Conditions Report on the SCAG website at: https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/2022racialequitybaselineconditionsreport_final.pdf.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY</th>
<th>IMPERIAL COUNTY</th>
<th>LOS ANGELES COUNTY</th>
<th>ORANGE COUNTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>% of County</td>
<td>Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>153,027</td>
<td>85.2%</td>
<td>4,804,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian, NH</td>
<td>2,244</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1,474,237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaiian-PI, NH</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>20,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, NH</td>
<td>3,846</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>760,689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American, NH</td>
<td>1,584</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>18,453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, NH</td>
<td>16,813</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>2,563,609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or more races and others, NH</td>
<td>2,106</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>371,736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>179,702</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>10,014,009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2020 Decennial Census, U.S. Census Bureau
### POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RIVERSIDE COUNTY</th>
<th>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY</th>
<th>VENTURA COUNTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hispanic or Latino</strong></td>
<td>1,202,295</td>
<td>1,170,913</td>
<td>365,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of County</td>
<td>49.7%</td>
<td>53.7%</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asian, NH</strong></td>
<td>164,889</td>
<td>176,204</td>
<td>63,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of County</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hawaiian-PI, NH</strong></td>
<td>6,767</td>
<td>6,173</td>
<td>1,415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of County</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Black, NH</strong></td>
<td>146,762</td>
<td>173,322</td>
<td>13,704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of County</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Native American, NH</strong></td>
<td>11,960</td>
<td>8,412</td>
<td>2,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of County</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>White, NH</strong></td>
<td>788,235</td>
<td>566,113</td>
<td>360,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of County</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
<td>42.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Two or more races and others, NH</strong></td>
<td>97,277</td>
<td>80,517</td>
<td>37,317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of County</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>2,418,185</td>
<td>2,181,654</td>
<td>843,843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>% of County</strong></td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SCAG REGION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hispanic or Latino</strong></td>
<td>8,783,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of County</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asian, NH</strong></td>
<td>2,579,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of County</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hawaiian-PI, NH</strong></td>
<td>42,673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of County</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Black, NH</strong></td>
<td>1,147,627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of County</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Native American, NH</strong></td>
<td>47,727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of County</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>White, NH</strong></td>
<td>5,494,275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of County</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Two or more races and others, NH</strong></td>
<td>729,013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of County</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>18,824,382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>% of County</strong></td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
c) Description of the Procedures by which the Mobility Needs of Minority Populations are Identified and Considered Within the Planning Process

SCAG represents the whole six-county region in all its geographic and demographic diversity. During the planning process, SCAG is committed to engaging and utilizing input from a range of constituents and stakeholders. This commitment includes tailoring communications and information-sharing to a range of different levels of experience with, and understanding of, the principles of metropolitan planning. Specifically, SCAG plans for all residents of the region with particular consideration to the accessibility needs of underserved communities such as people of color and low-income populations, elderly and retired persons, children, LEP populations, and people with disabilities.

Programs that have a public outreach component use a variety of methods to develop individual, project-specific public participation plans tailored according to scope and audience. Some of the methods taken to ensure the mobility needs of underserved communities, including minority populations, are identified and considered include:

- Grants to community-based organizations to co-host meetings and remove barriers to
participation by offering such assistance as childcare or translation services

- Workshops co-hosted with community groups
- Outreach at locations, destinations or events where people are already congregating (e.g., transit hubs, farmers markets, community festivals, universities)
- Outreach in the community (e.g., at churches, health centers, schools etc.)
- Conducting meetings entirely in community’s primary language and/or providing interpreters-to be available for public meetings and workshops as needed, with 72-hour advance notice
- Flyers on transit vehicles and at transit hubs
- Use of community media outlets to announce participation opportunities
- Disseminating notices of availability and press releases to print, radio and broadcast media serving underserved communities
- Utilizing various visualization methods, public survey methods, and commenting methods to ensure information is shared and collected in multiple ways

SCAG also regularly holds meetings, open to the public, where people are welcome to make comments and provide input. SCAG allows for in-person, remotely, telephonic, and written participation in these meetings to encourage the direct involvement of community members and organizations representing all segments of the population, including traditionally underrepresented and underserved communities, this helps ensure that the planning process reflect the diverse interests within the region.

In developing the RTP/SCS, SCAG implements additional methods to ensure mobility needs of people of color and other underserved communities are identified and considered. These include:

- An equity analysis, conducted at a regional program-level scale, of RTP/SCS updates to determine whether people of color, low-income communities, and other underserved communities in the region share equitably in the benefits of the regional transportation plan without bearing a disproportionate share of the burdens.
- For each update of the RTP/SCS, SCAG prepares a PPP that provides more information on how the equity analysis will be conducted throughout that update of the RTP/SCS.

Similarly, SCAG’s EJ program has two main elements: technical analysis and public outreach and policy coordination. The two main elements of the program contribute to the development of what used to be the EJ Technical Report, now the Equity Analysis, which conducts technical analysis of EJ and equity issue areas in the region and discusses outreach strategies, and SCAG’s role as a resource for local jurisdictions that are required to develop an EJ Element or incorporate EJ policies, goals, and objectives into their General Plans per Senate Bill 1000 requirements. The overall EJ and equity outreach process encourages SCAG stakeholders and the public, with many opportunities to be involved, to discuss and address EJ and equity issues and shape SCAG’s EJ and equity program. As part of the EJ and equity program, SCAG:

- Provides early and meaningful public access to decision-making processes for all interested parties, including people of color, low-income populations, and other underserved communities.
- Seeks out and considers the input of traditionally underrepresented groups, such as people of color and low-income populations, in the regional transportation planning process.
- Takes steps to propose mitigation measures or consider alternative approaches for the SCAG region when disproportionately high and adverse impacts on people of color or low-income
populations, or other underserved communities identified.

- Continues to evaluate and respond to EJ and equity issues that arise during and after the implementation of SCAG’s regional plan.

More information about SCAG’s outreach procedures related to Title VI and EJ may be found in SCAG’s PPP incorporated into this Title VI Plan.

d) Demographic Maps that Show the Impacts of the Distribution of State and Federal Funds in the Aggregate of the Metropolitan Area

In compliance with the Circular, SCAG developed maps and tables that analyze the impacts of the distribution of state and federal funds in the aggregate for public transportation purposes.

SCAG assessed the distribution by tabulating and summarizing the share of physical improvements for active transportation, transit, and highway-related projects throughout the region by 2045, and specifically for areas that have a high concentration of low-income and minority population. This analysis measures the actual mileage of improvements in the form of new bike lanes, transit lines, and highway mile improvements, and then summarizes the share of these improvements for each of the following areas of concern:

- EJ Areas (EJA): Transportation Analysis Zones (TAZs) that have a higher concentration of minority population OR low-income households that is seen in the region as a whole.

- SB 535 Disadvantaged Communities (DAC): Census tracts that have been identified by the California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/ EPA) as Disadvantaged Communities based on the requirements set forth in SB 535, which seek to identify disproportionately burdened by and vulnerable to multiple sources of pollution.

- Communities of Concern (COC): Census Designated Places (CDPs) and City of Los Angeles Community Planning Areas (CPAs) that fall in the upper one-third of all communities in the SCAG region for having the highest concentration of minority population AND low-income households.

The first table show the breakdown of investments by highway type at the regional level, and include a summary of improvements for each area of concern individually. Examining projects in the region as a whole, 47% of the physical improvements for highways will occur in mixed-flow corridors. The largest share will go to express lanes, which will receive 29% of the total physical improvements. HOV lane improvement accounts 24% of highway investment. When summarizing total improvements by area of concern, 50% of the region’s total improvements by miles will be in EJA. Within EJA, the largest share of investments also goes to express lanes (5%). The first map visualizes the location of the planned major highway projects overlayed onto the EJA.
The second table shows the breakdown of investments for transit lines. Roughly 4,700 miles of transit related projects will be built by 2045. The largest investment will be for local bus lines (38%). Rapid bus lines will incur 22% of all transit mileage investments, while light rail and express bus lines will count for 13% and 10%, respectively. For the region’s areas of concern, 64% of the Plan’s transit line investments will occur in EJA. The second map visualizes the location of planned transit networks overlayed onto the EJA.
## Breakdown of Investments for Transit Lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>SCAG Region</th>
<th>EJ</th>
<th>DGA</th>
<th>COC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Bus</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Express Bus</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid Bus</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRT</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy/Light Rail</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metrolink</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Speed Rail</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAG Region</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Mileage calculation does not include transit projects for service improvement.*

*Source: SCAG*
The third table shows the breakdown of new bike lanes in the region’s areas of concern, where the share of miles will increase from 2016 faster than the regional average for all subareas. EJA will see a large increase from current levels, where bike miles will grow by 162%. The third map visualizes existing and proposed bikeways overlayed onto the EJA.

### BREAKDOWN OF NEW BIKE LANES IN THE REGION’S AREAS OF CONCERN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EXISTING</th>
<th>PROPOSED</th>
<th>EXISTING</th>
<th>PROPOSED</th>
<th>INCREASED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCAG Region</td>
<td>5,074</td>
<td>9,117</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EJA</td>
<td>2,085</td>
<td>5,464</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>162%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAC</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>2,841</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>204%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COC</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>1,550</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>187%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: SCAG

![Map of existing and proposed bikeways overlaid onto the region's boundaries.](image)

Source: SCAG, 2019
e) Analysis of MPO’s Transportation System Investment that Identifies and Addresses Any Disparate Impacts

DOT’s Title VI regulations require that MPOs develop charts that analyze the impacts of the distribution of state and federal funds in the aggregate for public transportation purposes and to identify any disparate impacts on the basis of race, color, or national origin. SCAG has prepared a technical report for each RTP/SCS to comply with statutory requirements and ensure that its programs and plans do not create disproportionate adverse impacts for people of color and low-income populations in the region.

SCAG’s adopted Connect SoCal includes $638.9 billion (in year of expenditure dollars) to support the region’s surface transportation investments, including transit, highways, local road improvements, system preservation, and demand management goals. The fiscally constrained Connect SoCal includes revenues from both traditionally available and reasonably available revenue sources, comprised of 47% local sources ($297.2 billion), 24% state sources ($154.8 billion), 6% federal sources ($41.1 billion) and 23% in innovative financing and new revenue sources ($145.7 billion). Transit investments, $120.1 billion in transit capital improvements and $200.5 billion in transit operations and maintenance, account for half (50.2%) of the Connect SoCal total. Although local sales taxes constitute a large portion of funding for transit, state and federal dollars remain critical for both transit capital and operating needs.

SCAG conducted a comprehensive EJ analysis for Connect SoCal, utilizing numerous performance measures to analyze existing social and environmental equity in the region and to address the impacts of Connect SoCal on various EJ population groups, including people of color and low-income households. While the impacts are based on the implementation of all the adopted Connect SoCal projects and strategies in their entirety, the analysis presented here includes results by mode, including public transportation, and therefore addresses the DOT’s Title VI requirement. Performance results from the analysis are summarized below, and more detailed information can be found in the Connect SoCal EJ Technical Report available at: https://scag.ca.gov/post/technical-reports. SCAG will provide updated analysis for these measures in the Connect SoCal 2024 Equity Analysis.

SCAG identified “minority persons” based on Executive Order 12898 and the USDOT and FHWA orders on EJ, which define “minority” as persons belonging to any of the following groups, as well as “other” categories that are based on the self-identification of individuals in the US Census: Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino, Asian, and American Indian and Alaskan Native (called Native American and abbreviated as NA in this report). SCAG based its analysis on census data for racial/ethnic groups in the SCAG region at the census tract level and by TAZ used in the regional travel demand model.

In summary, Connect SoCal provides improvements in mobility and accessibility for all racial/ethnic groups. The share of transportation benefits by minority group are balanced and in line with each group’s use of the transportation system. SCAG did not identify any disproportionately high and adverse effects on any underserved group. The results of the performance measures related to SCAG’s EJ Analysis for Connect SoCal are presented in Table 1 with additional details related to certain performance measures reflected in the following series of charts.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERFORMANCE MEASURE</th>
<th>DEFINITION</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE TARGET</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF IMPACTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jobs/housing balance</td>
<td>Comparison of median earnings for intra-county vs intercounty commuters for each county; analysis of relative housing affordability and jobs throughout the region</td>
<td>Establish existing conditions to evaluate future performance (not a Connect SoCal performance metric)</td>
<td>Higher wage workers tend to commute longer distances than lower wage workers. Coastal counties have a substantial concentration of low-wage jobs, but lack an adequate number of affordable rental units, while inland counties have a substantial concentration of affordable rental units and workers relative to the number of low-wage jobs. Connect SoCal will improve jobs/housing balance throughout the region, particularly in inland counties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood change and displacement</td>
<td>Examination of historical and projected demographic and housing trends for areas surrounding rail transit stations</td>
<td>Establish existing conditions to evaluate future performance (not a Connect SoCal performance metric)</td>
<td>New light rail stations may increase neighborhood outflow rates by up to 10%. However, most observed moves were for middle- and upper-income groups. Project-based analysis provides a better understanding of local neighborhood dynamics and helps ensure equitable access to the benefits of improved infrastructure. Regional neighborhood analysis identified several communities that have experienced persistent change over recent decades, however, they are not disproportionately located in EJ communities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility to employment and services</td>
<td>Share of employment and shopping destinations reachable within 30 minutes by automobile or 45 minutes by transit during evening peak period</td>
<td>No unaddressed disproportionately high adverse effects for low income or minority communities</td>
<td>Connect SoCal will improve the number of accessible destinations within 45 minutes of travel and within short distances for low income and minority communities both by auto and transit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERFORMANCE MEASURE</td>
<td>DEFINITION</td>
<td>PERFORMANCE TARGET</td>
<td>SUMMARY OF IMPACTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility to parks and educational facilities</td>
<td>Share of park acreage reachable within 30 minutes by automobile or 45 minutes by transit during evening peak period</td>
<td>No unaddressed disproportionately high adverse effects for low income or minority communities</td>
<td>Connect SoCal will improve the number of destinations accessible within 45 minutes of travel and short distances for low income and minority communities both by auto and transit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active transportation hazards</td>
<td>Analysis of population by demographic group for areas that experience highest rates of bicycle and pedestrian collisions</td>
<td>Establish existing conditions to evaluate future performance</td>
<td>Analysis indicates that low-income and minority communities tend to incur a higher rate of bicycle and pedestrian risk. Improvements in active transportation infrastructure and complete streets measures, such as those proposed in Connect SoCal, have been shown to reduce hazards to cyclists and pedestrians.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate vulnerability</td>
<td>Population analysis by demographic group for areas potentially impacted by substandard housing, sea level rise, wildfire risk, or extreme heat effects related to climate change</td>
<td>Establish existing conditions to evaluate future performance (not a Connect SoCal performance metric)</td>
<td>Minority and low-income populations are at greater risk for experiencing negative impacts of climate change, including extreme heat and flooding. These communities have fewer resources to ameliorate climate consequences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public health analysis</td>
<td>Summary of historical emissions and health data for areas with high concentrations of minority and low income population</td>
<td>Establish existing conditions to evaluate future performance (not a Connect SoCal performance metric)</td>
<td>Air quality is generally improving throughout the SCAG region, however some areas not showing improvement feature higher proportions of minority and low income population. When examining regional public health performance, areas with the highest concentrations of minority and low-income population often incur some of the highest risks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERFORMANCE MEASURE</td>
<td>DEFINITION</td>
<td>PERFORMANCE TARGET</td>
<td>SUMMARY OF IMPACTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aviation noise impacts</td>
<td>Descriptive analysis of aviation noise in terms of trends in passenger demand and aircraft operations</td>
<td>Establish existing conditions to evaluate future performance</td>
<td>Airport noise impacts affecting adjacent communities have been reduced through enhanced FAA noise certification standards, improved technology implemented by aircraft and engine manufacturers, investments by U.S. airlines in newer, quieter aircraft, and mandates by the FAA and the U.S. Congress to retire older, noisier aircraft. However, aviation noise levels and impacts will continue to be monitored for minority and low-income communities located near airports.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadway noise impacts</td>
<td>Comparison of Plan and Baseline scenarios, identification of areas that are low performing due to Connect SoCal investments; breakdown of population for impacted areas by ethnicity and income</td>
<td>No unaddressed disproportionately high adverse effects for low income or minority communities</td>
<td>Connect SoCal will reduce roadway noise impacts at the regional level, but does not specifically improve impacts for disadvantaged communities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions impact analysis</td>
<td>Comparison of Plan and Baseline scenarios; identification of areas that are lower performing as a result of the Plan, including a breakdown of demographics for those areas</td>
<td>No unaddressed disproportionately high adverse effects for low income or minority communities</td>
<td>Connect SoCal will result in reductions in vehicle carbon monoxide and particulate matter emissions, providing air quality benefits to minority and low-income households and to communities with a high concentration of minority and low income population.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impacts along freeways and highly traveled corridors</td>
<td>Comparison of Plan and Baseline scenarios and demographic analysis of communities in close proximity to freeways and highly traveled corridors</td>
<td>No unaddressed disproportionately high adverse effects for low income or minority communities</td>
<td>Connect SoCal will result in an overall reduction in emissions in areas located near highly traveled roadways, which tend to have a higher concentration of minority and low-income groups than the region as a whole.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERFORMANCE MEASURE</td>
<td>DEFINITION</td>
<td>PERFORMANCE TARGET</td>
<td>SUMMARY OF IMPACTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel time and travel distance savings</td>
<td>Assessment of comparative benefits received as a result of Connect SoCal investments by demographic group in terms of travel time and travel distance savings</td>
<td>No unaddressed disproportionately high adverse effects for low income or minority communities</td>
<td>Connect SoCal travel time and distance savings for low-income households and minority communities are proportionate to each group’s usage of the transportation system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail-related impacts</td>
<td>Breakdown of population by demographic group for areas in close proximity to rail corridors and planned grade separations</td>
<td>No unaddressed disproportionately high adverse effects for low income or minority communities</td>
<td>Minority and low income communities in areas adjacent to railroad grade separation projects do not demonstrate improvement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of transportation system usage</td>
<td>Comparison of transportation system usage by mode for low income and minority households relative to each group’s regional population share</td>
<td>No unaddressed disproportionately high adverse effects for low income or minority communities</td>
<td>Low-income and minority groups show a higher usage of transit and active transportation modes and positions these communities to benefit from the investments in Connect SoCal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connect SoCal revenue sources in terms of tax burdens</td>
<td>Proportion of Connect SoCal revenue sources (taxable sales, income, and gasoline taxes) generated from low income and minority populations</td>
<td>No unaddressed disproportionately high adverse effects for low income or minority communities</td>
<td>Households in poverty would not contribute disproportionately to the overall funding of Connect SoCal. Minority households would not pay a higher proportion of taxes to fund the Plan than their relative representation in the SCAG region as a whole.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connect SoCal investments</td>
<td>Analysis of Connect SoCal investments by mode (bus, HOV lanes, commuter/high speed rail, highways/arterials, and light/heavy rail transit)</td>
<td>No unaddressed disproportionately high adverse effects for low income or minority communities</td>
<td>The share of Connect SoCal transportation investments serving low-income and minority communities outpaces the relative share of financial burden on those groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERFORMANCE MEASURE</td>
<td>DEFINITION</td>
<td>PERFORMANCE TARGET</td>
<td>SUMMARY OF IMPACTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographic distribution of Connect SoCal transportation investments</td>
<td>Evaluation of Connect SoCal transit, roadway, and active transportation infrastructure investments in various communities throughout the region</td>
<td>No unaddressed disproportionately high adverse effects for low income or minority communities</td>
<td>Connect SoCal transportation infrastructure investments are distributed throughout the region in proportion to population density.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mileage-Based User Fee impacts</td>
<td>Examination of potential impacts from implementation of a mileage-based user fee on low income households in the region</td>
<td>No unaddressed disproportionately high adverse effects for low income or minority communities</td>
<td>No disproportionate impact is found. Analysis indicates that a mileage-based user fee would be less regressive and more equitable to low-income residents than the current gasoline tax. Low income households currently pay more per mile in gasoline tax than their higher earning counterparts due to lower adoption rates of new (more fuel efficient) vehicles. With a mileage-based user fee system, all households will pay in proportion to their usage of the transportation system.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: SCAG Connect SoCal
Performance Measures

The performance measures used in SCAG’s Connect SoCal environmental justice analysis allows for an understanding and comparison of benefits and burdens that are experienced by minority groups as a result of RTP/SCS investments. To help illustrate this, the following specific performance measures from the environmental justice analysis of Connect SoCal regarding transportation system usage, tax burden, RTP/SCS expenditure distributions, mobility benefits, and accessibility benefits are discussed in below sections.

Transportation System Usage

In the 2024 Equity Analysis, SCAG will use the 2017 National Household Travel Survey (NHTS) to analyze the demographic and travel characteristics of the SCAG region. The NHTS is a household-based travel survey conducted by the FHWA and is the authoritative source of national data on the travel behavior of the American public. This dataset allows for the analysis of daily travel by all modes, including characteristics of the people traveling, their households, and their method of travel. This usage information forms the basis for allocating RTP/SCS benefits and burdens.

Table 2 and 3 present transportation mode usage in the SCAG region by race and ethnicity and income quintile for both all trips. Highlights include: the automobile (drive alone and carpool), which accounts for just over 80% of all trips, is the dominant transportation mode for work trips. The next most popular mode for work trips is walking (11.8%), followed by bus (2.3%). Noting that there were more trips by bus than by rail overall, the highest two income quintiles had a higher share of rail trips compared to the lowest two income quintiles. Additionally, most bus riders are lower income quintile households; the lowest two income quintile households combined account for over 75% of bus riders. Another clear pattern in the data shows auto usage increasing with the income quintiles. The lowest income quintile (1) takes 13% of all auto trips, while the highest income quintile (5) takes 25% of auto trips.

Overall, people of color are more likely to use transit and active transportation modes to reach destinations as compared to White residents. Among the various ethnic groups, Hispanic/Latino travelers had the highest bus mode share in the SCAG region at 54.8% of bus trips. Black travelers had the second highest share of bus trips at 18.9%, a rate three times the total usage, the highest usage rate compared to other racial/ethnic groups. The mode share for auto trips is very proportionate to the total usage, as the Hispanic/Latino and White travelers had the highest auto mode share in the SCAG region at 39.6% and 38.5%, just over their respective total usage. Multiracial, White, and “other” travelers, including Native American and Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander travelers due to small sample sizes, reported higher percentages of walking trips compared to their total usage while Asian, Black, Multiracial, and White travelers reported higher percentages of biking modes compared to their total usage.
### TABLE 2. TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM USAGE BY HOUSEHOLD RACE/ETHNICITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WALK</th>
<th>BIKE</th>
<th>AUTO</th>
<th>BUS</th>
<th>RAIL</th>
<th>OTHERS</th>
<th>TOTAL USAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mode Share</strong></td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asian</strong></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Black</strong></td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hispanic/Latino</strong></td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>39.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multiracial</strong></td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>White</strong></td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: National Household Travel Survey, 2017*

### TABLE 3. TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM USAGE BY HOUSEHOLD INCOME QUINTILE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WALK</th>
<th>BIKE</th>
<th>AUTO</th>
<th>BUS</th>
<th>RAIL</th>
<th>OTHERS</th>
<th>TOTAL USAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mode Share</strong></td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quintile 1</strong></td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quintile 2</strong></td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quintile 3</strong></td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quintile 4</strong></td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quintile 5</strong></td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: National Household Travel Survey, 2017*

**Tax Burden**

In the 2020 EJ Technical Report and the development of the 2024 Equity Analysis, SCAG used the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) Consumer Expenditure Survey (CEX) data to assess regional expenditures by taxable sales category, provided by California Department of Tax and Fee Administration (CDTFA) and adjusted gross income, provided by California Franchise Tax Board (FTB) in order to estimate transportation funding contributions or taxes paid by income group and race/ethnicity. SCAG also allocated taxable sales and expenditure by income quintile from the CEX and FTB. Different funding sources can impose disproportionate burdens on low-income households and people of color. Sales and gasoline taxes, which are the primary sources of funding the region’s transportation system, were evaluated to demonstrate how tax burdens fall on underserved communities.
This portion of the 2020 analysis includes a comparative examination of the amount of taxes paid (sales tax, gasoline tax, and income tax) by the five respective income quintile groups and for each racial and ethnic group. Figures 1 and 2 indicate that taxes paid as a percent of each group’s adjusted gross income puts the heaviest burden on lower-income groups. This is the so-called “regressive” nature of the excise gasoline taxes and retail sales taxes levied primarily on consumer durable and non-durable goods that make up the necessities of daily living.

**FIGURE 1. TAXES PAID BY INCOME QUINTILE (2016)**

Source: Connect SoCal 2020 EJ Technical Report; 2016 California Taxable Sales, CDTFA
Figure 3 shows projected taxes by race and ethnicity and indicates that tax burdens, measured by various taxes as a percentage of total personal income. According to this figure, projected taxes are expected to fall more heavily on non-minority groups, with Non-Hispanic Whites paying 50% of the income taxes and 41% of retail and gasoline taxes through the year 2045. Hispanic and Non-Hispanic African American households have lower shares of tax paid compared to overall household share. Non-Hispanic Asian households pay 16% of retail sales and gasoline taxes, and 20% of income tax, compared with the 15% share of households.

Source: Connect SoCal 2020 EJ Technical Report; FTB; 2016 California Taxable Sales, CDTFA
Transportation investment strategies can impact the transportation choices of low-income households and communities of color. A disproportionate allocation of resources for various investments can indicate a pattern of discrimination. In the 2020 EJ Technical Report, SCAG aimed to identify and address the Title VI and environmental justice implications of its planning processes and investment decisions, and utilized a benefit assessment method that considered to what extent various minority groups were receiving value from transportation investments. RTP/SCS expenditures were categorized by mode and then allocated to minority categories based on each group’s household usage share of these modes.

Figure 4 indicates that Connect SoCal investments will be distributed equitably on the basis of system usage for all racial and ethnic minority groups, generally in line with household share, tax burden, and transportation system usage. For Hispanics, the share of RTP/SCS investments (38%) is close to this group’s share of system usage (36%), close the overall share of households (37%), and all exceeds tax burden (34%). For Non-Hispanic Blacks, the share of RTP/SCS investments (8%) is in line with their system usage (7%) and exceeds the tax burden (6%). For Non-Hispanic Asians, the share of RTP/SCS investments (14%) closely mirrors the share of households (15%), system usage (15%), and tax burden (16%).

Source: Connect SoCal 2020 EJ Technical Report; FTB; 2016 California Taxable Sales, CDTFA
FIGURE 4. HOUSEHOLDS, SHARE OF TAX PAID, TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM USAGE AND INVESTMENT BY RACE/ETHNICITY

Source: Connect SoCal 2020 EJ Technical Report 2016 California Taxable Sales, CDTFA

Mobility Benefits (Travel Time Savings)

SCAG analyzed travel time savings resulting from implementation of Connect SoCal investments to determine the share of benefits and burdens for the region’s minority groups. SCAG used the regional travel demand model to assess the distribution of travel time savings for both auto and transit trips that are expected to result from implementation of the plan investments, compared to the baseline or “no project” alternative. This was combined with associated mode usage that was identified for each TAZ in the region to estimate time savings for each minority group.

Figure 5 depicts the distribution of transit travel time and usage benefits by race/ethnicity. All groups are shown to receive a net benefit in travel time savings and usage. The percentages shown represent each group’s share of total regional benefits. Results are shown for local bus and for all transit. Household local bus usage presented previously in Table 2 is provided as a point of comparison.

The Hispanic share of total travel time savings for local bus is 54%, compared to their household local bus usage of 41%. Non-Hispanic Native Americans and Others show a similar pattern, where their share of transit travel time savings exceeds their share of local bus usage. While Non-Hispanic African Americans are estimated to receive 8% of local bus travel time savings, this is slightly less than their share of usage at 9%; similar trend is shown for Non-Hispanic Asians.
Figure 6 depicts the estimated improvement in travel time for each race/ethnicity. All groups are shown to receive a net benefit in travel time savings. The percentages shown represent the transit travel time savings that are estimated to result from implementation of Connect SoCal, compared to the baseline or “no project” alternative. Results are shown for local bus and for all transit. The percentage improvement for all groups in total is provided as a point of comparison.

With respect to local bus travel, Connect SoCal provides a 9% improvement in travel time benefits overall. Hispanics, Non-Hispanic Asians, Non-Hispanic Native Americans, and Non-Hispanic African Americans are estimated to also experience local bus travel time savings of 6% or higher.

With respect to all transit travel, the Connect SoCal provides a 43% improvement in travel time benefits overall. This disaggregates to 58% for Non-Hispanic Asians, 39% for Hispanics, 42% for Non-Hispanic African Americans, 31% for Non-Hispanic Native Americans, and 34% for Non-Hispanic Others.
Accessibility Benefits (Access to Employment Opportunities)

Accessibility is a foundation for social and economic interactions and is measured by SCAG in terms of the spatial distribution of potential destinations, the ease of reaching each destination, and the magnitude, quality and character of the activities at the destination sites. Travel costs, in terms of time and money, and destination choice are crucial. The lower the costs of travel, and the greater and more varied the destinations, the higher the level of accessibility. SCAG estimated accessibility to employment opportunities by calculating a regional average of the percentage of jobs that can be accessed within 30 minutes by auto or 45 on transit in Connect SoCal. This was calculated using origin-to-destination travel time matrices produced by the regional travel demand model to identify, for each TAZ, the universe of TAZs accessible within 30 minutes by auto and 45 minutes by transit modes. The total employment in these accessible TAZs was then calculated to determine the percentage share of total regional employment for each TAZ. Each TAZ’s racial/ethnic breakdown was also tabulated, allowing for an overall regional average accessibility by race/ethnicity to be calculated.

Figure 7 depicts the Connect SoCal average share of the region’s jobs that are accessible within 45 minutes by transit, by race/ethnicity. Results are shown for local bus and for all transit. For local bus, Hispanics, Non-Hispanic African American, and Non-Hispanic Asians experience accessibility ranging from 0.8 to 0.9%. Non-Hispanic Native Americans (0.5%) and Non-Hispanic Others (0.6%) experience a lower-than-average accessibility for local bus and all transit. This may be primarily a function of residential location relative to the opportunities in surrounding areas. SCAG has identified that further research is needed to better understand the residential choices and built environment for these groups.

Source: Connect SoCal 2020 EJ Technical Report; SCAG Travel Demand Model and Socioeconomic Growth Forecast
Figure 8 depicts the estimated improvement in accessibility to employment opportunities for each race/ethnicity. All groups are shown to receive a net benefit in accessibility. The percentages shown represent the accessibility improvements that are estimated to result from implementation of the RTP/SCS, compared to the baseline or “no project” alternative. Results are shown for local bus and for all transit. The percentage improvement for all groups in total is provided as a point of comparison.

For local bus, the average regional improvement in accessibility is 19.9% overall. Hispanics and Non-Hispanic Native Americans are estimated to experience a 15.2% improvement, while Non-Hispanic Asians see a 37.3% improvement and Non-Hispanic Blacks have a 24.3% improvement. The pattern is similar when looking at all transit, except for Non-Hispanic Blacks. For all transit, Non-Hispanic Blacks are estimated to experience a 16.4% improvement in accessibility, below the 20.4% average for the entire region. This may be because Non-Hispanic Blacks have the highest accessibility of all groups, at 2.1% compared to 1.7% for the entire region (as shown in Figure 7), therefore their rate of improvement may not be as high as for other groups.
f) Description of the Procedures MPO Uses to Ensure Non-Discriminatory Pass-Through of FTA Financial Assistance

In compliance with the Circular, SCAG has prepared a description of the procedures it uses to pass through FTA financial assistance to subrecipients in a nondiscriminatory manner. SCAG passes federal funds to subrecipients without regard to race, color or national origin and assures that minority populations are not being denied the benefits of or excluded from participation in these programs.

SCAG does not currently administer any FTA discretionary grant programs. County Transportation Commissions (CTCs) in the SCAG region administer competitive selection processes for FTA programs for which SCAG is the designated recipient. The CTCs are all direct recipients of FTA program funds and as such are required to adopt Title VI programs and comply with the related requirements.

SCAG shall prepare and maintain, but not report unless requested by FTA, the following information, as applicable:

- A record of funding requests received from private non-profit organizations, state or local governmental authorities, and Indian tribes. The record shall identify those applicants that would use grant program funds to provide assistance to predominantly minority populations. The record shall also indicate which applications were rejected and accepted for funding.
- A description of how SCAG develops its competitive selection process and annual program of projects submitted to FTA as part of its grant applications. This description shall emphasize the method used to ensure the equitable distribution of funds to subrecipients that serve predominantly minority populations, including Native American tribes, where present. Equitable distribution can be achieved by engaging in outreach to diverse stakeholders regarding the
availability of funds, and ensuring the competitive process is not itself a barrier to selection of minority applicants.

- A description of SCAG’s criteria for selecting entities to participate in a FTA grant program.

**g) Description of the Procedures the Agency Uses to Provide Assistance to Potential Subrecipients in a Non-Discriminatory Manner**

In compliance with the Circular, SCAG has prepared a description of the procedures it uses to provide assistance to potential subrecipients applying for funding, including its efforts to assist applicants that would serve predominantly minority populations. To provide assistance to potential subrecipients on how to provide programs and services in a non-discriminatory, SCAG uses the following procedures:

- Provide each applicant with SCAG’s notice to the public informing people of their rights under Title VI.
- Provide each applicant with SCAG’s procedures on how to file a Title VI complaint.
- Provide technical assistance and education to applicants with regards to any Title VI question.
- Reply to questions during the application process in a manner that does not give an applicant an advantage over other applicants.
- Provide relevant Title VI demographic information or data to applicants as requested.
Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 states:

“No person in the United States shall, on the grounds of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.”

The Southern California Association of Governments is committed to complying with the requirements of Title VI in all of its programs and activities.

Kome Ajise
Executive Director
NOTIFYING THE PUBLIC OF RIGHTS UNDER TITLE VI
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

As a direct recipient of Federal funds, the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) is committed to complying with the requirements of Title VI in all of its programs and activities. SCAG operates its program and services without regard race, color, national origin, sex, age, or disability in accordance with Title VI. Any person who believes he or she has been aggrieved by any unlawful discriminatory practice under Title VI may file a complaint with SCAG.

For more information on SCAG’s Title VI Program, and the procedures to file a complaint, please visit our website at: https://scag.ca.gov/title-vi; contact (213) 236-1895; or visit our office at 900 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90017.

A complainant may also file a complaint directly with the Federal Transit Administration by filing a complaint with the Office of Civil Rights, Attention: Title VI Program Coordinator, East Building, 5th Floor- TCR, 1200 New Jersey Ave., SE, Washington DC 20590.

If information is needed in another language, contact (213) 236-1895.

If information is needed in another language, contact (213) 236-1895.

Si necesita información en otro idioma, contáctese llamando al (213) 236-1895.

A complainant may also file a complaint directly with the Federal Transit Administration by filing a complaint with the Office of Civil Rights, Attention: Title VI Program Coordinator, East Building, 5th Floor- TCR, 1200 New Jersey Ave., SE, Washington DC 20590.

If information is needed in another language, contact (213) 236-1895.

A complainant may also file a complaint directly with the Federal Transit Administration by filing a complaint with the Office of Civil Rights, Attention: Title VI Program Coordinator, East Building, 5th Floor- TCR, 1200 New Jersey Ave., SE, Washington DC 20590.

If information is needed in another language, contact (213) 236-1895.

A complainant may also file a complaint directly with the Federal Transit Administration by filing a complaint with the Office of Civil Rights, Attention: Title VI Program Coordinator, East Building, 5th Floor- TCR, 1200 New Jersey Ave., SE, Washington DC 20590.

If information is needed in another language, contact (213) 236-1895.

A complainant may also file a complaint directly with the Federal Transit Administration by filing a complaint with the Office of Civil Rights, Attention: Title VI Program Coordinator, East Building, 5th Floor- TCR, 1200 New Jersey Ave., SE, Washington DC 20590.

If information is needed in another language, contact (213) 236-1895.

A complainant may also file a complaint directly with the Federal Transit Administration by filing a complaint with the Office of Civil Rights, Attention: Title VI Program Coordinator, East Building, 5th Floor- TCR, 1200 New Jersey Ave., SE, Washington DC 20590.

If information is needed in another language, contact (213) 236-1895.

A complainant may also file a complaint directly with the Federal Transit Administration by filing a complaint with the Office of Civil Rights, Attention: Title VI Program Coordinator, East Building, 5th Floor- TCR, 1200 New Jersey Ave., SE, Washington DC 20590.

If information is needed in another language, contact (213) 236-1895.

A complainant may also file a complaint directly with the Federal Transit Administration by filing a complaint with the Office of Civil Rights, Attention: Title VI Program Coordinator, East Building, 5th Floor- TCR, 1200 New Jersey Ave., SE, Washington DC 20590.

If information is needed in another language, contact (213) 236-1895.
NOTIFICACIÓN PARA EL PÚBLICO SOBRE
LOS DERECHOS DE ACUERDO CON LO QUE DISPONE EL TÍTULO VI
(TITLE VI, SEGÚN SUS SIGLAS EN INGLÉS)
ASOCIACIÓN DE GOBIERNOS DEL SUR DE CALIFORNIA

Como beneficiaria directa de los fondos Federales, la Asociación de Gobiernos del Sur de California (SCAG) se compromete a cumplir con los requisitos del Título VI en todos sus programas y actividades. SCAG opera su programa y servicios sin importar cuál sea la raza, color, origen nacional, sexo, edad o discapacidad de acuerdo con lo que dispone el Título VI. Toda persona que crea que ha sido ofendida por alguna práctica ilegal de discriminación de acuerdo con lo que estipula el Título VI puede presentar una queja ante SCAG.

Si desea más información sobre el Programa del Título VI de SCAG, así como los procedimientos para presentar una queja, por favor visite nuestro sitio web en: https://scag.ca.gov/title-vi; llame al (213) 236-1895; o visite nuestra oficina principal en 900 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90017.

Toda persona que quiera presentar una queja puede hacerlo directamente ante la Administración Federal de Tránsito en la Oficina de Derechos Civiles, dirigiéndola a Title VI Program Coordinator (Coordinador del Programa del Título VI), East Building, 5th Floor-TCR, 1200 New Jersey Ave., SE, Washington, D.C. 20590.

Si necesita información en otro idioma, llame al (213) 236-1895.
作為一個直接接收聯邦政府撥款的組織，南加州大都市協會（“協會”）承諾協會的所有規劃、方案、活動會遵守《民權法》第六章的規定。協會指定的規劃、運作方案，以及提供的行政服務嚴格遵守《民權法》第六章關於禁止考慮種族、膚色，或出生地為由的歧視。任何人如果相信他/她的權益有受到歧視行為的侵害，違反的《民權法》第六章的規定可以向協會提出控訴。

想要更多了解協會《民權法》第六章的執行方案，以及如何向協會提出違反法案的控訴，可以登錄我們的網站：https://scag.ca.gov/title-vi；撥打我們的電話 (213) 236-1895；或者訪問我們的辦公室（地址：900 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90017。

控訴人也可以直接將控訴提交給美國運輸部，民權辦公室，第六章權益法案協調人。地址：

Office of Civil Rights
Attention: Title VI Program Coordinator East Building, 5th Floor-TCR
1200 New Jersey Ave., SE
Washington, D.C. 20590

如果您需要將此通知翻譯成其他語言，請撥打（213）236-1895。
민권법 6장에 의거한 권리에 대한 공지
남캘리포니아정부연합 (SCAG)

1964년 민권법 제6장은 미국에서는 어떤 사람도 인종, 피부색, 국적으로 인해 연방정부가 재정지원을 하는 제반 프로그램 및 업무활동의 참여에서 제외되거나, 그 혜택을 거부당하거나, 차별을 받아서는 아니된다고 규정하고 있습니다.

SCAG은 연방자금을 직접 지원받는 기관으로서, 민권법 제6장의 규정을 준수할 의무가 있습니다. SCAG은 제반 프로그램 및 업무활동을 수행함에 있어서 인종, 피부색, 국적, 성별, 나이, 장애 등을 고려하지 아니합니다. SCAG의 제반 프로그램 및 업무활동의 수행과 관련하여, 불법적인 차별을 경험한 자는 민권법 제6장에 의거하여 SCAG에 불만사항을 접수할 수 있습니다.

SCAG의 민권법 제6장 프로그램과 불만사항의 제출요령 등에 대한 자세한 내용은 SCAG 웹사이트 (https://scag.ca.gov/title-vi) 를 방문하거나, (213) 236-1895로 문의할 수 있으며, SCAG의 본부사무실 (900 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90017) 을 방문하여서 구할 수 있습니다.

불만사항은 연방대중교통청 (Federal Transit Administration)의 민권담당부서(Office of Civil Rights)에 직접 접수할 수도 있으며, 접수처는 다음과 같습니다: Attention: Title VI Program Coordinator, East Building, 5th Floor-TCR, 1200 New Jersey Ave., SE, Washington DC 20590.

혹시 다른 언어로 된 정보가 필요하시면 (213) 236-1895로 연락하시기 바랍니다.
THÔNG BÁO CHO CÔNG CHÚNG VỀ CÁC QUYỀN ĐƯỢC HƯỞNG THEO TITLE VI HIỆP HỘI CÁC CHÍNH PHỦ NAM CALIFORNIA

Là một tổ chức trực tiếp nhận ngân quỹ Liên Bang, Hiệp Hội Các Chính Phủ Nam California (SCAG) cam kết theo đúng những đối hồi của Title VI trong tất cả những chương trình và hoạt động của mình. Tuân thủ Title VI, SCAG điều hành những chương trình và dịch vụ của mình không phân biệt chủng tộc, màu da, nguồn gốc quốc gia, giới tính, tuổi tác, hoặc tình trạng khuyết tật. Bất cứ người nào tin tưởng rằng mình đã bị thiệt hại bởi bất kỳ một hành vi kỹ thị bất hợp pháp nào theo Title VI đều có thể nộp một đơn kiện nại với SCAG.

Muốn biết thêm chi tiết về Chương Trình Title VI Program của SCAG, cũng như những thủ tục nộp đơn kiện nại, xin vui lòng tham khảo trang mạng của chúng tôi tại: https://scag.ca.gov/title-vi; xin liên lạc (213) 236-1895; hay thăm văn phòng chính của chúng tôi tại 900 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90017.

Người kiện nại cũng có thể nộp thẳng một đơn kiện nại với Cơ Quan Liên Bang Federal Transit Administration bằng cách nộp đơn kiện nại cho Văn Phòng Office of Civil Rights, Attention: Title VI Program Coordinator, East Building, 5th Floor-TCR, 1200 New Jersey Ave., SE, Washington DC 20590.

Nếu quý vị cần được cung cấp tin tức, tài liệu bằng một ngôn ngữ nào khác, xin liên lạc với (213) 236-1895.
APPENDIX C

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
TITLE VI COMPLAINT PROCEDURES

As a recipient of federal funds from the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration, the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) is committed to ensuring that no person is excluded from participation in, denied the benefits of, or discriminated against under its projects, programs or activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, or disability, as provided in Title VI of the Civil Rights Act and Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 21, as they may be amended from time to time.

SCAG is committed to:

• Ensuring that the level and quality of regional planning is provided without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, age, or disability;
• Identifying and addressing, as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health and environmental effects, including social and economic effects of programs and activities on minority populations and low-income populations;
• Integrating into its activities an analytical process that identifies the benefits and burdens of its investments on different socioeconomic groups, identifying imbalances and responding to the analyses produced;
• Promoting the full and fair participation of individuals in low income and minority communities in regional planning and programming decision making;
• Addressing as appropriate the denial, reduction, or delay in benefits related to programs and activities that benefit minority populations or low-income populations; and
• Ensuring meaningful access to programs and activities by persons with limited English proficiency.

SCAG’s Executive Director and staff are responsible for carrying out SCAG’s commitment to Title VI. Specifically, SCAG’s Chief Counsel shall serve as SCAG’s Title VI Compliance Officer and is responsible for overseeing SCAG’s Title VI-related activities, including the receipt and investigation of any Title VI complaints.

The process for addressing a Title VI complaint is as follows:

1. Submission of Complaint: Any person who feels that he or she, individually, or as a member of any class of persons, on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, or disability, or has been subjected to discrimination prohibited under Title VI may file a written complaint with SCAG using the appropriate complaint form, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 1 to these procedures. A complaint may also be filed by a representative on behalf of such a person. All complaints must be referred to SCAG’s Chief Counsel, serving as the agency’s Title VI Compliance Officer, for review and action.
   a) Such complaint must be filed within sixty (60) calendar days after the date of the alleged act of discrimination.
   b) Complaints shall be in writing and shall be signed by the Complainant and/or the Complainant’s representative. Complaints shall set forth as fully as possible the facts and circumstances
surrounding the alleged discrimination. At a minimum, the complaint shall include the following information:

c) Name, mailing address, and how to contact the complainant (i.e. telephone number, email address, etc.).

d) Basis of complaint (i.e., race, color, or national origin).

e) Date of alleged discriminatory act(s).

f) How, when, where and why Complainant alleges he or she was discriminated against. Include the location, names and contact information of any witnesses.

g) Other significant information.

2. Review of Complaint: Within ten (10) calendar days of receipt of the complaint, SCAG’s Chief Counsel shall inform the Complainant in writing of the proposed action to process the complaint and advise the Complainant of other avenues of redress, such as submitting complaint with Federal Transit Administration. The Chief Counsel shall also inform SCAG’s Executive Director of receipt of the complaint. Thereafter, the Chief Counsel shall investigate the Complaint, or authorize the conduct of an investigation of the Complaint. Review of the complaint shall be completed no later than sixty (60) calendar days after the date SCAG received the complaint. If more time is required, the Chief Counsel shall notify the Complainant of the estimated time-frame for completing the review. Upon completion of the review of the complaint, the Chief Counsel shall issue SCAG’s written response to the Complainant, addressing the merits of the complaint and if applicable, recommending any improvements to SCAG’s processes relative to Title VI, as appropriate.

3. Request for Reconsideration: If the Complainant disagrees with the written response by SCAG’s Chief Counsel, he or she may request reconsideration by submitting a written request for reconsideration to SCAG’s Executive Director within fourteen (14) calendar days of the date of the written response. The request for reconsideration shall be sufficiently detailed to contain any items the Complainant feels were not fully understood by the Chief Counsel. The Executive Director will notify the Complainant of his or her decision either to accept or reject the request for reconsideration within ten (10) calendar days. In cases where the Executive Director agrees to reconsider, the matter shall be re-evaluated by the Executive Director or his or her designee, and a written determination shall be made within thirty (30) days of the Executive Director’s acceptance of the request for reconsideration.

4. Submission of Complaint to the Federal Transit Administration: If the Complainant is dissatisfied with SCAG’s resolution of the Title VI complaint, he or she may also submit a complaint to the Federal Transit Administration for investigation. In accordance with Chapter IX, Complaints, of FTA Circular 4702.1B, such a complaint must be submitted within 180 calendar days after the date of the alleged discrimination. Chapter IX of the FTA Circular 4702.1B, which outlines the complaint process to the Federal Transit Administration, may be obtained by requesting a copy from SCAG’s Chief Counsel at (213) 236-1920.

If information is needed in another language, contact (213) 236-1895.

إذا احتجت إلى معلومات بلغة أخرى، فاتصل برقم 1895-236-213 (213)

Si necesita información en otro idioma, contáctese llamando al (213) 236-1895.

در صورت نياز به اطلاعات به زبانی دیگر، با شماره تلفن 1895-236-213 تماس بگیرید

ถ้าคุณต้องการข้อมูลในภาษาอื่น ๆ โปรดติดต่อที่ (213) 236-1895 ด้วย
타 언어로 정보가 필요하신 경우 (213) 236-1895으로 문의주십시오

기여 | 전기 | 주소 | 전화 | 제목 | 상세 | 첨부 |
---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
(213) 236-1895 | 236-1895 | 주소 | 전화 | 제목 | 상세 | 첨부 |
EXHIBIT 1 – SCAG TITLE VI COMPLAINT FORM

Name ________________________________________________________________

Address ___________________________________________________________________________________________________________

City ___________________________ State _______________ Zip Code ________________

Home Telephone Number ____________________________________________________________

Work Telephone Number ______________________________________________________________________________________________

Email Address _______________________________________________________________________________________________________

Were you discriminated against because of:
[ ] Race   [ ] Color   [ ] National Origin   [ ] Sex
[ ] Age   [ ] Disability   [ ] Other ________________________________

1. Date of Alleged Incident: ________________________________________________________________

2. Please explain as clearly as possible what happened and how you were discriminated against. Indicate the location and who was involved. Be sure to include the names and contact information of any witnesses. If more space is needed, please attach additional pages or use the back of this form.

_________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

4. Have you filed this complaint with any other federal, state or local agency, or with any federal or state court?
[ ] Yes       [ ] No
If yes, please check all that apply:

_____ Federal Agency  _____ Federal Court  _____ State Agency

_____ State Court  _____ Local Agency

Please provide information about a contact person of the agency or court where the complaint was filed:

Name ________________________________________________________________

Address ______________________________________________________________

City, State Zip Code ___________________________________________________

Telephone Number ____________________________________________________

5. Will you be representing yourself in this complaint?  [ ] Yes  [ ] No

If no, please provide information about the person who will be serving as your representative in this complaint:

Name ________________________________________________________________

Address ______________________________________________________________

City, State Zip Code ___________________________________________________

Telephone Number ____________________________________________________

Please sign below. You may attach any written materials or other information that you think is relevant to your complaint.

Signature____________________________________   Date _______________________

Please mail or submit this form to:

Chief Counsel/Title VI Compliance Officer
Southern California Association of Governments
900 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Como beneficiaria de fondos federales de la Administración Federal de Carreteras y la Administración Federal de Tránsito, la Asociación de Gobiernos del Sur de California (SCAG), de acuerdo con lo que dispone el Título VI de la Ley de Derechos Civiles y el Título 49 del Código de Reglamentos Federales, Parte 21, así como cualquier enmienda que se le haga en el futuro, se compromete a asegurar que no se le excluya a ninguna persona de que participe, se le nieguen los beneficios o se le discrimine en sus proyectos, programas o actividades debido a su raza, color, origen nacional, sexo, edad o discapacidad.

SCAG se compromete a:

- Asegurar que se proporcione el nivel y la calidad de planificación regional sin importar la raza, color, origen nacional, sexo, edad o discapacidad;
- Identificar y hacer frente, según sea apropiado, a los efectos desproporcionadamente altos y adversos en la salud de los seres humanos y del medio ambiente, incluyendo los efectos socioeconómicos de los programas y las actividades tanto en las poblaciones de minorías como en poblaciones de bajos ingresos.
- Integrar en sus actividades un proceso analítico que identifique los beneficios y el impacto negativo de sus inversiones en diferentes grupos socioeconómicos, identificando los desequilibrios y respondiendo a los análisis producidos;
- Promover la participación plena y justa de los individuos de las comunidades de minorías y de bajos ingresos en la planificación regional y en la programación de la toma de decisiones;
- Atender, según se considere apropiado, el problema de que se nieguen, reduzcan o retrasen los beneficios relacionados con los programas y actividades que benefician a las poblaciones de minoría o las poblaciones de bajos ingresos; y
- Garantizarles a todas las personas con dominio limitado del inglés un acceso significativo a los programas y actividades.

El Director Ejecutivo y el personal de SCAG son responsables de cumplir el compromiso que SCAG tiene hacia el Título VI. Específicamente, el Abogado en Jefe de SCAG fungirá como el Funcionario de Cumplimiento del Título VI de SCAG y tiene la responsabilidad de supervisar las actividades de SCAG relacionadas con el Título VI, incluyendo el recibir y realizar la investigación de cualquier queja bajo este Título.

El proceso para atender una queja del Título VI es la siguiente:
1. **Presentación de la Queja:** Toda persona, ya sea individualmente o como miembro de un grupo de personas, que sienta que, debido a su raza, color, origen nacional, sexo, edad o discapacidad, ha sido sometida a discriminación que prohíbe el Título VI puede presentar una queja ante SCAG usando el formulario apropiado para quejas que se adjunta a estos procedimientos como Anexo 1. Una queja también puede ser presentada por un representante en nombre de dicha persona. Todas las quejas deberán ser remitidas al Abogado en Jefe de SCAG, que fungirá como el Funcionario de Cumplimiento del Título VI de la agencia, para revisar la queja.

   a) Dicha queja deberá ser presentada dentro de sesenta (60) días calendario después de la fecha del supuesto acto de discriminación.

   b) Las quejas deberán hacerse por escrito y estar firmadas por el Querellante o persona que esté presentando la queja y/o su representante. Las quejas deberán exponer tan detalladamente como sea posible los hechos y circunstancias en torno a la supuesta discriminación. Como mínimo, la queja deberá incluir la siguiente información:

   c) Nombre, dirección postal, y cómo comunicarse con el Querellante (por ejemplo, número de teléfono, correo electrónico, etc.).

   d) Base de la queja (por ejemplo, raza, color o nacionalidad).

   e) Fecha de los supuestos actos de discriminación.

   f) Cómo, cuándo, dónde y por qué el Querellante afirma que se le ha discriminado. Incluir el lugar, los nombres e información para contactar a cualquiera de los testigos.

   g) Otra información importante.

2. **Revisión de la Queja:** Dentro de los diez (10) días calendario de haber recibido la queja, el Abogado en Jefe de SCAG le informará por escrito al Querellante la acción propuesta para procesar la queja y asesorará al Querellante sobre otras avenidas para rectificarla, como presentar la queja en la Administración Federal de Tránsito. El Abogado en Jefe también informará al Director Ejecutivo de SCAG que se ha recibido una queja. A partir de ahí, el Abogado en Jefe investigará la queja o autorizará que se lleve a cabo una investigación de la misma. La revisión de la queja se deberá finalizar a más tardar sesenta (60) días calendario después de la fecha en la que SCAG la haya recibido. Si se requiere más tiempo, el Abogado en Jefe notificará al Querellante cuál es el periodo de tiempo estimado para que se termine la revisión. Una vez terminada la revisión de la queja, el Abogado en Jefe enviará por escrito la respuesta de SCAG al Querellante, abordando los méritos de la queja y, si corresponde, recomendando cualquier mejora a los procesos de SCAG en relación con el Título VI.

3. **Petición para Reconsideración:** Si el Querellante no está de acuerdo con la respuesta por escrito dada por el Abogado en Jefe de SCAG, el Querellante puede solicitar una reconsideración al Director Ejecutivo de SCAG dentro de catorce (14) días calendario a partir de la fecha de la respuesta por escrito. La petición para la reconsideración deberá estar lo suficientemente detallada de manera que incluya cualquier concepto que el Querellante considere que no haya entendido totalmente el Abogado en Jefe. El Director Ejecutivo le notificará al Querellante su decisión de aceptar o rechazar la petición para la reconsideración dentro de diez (10) días calendario. En casos en los que el Director Ejecutivo esté de acuerdo en reconsiderar, el asunto será reevaluado por el Director Ejecutivo o su designado, y se hará una determinación por escrito dentro de treinta (30) días de que el Director Ejecutivo acepte la petición para reconsideración.

4. **Presentación de la Queja ante la Administración Federal de Tránsito:** Si el Querellante no está satisfecho con la resolución de la queja del Título VI a la que llegó SCAG, puede presentar una queja a la Administración Federal de Tránsito para que se investigue. De acuerdo con el Capítulo IX, Quejas, de la
Circular 4702.1B de FTA, dicha queja deberá ser presentada dentro de 180 días calendario después de la fecha de la supuesta discriminación. Se puede obtener el Capítulo IX de la Circular 4702.1B de FTA, que describe el proceso de queja ante la Administración Federal de Tránsito, solicitando una copia al Abogado en Jefe de SCAG llamando al (213) 236-1920.

Si se necesita información en otro idioma, llame al (213) 236-1895.
ANEXO 1 - FORMULARIO DE SCAG PARA QUEJAS RELACIONADAS CON EL TÍTULO VI

Nombre ______________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

Dirección ______________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

Ciudad ___________ Estado ___________ Zona Postal ______________

Número de Teléfono del Hogar ______________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

Número de Teléfono del Trabajo ______________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

Correo electrónico ______________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

1. Lo discriminaron debido a:
   [ ] Raza    [ ] Color  [ ] Origen Nacional  [ ] Sexo
   [ ] Edad      [ ] Discapacidad  [ ] Otro ______________________

2. Fecha del Supuesto Incidente: ______________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

3. Por favor explique tan claramente como sea posible lo que pasó y de qué manera lo discriminaron. Indique el lugar y quién estuvo involucrado. Asegúrese de incluir los nombres y la información para contactar a cualquier testigo. Si necesita más espacio, por favor adjunte páginas adicionales o use la parte de atrás de este formulario.

                                                                                   ______________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________
                                                                                   ______________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________
                                                                                   ______________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________
                                                                                   ______________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________
                                                                                   ______________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________
                                                                                   ______________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________
                                                                                   ______________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________
                                                                                   ______________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

4. ¿Ha presentado esta queja ante alguna otra agencia federal, estatal o local, o ante un tribunal federal o estatal?
   [ ] Sí  [ ] No
Si la respuesta es sí, por favor marque todo lo que corresponda:

___ Agencia Federal ___ Tribunal Federal ___ Agencia Estatal

___ Tribunal Estatal ___ Agencia Local

Por favor proporcione información sobre la persona a quien tiene que contactar en la agencia o tribunal en donde se presentó la queja.

Nombre ____________________________________________________________

Dirección __________________________________________________________

Ciudad, Estado y Zona Postal__________________________________________

Número de Teléfono del Hogar _________________________________________

6. ¿Se representará usted mismo en esta queja? [ ] Sí [ ] No

Si la respuesta es no, por favor proporcione información sobre la persona que será su representante en esta queja:

Nombre ____________________________________________________________

Dirección __________________________________________________________

Ciudad, Estado y Zona Postal__________________________________________

Número de Teléfono del Hogar _________________________________________

Por favor firme a continuación. Puede adjuntar cualquier material por escrito u otra información que piense que es relevante para su queja.

Firma ____________________________ Fecha __________________________

Por favor envíe por correo o presente este formulario en:

Chief Counsel/Title VI Compliance Officer
Southern California Association of Governments
900 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90017
南加州政府協會 (SCAG)
美國聯邦民權法案第六條(TITLE VI)投訴程序

作為聯邦公路管理局(FHWA)和聯邦公共交通管理局(FTA)的聯邦基金接受者，南加州政府協會 (SCAG) 致力確保在其項目、計劃或活動中沒有對任何種族、膚色、國籍、性別、年齡或殘疾的歧視，包括排除參加和忽視他們的自身利益。這些法律要求出自美國民權法案第六條(Title VI)和聯邦管理法令(Code of Federal Regulations)第21部分第49條，同時這些法律要求會不定時的修改。

南加州政府協會承諾：

• 保證提供區域規劃的水平和質量，不涉及種族、膚色、國籍、性別、年齡或殘疾；
• 恰當地鑑別和表達不成比例的、高度改變個人健康和環境影響的項目或者活動，包括對於少數裔族和低收入人群的社會經濟和環境影響；
• 綜合分析過程，辨認其投資對於不同社會經濟群體的益處及負擔，辨認不平衡影響，以及對這些分析結果的應對方案；
• 鼓勵低收入和少數裔族積極和公平參與區域規劃和項目決策的討論；
• 恰當地表達對於低收入和少數裔族有益處的項目和活動的否決、減少和延遲；
• 保證英文水平不佳者能夠有多樣化的途徑了解項目和活動。

南加州政府協會的執行官和工作人員有責任落實對於美國民權法案第六條(Title VI)的承諾。具體來說，南加州政府協會的首席法律顧問將承擔監督第六條投訴程序相關的行為，包括接待和調查第六條投訴程序的投訴者。

Title VI投訴程序的實施流程如下：

1. **提交投訴**：任何個人或組織代表、種族、膚色、國籍、性別、年齡或殘疾而受到南加州政府協會的歧視，可以填寫並提交該機構的 VI 條規定投訴表(見附表1)。投訴也可以由代理人提交。所有投訴必須提交給南加州政府協會首席律師、同時也是協會Title VI投訴的管理官員，來審理和批复所有投訴。

   (a) 投訴必須在認為被歧視發生後60日內提交。

   (b) 投訴必須由投訴人或代理人親筆填寫並簽字。投訴人應盡可能詳細描述歧視發生的事實和環境。投訴應至少包含以下內容:

   (1) 姓名，地址以及聯繫方式（電話號碼，電子郵箱等）。

   (2) 主要投訴內容（種族，膚色或民族血統）。

   (3) 指控的歧視事件發生時間。

   (4) 時間，地點，方式以及為何此投訴認為當事人被歧視對待。應包含任何目擊證人的地址，姓名和聯繫方式。

2. **投訴審理**：在收到投訴的10日內，南加州政府協會的首席律師應以書面形式通知投訴人關於投訴的建議處理方案，並提供給投訴人其他投訴方式。如向聯邦公共交通管理局提起投訴。首席律師將通知南加州政府協會的執行官。此後，首席
律师将直接或者授权其他工作人员对投诉者的投诉进行调查。投诉审理必须在南加州政府协会收到投诉后60天内完成。如需延期，南加州政府协会的首席律师将通知投诉人预估的审理完成时间。在投诉审理完成的基础上，首席律师将向投诉人发出南加州政府协会书面回复。如可能，说明投诉的益处并适时地介绍SCAG遵循TitleVI过程的改进行方法。

3. **投诉复议**：如果不接受南加州政府协会首席律师提供的书面回复，投诉人可以在书面回复日期之后的14天内以书面形式向南加州政府协会的执行官提出投诉复议请求。投诉复议请求应详细包含任何投诉人认为未被首席律师理解的细节。执行官将在10日内通知投诉人接受或拒绝投诉复议请求的决定。如果执行官同意接受复议请求，此投诉将由执行官和或执行官授权人重新审理。审理结果应以书面形式在执行官接受复议请求的30天内给出。

4. **投诉提交至联邦公交管理会**：如果对南加州政府协会的处理结果不满意，投诉人可以向联邦公共交通管理局（FTA）提起投诉。根据联邦公共交通管理局Circular 4702.1B第IX章中对投诉人的规定，投诉人必须在指控的歧视事件发生180天内提起投诉。投诉到联邦公共交通管理局的流程，写在FTA Circular 4702.1B的第IX章，投诉人可以向南加州政府协会首席律师的名字（213）236-1928获得流程复印件。

如果需要另一种语言的信息，请联繫(213) 236-1895。
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>姓名</th>
<th>附件1 南加州政府协会第六条投诉程序表格</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>地址</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>城市</td>
<td>美州</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>家庭电话</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>工作电话</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>電子郵件</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. 是因为________被歧视
   [ ] 种族  [ ] 肤色  [ ] 国籍  [ ] 性别
   [ ] 年龄  [ ] 残疾  [ ] 其他__________

2. 发生的时间__________________________

3. 請盡可能清楚地解釋發生了什麼和您是如何被歧視對待的。說明地點和誰牽涉其中。請包含姓名和聯繫方式包括任何目擊者。如果需要更多的空間，請另外加紙，或使用這張表格的背面。

   __________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________

4. 您是否有向其他任何联邦、政府或者當地機構、或是任何联邦或者州法院投诉？
   [ ] 是  [ ] 否
如果是，请在下面合适处打勾

[ ] 联邦机构 [ ] 联邦法院 [ ] 州机构

[ ] 州法院 [ ] 当地机构

请提供关于您投诉的机构或者法院联系人的信息:

姓名__________________________________________

地址____________________________________________

城市、州和邮编____________________________________

电话______________________________________________

5. 您是否愿意在此次投诉中代表您自己？

[ ] 是 [ ] 否

如果否，提供您代理人的信息姓名 ______________________________

地址______________________________________________

城市、州和邮编____________________________________

电话______________________________________________

请在下方签名。您可以提供任何书面材料或者其他您认为和投诉相关的材料。

签名__________________________________________日期____________________

请邮寄或者提交此表格到

Chief Counsel/Title VI Compliance Officer
Southern California Association of Governments
900 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90017
남캘리포니아정부연합 (SCAG) 민권법 6장 불만 처리 과정

남캘리포니아정부연합 (SCAG)은 연방고속도로청 및 연방대중교통청 (The Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration) 의 연방자금을 지원받는 기관으로서, 수시로 개정될 수 있는 민권법 제6장과 연방규정집 타이틀제49장 (Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations) 파트 21에 의거하여 어느 누구도 인종, 피부색, 국적, 인종, 피부색, 국적, 성별, 연령 또는 장애, 의하여 제반 프로젝트와 프로그램 및 업무활동 등의 참여로부터 제외되거나, 그 혜택을 거부당하거나, 차별을 받지 아니하도록 보장하여야 합니다.

SCAG은 다음과 같은 사항을 보장하여야 합니다.

- 인종, 피부색, 출신 국가에 관계없이 수준 높은 지역계획을 제공함.
- 과도하게 주민의 건강을 해치고 지역의 환경을 오염시키는 경우를 확인하고 적절하게 대처하여야 함. 특히, SCAG 프로그램 및 업무활동에 따른 소수 인종 및 저소득층의 사회적 및 경제적 영향을 포함함.
- 공공투자가 여러 사회 경제적 집단에 미치는 편익과 부담을 계산하는 분석과정을 주요 업무활동의 하나로서 간주하고, 편익과 부담의 불균형을 확인하며, 분석결과에 대하여 적절하게 대처함.
- 지역 계획 및 프로그램 의사 결정시에는 저소득층 및 소수 민족 사회의 완전하고 공정한 개인 참여를 촉진함.
- 소수 인종 및 저소득층 혜택 프로그램 및 업무활동과 관련한 혜택의 거부, 축소, 또는 지연에 대하여 적절하게 대처함.
- 영어 능력이 제한된 사람들이 제반 프로그램과 업무활동에 대하여 실질적인 접근이 가능하도록 함.

SCAG의 Executive Director (ED) 와 직원들은 민권법 제6장에 대한 SCAG의 책무를 이행할 책임이 있습니다. 특히, SCAG의 수석법률고문 (Chief Counsel) 은 SCAG의 민권법 제6장
준법담당관의 역할과 더불어 민권법 제6장과 관련한 불만 접수 및 조사를 포함한 SCAG의 제반 업무를 책임집니다.

민권법 제6장과 관련한 불만 처리 절차는 다음과 같습니다.

1. 불만사항 제출: 개인적으로나 한 집단의 구성원으로서 민권법 제6장에서 금지하고 있는 인종, 피부색 또는 출신 국가, 성별, 나이, 장애에 대한 차별을 겪은 이는 남녀를 불문하고 누구나 SCAG에서 제공하는 제출양식을 이용하여 이의를 제기할 수 있습니다. 해당 양식의 사본은 부록1에 첨부되어 있습니다. 불만사항은 대리인이 대신하여 신청할 수 있습니다. 모든 불만사항은 검토 및 조치를 위하여 SCAG의 민권법 제6장 준법담당관인 SCAG의 수석법률고문에게 문의하여야 합니다.
   a. 불만사항은 차별의 혐의가 있는 날로부터 60일 이내에 제출되어야 합니다.
   b. 불만사항은 서면으로 제출되어야 하며, 신청인 또는 신청인의 대표자의 서명이 필요합니다. 불만사항은 차별에 대한 정황이 가능한 한 자세하게 명시되어야 합니다. 최소한 불만사항은 다음과 같은 정보가 포함되어야 합니다.
      i. 이름, 주소, 연락처 (예, 전화번호, 이메일주소 등)
      ii. 불만사항 종류 (예, 인종, 피부색, 국적, 성별, 연령 또는 장애)
      iii. 차별 행위 발생 날짜
      iv. 차별 행위가 발생한 경로, 장소 및 차별이란 생각하는 이유. 가능하다면 목격자의 장소, 이름, 연락처 포함
      v. 기타 중요한 정보

불만사항의 검토: 불만사항 접수 후 10일 이내에 SCAG의 수석법률고문은 신청인에게 불만사항 처리를 위해 제안된 조치를 서면으로 통보해야하고, 연방 대중교통청에 불만사항을 제출하는 동 시점의 다른 방안을 조언할 수 있습니다. 수석법률고문은 또한SCAG의 ED에게 불만사항 접수를 통보해야합니다. 그 후, 수석법률고문은 불만사항을 조사하거나 불만사항에 대한 조사의 수행을 승인하여야 합니다. 불만사항의 검토는 SCAG이 불만사항을 접수받은 날로부터 60일 이내에 완료되어야 합니다. 더 많은 시간이 필요한 경우, 수석법률고문은 신청인에게 검토 완료 예상 시간을 통지하여야합니다. 불만사항 검토가 완료되면, 수석법률고문은 신청인에게 불만 접수에 대한 이점을 강조하거나, 민권법 제6장과 관련한 SCAG의 처리절차 개선에 대한 권고사항 등을 포함하여 서면으로 답변합니다.
2. 재검토 요청: 신청인이 SCAG의 수석 법률고문에 의한 서면으로 답변한 내용에 동의하지 않을 경우, 서면 답변의 날짜로부터 14일 이내에 SCAG의 ED에게 재심요청을 서면으로 제출하여 재검토를 요청할 수 있습니다. 재심요청은 신청인이 수석 법률고문의 답변에 의해 이해되지 않은 모든 항목을 포함하여 상세히 열거해야 합니다. ED는 10일 이내에 재심 요청을 수락하거나 거부한다는 자신의 결정을 신청인에게 통지할 것입니다. ED가 재고하기로 동의한 경우, 불만사항은 ED 또는 ED가 지정한 이에 의하여 재평가될 것입니다. 서면 결정은 재심요청이 ED의 재심요청 승인 후 30일 이내에 이루어져야 합니다.

3. 연방대중교통청에 불만사항 제출: 신청인이 SCAG의 민권법 제6장 불만 결정에 대하여 불만족할 경우, 연방대중교통청에 불만사항 조사를 접수할 수 있습니다. FTA Circular 4702.1B 9장에 따르면, 불만사항은 차별이 발생한 날로부터 180일 이내에 제출되어야 합니다. FTA Circular 4702.1B 9장에는 연방대중교통청의 불만 처리가 기술되어 있으며, SCAG의 수석 법률고문 (213-236-1928)에게 사본을 요청할 수 있습니다.

혹시 다른 언어로 된 정보가 필요하시면 (213) 236-1895로 연락하시기 바랍니다.
부록 1 - SCAG 민권법 6장 불만 접수 양식

이름 ________________________________

주소 _____________________________________

도시________________________ 주________ 우편번호 ______________

자택전화번호______________________________

직장전화번호______________________________

이메일 주소____________________________________

1. 당신의 불만사항은 다음 중 어느 것에 해당합니까?
   [ ] 인종  [ ] 피부색  [ ] 국적  [ ] 성별
   [ ] 연령  [ ] 장애  [ ] 기타 __________________________

2. 사건이 발생한 날짜: ____________________________

3. 가능할만한 명확하게 어떤 차별을 어떻게 겪었는지 기술하여 주십시오. 해당 차별 발생 장소와 누가 관계되어 있는지를 기술하여 주십시오. 가능하다면 목격자의 이름과 연락처를 같이 기재해 주십시오. 기재공간이 부족한 경우, 해당 양식의 뒷면을 이용하여 추가적으로 기재하여 주십시오.
4. 해당 불만사항을 다른 연방, 주, 지방정부기관, 혹은 다른 연방, 주법원에 신고한 적이 있습니까?
   [] 네  [] 아니오

만약 맞다면, 해당 항목에 체크하여 주십시오.

   ______ 연방정부기관 _______ 연방법원 _______ 주정부기관

   ______ 주법원 _______ 지방정부기관

불만사항을 접수한 기관 혹은 법원의 담당자 정보를 기재하여 주십시오.

   이름________________________________________ 주소_________________________

   도시, 주, 우편번호________________________ 전화번호_____________________

5. 신청자가 불만사항 접수자 본인이십니까?
   [] 네  [] 아니오

만약 본인이 아니라면, 불만사항 접수자의 대리인 정보를 아래에 기재하여 주십시오.

   이름________________________________________

   주소________________________________________

   도시, 주, 우편번호________________________

   전화번호________________________________________

다음 서명란에 서명하여 주십시오. 불만사항과 관련한 서면자료와 다른 기타 정보를 첨부할 수 있습니다.

   서명________________________________________ 날짜 _________________________

다음의 주소로 제출하여 주십시오:

Chief Counsel/Title VI Compliance Officer
Southern California Association of Governments
900 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90017
HIỆP HỘI CÁC CHính PHỦ NAM CALIFORNIA
THƯ TỤC KHỦI NẠI TITLE VI

Là một tổ chức nhận ngân quỹ liên bang từ Cơ Quan Liên Bang Federal Highway Administration và Cơ Quan Liên Bang Federal Transit Administration, Hiệp Hội Các Chính Phú Nam California (SCAG) cam kết bảo đảm rằng trong những kế hoạch, chương trình hoặc hoạt động của Hiệp Hội, sẽ không có một ai bị loại ra không được tham dự, bị từ chối quyền lợi, hoặc bị đôi xướng thì, do những lý do chính thức, màu da, nguồn gốc quốc gia, giới tính, tuội tác, hoặc tình trạng khuyết tật, như đã được quy định trong Title VI của Bộ Luật Civil Rights Act và Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 21, ngày cả khi có thể những điều này đòi lực sẽ được tu chỉnh.

SCAG cam kết:

- Bảo đảm rằng trình độ và phạm chất của công cuộc qui hoạch di phương được cung cấp không phân biệt Chung tọc, màu da, nguồn gốc quốc gia, giới tính, tuổi tác, hoặc tình trạng khuyết tật;
- Nhận diện ra và giải quyết, một cách thích đáng, các ảnh hưởng lớn và bất lợi quá đáng đến sức khỏe con người và môi sinh, bao gồm các ảnh hưởng xã hội và kinh tế của những chương trình và hoạt động đối với các thành phần dân số thiểu số và các thành phần dân số lõi túc thấp;
- Đưa vào trong những hoạt động của mình một trình tự phân tích, nhận diện ra những lợi ích và những gánh nặng của những đầu tư của mình vào những nhóm xã hội-kinh tế khác biệt, nhận diện ra những bất ổn bằng và ủng hộ với những phân tích được ghi nhận;
- Có xúy sử tham dự đóng dự và cung bắng của những cá nhân trong các công động lợi tức thấp và thiểu số vào việc quyết định các qui hoạch và chương trình di phương;
- Giả quyết một cách phù hợp sự từ chối, giảm bớt, hoặc trì hoãn những lợi ích liên quan đến những chương trình và hoạt động mang lợi ích đến cho các thành phần dân số thiểu số hoặc các thành phần dân số lõi túc thấp; và
- Bảo đảm việc tham dự đẩy yếu nghĩa vào các chương trình và hoạt động cho những người có khả năng Anh ngữ hạn chế.

Giám Đốc Điều Hành cũng nhân viên của SCAG có trách nhiệm thực hiện cam kết của SCAG đối với Title VI. Đặc biệt là Luật sư Trưởng (Chief Counsel) của SCAG sẽ đảm nhiệm vai trò Viên Chức Phụ Trách Văn Đề Tuấn Thú (Compliance Officer) Title VI của SCAG và có trách nhiệm giám sát các hoạt động liên quan đến Title VI của SCAG, kể cả việc nhận và điều tra bất kỳ kháu nại Title VI nào.

Thư tục khiếu nại Title VI như sau:

1. Nап Don Khỏi Nại: Bắt kỳ ai cảm thấy rằng mình, với tư cách của một cá nhân, hoặc của một thành viên của bất kỳ lớp người nào, vi lý do chung tọc, màu da, nguồn gốc quốc gia, giới tính, tuổi tác, hoặc tình trạng khuyết tật, đã bị kỳ thị một điều bị cáo buộc Title VI-- nếu có thể nap một đơn khiếu nại bằng văn bản cho SCAG, sử dụng mẫu khiếu nại thích hợp. Một bản mẫu đơn khiếu nại được định kèm, gọi là Phiếu Luc 1 (Exhibit 1) cho thư tục này. Don khiếu nại
cũng có thể được nộp bởi một đại diện nhận danh người khác nại. Mối đơn khiếu nại đều phải được gửi tới Luật Sư Trưởng của SCAG, đảm trách vai trò Viên Chức Phụ Trách Văn Đề Tuần Thủ Title VI của Hiệu Hồi, để xem xét và có hành động.

(a) Đơn khiếu nại phải được nộp trong vòng sau mười (60) ngày-theo- lịch (calendar day) sau ngày xảy ra hành vi bị cáo buộc là kỳ thi.

(b) Đơn khiếu nại phải được đăng văn bản và phải được ký bởi Người Khíu Nại và/hoặc đại diện Người Khíu Nại. Đơn khiếu nại cần phải ghi ra càng đầy đủ càng tốt những điều kiện và khung cảnh xung quanh hành vi bị cáo buộc là kỳ thi này. Tới thời, đơn khiếu nại phải gồm những chi tiết sau:

1. Họ tên, địa chỉ, giới tính, và các tiếp xúc với người khiếu nại (nghĩa là, số điện thoại, địa chỉ email, v.v...).

2. Lý do khiếu nại (nghĩa là các tác động, mùi da, hoặc quốc tịch gốc).

3. Ngày xảy ra (những) hành vi bị cáo buộc là kỳ thi.


5. Những chi tiết quan trọng khác.


Nếu quý vị cần được cung cấp tin tức, tài liệu bằng một ngôn ngữ nào khác, xin liên lạc với (213) 236-1895.
PHỤ LỤC 1 (EXHIBIT 1) – MẪU ĐƠN KHIẾU NẠI TITLE VI VỚI SCAG

Họ Tên ____________________________

Địa Chỉ ____________________________________________________________

Thành Phố ___________________________ Tiêu Bang ________ Zip Code ________

Số Điện Thoai Nhà ________________________________

Số Điện Thoại Chỗ Làm ________________________________

Địa Chỉ Email ____________________________________________

1. Quý vị đã bị kỳ thị vì:
   [ ] Chứng Tộc  [ ] Mậu Đa  [ ] Nguồn Gốc Quốc Gia  [ ] Giới Tính
   [ ] Tuổi Tác  [ ] Khuyết Tật  [ ] Lý Do Khác ____________________________

2. Ngày xảy ra Hành Vi Bị Cáo Buộc: ________________________________


   ________________________________________________________________
   ________________________________________________________________
   ________________________________________________________________
   ________________________________________________________________
   ________________________________________________________________
   ________________________________________________________________
   ________________________________________________________________
   ________________________________________________________________
   ________________________________________________________________
   ________________________________________________________________
   ________________________________________________________________

4. Quý vị đã có nap đơn khiếu nại này với bất kỳ một cơ quan liên bang, tiêu bang hay địa phương nào khác, hoặc với bất kỳ một tòa án liên bang hay tiêu bang nào không?
   [ ] Có  [ ] Không

Nếu có, xin vui lòng đánh dấu chọn tất cả những nơi đã có nap:
   _____ Cơ Quan Liên Bang  _____ Cơ Quan Tiểu Bang  _____ Cơ Quan Địa Phương

67
____ Tòa Án Liên Bang ____Tòa Án Tiểu Bang

Xin vui lòng cung cấp các chi tiết về một người để tiếp xúc tại cơ quan hay tòa án nơi quý vị đã nộp đơn khiếu nại:

Họ Tên ___________________________________________________________

Địa Chỉ __________________________________________________________

Thành Phố, Tiểu Bang và Zip Code _________________________________

Số Điện Thoại ____________________________________________________

5. Quý vị có sẽ tự đại diện cho chính mình trong đơn khiếu nại này hay không?

[ ] Có    [ ] Không

Nếu không, xin vui lòng cung cấp các chi tiết về người sẽ là đại diện cho quý vị trong đơn khiếu nại này:

Họ Tên __________________________________________________________

Địa Chỉ __________________________________________________________

Thành Phố, Tiểu Bang và Zip Code _________________________________

Số Điện Thoại ____________________________________________________

Xin vui lòng ký tên ở phía dưới. Quý vị có thể kèm theo bất kỳ tài liệu bằng văn bản nào hoặc những chi tiết khác mà quý vị nghĩ rằng có liên quan đến khiếu nại của mình.

Chữ Ký_____________________________________________          Ngày __________________

Xin vui lòng gửi hay nộp đơn này cho:

Chief Counsel/Title VI Compliance Officer
Southern California Association of Governments
900 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90017
APPENDIX D

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PLAN
What is SCAG?
Why does SCAG have a Public Participation Plan?
What does SCAG hope to achieve from its outreach?
What principles guide SCAG’s outreach?
What laws guide SCAG’s public participation process?
Who participates in SCAG’s planning process?

How do we engage the public?
How do we reach out to the public?
Which programs have established public participation procedures?

Why does SCAG evaluate public participation activities?
What does SCAG measure?
How does SCAG define success?
What should you do now?

Legal public participation requirements

Programs with established public participation procedures
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adelanto</td>
<td>Cathedral City</td>
<td>Grand Terrace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agoura Hills</td>
<td>Centen</td>
<td>Hawaiian Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alhambra</td>
<td>Chino</td>
<td>Hawthorne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aliso Viejo</td>
<td>Chino Hills</td>
<td>Hemet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaheim</td>
<td>Claremont</td>
<td>Hermosa Beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple Valley</td>
<td>Coachella</td>
<td>Hesperia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arcadia</td>
<td>Colton</td>
<td>Hidden Hills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artesia</td>
<td>Commerce</td>
<td>Highland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avalon</td>
<td>Compton</td>
<td>Holtville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azusa</td>
<td>Corona</td>
<td>Huntington Beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin Park</td>
<td>Costa Mesa</td>
<td>Huntington Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banning</td>
<td>Covina</td>
<td>Imperial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barstow</td>
<td>Cudahy</td>
<td>Indian Wells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaumont</td>
<td>Culver City</td>
<td>Indio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell</td>
<td>Cypress</td>
<td>Industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell Gardens</td>
<td>Dana Point</td>
<td>Inglewood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellflower</td>
<td>Desert Hot Springs</td>
<td>Irvine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beverly Hills</td>
<td>Diamond Bar</td>
<td>Irwindale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big Bear Lake</td>
<td>Downey</td>
<td>Jurupa Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blythe</td>
<td>Duarte</td>
<td>La Cañada Flintridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradbury</td>
<td>Eastvale</td>
<td>La Habra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brawley</td>
<td>El Centro</td>
<td>La Habra Heights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brea</td>
<td>El Monte</td>
<td>La Mirada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buena Park</td>
<td>El Segundo</td>
<td>La Palma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burbank</td>
<td>Fillmore</td>
<td>La Puente</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calabasas</td>
<td>Fontana</td>
<td>La Quinta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calexico</td>
<td>Fountain Valley</td>
<td>La Verne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calimesa</td>
<td>Fullerton</td>
<td>Laguna Beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calipatria</td>
<td>Garden Grove</td>
<td>Laguna Hills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camarillo</td>
<td>Gardena</td>
<td>Laguna Niguel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canyon Lake</td>
<td>Glendale</td>
<td>Laguna Woods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson</td>
<td>Glendora</td>
<td>Lake Elsinore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lakewood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lancaster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lawndale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loma Linda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lomita</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Long Beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Los Alamitos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lynwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Malibu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Manhattan Beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maywood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Menifee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mission Viejo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monrovia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Montclair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Montebello</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monterey Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moorpark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moreno Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Murrreta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Needles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Newport Beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Norco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Norwalk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ojai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Orange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxnard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Palm Desert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Palm Springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Palmdale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Palos Verdes Estates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Paramount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pasadena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Perris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pico Rivera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Placentia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pomona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Port Hueneme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rancho Cucamonga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rancho Mirage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rancho Palos Verdes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Redlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Redondo Beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rialto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Riverside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rolling Hills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rolling Hills Estates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rosemead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Buenaventura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Clemente</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Dimas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Fernando</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Gabriel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Jacinto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Juan Capistrano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sah Marino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Santa Ana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Santa Clarita</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Santa Fe Springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Santa Monica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Seal Beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sierra Madre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Signal Hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Simi Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>South El Monte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>South Gate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>South Pasadena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stanton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Temecula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Temple City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thousand Oaks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Torrance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tustin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Twentynine Palms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Upland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Victorville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Villa Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Walnut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>West Covina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>West Hollywood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Westlake Village</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Westminster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Westmorland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Whittier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wildomar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yorba Linda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yucaipa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yucca Valley</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WHAT IS SCAG?

The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) is the metropolitan planning organization (MPO) for the six-county Southern California region, including the counties of Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside and Ventura. From the beaches to the high desert, the six-county region that encompasses Southern California spans 38,000 square miles, 191 cities and a population of over 19 million. The SCAG region is among the largest and most diverse in the world, with a unique combination of languages, ethnicities and cultures.

SCAG is a Joint Powers Authority under California state law, established as an association of local governments and agencies that voluntarily convene as a forum to address regional issues. SCAG has several key planning responsibilities including conducting the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) every eight years and preparing the Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) every four years.

In addition, SCAG serves as the foremost data clearinghouse and information hub for the region, conducting research and analysis in pursuit of regional planning goals.

WHY DOES SCAG HAVE A PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PLAN?

Planning for such a large and diverse region requires substantial input from across Southern California. The people who live, work and play here have varying, and sometimes conflicting, needs and priorities. Their voices must be heard if we are to develop planning policies that truly meet the needs of the region. While many of the land use plans and transportation projects that feed into SCAG’s work products undergo their own robust local public participation process, it is important that regional plans and policies also allow for a public participation process. To that end, SCAG is committed to conducting robust public outreach and engagement and has outlined that commitment in this Public Participation Plan (PPP) and informed the PPP by the agency’s Racial Equity Early Action Plan. The purpose of the PPP is to provide a baseline policy and standards to guide outreach and engagement activities. Each individual project or program can use the PPP to build upon their unique needs, requirements, geography and more. This will allow projects and programs to be adaptive, while still keeping a commitment to the important values outlined here.

To make this document less formal and easier to navigate, we’ve structured the content as answers to a series of questions. We have also separated out the dense technical and legal language—if you are looking for details about statutory requirements and particulars about processes, you can find them in the appendices. This updated plan includes more context, explaining SCAG’s key operations and guiding principles for public participation. We also include (and adapt to) public feedback on our current strategies and methods for public engagement. Two of the significant considerations and influences for this PPP update are the commitments from the Racial Equity Early Action Plan and the influence of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic on outreach activities.
RACIAL EQUITY EARLY ACTION PLAN
On May 6, 2021, SCAG’s Regional Council adopted the Racial Equity Early Action Plan (Early Action Plan), which will guide and sustain SCAG’s regional leadership in service of equity and social justice over the years to come. The Early Action Plan provides a definition of equity and establishes goals, strategies, and a set of “early actions” to advance racial equity through SCAG’s policies, practices and activities.

To that end, the Public Participation Plan reflects the relevant goals, strategies and early actions of the Early Action Plan.

COVID-19 AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION
As the pandemic continues, SCAG has adapted and shifted public participation strategies to adhere to public health guidelines and will continue to do so as the pandemic evolves. SCAG has adopted COVID-19 Operations and continues to support public participation in a manner that is context-sensitive and adheres to COVID-19 protocols.

This plan details SCAG’s goals, strategies and processes for providing the public and stakeholders with opportunities to be involved in the regional planning process. When we discuss “the public,” we are referring to any person who lives, works or plays in the region. When we use the word “stakeholder,” we are describing someone affiliated with an entity that has an official role in the regional transportation planning process. SCAG also benefits from the sustained participation of “interested parties” who may not have an official role or responsibility in the regional transportation planning process but who provide valuable input and feedback on SCAG’s projects and programs. This can include representatives of the private sector such as a chamber of commerce or representatives of an environmental advocacy organization.

SCAG programs with a public input component will refer to this plan in developing individualized engagement plans.

SCAG’s PPP will help ensure that SCAG effectively seeks early and ongoing input from people and organizations throughout the region and effectively addresses the evolving transportation, land-use, and environmental needs of Southern Californians now and for generations to come.

WHAT DOES SCAG HOPE TO ACHIEVE FROM PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT?
WHAT PRINCIPLES GUIDE SCAG’S OUTREACH?
Meaningful public participation is a cornerstone of regional planning and one of SCAG’s key priorities. In all outreach work, the agency holds itself to high standards according to SCAG’s core values of transparency, leading by example and creating positive impacts in the region. Regardless of how communication technologies and specific tools for engagement continue to evolve, SCAG is committed to following these outreach principles:

- Include and engage impacted communities early and often, aligning with the recommendations in the Racial Equity Early Action Plan
- Administer a transparent and clearly communicated process for public participation
- Ensure that opportunities for public involvement are accessible to all communities
- Provide information that is clear, concise and current, making use of visualization and other techniques to enhance understanding
- Respect and consider all feedback received from members of the public, interested parties and stakeholders
- Adapt new communications strategies and technologies for public outreach
- Provide engagement opportunities that meet and exceed statutory requirements to ensure broad participation in SCAG’s planning activities
- Demonstrate how public input is incorporated in SCAG plans, programs and policies
- Move toward community ownership of projects where public participation moves toward engagement and engagement moves toward community ownership of planning processes, aligning with the Racial Equity Early Action Plan, International Association for Public Participation (IAP2) spectrum and Community Commons spectrum for public participation and community engagement.

WHAT LAWS GUIDE SCAG’S PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS?

SCAG, as a public agency and MPO, is subject to federal and state requirements which emphasize providing continuous and equitable opportunities for public involvement. Below is an overview of the major requirements for SCAG’s public outreach; a detailed description of each is available in Appendix A (PAGE 26).

FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS

- **Federal Metropolitan Planning Law and Regulations**, 23 USC 134 et seq. and 23 CFR Part 450 et seq.
- **Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU)**, signed into law in 2005 as Public Law 109-59, authorized funds for Federal-aid highways, highway safety programs, transit program and other purposes and established federal metropolitan transportation planning requirements.
- **Executive Order 12372** — Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs, signed July 14, 1982, by President Ronald Reagan.
- **Executive Order 12898** — Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations. Signed February 11, 1994, by President William J. Clinton.
- **Fixing America’s Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act)**, Public Law as passed by Congress and signed by President Barack Obama on December 4, 2015.
- **Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21)** signed into law in 2012, requires metropolitan planning organizations to provide opportunities for public involvement.
- **Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964**, prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin in carrying out planning and programming activities.

STATE REQUIREMENTS

- **California Public Records Act**, adopted in 1968, requires disclosure of records to the public upon request unless otherwise exempt.
- **Ralph M. Brown Act**, passed in 1953, guarantees the public’s right to attend and participate in meetings of local legislative bodies.
- **Senate Bill 375**, enacted in 2008, requires SCAG to develop a Sustainable Communities Strategy as part of the Regional Transportation Plan.
WHO PARTICIPATES IN SCAG’S PLANNING PROCESS?

SCAG represents the whole six-county region in all its geographic and demographic diversity. SCAG is committed to engaging and utilizing input from a range of constituents and stakeholders.

This commitment includes tailoring communications and information-sharing to a range of different levels of experience with, and understanding of, the principles of metropolitan planning.

PUBLIC

- **General Public** – SCAG plans for all residents of the region with particular consideration to the accessibility needs of underserved groups such as minority and low-income populations, elderly and retired persons, children, Limited English proficiency (LEP) populations, and people with disabilities.

STAKEHOLDERS

- **Community Organizations** – SCAG seeks to engage community groups such as environmental advocates, special interest nonprofit agencies, neighborhood groups, homeowner associations and charitable organizations.
- **Public Agencies** – SCAG solicits input from, and often collaborates closely with, public organizations like local transportation providers, air quality management districts, public health agencies, water districts, county transportation commissions, the region’s ports, educational institutions, and agencies at the state and federal level.
- **Business Community** – SCAG actively engages many private-sector entities whose work intersects with transportation and land use planning, including private transportation providers, freight shippers, consulting firms, technology developers and business associations.
- **Elected Officials** – SCAG seeks engagement with elected representatives at all levels, from neighborhood councils to mayoral offices and city councils, to county supervisor boards, to state and federal legislators.
- **Tribal Governments** – SCAG engages in consultation with the region’s tribal governments, sustaining effective government-to-government collaboration on transportation planning and ensuring that tribal sovereignty is observed and protected.

*(A full list of our stakeholders and interested parties is included in Appendix A)*
HOW DO WE ENGAGE THE PUBLIC?

SCAG is committed to providing equitable access to accurate, accessible, pertinent, and timely policy, program and technical information to facilitate effective public participation in the agency’s decision-making process.

There are numerous opportunities for continuing involvement in the work of SCAG through the following methods. SCAG aims to increase early, equitable and meaningful participation through targeted outreach strategies in order to meaningfully integrate feedback, aligning with the Racial Equity Early Action Plan.

GENERAL PUBLIC PARTICIPATION APPROACH

Programs that have a public outreach component will use these methods as a guide in developing individual, project-specific public participation plans tailored according to scope and audience. While SCAG has employed remote and virtual engagement tactics for several years, these approaches have been increasingly relied on during the COVID-19 pandemic. SCAG will continue to adjust public participation approaches as needed to accommodate public health or other safety concerns while still affording robust public outreach and accommodation consistent with legal requirements.

PUBLIC MEETINGS AND EVENTS

- Customized presentations offered to existing groups and organizations
- Workshops co-hosted with community groups, business associations and other partners
- Contracts with community-based organizations that serve historically disinvested, underserved, or excluded populations such as low-income, communities of color, people with disabilities, and/or Limited English Proficient populations
- Sponsorship of topical forums or summits with partner agencies or universities, with the media or other community organizations (e.g., Demographic Workshop, Economic Summit)
- Opportunities for public input directly to policy board members
- Outreach at locations, destinations or events where people are already congregating (e.g., transit hubs, farmers markets, community festivals, universities)

PUBLIC MEETINGS AND EVENTS - METHODS

- Open houses and/or Teletownhalls
- Public Hearings
- Listening Sessions
- Themed workshops (to help avoid information overload)
- Question-and-answer sessions with planners and/or policy committee members
- Break-out sessions for smaller group discussions on multiple topics
- Interactive exercises, including digital collaboration and whiteboarding tools
- Customized presentations with designated opportunities for feedback
- Varying time of day (day/evening) and days of week (weekday, weekend) for workshops
- Conduct meetings entirely in community’s primary language
- Provide videoconferencing or virtual meeting options
- Demonstration events to showcase project components
VISUALIZATION METHODS
- Maps
- Charts, illustrations, infographics, photographs, photograph simulations
- Artist renderings and drawings
- Table-top interactive displays and models
- Website content and interactive tools and/or games or opportunities for gamification
- PowerPoint slide shows
- Scientific and data-driven visualizations

PUBLIC SURVEY METHODS
- Electronic surveys via web (accessed remotely or at public workshops via tablets or laptops)
- Intercept interviews where people congregate, such as at transit hubs (e.g., Orange County’s ARTIC, Los Angeles Union Station, etc.) or farmers markets
- Printed surveys distributed at meetings, transit hubs, on-board transit vehicles, etc.

COMMENTING METHODS
- Polls/surveys (electronic or paper)
- Paper comment cards
- Online comment cards
- Post-it notes or stickers
- Marking up maps or language
- Phone calls or voicemails directly to staff or to a dedicated hotline
- Email sent to SCAG staff or via our online contact form
- Physically mailed letters

METHODS FOR COMMUNICATING AND MEANINGFULLY INTEGRATING PUBLIC AND STAKEHOLDER INPUT
- Summarize key themes of public comments in staff reports to SCAG’s standing policy committees, working groups and SCAG’s main governing board, the Regional Council
- Newsletters and other emails to participants to report final outcomes
- Updated and interactive web and accessible social content
- Report back publicly and in an accessible manner on actions and steps based on public feedback that SCAG agrees to perform moving forward.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION OPPORTUNITIES
SCAG regularly holds meetings and events, open to the public, where people are welcome to make comments. A comprehensive calendar of upcoming opportunities for public involvement is available on SCAG’s website at scag.ca.gov.

To provide opportunities for people to participate or comment from locations throughout the region, SCAG’s main office in Los Angeles and each regional office are equipped with state-of-the-art videoconferencing systems. SCAG provides additional videoconferencing sites in Coachella Valley, Palmdale and South Bay to provide additional opportunities for participation in SCAG meetings and workshops. SCAG also utilizes web and audio conferencing and often connects to videoconferencing locations throughout the state.

During the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, opportunities to participate in in-person meetings either at SCAG’s main office or regional offices have been temporarily suspended. Instead, participants are encouraged to join remotely. Information on how to do so is available on SCAG’s website, as part of each meeting agenda.
REGULAR MEETINGS

Most of SCAG’s regular meetings are held during weekday working hours, Monday – Friday between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. For those unable to participate during those times, opportunities to provide advance public comments to SCAG’s Regional Council or Policy Committee Meetings are outlined in those agendas. There is also opportunity to provide real-time remote comment using the web or telephonic comment platform. These meetings are also recorded and available for viewing following the meeting.

SCAG’s Regional Council

All of SCAG’s plans and programs are led by decision making by its Regional Council, an 86-member governing board of elected officials, including city representatives from throughout the region, at least one representative from each county Board of Supervisors, a Transportation Commission member and a representative of the Southern California Native American Tribal Governments. The region is divided into districts of roughly equal population in order to provide diverse, broad-based representation. The Regional Council meets once a month and meetings are open to the public. Regional Council meetings are typically held on the first Thursday of the month at or around 12:30 p.m. Specific meeting dates and times can be found on SCAG’s website, as well as agenda materials which are posted 72 hours in advance for regularly scheduled meetings. Members of the public are welcome to attend and provide input, either by submitting a comment on an individual agenda item or making general comments by submitting a comment card at the start of the meeting.

SCAG’s Policy Committees

SCAG’s policy-making process is guided by the work of three Policy Committees: Transportation Committee (TC); Community, Economic and Human Development (CEHD) Committee; and Energy and Environment Committee (EEC). Members of the Regional Council are appointed to one of the policy committees for two-year terms. Most of the discussion and debate on the “nuts and bolts” of a policy issue occurs in the committees. Issues to be considered by the Regional Council must come through one or more of the committees. As opposed to Regional Council members, members of policy committees do not have to be elected officials. The policy committee meetings typically occur in the morning on the same day as the Regional Council meetings. Members of the public are welcome to attend and provide input, either by submitting a comment on an individual agenda item or making general comments by submitting a comment card at the start of the meeting. The posted agenda for regular meetings is available at least 72 hours before the meeting.

Technical Advisory Committees and Working Groups

These are focused groups convened to work on specific topic areas and provide input to SCAG staff before items are brought to SCAG’s Policy Committees or Regional Council. These meetings fall along a spectrum of more technical to more policy-focused agenda items with some groups covering items from both categories. A few examples of the technical groups include the Modeling Task Force, Transportation Conformity Working Group and the Technical Working Group. SCAG also hosts a series of Regional Planning Working Groups which function as a forum for SCAG staff to engage stakeholders in the development and implementation of the agency’s plans and policies. These policy groups focus on areas such as Safe and Active Streets; Equity; Natural and Farm lands Conservation; Housing; and Sustainable and Resilient Communities. The frequency of meetings varies per group, but most meet on at least a quarterly basis.
Special Public Meetings, Conferences and Forums
Public meetings on specific issues are held as needed. If statutorily required, formal public hearings are conducted and publicly noticed. SCAG typically provides notice through posting information on SCAG’s website and, if appropriate, through email notices and news releases to local media outlets. Materials to be considered at SCAG public hearings are posted on SCAG’s website and are made available to interested persons upon request.

Workshops, Community Forums and Other Events
SCAG conducts workshops, community forums and other events to keep the public informed and involved in various high-profile transportation projects, and plans and to elicit feedback from the public, interested parties and stakeholders. SCAG holds meetings throughout the six-county region to solicit comments on major plans and programs, such as the RTP/SCS. Meetings are located and scheduled to maximize public participation (including evening meetings). For major initiatives and events, SCAG typically provides notice through the public outreach channels noted in the next section. At least once every year, SCAG convenes its General Assembly to bring together the official representatives of SCAG’s membership and help set the agency’s course for the coming year.

Targeted Communications
SCAG maintains a database of local government officials and staff, other public agency staff, and interested persons. The database allows SCAG to send targeted mailings (largely via email) to ensure the public, partners and stakeholders are kept up to date on specific issues of interest. The public can join SCAG’s database by subscribing at scag.ca.gov/subscribe-updates.

Local Jurisdiction Public Comments
While SCAG is committed to conducting robust public outreach and engagement and has outlined that commitment in this Public Participation Plan, many of the land use plans and transportation projects that are incorporated in SCAG’s bottom-up approach have gone through their own vigorous public participation process. SCAG’s Public Participation Plan is important to allow the public to participate at the regional level.
HOW DO WE REACH OUT TO THE PUBLIC?

PUBLIC OUTREACH CHANNELS

DIGITAL CHANNELS

Website
SCAG maintains its website, scag.ca.gov, to ensure that the public, partners and stakeholders are kept informed about SCAG’s plans and programs and upcoming meetings. SCAG aims to ensure that its website is user-friendly and provides clear information. The website offers the public the opportunity to sign up for further information and updates via email. It also provides SCAG staff contact information.

Email
SCAG Spotlight, the official newsletter of the Regional Council, and SCAG Update, the agency’s regular newsletter offering details on current agency programs and events. (Newsletters are archived online at scag.ca.gov/newsletters.) SCAG also sends target communications about projects and programs on an as-needed basis.

Social media
SCAG maintains an active social media presence on Twitter (@SCAGnews), Facebook (@scagmpo) and LinkedIn (Southern California Association of Governments). These accounts are regularly updated to share agency announcements, upcoming event details and new developments in SCAG’s plans and programs.

TARGETED MAILINGS/FLYERS
- Work with community-based organizations to distribute flyers
- Email to targeted database lists
- Place notices on-board transit vehicles and at transit hubs

LOCAL MEDIA
- Press releases
- Invite reporters to news briefings
- Meet with editorial staff
- Opinion pieces/commentaries
- Explore advertising opportunities in local newspapers
- Localized advertising messaging and strategy
- Visit minority media outlets to encourage use of SCAG press releases
- Place speakers on radio/TV talk shows
- Public Service Announcements on radio and TV
- Written notices published in local newspapers

INTERNET/ELECTRONIC ACCESS TO INFORMATION
- Dynamic websites with updated content
- Videos explaining plans, programs or concepts
- Maintain regular presence on social media outlets
- Podcast interviews
- Live broadcasts and archived recordings of public events
- Electronic duplication of open house/workshop materials
- Interactive website with surveys, commenting areas
- Access to planning data (such as maps, charts, background on travel models, forecasts, census data, research reports)
- Provide information in advance of public meetings
TARGETED NOTIFICATIONS

- Blast emails
- SMS / text messages
- Notices widely disseminated through partnerships with local government and community-based organizations
- Electronic newsletters
- Social media such as Twitter, Facebook and LinkedIn
- Local media
- Notices placed on-board transit vehicles and at transit hubs
- Submit articles for publication in community/professional/corporate newsletters

METHODS FOR INVOLVING TRADITIONALLY UNDERSERVED/UNDERREPRESENTED COMMUNITIES

- Grants to community-based organizations to co-host meetings and remove barriers to participation by offering such assistance as childcare or translation services
- Flyers on transit vehicles and at transit hubs
- Outreach in the community (e.g., at churches, health centers, schools etc.)
- Use of community and minority media outlets to announce participation opportunities

METHODS FOR INVOLVING LIMITED-ENGLISH PROFICIENT POPULATIONS

- Translate select documents into the four largest Limited English Proficiency (LEP) languages – Spanish, Chinese, Korean and Vietnamese, making these documents available for download on the agency’s website.
- Provide interpreters-to be available for public meetings and workshops as needed, with 72-hour advance notice
- Partner with community-based organizations who serve these populations
- Survey LEP participants at public hearings to assess the effectiveness of the agency’s language services and whether alternate services may need to be employed
- Disseminating notices of availability and press releases to print, radio and broadcast media serving minority communities

WHICH PROGRAMS HAVE ESTABLISHED PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCEDURES?

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN/SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES STRATEGY

The Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) represents the vision for Southern California’s future, including policies, strategies, and projects for advancing the region’s mobility, economy and sustainability. The RTP/SCS details how the region will address its transportation and land use challenges and opportunities in order to meet its air quality emissions caps and greenhouse gas reduction targets. An update of an existing RTP/SCS is required every four years, and SCAG is currently undertaking the development of the 2024 RTP/SCS to provide Southern California with a comprehensive vision for its transportation future to the year 2050.

Developing the long-range plan for the SCAG region takes between two and three years to complete and involves working with six county transportation commissions, 191 cities, and numerous other stakeholder organizations and the public. The 2024 RTP/SCS involves goal setting, target setting, growth forecasting, financial projections and a significant data-driven approach to exploring the issues and potential solutions in the region.
Throughout the 2024 RTP/SCS development, SCAG’s Regional Council; Community, Economic and Human Development Committee; Energy and Environment Committee; and Transportation Committee will consider the challenges and opportunities facing our region and how to best address them, while considering public input.

The process will need to be flexible and subject to change, as needed, to reflect and respond to the input received as SCAG moves through the steps of updating the plan. SCAG will update its details regularly to help direct interested SCAG residents and organizations to participate in key actions or decisions being taken. Details will be on the plan website at scag.ca.gov/connect-socal.

(For additional information on the RTP/SCS public participation procedures, see Appendix B.)

PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE RTP/SCS

Pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), SCAG is obligated to prepare an environmental document that consists of a Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) to evaluate the potential environmental impacts associated with the implementation of the 2024 RTP/SCS. The PEIR will focus on a region-wide assessment of existing conditions and potential impacts as a result of the 2024 RTP/SCS, as well as broad policy alternatives and program-wide mitigation measures.

The PEIR will serve as an informational document to inform decision-makers and the public of the potential environmental consequences of approving the proposed plan by analyzing the projects and programs on a broad regional scale, not at a site-specific level of analysis. Site-specific analysis will occur as each project is defined and goes through individual project-level environmental review.

SCAG will hold various scoping meetings, workshops and public hearings throughout the PEIR development process to solicit input from SCAG stakeholders and the public. SCAG will ensure the PEIR is accessible to the public for review and comment following CEQA Guideline requirements for noticing and document availability.

(For additional information on the PEIR public participation procedures, see Appendix B.)

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE PROGRAM

Pursuant to regulatory compliance, SCAG is required to ensure environmental justice principles are an integral part of the transportation and land use planning process, including the RTP/SCS. SCAG’s environmental justice program has two main elements: technical analysis and public outreach and policy coordination. The two main elements of the program contribute to the development of the Connect SoCal Environmental Justice (EJ) Technical Report, which conducts technical analysis of EJ issue areas in the region and discusses outreach strategies, and SCAG’s role as a resource for local jurisdictions that are required to develop an EJ Element or incorporate EJ policies, goals, and objectives into their General Plans per Senate Bill 1000 requirements.

The overall environmental justice outreach process encourages SCAG stakeholders and the public, with many opportunities to be involved, to discuss and address environmental justice issues and shape SCAG’s environmental justice program.

(For additional information on the Environmental Justice public participation procedures, see Appendix B.)

REGIONAL HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT

SCAG is required to make updates to the eight-year Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA). The RHNA quantifies the need for housing within each jurisdiction. Communities use the RHNA in land use planning, prioritizing local resource allocation, and in deciding how to address identified existing and future housing needs resulting from population, employment and household growth.
Both the RTP/SCS and RHNA use the local input process as the basis for future demographic projections, including household growth. The 6th cycle RHNA allocation, which covers the planning period October 2021 through October 2029, was adopted in March 2021. The department of Housing and Community Development approves SCAG’s RHNA allocations to the cities. Cities and counties are required to update their Housing Elements to demonstrate how they will meet their RHNA allocation through development and/or rezoning strategies.

As part of its public outreach for the RHNA process, SCAG held public meetings, workshops and public hearings at different points in the RHNA process to receive verbal and written input. More than 500 verbal and written comments were submitted during the 6th RHNA cycle, which were all reviewed by SCAG staff and shared with the decision-making bodies, as appropriate. All submitted written comments were posted on the RHNA webpage and recordings of all RHNA Subcommittee meetings and RHNA public hearings were accessible through SCAG’s website. SCAG staff also coordinated with subregional councils of governments (COGs) and other groups to update local jurisdictions and other stakeholders on the RHNA process and allocation. While the 6th RHNA cycle process has concluded, SCAG will continue to engage stakeholders in housing element assistance and accelerating housing production in the region. Announcements of public workshops, meetings and milestones are announced through the housing email list. To submit a written comment or question, or to be added to the housing mailing list, send an email to housing@scag.ca.gov. Additional resources on RHNA and housing can be found at scag.ca.gov/housing.

**FEDERAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**

SCAG’s Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) is the short-term, capital listing of all transportation projects proposed over a six-year period. The listing identifies specific funding sources and funding amounts for each project. The proposed transportation projects are funded through a variety of federal, state and local sources. Projects consist of improvements such as highway improvements, transit, high occupancy vehicle lanes, signal synchronization, intersection improvements, bikeways, and freeway ramps to name a few. The FTIP must include all transportation projects that are federally funded and/or regionally significant regardless of funding source or whether subject to any federal action.

Projects in the FTIP are submitted to SCAG by the six county transportation commissions. SCAG analyzes the projects to ensure that they are consistent with state and federal requirements. Federal law requires the FTIP to be consistent with the RTP.

SCAG works with transit operators and county transportation commissions on developing the FTIP. The public participation process and coordination is a tiered process within the SCAG region, beginning at the county level with each transportation commission developing their own transportation improvement program (TIP). There are several opportunities for the public to review and comment on projects and programs during the development of each county TIP and approval of the SCAG FTIP. Additional information about the FTIP can be found at scag.ca.gov/ftip.

*(For additional information on the FTIP public participation procedures, see Appendix B.)*

**OVERALL WORK PROGRAM**

Funding for SCAG’s metropolitan planning activities is documented in an annual Overall Work Program, or OWP, pursuant to federal requirements. The OWP is developed each fiscal year and details the agency’s planning and budgetary priorities for the following fiscal year. SCAG’s federal and state funding partners (Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Authority and Caltrans) must approve SCAG’s OWP each year before it takes effect.

*(For additional information on the OWP public participation procedures, see Appendix B.)*
WHY DOES SCAG EVALUATE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ACTIVITIES?

SCAG regularly monitors its communication and outreach activities to ensure that public and stakeholder concerns and input are directly addressed in its policies and programs, as well as to find areas for improvement. Additionally, these measurements are used to ensure public outreach outcomes are in compliance with state and federal requirements.

In developing this updated Public Participation Plan, SCAG staff sought feedback from stakeholders, interested parties and the public on our current outreach and engagement practices. In a survey distributed digitally in August and September 2021, we asked respondents to let us know which of our public participation activities are most effective and how we can improve our efforts.

Major survey results include:

- The overall top three resources used according to the survey were:
  - Factsheets
  - Meetings or In-person Presentations
  - Reports/White Papers
- Business Entities reported the least satisfaction with SCAG’s responsiveness (22% somewhat/very unsatisfied) and SCAG’s overall outreach (32% somewhat/very unsatisfied)
- Local Jurisdictions, Non-Profits and Businesses Entities stated that they encountered the most barriers to participating in SCAG’s programs or meetings
  - Including common responses such as:
    - Time/Day, difficult to schedule around workdays
    - Not always virtual, difficult to access
    - Too short notice
- Local Jurisdictions represented 33.7% of responses
- Local Jurisdictions ranked Frequently Asked Questions in their top three resources
- The general public responded that GIS Maps/StoryMaps and Reports/White Papers tied in their top three resources
- The general public also uses social media and email communication channels the most
- Overall, the general public’s responses in the survey:
  - 64% prefer daytime meetings
  - 48.5% feel they don’t have adequate access to comment on SCAG’s plans
  - 67% are very/somewhat satisfied with SCAG’s responsiveness
  - 58% are very/somewhat satisfied with SCAG’s overall outreach

SCAG will continue to periodically survey the public and stakeholders to assess the effectiveness of the procedures and strategies contained in the Public Participation Plan to ensure a full and open participation process.
WHAT DOES SCAG MEASURE?

SCAG measures the outcomes of a diverse array of public participation activities with context-sensitive evaluation methods that tailor goals to each project.

Benchmarks used to gauge success in public outreach include but are not limited to:

- Number of meetings or events held
- Number of meeting/event attendees
- Amount spent on outreach elements
- Media coverage
- Type and quantity of materials presented
- Email distribution numbers, including open and click-through rates
- Digital metrics including web traffic and social media engagement
- Impressions (estimates of digital and print exposure)
- Geographic diversity of outreach and input/feedback

The following chart shows the measurements activities that correspond with previously stated public participation goals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOAL</th>
<th>EXAMPLE METRICS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that a wide range of perspectives are heard so that planning</td>
<td>Number of meetings held in traditionally underrepresented communities; availability of translation services and materials; availability of accommodations for seeing and hearing impaired; location accessibility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outcomes reflect the interests and values of the region’s diverse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>communities. To that end, SCAG will engage and consider the needs of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>traditionally underrepresented and/or underserved populations, such</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as low-income, communities of color, people with disabilities and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited English Proficiency populations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide opportunities for the public and stakeholders across the</td>
<td>Number of meetings held in each county; how outreach methods are tailored to meet the needs of specific projects and/or communities; type and quality of materials presented; recurring surveys and opportunities for public evaluation of SCAG’s outreach efforts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>region to engage in meaningful dialogue during the decision-making</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>process and clearly define the purpose of each type of outreach at</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>each stage and how feedback will be used to shape the plan and/or</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>program.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivate more feedback from stakeholders, partners and the public</td>
<td>Number and scope of media advertisements for public comment opportunities; response rate to email blasts and other digital communication methods; SCAG website hits; and number of comments collected at meetings, online and through the mail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by making commenting on plans and programs convenient and accessible.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluate and incorporate public and stakeholder viewpoints and</td>
<td>Documentation of how public and stakeholder comments were addressed in final decisions, policies and plans; communication with commenting stakeholders informing them of how their input was addressed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>preferences into final decisions where appropriate and possible,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>communicate the decisions made and how the received input affected</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>those decisions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage stakeholders and members of the public to remain engaged</td>
<td>Recurring surveys and opportunities for public comment on public participation plans and publish yearly public outreach report measuring success and ways of improvement for the next year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>through the decision-making process, the implementation phase and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beyond.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HOW DOES SCAG DEFINE SUCCESS?

SCAG uses qualitative and quantitative methods to evaluate the success of its public participation strategies. Depending on the scope and location of the project or activity, SCAG measures feedback through:

- Setting clear, measurable outcomes
- Establishing benchmarks to gauge success (i.e., 75% of respondents rated a workshop at four or higher on a six-point scale)
- Reviewing past processes, activities and evaluations to see what actions the agency took as a result, noting lessons learned
- Identifying and simplifying public involvement techniques that produce more cost-effective decisions
- Evaluating public participation plans with surveys and opportunity for public comment
- Highlight yearly public outreach successes and areas for improvement in annual accomplishments report
- Measuring impact of digital outreach strategies by documenting number of hits on website, social media (Twitter, Facebook and LinkedIn), and number of followers, shares retweets, tweets, direct tweets, mentions etc.
- Measuring requests to join and overall growth of distribution lists
- Counting number of attendees, comments received and press mentions for project specific open houses, meetings, and workshops
- Counting number of registrations compared to actual attendance at events
- Counting registrations and logins for webinars
- Documenting the distribution, press mentions, number of calls and comments for physical outreach materials such as direct mailings and flyers
- Documenting press mentions, number of calls and comments related to press releases
- Tracking how often SCAG is mentioned in media such as news articles, blog posts, TV news etc. Other aspects to document are circulation/popularity of news outlet; whether reference is positive or negative; content and number of comments on article/blogpost; number of times article/blog post has been shared; and what projects/programs are being mentioned
- Scientific polling to obtain metrics regarding the effectiveness of its outreach
- Reporting to agency leadership on level of success with respect to public participation using these methods

WHAT SHOULD YOU DO NOW?

FIND INFORMATION

WEB AND VIDEO – SCAG’s website, scag.ca.gov, serves as the comprehensive resource for SCAG’s programs and policy initiatives, agendas for Regional Council and Policy Committee meetings, fact sheets and calendar of SCAG events. Live and archived video of Regional Council meetings and other SCAG-related video productions are available in the SCAG-TV section.

DATA LIBRARY – SCAG has a wide range of data and web tools to help access regional planning data, statistics and research information. We also have an extensive GIS library, which provides free access to a diverse collection of geographic and spatial data. SCAG’s data have been used by interested parties for a variety of purposes including data and communication resources for elected officials; businesses and residents; community planning and outreach; economic development; visioning initiatives; and grant application support.
GROUP PRESENTATIONS – SCAG’s planning staff are available to conduct presentations to community and stakeholder groups. Presentations can be tailored to address a specific topic, area of concern, or provide a general overview of how SCAG works on many different issues. Request a SCAG presentation to your organization or community through our online contact form at scag.ca.gov/contact-us.

STAY CONNECTED

NEWSLETTERS – SCAG Spotlight is the official newsletter of the Regional Council. It includes information on recent Regional Council actions, an update from SCAG’s Executive Director and news on upcoming events. SCAG Update is the agency’s regular newsletter, which offers updates on agency programs and events. To view or subscribe to SCAG’s newsletters, visit scag.ca.gov.

SOCIAL MEDIA – SCAG is active on several social networking sites to help expand awareness of SCAG and broaden interest in its regional planning work. Engage with SCAG and stay current with news and events by following the agency on Twitter (@SCAGnews), Facebook (@scagmpo) and LinkedIn (Southern California Association of Governments).

MULTILINGUAL ACCESS – SCAG seeks to ensure that diverse populations are involved in the regional planning process. With a minimum advance notice of 72 hours, SCAG makes available translation assistance at its workshop and public meetings. SCAG translates key outreach materials into several languages and makes them available on the SCAG website, scag.ca.gov.

SHARE INPUT

SCAG welcomes the public to address the Regional Council and Policy Committees at every monthly meeting. Meetings for special subcommittees also include time for public comments. Visit the Public Participation Form on the SCAG website at scag.ca.gov/contact-us to weigh in on important issues in Southern California. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, at the time of the release of the draft Public Participation Plan these locations for SCAG’s offices had emergency closures in place following local health regulations, as documented here, opportunities to connect with staff were made available in response to the pandemic. Locations for SCAG’s main office and regional offices are listed below:

- **Main Office:** 900 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90017  
- **Imperial County Office:** 1503 N. Imperial Avenue, Suite 104, El Centro, CA 92243  
- **Orange County Office:** 600 South Main Street, Suite 741, Orange, CA 92868  
- **Riverside County Office:** 3405 10th Street, Suite 805, Riverside, CA 92501  
- **San Bernardino County Office:** 1170 West 3rd Street, Suite 140, San Bernardino, CA 92410  
- **Ventura County Office:** 4001 Mission Oaks Blvd., Suite L, Camarillo, CA 93012

If you have general comments or questions, please feel free to email us at contactus@scag.ca.gov.

Regional Affairs Staff and Offices

To address the challenges of coordinating participation activities and events across 38,000 square miles of the region, SCAG established regional offices in the counties of Imperial, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino and Ventura. Each office is staffed by a Regional Affairs Officer who coordinates SCAG activities for each county.
LEGAL PUBLIC PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS

FEDERAL PLANNING REQUIREMENTS

As the MPO designated for the six-county metropolitan planning area (MPA), SCAG is responsible under federal and state transportation planning law, to develop a metropolitan transportation plan, referred to by SCAG as the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and a transportation improvement program (TIP), referred to as the Federal Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).

The 2005 “Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users” (SAFETEA-LU) set forth public participation requirements for MPOs in developing these transportation plans. Specifically, SAFETEA-LU required MPOs to develop, in collaboration with interested parties, a Public Participation Plan that would provide reasonable opportunities for all parties to participate and comment on regional transportation plans. The transportation reauthorization bill “Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century” (MAP-21) continues an emphasis on providing early and continuous opportunities for public involvement.

In carrying out its planning work, SCAG must comply with federal metropolitan planning law and regulations (23 U.S.C. Section 134 et seq. and 23 CFR Part 450 et seq.) and state transportation planning law (Cal Gov. Code Section 65080 et seq.) which incorporates the requirements of California Senate Bill 375 (Steinberg 2008). SCAG is further committed to developing and updating its regional transportation plans in accordance with the following requirements, including but not limited to: CEQA and Guidelines; Federal Clean Air; American with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA); Title VI of the Civil Rights Act; Executive Order 12898 regarding Environmental Justice; Executive Order 13166 regarding Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency; Executive Order 13175 regarding Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribes.

SCAG’s Public Participation Plan procedures will follow and must comply with the following federal planning regulations set forth under 23 C.F.R. Section 450.316:

1. The MPO shall develop and use a documented participation plan that defines a process for providing individuals, affected public agencies, representatives of public transportation employees, public ports, freight shippers, providers of freight transportation services, private providers of transportation (including intercity bus operators, employer-based commuting programs, such as carpool program, vanpool program, transit benefit program, parking cash-out program, shuttle program, or telework program), representatives of users of public transportation, representatives of users of pedestrian walkways and bicycle transportation facilities, representatives of the disabled, and other interested parties with reasonable opportunities to be involved in the metropolitan transportation planning process. The participation plan shall be developed by the MPO in consultation with all interested parties and shall, at a minimum, describe explicit procedures, strategies, and desired outcomes for:

a. (1) The MPO shall develop the participation plan in consultation with all interested parties and shall, at a minimum, describe explicit procedures, strategies, and desired outcomes for:
i. Providing adequate public notice of public participation activities and time for public review and comment at key decision points, including a reasonable opportunity to comment on the proposed metropolitan transportation plan and the TIP;

ii. Providing timely notice and reasonable access to information about transportation issues and processes;

iii. Employing visualization techniques to describe metropolitan transportation plans and TIPs;

iv. Making public information (technical information and meeting notices) available in electronically accessible formats and means, such as the World Wide Web;

v. Holding any public meetings at convenient and accessible locations and times;

vi. Demonstrating explicit consideration and response to public input received during the development of the metropolitan transportation plan and the TIP;

vii. Seeking out and considering the needs of those traditionally underserved by existing transportation systems, such as low-income and minority households, who may face challenges accessing employment and other services;

viii. Providing an additional opportunity for public comment, if the final metropolitan transportation plan or TIP differs significantly from the version that was made available for public comment by the MPO and raises new material issues that interested parties could not reasonably have foreseen from the public involvement efforts;

ix. Coordinating with the statewide transportation planning public involvement and consultation processes under subpart B of this part; and

x. Periodically reviewing the effectiveness of the procedures and strategies contained in the participation plan to ensure a full and open participation process.

b. When significant written and oral comments are received on the draft metropolitan transportation plan and TIP (including the financial plans) as a result of the participation process in this section or the interagency consultation process required under the EPA transportation conformity regulations (40 CFR part 93, subpart A), a summary, analysis, and report on the disposition of comments shall be made as part of the final metropolitan transportation plan and TIP.

c. A minimum public comment period of 45 calendar days shall be provided before the initial or revised participation plan is adopted by the MPO. Copies of the approved participation plan shall be provided to the FHWA and the FTA for informational purposes and shall be posted on the World Wide Web, to the maximum extent practicable.

CONSULTATION REQUIREMENTS & ACTIVITIES

SCAG must consult, as appropriate, with State and local agencies responsible for land use management, natural resources, environmental protection, conservation, and historic preservation concerning the development of the RTP. The consultation shall involve, as appropriate:

1. Comparison of transportation plans with State conservation plans or maps, if available; or

2. Comparison of transportation plans to inventories of natural or historic resources, if available.

SCAG’s consultation requirements under federal planning regulations are set forth under 23 C.F.R. Section 450.316(b)-(e) as follows:

(b) In developing metropolitan transportation plans and TIPs, the MPO should consult with agencies and officials responsible for other planning activities within the MPA that are affected by transportation (including State and local planned growth, economic development, tourism, natural disaster risk reduction, environmental protection, airport operations, or freight movements) or coordinate its planning process
Consultation activities are accomplished primarily through our policy committees, other committees, subcommittees, task forces, and working groups. Policy committees are primarily made up of local elected officials. There are several issue-specific as well as mode-specific committees, subcommittees, task forces and working groups that are on-going as well as some that are created for a specific purpose and specific timeframe. All of these groups provide input to SCAG who thereafter forwards their recommendations to the policy committees. Examples include the Aviation Technical Advisory Committee, Transit Technical Advisory Committee, Modeling Task Force, and Transportation Conformity Working Group (TCWG).

SCAG conducts meetings with all 191-member city managers and provides individual city council briefings when requested. Also, SCAG conducts several workshops prior to releasing the Draft RTP/SCS involving stakeholders to ensure that their input on major issues is addressed in the plan. In addition, SCAG meets with State and local agencies responsible for land use management, natural resources, environmental protection, and others.

SCAG also utilizes the subregional council of governments (COG) structure to distribute information and solicit input on the content as well as the planning and programming process from local stakeholders.

SCAG mails out a notice of the Draft RTP and FTIP availability to the stakeholders at the local, state and federal level to solicit their comment and input to the final RTP and FTIP. Comments as well as responses are fully documented and reflected in the final RTP.

SCAG engages Tribal Governments in the RTP and FTIP processes through Tribal Government representation on SCAG’s governing board and policy committees. SCAG also conducts a separate Tribal Government Consultation process. Please see the Tribal Government and Federal Land Management Agency Consultation Process for more information.

**TITLE VI AND ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE**

Consideration of Environmental Justice in the transportation planning process stems from Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VI). Title VI establishes the need for transportation agencies to disclose to the public the benefits and burdens of proposed projects on minority populations. Title VI states that “No person in the
United States shall, on the ground of race, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.” Additionally, Title VI not only bars intentional discrimination, but also unjustified disparate impact discrimination. Disparate impacts result from policies and practices that are neutral on their face (i.e., there is no evidence of intentional discrimination), but have the effect of discrimination on protected groups. The understanding of civil rights has expanded to include low-income communities, as further described below.

In the 1990s, the federal executive branch issued orders on Environmental Justice that amplified Title VI, in part by providing protections on the basis of income as well as race. These directives, which included President Clinton’s Executive Order 12898 (1994) and subsequent U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) orders (1997 and 1998, respectively), along with a 1999 DOT guidance memorandum, ordered every federal agency to make Environmental Justice part of its mission by identifying and addressing the effects of all programs, policies and activities on underrepresented groups and low-income populations. Reinforcing Title VI, these measures ensure that every federally funded project nationwide considers the human environment when undertaking the planning and decision-making process.

On August 4, 2011, seventeen federal agencies signed the “Memorandum of Understanding on Environmental Justice and Executive Order 12898.” The signatories, including the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), agreed to develop Environmental Justice strategies to protect the health of people living in communities overburdened by pollution and to provide the public with annual progress reports on their efforts. The MOU advances agency responsibilities outlined in the 1994 Executive Order 12898 and directs each of the Federal agencies to make Environmental Justice part of its mission and to work with other agencies on Environmental Justice issues as members of the Interagency Working Group on Environmental Justice.

In response to this MOU, DOT revised its Environmental Justice Strategy. The revisions reinforce the DOT’s programs and policies related to Environmental Justice and strengthen its efforts to outreach to minority and low-income populations. In addition, in July 2012 the Federal Transit Authority (FTA) issued two Circulars on Title VI and Environmental Justice to clarify the requirements and offer guidance. FTA Circular 4702.1A, Title VI Requirements and Guidelines for Federal Transit Administration Recipients provides information required in the Title VI Program, changes the reporting requirement from every four years to every three years, and adds a requirement for mapping and charts to analyze the impacts of the distribution of State and Federal public transportation funds. The FTA Circular 4703.1, Environmental Justice Policy Guidance for Federal Transit Administration Recipients (Docket number FTA-2011-0055) provides recommendations to MPOs (and other recipients of FTA funds) on how to fully engage Environmental Justice populations in the public transportation decision-making process; how to determine whether Environmental Justice populations would be subjected to disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects as a result of a transportation plan, project, or activity; and how to avoid, minimize, or mitigate these effects. The Circular does not contain any new requirements, policies or directives. Nonetheless, SCAG complies with the framework provided to integrate the principles of Environmental Justice into its decision-making processes.

Under federal policy, all federally funded agencies must make Environmental Justice part of their mission and adhere to three fundamental Title VI/Environmental Justice principles:

1. To avoid, minimize, or mitigate disproportionately high and adverse human health and environmental effects, including social and economic effects, on minority populations and low-income populations.
2. To ensure the full and fair participation by all potentially affected communities in the transportation decision-making process.
3. To prevent the denial of, reduction in, or significant delay in the receipt of benefits by minority and low-income populations.
In addition to Federal requirements, SCAG must comply with California Government Code Section 11135, which states in relevant part that, “no person in the State of California shall, on the basis of race, national origin, ethnic group identification, religion, age, sex, sexual orientation, color, or disability, be unlawfully denied full and equal access to the benefits of, or be unlawfully subjected to discrimination under, any program or activity that is conducted, operated, or administered by the state or by any state agency that is funded directly by the state, or receives any financial assistance from the state.”

The State of California also provides guidance for those involved in transportation decision-making to address Environmental Justice. In 2003, the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) published the Desk Guide on Environmental Justice in Transportation Planning and Investments to provide information and examples of ways to promote Environmental Justice. The Desk Guide identified requirements for public agencies, guidance on impact analyses, recommendations for public involvement, and mitigation.

Finally, SCAG has in place a Title VI Program which was approved by FTA on February 22, 2012. The Title VI Program includes a process for investigating Title VI complaints as well as a copy of the agency’s Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Outreach Plan. The key elements of the LEP Plan include: (1) Spanish speaking translators available upon request for meeting and workshops; (2) selected RTP materials available in English, Spanish, Chinese and Korean languages; and (3) utilization of a specialty outreach consultant to engage with the LEP and minority communities. SCAG will continue these efforts for future RTP/SCS cycles. SCAG also updated the Title VI Program and LEP Plan in October 2018 as requested by the State Department of Transportation. More information about the agency’s Title VI Program and LEP Plan is available on the SCAG website at scag.ca.gov/title-vi-civil-rights-act-1964.

STATE PLANNING REQUIREMENTS

California requires that each metropolitan planning organization adopt a public participation plan, for development of the sustainable communities strategy (SCS) and an alternative planning strategy (APS) (if one is developed), that includes all of the following:

1. Outreach efforts to encourage the active participation of a broad range of stakeholder groups in the planning process, consistent with SCAG’s adopted Public Participation Plan.
2. Consultation with congestion management agencies, transportation agencies, and transportation commissions.
3. Workshops throughout the region (a minimum of three public workshops in each county with a population of 500,000 or more) to provide the public with the information and tools necessary to provide a clear understanding of the issues and policy choices.
4. Preparation and circulation of a draft SCS and APS, if one is prepared, not less than 55 days before adoption of a final RTP.
5. At least three public hearings on the draft SCS in the RTP and APS, if one is prepared, held in different parts of the region, if feasible.
6. A process for enabling members of the public to provide a single request to receive notices, information and updates.

Further, Senate Bill 375 requires that SCAG conduct at least two informational meetings in each county within the region for members of the board of supervisors and city councils on the SCS and APS, if any. The purpose of the meeting shall be to present a draft of the SCS to the members of the board of supervisors and city council members in that county and to solicit and consider their input and recommendations.
Interested Parties

SCAG intends to encourage involvement of a broad range of people and organizations in the RTP/SCS planning process by reaching out to a wide variety of potential participants.

Per state law, SCAG has included an expanded list of stakeholder groups, or “interested parties.”

The following list is an example of target audiences SCAG aims to reach in the region:

- affordable housing advocates
- broad-based business organizations
- city managers
- community development representatives
- commercial property interests
- community-based organizations
- educational community and institutions
- elderly and retired persons
- elected officials
- environmental advocates
- freight shippers
- general public
- governmental agencies and non-profit organizations that receive Federal assistance from a source other than the Department of Transportation (DOT) to provide non-emergency transportation services and recipients of assistance under section 204 of Title 23 U.S.C.
- health and wellness representatives
- home builder representatives
- homeowner associations
- landowners
- limited English proficiency populations
- minority and low-income populations
- neighborhood and community groups
- neighborhood councils
- organizations serving rural area residents
- planners
- private providers of transportation
- private sector
- providers of freight transportation services
- public agencies
- public health and wellness representatives
- public sector
- representatives of the disabled
- transportation agency employees
- representatives of users of pedestrian walkways and bicycle transportation facilities
- representatives of users of public transit
special interest non-profit agencies
subregional organizations
transit operators
transportation advocates
Tribal Governments
women’s organizations

REGIONAL COORDINATION AND INTERAGENCY CONSULTATION

SCAG’s three Policy Committees (Transportation Committee, Energy & Environment Committee and Community, Economic & Human Development Committee) include members appointed to represent the 15 subregional organizations in the SCAG region. The committees now also include the newly adopted Communities of Concern appointments made by the County Representatives and appointed by the Regional Council President. Further, the numerous subcommittees, technical advisory committees, working groups, and the AB 1246 process facilitate SCAG’s ability to provide a framework for bottom-up planning and more frequent and ongoing participation by interested parties at all stages of the process.

As set forth in the Public Utilities Code Section 130000 et-seq. (otherwise known as the “AB 1246 Process”), the multi-county designated transportation planning agency shall convene at least two meetings annually of representatives from each of the six transportation commissions, the agency, and the Department of Transportation for the purposes below. The region-wide Transportation Agencies CEOs Group is currently fulfilling the function of the AB 1246 process.

1. To review and discuss the near-term transportation improvement programs prior to adoption by the county transportation commissions.
2. To review and discuss the Regional Transportation Plan prior to adoption by SCAG pursuant to Chapter 2.5 (commencing with Section 65080) of Title 7 of the Government Code.
3. To consider progress in the development of a region-wide and unified public transit system.
4. To review and discuss any other matter of mutual concern.

SCAG has a memorandum of understanding (MOU) with the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) on transportation and air quality conformity consultation procedures for the South Coast Air Basin and for the Riverside County portions of the Salton Sea Air Basin and the Mojave Desert Air Basin. Parties to the MOU include SCAQMD, Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Orange County Transportation Authority, Riverside County Transportation Commission, San Bernardino County Transportation Authority, California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) Districts 7/8/11/12, California Air Resource Board (ARB), the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA).

Likewise, SCAG has an MOU for transportation and air quality conformity consultation procedures with the Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (VCAPCD) for the Ventura County portion of the South-Central Coast Air Basin (SCCAB). Parties to the MOU include VCAPCD, Ventura County Transportation Commission, Caltrans District 7, ARB, FHWA and FTA.
To support interagency coordination and fulfill the interagency consultation requirements of the Federal Transportation Conformity Rule, SCAG hosts and participates in the Transportation Conformity Working Group (TCWG). The group meets on a monthly basis to address and resolve regional issues pertaining to transportation conformity for the RTP and FTIP; RTP and FTIP amendments; and the region’s air quality management plans. TCWG also is the forum for interagency consultation on project-level PM hot-spot analysis. SCAG serves as the regional PM hot spot analysis clearinghouse and maintains records on all projects on the TCWG website.

The TCWG consists of staff representatives from federal, state, regional and sub-regional transportation and air agencies including the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, California Air Resources Board, California Department of Transportation, Air Quality Management Districts, County Transportation Commissions, Transit operators, and SCAG. Other public agencies (including sub-regional agencies), as well as environmental and business groups and the general public, may also attend, make comments at, and otherwise participate in TCWG meetings.
PROGRAMS WITH ESTABLISHED PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCEDURES

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN/SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES STRATEGY

The Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) represents the vision for Southern California’s future, including policies, strategies, and projects for advancing the region’s mobility, economy, and sustainability. The RTP/SCS details how the region will address its transportation and land use challenges and opportunities in order to meet its air quality emissions caps and greenhouse gas reduction targets. SCAG updates the RTP/SCS every four years, as required by law, and SCAG is currently undertaking the development of the 2024 RTP/SCS to provide Southern California with a comprehensive vision for its transportation future to the year 2050.

California Senate Bill 375 (Steinberg, Chapter 728, 2008 Statutes) requires SCAG and other MPOs to engage the region in the development process of the SCS or an Alternative Planning Strategy (APS) through outreach efforts and a series of workshops and public hearings. For the SCAG region, these workshops and public hearings include workshops for local elected officials and workshops in each county in the region (at least 16 public workshops.) SCAG will also conduct public hearings on the Draft RTP/SCS in distinct parts of the region.

SCAG prepares several technical companion documents for RTP/SCS updates. These include a Program Environmental Impact Report on the RTP/SCS per CEQA guidelines, and transportation air quality conformity analyses (to ensure clean air mandates are met) per federal Clean Air Act requirements. Certain revisions to the RTP/SCS may warrant a revision or update to these technical documents.

SCAG also prepares an equity analysis of RTP/SCS updates to determine whether minority and low-income communities in the region share equitably in the benefits of the regional transportation plan without bearing a disproportionate share of the burdens. As an assessment of the region’s long-range transportation investment strategy, this analysis is conducted at a regional, program-level scale. This assessment of the long-range plan is intended to satisfy federal requirements under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act and federal policies and guidance on environmental justice. For each update of the RTP/SCS, SCAG prepares a public participation plan that provides more information on how the equity analysis will be conducted throughout that update of the RTP/SCS. For additional information on the Environmental Justice public participation procedures, see Appendix B.

UPDATING AND REVISING THE RTP/SCS

A complete update of an existing RTP/SCS is required at least once every four years. The RTP/SCS also may be revised in between major updates under certain circumstances, as described below.

RTP/SCS Update

This is a complete update of the most current RTP/SCS, which is prepared pursuant
to state and federal requirements. RTP/SCS updates include extensive public consultation and participation involving hundreds of SCAG residents, public agency officials, and stakeholder groups over many months. SCAG’s Regional Council and policy committees and other members of the public play key roles in providing feedback on the policy and investment strategies identified in the plan. Local and Tribal governments, transit operators and other federal, state and regional agencies also actively participate in the development of an RTP/SCS update via existing working groups and ad hoc forums.

RTP/SCS Amendment
An amendment is a major revision to the RTP/SCS, including adding or deleting a project, major changes in project costs, completion year dates, and/or design concept and scope (e.g., changing project locations or the number of through traffic lanes). An amendment requires public review and comment and is ultimately presented to SCAG’s Regional Council for final approval. An amendment must demonstrate financial constraint and a finding that the change is consistent with federal transportation conformity mandates.

RTP/SCS Administrative Modification
This is a minor revision to the RTP/SCS for minor changes to project/project phase costs, funding sources, and/or initiation dates. An administrative modification does not require public review and comment, demonstration that the project can be completed based on expected funding, or a finding that the change is consistent with federal transportation conformity requirements. As with an RTP/SCS amendment, changes to projects that are included in the RTP/SCS’s financially unconstrained strategic plan may be changed without going through this process.

2024 RTP/SCS Update Process and Schedule
Developing the long-range plan for the SCAG region takes between two and three years to complete and involves working with six county transportation commissions, 191 cities, six counties, and countless other stakeholder organizations and the public. The 2024 RTP/SCS involves goal setting, target setting, growth forecasting, financial projections, and significant issues exploration. Considered at a high level, the 2024 RTP/SCS update will be completed in four phases: 1) Foundations and Frameworks; 2) Data Collection and Policy Development; 3) Outreach and Analysis; 4) Draft Plan and Adoption. Throughout the process, SCAG staff will engage the public and local, regional, and state partners to develop the 2024 RTP/SCS to meet current and future transportation needs over the next 25 years. Development of the 2024 RTP/SCS will be guided by an existing federal, state, and regional policy framework consisting of FAST Act/MAP-21, the California Transportation Plan and other relevant statewide plans, and the existing 2020 RTP/SCS.

Throughout the 2024 RTP/SCS development, SCAG’s Regional Council; Community, Economic, and Human Development Committee; Energy and Environment Committee; and Transportation Committee will consider the challenges and opportunities facing the region and how to best address them, while considering public input.

The process will need to be flexible and is subject to change, as needed, to reflect and respond to the input received as SCAG moves through the steps of updating the plan. To help direct interested SCAG residents and organizations to participate in key actions or decisions being taken, any changes, as well as additional detail, will be posted on the scag.ca.gov/connect-socal.

CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROCESS
SCAG is required by federal regulations to prepare a congestion management process (CMP) for the SCAG region that includes strategies for managing travel demand, traffic operational improvements, public transportation improvement and others. SCAG adopts a CMP approximately every two years, with the results
of this technical evaluation used to inform SCAG decisions on program and investment priorities, including the RTP/SCS.

PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

SCAG will prepare a Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) beginning in the fall of 2022 through April 2024. The PEIR will evaluate the potential environmental impacts associated with the implementation of the 2024 RTP/SCS.

The 2024 PEIR will focus on a region-wide assessment of existing conditions and potential impacts as well as broad policy alternatives and program-wide mitigation measures. Given its programmatic nature, potential or probable environmental effects of individual projects included in the 2024 RTP/SCS Project List will not be specifically analyzed in the PEIR. The PEIR will serve as a first-tier document for later CEQA review of individual projects included in the program. For large scale planning approvals (such as the RTP/SCS), where project-level environmental analyses will subsequently be prepared for specific projects broadly identified within a PEIR, the site-specific analysis can be deferred until the project-level environmental document is prepared, provided deferral does not prevent adequate identification of significant effects of the planning approval at hand.

SCAG, as the lead agency of the 2024 RTP/SCS, is required to file all CEQA notices related to the PEIR (i.e., Notice of Preparation [NOP], Notice of Availability [NOA], Notice of Determination [NOD]) to the Office of Planning and Research and with each county clerk in the project boundaries (which includes Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, and Ventura Counties) for public review for 30-60 days. All CEQA notices are also emailed out to SCAG stakeholders through SCAG’s emailing list and posted at SCAG’s main office in Los Angeles and regional satellite offices in each of the other five counties for the full comment period to solicit public comments. SCAG will also hold multiple outreach meetings throughout the PEIR process, including two scoping meetings at the NOP stage (the first stage in developing an environmental document of the CEQA process), and a public hearing/workshop at the NOA stage (the second stage) to obtain oral public comments. Public comments received during the NOP stage will be incorporated into the Draft PEIR and public comments received during the NOA stage will be responded to in the Final PEIR. This process ensures public comments are collected and addressed per CEQA requirements.

In summary, the PEIR will serve as an informational document to inform decision-makers and the public of the potential environmental consequences of approving the proposed plan by analyzing the projects and programs on a broad regional scale, not at a site-specific level of analysis. Site-specific analysis will occur as each project is defined and goes through individual project-level environmental review.

REGIONAL HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT

In addition to the tasks to develop the RTP/SCS, SCAG is required to update the eight-year Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA). The RTP/SCS must demonstrate on a regional level, areas sufficient to house all the population of the region, including the eight-year projection of the RHNA.

Both the RTP/SCS and RHNA use the local data exchange process as the basis for future demographic projections, including household growth. The 6th cycle RHNA allocation, which will cover the planning period October 2021 through October 2029, was adopted in March 2021.

FEDERAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

SCAG’s Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) is a capital listing of all transportation projects proposed over a six-year period. The listing identifies specific funding sources and funding amounts for each project. The FTIP must include all transportation projects that are federally funded, and/or regionally
significant regardless of funding source or whether subject to any federal action.

The FTIP includes improvements to projects on the state highway, local arterial, bridge, public transit, rail, bicycle, pedestrian, safety, maintenance, operational and planning projects to name a few. The projects are submitted to SCAG by the six county transportation commissions. SCAG analyzes the projects to ensure that they are consistent with state and federal requirements. Federal law requires the FTIP be consistent with the RTP.

The following outlines SCAG’s strategies, procedures and techniques for public participation on the FTIP. SCAG intends to update this section of the Appendix as needed prior to commencing each FTIP cycle to reflect appropriate changes.

1. FTIP Public Participation Process in the SCAG Region

SCAG has a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with transit operators and each of the County Transportation Commissions (CTCs) within the SCAG Region. These MOUs specify the role of the transit operators and CTCs with respect to approval of transportation projects utilizing federal, state highway, and transit funds within their respective jurisdiction. The CTCs are also responsible for transportation programming and short-range planning in their respective counties. The CTCs transmit their approved County TIP to SCAG. The public participation process and coordination is a tiered process within the SCAG region. This tiered process initiates the public participation process at the CTC’s County TIP development stage, which occurs long before the development of the SCAG FTIP.

There are several opportunities for the public to review and comment on projects and programs during the development of each county TIP and approval of the SCAG FTIP. These public participation opportunities are described below.

a. Project Identification

Public participation begins at the local agency level by identifying projects and associated work scopes based on local and regional transportation needs. Newly identified projects are commonly placed on funding needs lists, funding plans or capital improvement program plans and programs that identify projects to be funded. These lists, plans and programs are adopted by local agency boards (mostly elected officials) in meetings open to the general public. Stakeholders, interest groups and the general public have the opportunity to review and comment on these projects and local plans prior to local agency board approvals.

b. Project Funding

The general public, interested parties and stakeholders have an opportunity to review and comment on projects and programs during the allocation of funds by local agencies including cities, counties, special districts, and county transportation commissions (CTCs).

The process of assigning specific funding sources to projects normally occurs in meetings open to the general public by public policy boards. For example, the CTCs in the SCAG region conducts a “call for projects” when funding under their control (federal, state and/or local) is available for programming. Local agencies apply and compete for available funding based on adopted eligibility guidelines consistent with federal, state and local county requirements. Candidate projects usually have gone through an initial public review process and are included in a local agency capital improvement
needs programs or plans. The CTCs work through their respective committee review process to develop a list of projects recommended for funding and adoption by each respective policy board. CTCs review committees are comprised of local agency staff (stakeholders and interested parties), and in some cases include public elected officials. Review committee meetings are publicly noticed. The recommended project lists approved by the committees are forwarded to the respective policy boards for approval. Projects proposed for funding are made available for review by the general public, stakeholders and interested parties in advance of adoption by the CTCs policy boards. All allocation of funds by the policy boards occurs in publicly noticed meetings open to the general public.

The allocation of public funds to projects by other entities meet the public review requirements that are consistent with the federal, state and/or local laws that govern the allocation of the funds.

c. County Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Development

The CTCs develop their respective TIPs based on FTIP Guidelines prepared by SCAG in consultation with the CTCs, SCAG’s TCWG, federal and state agencies staff, with approval by SCAG’s Regional Council. The FTIP is the implementing document of the RTP/SCS. The CTCs’ submittal of their county TIP to SCAG is their county implementation plan which is incorporated in its entirety into the SCAG FTIP. All projects programmed in County TIPs have been previously approved for funding by the entity responsible for allocating the project funds. When submitting County TIPs to SCAG, each CTC is required to adopt a financial resolution that certifies that it has the resources to fund the projects in the TIP and affirms its commitment to implement all projects. The financial resolution is approved by each policy board in publicly noticed meetings open to the general public.

d. SCAG FTIP Development

SCAG develops the FTIP for the six-county region based on the County TIPs prepared and submitted by the CTCs described above in Section iii. The Draft SCAG FTIP is noticed for a minimum 30-day public review, and public hearings are held at the SCAG office and where possible these public hearings will be available via video, teleconference and via virtual platforms. SCAG also conducts public outreach efforts through social media outlets. The Draft SCAG FTIP documents are made available for review and comment by stakeholders, interested parties and the general public through the SCAG internet website at scag.ca.gov/ftip and at public libraries throughout the six-county region prior to the public hearing.

In addition to the public hearings, SCAG committees and working groups also review and discuss the draft FTIP. These SCAG groups include the Executive Administration Committee, the Transportation Committee (TC), the Transportation Conformity Working Group (TCWG), and the Energy and Environment Committee (EEC). The SCAG Regional Council takes final action when they adopt the FTIP.

e. FTA Program of Projects

The designated recipient of FTA Section 5307 funds must develop a Program of Projects (POP). The POP is a list of proposed FTA-funded projects that must undergo a public review process. Guidance provided by FTA allows the FTIP to function as the POP as long as the public is notified through SCAG’s public notice that the FTIP public review process satisfies the public participation requirements of the POP. Once the FTIP is approved, the document will function as the POP for recipients of FTA funds in the SCAG region. SCAG’s public participation process for the FTIP is intended to satisfy FTA Section 5307 funding recipients’ public participation process for the POP.
f. SCAG FTIP Updates

The FTIP is a dynamic document that is amended frequently to reflect updates to funding, schedules, and program priority changes. This process is similar to developing the formal FTIP. Proposed amendments to the adopted FTIP are submitted by the CTCs to SCAG. After SCAG has completed its analysis of the proposed change(s) to the FTIP ensuring consistency with the various programming rules and regulations, SCAG electronically posts the proposed change(s) submitted via a category 3 amendment for a 10-day public review and comment period on the SCAG website at scag.ca.gov/ftip. In addition to posting the amendment information on the web, a notice is sent to the TCWG as part of the FTIP amendment public review process.

2. Other FTIP Public Participation strategies, procedures and techniques

a. Enhance Website Capabilities:
   i. Utilize SCAG’s website to provide information, announce draft and final program releases, encourage feedback and comments from the public, make draft and final programs and corresponding documents available, provide contact information, inform of upcoming events and meetings, post meeting agendas and minutes
   ii. Ensure that the information available is timely, easy-to-understand and accessible and that the website is compliant with the 1990 Americans with Disabilities Act.

b. Update Contact Databases and Advisory Groups:
   i. Review and update mailing lists for outreach efforts.
   ii. Expand contact databases to include all Interested Parties identified in the Plan.

c. Coordinate Outreach Efforts with other Stakeholder Organizations:
   i. Support interagency coordination by continuing to host and participate in the monthly TCWG meetings.
   ii. Mail Notice of Draft FTIP availability to the stakeholders at the local, state and federal level to solicit their comment and input to the final FTIP. Ensure that the public comment period for the program is at least 30 days.
   iii. Participate in regular meetings with the county transportation commissions in the coordination of the draft and final FTIP.

d. Conduct Public Hearings:
   i. Announce public hearings in printed materials, on SCAG’s website, and social media channels.
   ii. Hold public meetings at convenient and accessible locations and times and remotely when and if possible.
   iii. Conduct at least two public hearings on the draft FTIP.
   iv. Explore new opportunities using state-of-the-art communications and information technology for reaching remote audiences.

e. Maintain a Log of Outreach Efforts:
   i. Maintain a log of all agency-wide outreach presentations.
   ii. Review and consider all public comments in the regional transportation planning process.
iii. Record, track and maintain a log of comments and SCAG’s response to the comments
iv. Respond to all comments received in a timely manner.

3. Annual Listing of Projects

Federal regulations require SCAG to develop an annual listing of projects (including investments in pedestrian walkways and bicycle transportation facilities) for which federal funds were obligated in the preceding program year. SCAG, in consultation and coordination with the State, county transportation commissions, and public transportation operators throughout the SCAG region, compiles the information and produces the annual listing of projects. The annual listing of obligated projects may be found on the SCAG website at scag.ca.gov/ftip.

4. FTIP Amendments

For the FTIP, the following summarizes the categories of amendments identified by FHWA for the FTIP and the public participation requirements for each amendment type.

a. Category 1. Administrative Modification

An administrative modification includes minor changes to project cost, schedule, and project description changes without affecting the scope, and/or funding sources. Please see the Federal Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (FSTIP) and Federal Transportation Improvement program (FTIP) Amendment and Administrative Modification Procedures for a complete definition of an administrative modification and eligibility.

b. Category 2. Amendment – Changes that do not impact the existing conformity determination.

The Amendment category may include changes that are not eligible under an administrative modification.

c. Category 3. Amendment – Relying on the existing Conformity Determination.

This amendment may include adding a project or a project phase to the program. This amendment category consists of projects that are modeled and are included in the regional emissions analysis.


This amendment may include adding or deleting projects that are not currently included in the regional emissions analysis or part of the existing conformity determination. This amendment may involve adding or deleting projects that must be modeled for their air quality impacts significantly changing the design concept, scope, or schedule of an existing project.

e. Category 5. Technical Amendment – Changes to project information not required to be included in the FTIP per federal requirements.

Changes are not subject to an administrative modification or an amendment such as changes to project codes, and changes to correct typographical errors. These technical corrections do not impact project scope or cost.
PUBLIC HEARING - PUBLIC REVIEW & COMMENT PERIOD REQUIREMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMENDMENT CATEGORY</th>
<th>PUBLIC HEARING REQUIREMENTS</th>
<th>PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD (# OF DAYS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category 1 - Administrative</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 2 - Amendment Changes that do not impact existing conformity determination</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 3 - Amendment relying on existing conformity determination</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 4 - Formal Requires a new conformity determination</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 5 - Technical Correction Not subject to funding agency approval for public review</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FTIP AMENDMENT AND ADMINISTRATIVE MODIFICATION APPROVAL PROCEDURES – SCAG EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR AUTHORITY

FTIP AMENDMENT PROCEDURES

As part of the TIP approval process, the SCAG Regional Council granted authority to SCAG’s Executive Director or designee to approve Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) amendments and associated conformity determination and to transmit to the state and federal agencies amendments to the most currently approved FTIP. These amendments must meet the following criteria:

- Changes that do not affect the regional emissions analysis
- Changes that do not affect the timely implementation of the Transportation Control Measures
- Changes that do not adversely impact financial constraint
- Changes consistent with the adopted Regional Transportation Plan

FTIP amendments triggered by an RTP amendment must be approved by the Regional Council.

FTIP ADMINISTRATIVE MODIFICATION PROCEDURE

SCAG’s Regional Council has the discretion to delegate authority to SCAG’s Executive Director to approve FTIP Administrative Modifications to the Federal State Transportation Improvement Program (FSTIP) consistent with approved FSTIP/FTIP Administrative Modification and Amendment Procedures and as may be amended. Administrative Modifications are minor project changes that qualify under the FSTIP/FTIP Administrative Modification and Amendment Procedures. Because FTIP Administrative Modifications are considered minor changes, public review is not required.
The following procedures apply to this delegation of authority:

- SCAG will send copies of the approved administrative modification to Caltrans, FHWA and FTA.
- Once the administrative modification is approved by SCAG, the administrative modification will be deemed part of the Federal State Transportation Improvement Program (FSTIP).
- SCAG will demonstrate in a subsequent amendment that the net financial change from each administrative modification has been accounted for.
- Caltrans will conduct periodic reviews of SCAG’s administrative modification process to confirm adherence to the procedures. Noncompliance with the procedures will result in revocation of the MPO’s delegation

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE PROGRAM

In 1994, Executive Order 12898 directed every federal agency to make environmental justice part of its mission by identifying and addressing the effects of all programs, policies, and activities on minority and low-income populations. Reinforcing Title IV of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which addresses minority populations, this executive order ensures that every federally funded project nationwide considers the human environment when undertaking the planning and decision-making process.

As the Metropolitan Planning Organization for six Southern California counties, SCAG is required to ensure that environmental justice principles are an integral part of the transportation planning process, including the Regional Transportation Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS). Additionally, as a government agency that receives federal funding, SCAG is required to conduct an environmental justice analysis for its RTP/SCS. SCAG’s environmental justice program has two main elements: technical analysis and public outreach and policy coordination. As part of SCAG’s environmental justice program, the agency also:

- Provides early and meaningful public access to decision-making processes for all interested parties, including minority and low-income populations.
- Seeks out and considers the input of traditionally underrepresented groups, such as minority and low-income populations, in the regional transportation planning process.
- Takes steps to propose mitigation measures or consider alternative approaches for the SCAG region when disproportionately high and adverse impacts on minority or low-income populations are identified; and
- Continues to evaluate and respond to environmental justice issues that arise during and after the implementation of SCAG’s regional plans.

SCAG also prepares additional companion documents, or technical reports, to help support or add value to the RTP/SCS. The environmental justice technical analysis, public outreach methodology and accompanying EJ Toolbox are included in the RTP/SCS Environmental Justice (EJ) Technical Report.

Early and continuous public outreach and input from SCAG’s environmental justice stakeholders help SCAG prioritize and address needs in the region. Public outreach for environmental justice issues will be conducted concurrently with Connect SoCal public outreach and development process. SCAG will hold various kick-off meetings, outreach workshops, and targeted outreach throughout the RTP/SCS development process. Specifically, SCAG will hold:

- At least one (1) kick-off meeting at the beginning of the RTP/SCS development at the SCAG Headquarters located at Downtown Los Angeles (DTLA) and provide opportunities for virtual attendance.
- At least quarterly Equity Working Group meetings will be held during the RTP/SCS development and EJ Technical Report development process. Meetings will be made available for in-person and virtual attendance.
At least one (1) in-person or virtual meeting/workshop in each county for targeted outreach purposes will be conducted during the development of the EJ Technical Report. Special consideration will be made for meeting times and locations to maximize accessibility for the general public; and
- Additional targeted outreach will be conducted for SCAG stakeholders that cannot attend the opportunities listed above to discuss EJ topics and concerns and the development of the EJ Technical Report.

All public meetings and workshops aim to be accessible to all groups and individuals interested or concerned with environmental justice. In efforts to make these meetings and workshops more accessible, meeting and workshop materials can be provided in different languages to engage individuals who are not proficient in English. Meeting details will be available to the public approximately 30 days before the meeting date to allow for adequate planning. Virtual opportunities will be made available to ensure all populations within the SCAG region can be reached.

Comments and input gathered during the public outreach process will be incorporated into the Environmental Justice Technical Report. There will also be another round of public review and comment when Connect SoCal and its associated technical reports, including the Environmental Justice Technical Report, are released.

The overall environmental justice outreach process encourages the public, with many opportunities to voice out and be involved, to discuss and address environmental justice issue areas and shape SCAG’s environmental justice program.

OVERALL WORK PROGRAM

Funding for SCAG’s metropolitan planning activities are documented in an annual Overall Work Program (OWP) (also known as a Unified Planning Work Program), pursuant to federal requirements, 23 CFR 450.308(b)-(c), and Caltrans guidance.

The OWP is developed each fiscal year and details the agency’s planning and budgetary priorities for the following fiscal year. SCAG’s federal and state funding partners (FHWA, FTA and Caltrans) must approve SCAG’s OWP each year before it takes effect.

The following describes SCAG’s strategies, procedures and techniques with respect to public participation on the OWP.

1. Adopt OWP Preparation Schedule and Work Programs Outcomes: (September-October)
   a. Regional Council adopts the OWP preparation schedule and work program outcomes for the coming fiscal year.

2. Conduct a Budget Workshop: (February).
   a. SCAG staff conducts a Budget Workshop for the Regional Council and members of the public.

3. Distribute Draft OWP: (March).
   a. The Regional Council approves the Comprehensive Budget which includes the draft OWP. The draft OWP is distributed to all Regional Council members and the Regional Council approves the release of the document for a minimum 45-day public comment and review period. The draft OWP is also placed on SCAG’s website.
4. Distribute the Draft OWP for Public Comments: (March).
   a. Staff mails letters to over 300 City Planners, Planning Directors and other Planning representatives within the SCAG region, including subregional coordinators, CTCs and transit operators, encourages their feedback on the draft OWP, and notifies them of the availability of the draft document on SCAG’s website.

5. Review and Consider Comments Received in the Final OWP Deliberations: (April).
   a. Staff reviews and considers all public comments in the OWP planning process.
   b. Staff records, tracks and maintains a log of comments and SCAG’s response to the comments.

6. Adopt the Final Comprehensive Budget and Resolution Authorizing the Submittal to Funding Partners: (April).
   a. The Regional Council adopts the Final Comprehensive Budget and Resolution authorizing the submittal of the Final OWP to Caltrans and other funding agencies as necessary for approval. Caltrans must submit the recommended Final OWP to FHWA/FTA by June 1 of each year.
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SCAG is the largest Metropolitan Planning Organization in the nation, representing six counties – Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino and Ventura – and 191 cities. The SCAG region covers 38,000 square miles and includes a population of nearly 19 million people, just under half of the total state population.

As a recipient of federal funds, SCAG follows federal guidance concerning recipients’ responsibilities to limited English proficient (LEP) persons. Individuals for whom English is not their primary language and who have a limited ability to read, write, speak, or understand English are recognized as limited English proficient, or “LEP.” SCAG’s Language Assistance Plan for Limited English Proficient Populations is intended to guide the agency in the provision of meaningful access to its services, programs and activities by LEP persons. The plan considers the languages that are spoken in the region, which documents will be translated by the agency, special outreach methods, accommodations for oral language assistance, staff training and how SCAG will evaluate and improve its services to LEP persons.

In developing transportation plans, SCAG has employed numerous approaches to engage and seek input from traditionally underserved populations. This plan informs tactics outlined in SCAG’s Public Participation Plan to engage the public in regional planning efforts. A full copy of the Public Participation Plan is included herein as Appendix D and can be found on SCAG’s website at: https://scag.ca.gov/community-participation-public-participation-plan
FOUR-FACTOR ANALYSIS OF LANGUAGE ASSISTANCE MEASURES

SCAG is required to ensure meaningful access to the benefits, services, and information regarding our programs and activities to individuals who are limited English proficient. SCAG has consulted the USDOT’s LEP Guidance and performed a four-factor analysis of LEP populations in the region and the agency’s level of interaction to determine the appropriate mix of services to offer. The four factors consider the following:

1. The number or proportion of LEP persons eligible to be served or likely to be encountered by the SCAG’s programs.
2. The frequency with which LEP persons come in contact with SCAG’s programs, activities or services.
3. The nature and importance of the program, activity or service provided by SCAG to people’s lives.
4. The resources available to the recipient for LEP outreach, as well as the costs associated with that outreach.

LEP POPULATIONS IN THE REGION

To identify LEP populations in the region, SCAG looked to the U.S. Census Bureau’s American Community Survey (ACS) 2021 Data Set1 using the criteria, “Language Spoken at Home, by Ability to Speak English, for Populations 5 Years and Older.” In reviewing the ACS data, SCAG has made the determination that any individual who indicated they do not speak English “very well” would be classified as LEP. Out of a total population of 17,631,468 persons (ages 5 years and older) in the SCAG region, approximately 19%, or 3,526,974 persons, were identified as LEP.

Spanish-speakers constituted the largest LEP group – 2,378,265 persons, or 12.7% of individuals in the SCAG region indicated that they did not speak English very well. Other large LEP populations in the region include Chinese, Vietnamese, and Korean speakers. The following table provides additional information, including LEP populations that meet the DOJ’s safe harbor threshold of 1,000 persons of the total LEP population eligible to be served.

To corroborate this data, SCAG looked to information from the 2000 Census and 2011-2015 American Community Survey data. Overall, LEP populations were higher in the 2000 Census figures, with 3,752,830 persons, or 24.6% of the total population ages 5 years and older who indicated they did not speak English very well. Over the 2011-2015 period, the share of LEP individuals decreased to 22%, and decreased to 19% in 2021. Mirroring a shift in regional immigration, the Spanish speaking share of the region’s LEP population decreased slightly from 70.0% to 67.4% while the Chinese speaking share increased from 7.5% to 8.7%.

---

1 Note: Imperial County data is unavailable for 1-year ACS and 5-year ACS estimates after 2015. The shares of English speaking only and Spanish speaking people from the 2011-2015 ACS 5-year estimates were joined to the 2021 1-yearACS population (5 years and older) estimates. The rest of the language categories accounted for 1.7% in the 2011-2015 ACS 5-year sample and were not joined to the 2021 data due to change in the surveyed categories.
### LEP Populations in the SCAG Region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LANGUAGE</th>
<th>TOTAL LEP PERSONS</th>
<th>% OF SCAG REGION LEP POPULATION</th>
<th>% OF TOTAL SCAG POPULATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>2,378,265</td>
<td>67.43%</td>
<td>12.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese (Incl. Mandarin, Cantonese)</td>
<td>307,019</td>
<td>8.70%</td>
<td>1.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>173,827</td>
<td>4.93%</td>
<td>0.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>142,982</td>
<td>4.05%</td>
<td>0.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagalog (Incl. Filipino)</td>
<td>111,304</td>
<td>3.16%</td>
<td>0.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenian</td>
<td>83,108</td>
<td>2.36%</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persian (Incl. Farsi, Dari)</td>
<td>46,236</td>
<td>1.31%</td>
<td>0.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabic</td>
<td>39,020</td>
<td>1.11%</td>
<td>0.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>34,080</td>
<td>0.97%</td>
<td>0.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian</td>
<td>30,046</td>
<td>0.85%</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilocano, Samoan, Hawaiian, or other Austronesian Languages</td>
<td>22,297</td>
<td>0.63%</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khmer</td>
<td>18,935</td>
<td>0.54%</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thai, Lao, or other Tai-Kadai Languages</td>
<td>16,754</td>
<td>0.48%</td>
<td>0.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Languages of Asia</td>
<td>11,881</td>
<td>0.34%</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portuguese</td>
<td>8,366</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Indo-European Languages</td>
<td>8,315</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punjabi</td>
<td>8,253</td>
<td>0.23%</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gujarati</td>
<td>7,324</td>
<td>0.21%</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French (Incl. Cajun)</td>
<td>7,192</td>
<td>0.20%</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindi</td>
<td>7,173</td>
<td>0.20%</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other and Unspecified Languages</td>
<td>7,106</td>
<td>0.20%</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bengali</td>
<td>5,832</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urdu</td>
<td>5,427</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoruba, Twi, Igbo, or other Languages of Western Africa</td>
<td>5,414</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LANGUAGE</td>
<td>TOTAL LEP PERSONS</td>
<td>% OF SCAG REGION LEP POPULATION</td>
<td>% OF TOTAL SCAG POPULATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amharic, Somali, or other Afro-Asiatic Languages</td>
<td>5,410</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebrew</td>
<td>5,349</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepali, Marathi, or other Indic Languages</td>
<td>4,491</td>
<td>0.13%</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>4,371</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian</td>
<td>4,367</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukrainian or other Slavic Languages</td>
<td>2,639</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polish</td>
<td>2,125</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbo-Croatian</td>
<td>2,067</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swahili or other Languages of Central, Eastern, And Southern Africa</td>
<td>1,705</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telugu</td>
<td>1,693</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greek</td>
<td>1,396</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hmong</td>
<td>1,292</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haitian</td>
<td>1,035</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yiddish, Pennsylvania Dutch or other West Germanic Languages</td>
<td>1,031</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malayalam, Kannada, or other Dravidian Languages</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamil</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Native Languages of North America</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FREQUENCY OF INTERACTION

In the past, SCAG’s LEP Program focused on four major activities, which included:

- Providing interpreters available at meetings and workshops, with 72-hour advance notice for requests
- Translating selected documents into Spanish, Chinese, Korean and Vietnamese and making these documents available for download on the agency’s website
- Working with specialty outreach consultants to engage with the LEP and minority communities for the development of the Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy
- Distributing notices of availability and press releases to print, radio and broadcast media serving in-language preferred communities

To better assess its LEP program, the agency continues to monitor staff’s frequency of interaction with LEP communities, including when SCAG receives phone calls from non-English speakers, and when language interpretation at public meetings is requested.

SCAG has largely relied on bilingual staff members to assist in our LEP efforts. The aforementioned phone calls by non-English speakers were requests for information, and bilingual staff from SCAG was able to address the caller’s needs. In the few cases where interpreters were needed at public meetings, SCAG’s bilingual staff was able to accommodate the non-English speaker(s). SCAG also receives visiting delegations, and bilingual staff members have been able to assist in working with these groups.

In conducting outreach for past cycles of the Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy, SCAG translated several key documents and made them available on the agency website.

THE IMPORTANCE OF SCAG’S PROGRAMS TO LEP POPULATIONS

As the Metropolitan Planning Organization for the region, SCAG represents six counties, including 191 cities and nearly 19 million residents. The agency develops long-range regional transportation plans as well as sustainable communities strategies, growth forecast components, regional transportation improvement programs, regional housing needs allocations, and a portion of the South Coast Air Quality management plans. SCAG does not implement projects, so the agency works with partners at the County Transportation Commissions and local jurisdictions to develop plans in a “bottom-up” process. The agency follows this process to provide local and county jurisdictions with a greater voice in determining their priorities.

SCAG’s planning activities have the potential to impact every person in the region and SCAG seeks to provide reasonable opportunities for interested parties to comment or provide input on these activities. SCAG evaluates the planning activity at hand, whether it be a planning study or a demonstration project, and assesses what level of public engagement would be the most effective. SCAG’s Public Participation Plan outlines some of the strategies used to engage LEP populations, in particular those living in rural and environmental justice communities.
RESOURCES FOR LEP OUTREACH

As listed in the Language Assistance Plan portion of this document, SCAG translates vital documents, makes available interpreters or translation services at public meetings upon request and conducts outreach to ethnic media. Outside of its main headquarters in downtown Los Angeles, SCAG maintains regional offices in the other five counties, including: Imperial, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino and Ventura. These offices are each staffed by a liaison that provides outreach to member cities and other agencies. They play an important role in SCAG’s overall public outreach efforts by working with local agencies to identify stakeholder groups that may be interested in participating in regional planning.

In addition to SCAG staff resources, budget is allocated each year to contracts with outside consultants to provide translation services.

CONCLUSION

Given the size and diversity of the SCAG region, SCAG’s frequency and type of interaction with LEP persons, and the resources available, SCAG determined that the agency prioritizes access to information and translation of vital documents in the four most frequently spoken languages in the region other than English – which are Spanish, Chinese, Korean and Vietnamese. Provided that it has the resources to do so, SCAG will also, upon request, translate documents and provide interpretation services in other languages upon request.
SCAG’S LANGUAGE ASSISTANCE PLAN FOR LEP POPULATIONS

Based on the results of the four-factor analysis, SCAG has prepared a Language Assistance Plan, utilizing a broad range of tools to engage LEP populations and provide staff procedures for providing assistance.

TRANSLATION OF VITAL AND NON-VITAL DOCUMENTS

To achieve compliance with U.S. DOT guidelines, SCAG has taken into consideration the Safe Harbor Provision of the FTA Title VI Circular (4702.1B) in developing its policy on translating documents:

“DOT has adopted DOJ’s Safe Harbor Provision, which outlines circumstances that can provide a “safe harbor” for recipients regarding translation of written materials for LEP populations. The Safe Harbor Provision stipulates that, if a recipient provides written translation of vital documents for each eligible LEP language group that constitutes five percent (5%) or 1,000 persons, whichever is less, of the total population of persons eligible to be served or likely to be affected or encountered, then such action will be considered strong evidence of compliance with the recipient’s written translation obligations. Translation of non-vital documents, if needed, can be provided orally. If there are fewer than 50 persons in a language group that reaches the five percent (5%) trigger, the recipient is not required to translate written materials but should provide written notice in the primary language of the LEP language group of the right to receive competent oral interpretation of those written materials, free of cost.”

SCAG’s four-factor analysis reveals more than 30 languages that are spoken by more than 1,000 LEP persons in the SCAG six-county region. Spanish-speaking LEP persons are the largest group, representing 67.43% of the total LEP population, followed by Chinese (8.7%), Vietnamese (4.92%), Korean (4.39%), Tagalog (3.16%) and Armenian (2.36%). There is no other language that exceeds two percent of the LEP population share.

Upon review of the four-factor analysis, SCAG determined that the agency will translate documents considered “vital” into the four most frequently spoken languages other than English – Spanish, Chinese, Vietnamese and Korean. These vital documents include:

- Notices of availability
- Display ads in ethnic newspapers
- Public hearing/meeting notices with information on free language assistance services
- Title VI complaint form
- Notice of a person’s rights under Title VI

The Agency will determine, on a case-by-case basis, the effectiveness and appropriateness to translate other non-vital documents – such as long-range plans (in their entirety), executive summaries of plans or fact sheets as well as flyers and announcements into Spanish, Chinese, Vietnamese or Korean. Where possible, SCAG will provide translated information directing to available translation resources in all languages encompassing more than 1% of the SCAG region’s LEP population.
With regard to translating vital and non-vital documents into other languages, SCAG is committed to providing reasonable access to all individuals and complying with the DOT’s Safe Harbor Provision. Subject to available resources, SCAG will provide translations of the agency’s vital – and non-vital documents on a case-by-case basis – by request. Requests can be made by emailing contactus@scag.ca.gov or through our online public participation form: https://scag.ca.gov/contact-us

**INTERPRETING AND ORAL LANGUAGE ASSISTANCE**

SCAG will provide interpreting assistance at its public meetings and workshops with, at minimum, a 72-hour advance notice. Requests can be made by emailing contactus@scag.ca.gov or through our online public participation form: https://scag.ca.gov/contact-us

SCAG relies on a contracted firm to meet most of its interpreting and translation needs. To support these projects, SCAG also often enlists the help of bilingual staff for quality control purposes. These staff members are fluent in English and Spanish, Chinese, Korean or Vietnamese, and are well versed in planning terminology and concepts.

SCAG’s contracted translation service maintains a roster of certified and/or qualified interpreters who are utilized on an as-needed basis. Interpreters will need to assess the reading level of the audience and speak to the target language group’s vocabulary, phrases and/or dialects. Interpreters and translation services must also demonstrate proficiency in both English and the other language, as well as accurately communicate specialized terms or concepts in regional planning.

**OUTREACH AND MEDIA ENGAGEMENT**

When engaging the public on its long-range plans and programs, SCAG has used traditional media outlets (print, radio and television) to spread information – and this applies to LEP populations as well. SCAG sends press releases and public meeting announcements to local ethnic media, and purchases display ads for public hearing notices in Chinese, Korean, Spanish and Vietnamese language newspapers serving the region, when possible.

As outlined in the Public Participation Plan, SCAG will outreach to local organizations to engage those who are traditionally uninvolved or under-involved in the planning process, including rural and economically disadvantaged LEP populations. SCAG will provide in-language group presentations upon request towards this effort. Group in-language presentations may be requested by emailing contactus@scag.ca.gov or through our online public participation form: https://scag.ca.gov/contact-us

**USE OF BILINGUAL STAFF**

All front-line SCAG staff are provided with the LEP Plan and educated on procedures and services available. To assist in identifying LEP individuals who need language assistance, SCAG will utilize the U.S. Census Bureau’s “I Speak” language identification list. The list translates “Mark this box if you read or speak [language name]” into 38 different languages and will be an effective tool at SCAG’s reception desk, public meeting rooms and regional offices.
As mentioned previously, SCAG relies on a core group of volunteer bilingual staff to assist in providing live interpreting and consultant translation review. Special steps are taken during regular and special board meetings. Bilingual staff who volunteer to serve as interpreters and translators are on hand to assist with language interpretation, during the public comment portion of the meetings. For public hearings and workshops required by law, the bilingual staff is briefed on the content of any presentation and has access to additional resources with which to reference. Finally, the bilingual staff is provided information on the following topics:

- Understanding the Title VI LEP responsibilities
- What language assistance services SCAG offers
- Frequently used planning terms and their translated equivalents
- Use of LEP "I Speak Cards"
- How to access a staff interpreter
- Documentation of language assistance requests
- How to handle a complaint

**MONITORING AND EVALUATING THE PLAN**

Ensuring fair and equal access to information is a priority for SCAG. SCAG will institute a formal procedure to document the frequency with which LEP persons who encounter agency staff, programs, or download translated documents available on the website, in addition to the nature of the interaction (i.e. an information request, request to translate new documents, etc.).

When performing public outreach or at public hearings, SCAG will distribute a survey for LEP participants to assess the effectiveness of the agency's language services and whether alternate services may need to be employed.

SCAG will assess and evaluate its Language Assistance Plan as required. This will allow the agency to determine if there are sufficient resources (such as staff, technology and funding) to meet potential needs in advance of planned public outreach activities.
APPENDIX F

RESOLUTION
RESOLUTION NO. 23-658-1

A RESOLUTION OF THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS (SCAG) APPROVING THE SCAG 2023 TITLE VI PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) is the Metropolitan Planning Organization, for the six county region consisting of Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, and Imperial counties pursuant to 23 U.S.C.§ 134 et seq. and 49 U.S.C. §5303 et seq.;

WHEREAS, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq.), hereinafter referred to as “Title VI,” prohibits recipients of Federal financial assistance from discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin in their programs or activities;

WHEREAS, on October 1, 2012, the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) published Circular 4702.1B to provide recipients of FTA financial assistance with guidance and instructions necessary to carry out the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) Title VI regulations (49 CFR part 21);

WHEREAS, as a direct recipient of funds from the FTA and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), SCAG is subject to Title VI and is required to submit a Title VI compliance report, or “Title VI Program” to FTA every three years;

WHEREAS, SCAG has developed its 2023 Title VI Program to comply with Title VI and the following implementing regulations: DOT’s Nondiscrimination in Federally-Assisted Programs of the Department of Transportation (49 CFR Part 21), FTA’s Title VI Requirements and Guidelines for FTA Recipients (FTA Circular 4702.1B), FHWA’s Title VI Program and Related Statutes (23 CFR Part 200), and Executive Orders regarding environmental justice and Limited English Proficiency; and

WHEREAS, included as part of the SCAG 2023 Title VI Program is its Language Assistance Plan for Limited English Proficient Populations.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Regional Council of the Southern California Association of Governments that it approves the SCAG 2023 Title VI Program.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED by the Regional Council of the Southern California Association of Governments at its regular meeting this 7th day of September, 2023.
Art Brown  
President, SCAG  
City of Buena Park

Attested by:

Kome Ajise  
Executive Director

Approved as to Form:

Jeffery Elder  
Acting Chief Counsel