In-Person & Remote Participation*
Wednesday, June 1, 2022
3:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m.

*Public Participation: The SCAG offices are currently closed to members of the public. Please see next page for detailed instructions on how to participate in the meeting.

To Attend and Participate on Your Computer:
https://scag.zoom.us/j/889726747

To Attend and Participate by Phone:
Call-in Number: 1-669-900-6833
Meeting ID: 889 726 747

PUBLIC ADVISORY
Given the declared state of emergency (pursuant to State of Emergency Proclamation dated March 4, 2020) and local public health directives imposing and recommending social distancing measures due to the threat of COVID-19, and pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(e)(1)(A), the meeting will be conducted in a hybrid manner (both in-person and remotely by telephonic and video conference); however, SCAG’s offices are currently closed to the general public and public participation will occur as described in the instructions below.

If members of the public wish to review the attachments or have any questions on any of the agenda items, please contact Maggie Aguilar at (213) 630-1420 or via email at aguilarm@scag.ca.gov. Agendas & Minutes are also available at: www.scag.ca.gov/committees.

SCAG, in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), will accommodate persons who require a modification of accommodation in order to participate in this meeting. SCAG is also committed to helping people with limited proficiency in the English language access the agency’s essential public information and services. You can request such assistance by calling (213) 630-1420. We request at least 72 hours (three days) notice to provide reasonable accommodations and will make every effort to arrange for assistance as soon as possible.
Instructions for Public Comments

You may submit public comments in two (2) ways:

1. **In Writing:** Submit written comments via email to: ePublicComment@scag.ca.gov by 5pm on Tuesday, May 31, 2022. You are not required to submit public comments in writing or in advance of the meeting; this option is offered as a convenience should you desire not to provide comments in real time as described below.

   All written comments received after 5pm on Tuesday, May 31, 2022 will be announced and included as part of the official record of the meeting.

2. **In Real Time:** If participating in real time via Zoom or phone, during the Public Comment Period (Matters Not on the Agenda) or at the time the item on the agenda for which you wish to speak is called, use the “raise hand” function on your computer or *9 by phone and wait for SCAG staff to announce your name/phone number. SCAG staff will unmute your line when it is your turn to speak. Limit oral comments to 3 minutes, or as otherwise directed by the presiding officer. For purpose of providing public comment for items listed on the Consent Calendar, please indicate that you wish to speak when the Consent Calendar is called; items listed on the Consent Calendar will be acted on with one motion and there will be no separate discussion of these items unless a member of the legislative body so requests, in which event, the item will be considered separately.

   If unable to connect by Zoom or phone and you wish to make a comment, you may submit written comments via email to: ePublicComment@scag.ca.gov.

In accordance with SCAG’s Regional Council Policy, Article VI, Section H and California Government Code Section 54957.9, if a SCAG meeting is “willfully interrupted” and the “orderly conduct of the meeting” becomes unfeasible, the presiding officer or the Chair of the legislative body may order the removal of the individuals who are disrupting the meeting.
Instructions for Participating in the Meeting

SCAG is providing multiple options to view or participate in the meeting:

To Participate and Provide Verbal Comments on Your Computer
1. Click the following link: https://scag.zoom.us/j/889726747.
2. If Zoom is not already installed on your computer, click “Download & Run Zoom” on the launch page and press “Run” when prompted by your browser. If Zoom has previously been installed on your computer, please allow a few moments for the application to launch automatically.
3. Select “Join Audio via Computer.”
4. The virtual conference room will open. If you receive a message reading, “Please wait for the host to start this meeting,” simply remain in the room until the meeting begins.
5. During the Public Comment Period (Matters Not on the Agenda) or at the time the item on the agenda for which you wish to speak is called (see note above regarding items on the Consent Calendar), use the “raise hand” function located in the participants’ window and wait for SCAG staff to announce your name. SCAG staff will unmute your line when it is your turn to speak. Each speaker is limited to oral comments totaling 3 minutes for all matters, or as otherwise directed by the presiding officer.

To Listen and Provide Verbal Comments by Phone
1. Call (669) 900-6833 to access the conference room. Given high call volumes recently experienced by Zoom, please continue dialing until you connect successfully.
2. Enter the Meeting ID: 889 726 747, followed by #.
3. Indicate that you are a participant by pressing # to continue.
4. You will hear audio of the meeting in progress. Remain on the line if the meeting has not yet started.
5. During the Public Comment Period (Matters Not on the Agenda) or at the time the item on the agenda for which you wish to speak is called (see note above regarding items on the Consent Calendar), press *9 to add yourself to the queue and wait for SCAG staff to announce your name/phone number. SCAG staff will unmute your line when it is your turn to speak. Each speaker is limited to oral comments totaling 3 minutes for all matters, or as otherwise directed by the presiding officer.
EAC - Executive/Administration Committee

Members – June 2022

1. **Hon. Jan C. Harnik**  
   Chair, RCTC Representative

2. **Sup. Carmen Ramirez**  
   1st Vice Chair, Ventura County

3. **Hon. Art Brown**  
   2nd Vice Chair, Buena Park, RC District 21

4. **Hon. Clint Lorimore**  
   Imm. Past President, Eastvale, RC District 4

5. **Hon. Frank A. Yokoyama**  
   CEHD Chair, Cerritos, RC District 23

6. **Hon. David J. Shapiro**  
   CEHD Vice Chair, Calabasas, RC District 44

7. **Hon. Deborah Robertson**  
   EEC Chair, Rialto, RC District 8

8. **Sup. Luis Plancarte**  
   EEC Vice Chair, Imperial County

9. **Hon. Ray Marquez**  
   TC Chair, Chino Hills, RC District 10

10. **Hon. Tim Sandoval**  
    TC Vice Chair, Pomona, RC District 38

11. **Hon. Peggy Huang**  
    LCMC Chair, TCA Representative

12. **Hon. Jose Luis Solache**  
    LCMC Vice Chair, Lynwood, RC District 26

13. **Hon. Larry McCallon**  
    Highland, RC District 7, Pres. Appt.

14. **Hon. Margaret Finlay**  

15. **Hon. Kathleen Kelly**  
    Palm Desert, RC District 2, Pres. Appt.
16. Hon. Nithya Raman  
   Los Angeles, RC District 51, Pres. Appt.

17. Hon. Andrew Masiel  
   Tribal Govt Regl Planning Board Representative

18. Ms. Lucy Dunn  
   Business Representative - Non-Voting Member
The Executive/Administration Committee may consider and act upon any of the items on the agenda regardless of whether they are listed as Information or Action items.

CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
(The Honorable Jan Harnik, Chair)

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD (Matters Not on the Agenda)
This is the time for persons to comment on any matter pertinent to SCAG’s jurisdiction that is not listed on the agenda. Although the committee may briefly respond to statements or questions, under state law, matters presented under this item cannot be discussed or acted upon at this time. Public comment for items listed on the agenda will be taken separately as further described below.

General information for all public comments: Members of the public are encouraged, but not required, to submit written comments by sending an email to: ePublicComment@scag.ca.gov by 5pm on Tuesday, May 31, 2022. Such comments will be transmitted to members of the legislative body and posted on SCAG’s website prior to the meeting. Any writings or documents provided to a majority of the Executive Administration Committee regarding any item on this agenda (other than writings legally exempt from public disclosure) are available at the Office of the Clerk, located at 900 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90017 during normal business hours and/or by contacting the office by phone, (213) 630-1420, or email to aguilarm@scag.ca.gov. Written comments received after 5pm on Tuesday, May 31, 2022, will be announced and included as part of the official record of the meeting. Members of the public wishing to verbally address the Executive/Administration Committee in real time during the meeting will be allowed up to a total of 3 minutes to speak on items on the agenda, with the presiding officer retaining discretion to adjust time limits as necessary to ensure efficient and orderly conduct of the meeting. The presiding officer has the discretion to equally reduce the time limit of all speakers based upon the number of comments received. If you desire to speak on an item listed on the agenda, please wait for the chair to call the item and then indicate your interest in offering public comment by either using the “raise hand” function on your computer or pressing *9 on your telephone. For purpose of providing public comment for items listed on the Consent Calendar, please indicate that you wish to speak when the Consent Calendar is called; items listed on the Consent Calendar will be acted upon with one motion and there will be no separate discussion of these items unless a member of the legislative body so requests, in which event, the item will be considered separately.
EXECUTIVE/ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE
MEETING AGENDA

REVIEW AND PRIORITIZE AGENDA ITEMS

ACTION ITEM

1. Findings to Continue Holding Virtual Regional Council and Committee Meetings Under AB 361 *(Ruben Duran, BB&K Board Counsel)*

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:**
That the Executive/Administration Committee (EAC): (1) make the following findings required by Government Code Section 54953(e)(3) on the basis of the staff report, which is incorporated by this reference, that (i) a proclaimed state of emergency remains active in connection with the COVID-19 public health crisis, (ii) the EAC has reconsidered the circumstances of the state of emergency and (iii) state and local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing in relation to the COVID-19 public health crisis and, further, (2) authorize all legislative bodies of the Southern California Association of Government (SCAG), including the EAC, RC and all committees and task forces established by the RC or SCAG’s Bylaws, to utilize remote teleconference meetings pursuant to and in compliance with Brown Act provisions contained in Government Code Section 54953(e).

2. Policy Development Framework for Connect SoCal 2024 *(Sarah Jepson, Director of Planning)*

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:**
Recommend that the Regional Council adopt the Policy Development Framework for Connect SoCal 2024.

3. REAP 2.0 Framework Update and Program Status Update *(Sarah Jepson, Director of Planning)*

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:**
Recommend that the Regional Council adopt SCAG’s REAP 2021 Program Development Framework. This item will be presented at the July Regional Council for approval.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Approval Items

4. Minutes of the Regular Meeting – May 4, 2022

5. Proposed Amendments to Regional Council Policy Manual Regarding Stipend and Travel Expenses for Ex Officio Business Representative

6. Authorizing Investment of SCAG’s Funds in the State of California Local Agency Investment Fund
7. Contracts Amendment Greater Than 30% of the Contract’s Original Value: Contract No. 17-026-C1, Professional Auditing Services, Amendment No. 9

8. Contracts $200,000 or Greater: Contract No. 21-048-C01 MRFP 05, Sustainable Communities Program - Curb Space Data Collection & Inventory Study

9. Contracts $200,000 or Greater: Contract No. 22-033-C01, Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR)

10. SCAG Memberships and Sponsorships

Receive and File

11. 2020 Sustainable Communities Program (SCP) Call for Projects 1-4 Combined Update

12. Purchase Orders $5,000 - $199,999; Contracts $25,000 - $199,999 and Amendments $5,000 - $74,999

13. CFO Monthly Report

CFO REPORT
(Cindy Giraldo, Chief Financial Officer)

PRESIDENT’S REPORT
(The Honorable Clint Lorimore, Chair)

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT
(Kome Ajise, Executive Director)

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

ANNOUNCEMENTS

ADJOURNMENT
To: Executive/Administration Committee (EAC)  
Regional Council (RC)  

From: Michael Houston, Director of Legal Services/Chief Counsel  
(213) 630-1467, houston@scag.ca.gov  

Subject: Findings to Continue Holding Virtual Regional Council and Committee Meetings Under AB 361  

RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR EAC:  
That the Executive/Administration Committee (EAC): (1) make the following findings required by Government Code Section 54953(e)(3) on the basis of the staff report, which is incorporated by this reference, that (i) a proclaimed state of emergency remains active in connection with the COVID-19 public health crisis, (ii) the EAC has reconsidered the circumstances of the state of emergency and (iii) state and local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing in relation to the COVID-19 public health crisis and, further, (2) authorize all legislative bodies of the Southern California Association of Government (SCAG), including the EAC, RC and all committees and task forces established by the RC or SCAG’s Bylaws, to utilize remote teleconference meetings pursuant to and in compliance with Brown Act provisions contained in Government Code Section 54953(e).  

RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR RC:  
That the Regional Council (RC): (1) ratify the prior actions of the Executive/Administration Committee taken at its June 1, 2022 meeting relating to findings made pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(e)(3); (2) make the following findings required by Government Code Section 54953(e)(3) on the basis of the staff report, which is incorporated by this reference, that (i) a proclaimed state of emergency remains active in connection with the COVID-19 public health crisis, (ii) the RC has reconsidered the circumstances of the state of emergency and (iii) state and local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing in relation to the COVID-19 public health crisis and, further, (3) authorize all legislative bodies of the Southern California Association of Government (SCAG), including the RC, EAC and all committees and task forces established by the RC or SCAG’s Bylaws, to utilize remote teleconference meetings pursuant to and in compliance with Brown Act provisions contained in Government Code Section 54953(e).  

STRATEGIC PLAN:  
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

On March 4, 2020, Governor Newsom issued a Proclamation of State of Emergency pursuant to Government Code Section 8625 in relation to the COVID-19 public health crisis. Notwithstanding the decline in COVID-19-related cases and hospitalizations and the general removal or relaxing of COVID-19-related mandates, this State of Emergency is still in force. Social distancing measures are also still being recommended, as further discussed below. Amendments to the Brown Act in Government Code section 54953(e) (hereafter, “Section 54953(e)”) allow legislative bodies to conduct remote/teleconferenced meetings without posting the location of teleconferenced meeting sites or making such sites available to the public (as is required by Section 54953(b)(3)), provided that certain conditions facilitating “real time” public participation and other requirements are satisfied. SCAG’s Regional Council Policy Manual permits the holding of remote and teleconferenced meetings in the manner permitted by Section 54953(e). Teleconference meetings include meetings that are held in a “hybrid” manner (that is, with both remote and “in-person” participation, and where the public is not permitted to attend in-person).

Since the enactment of Section 54953(e), the EAC, SCAG’s Policy Committees, its other committees and the RC have met pursuant to Section 54953(e), subdivision (1)(A). SCAG’s legislative bodies may continue meeting pursuant to Section 54953(e) provided that certain findings are made to continue doing so. Further, to continue meeting in such manner, the meetings must be held pursuant to the requirements of subdivision (e) of Section 54953.

This staff report includes findings that the EAC and RC can make to continue meeting remotely. Action by the EAC and RC will facilitate and authorize all of SCAG’s legislative bodies (the RC, EAC, Policy Committees, other committees and task forces) to continue utilizing teleconference/videoconference meetings for a thirty-day period. Further continuation of this practice would require the EAC and/or RC to reconsider the then-current circumstances and make findings accordingly.

BACKGROUND:

The United States Secretary of Health and Human Services declared a public health emergency based on the threat cause by COVID-19 on January 31, 2020. The President of the United States issued a Proclamation Declaring a National State of Emergency Concerning COVID-19 beginning March 1, 2020. Thereafter, the Governor of California issued a Proclamation of State of Emergency pursuant to Government Code Section 8625 in relation to the COVID-19 public health crisis on March 4, 2020. This proclamation has not yet been repealed or rescinded and is currently in force, notwithstanding declines in in COVID-19-related cases and hospitalizations from rates wintertime and the general removal or relaxing of COVID-19-related mandates. As a result, a state of emergency continues to be declared in California with respect to COVID-19.
Pursuant to Assembly Bill (AB) 361, which amended the Brown Act’s teleconferencing provisions, Section 54953(e) allows legislative bodies to meet virtually without posting the remote meeting locations and without providing public access at such locations (as is generally required by section 54953(b)(3)), provided there is a state of emergency, and either (1) state or local officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing or (2) the legislative body determines by majority vote that meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health and safety of attendees. Additionally, Section 54953(e) imposes transparency requirements to the management of remote and teleconference public meetings held under this section. Specifically, Section 54953(e) imposes two requirements for remote public meetings:

1. Public agencies hosting teleconference meetings pursuant to Section 54953(e) in lieu of traditional in-person or teleconferenced meetings must permit direct “real time” public comment during the teleconference and must leave open the opportunity for public comment until the comment period is closed during the ordinary course of the meeting. The opportunity to make public comment must be of a sufficient duration to allow actual public participation.

2. Any action by the governing body during a public teleconference meeting held under Section 54953(e) must occur while the agency is actively and successfully broadcasting to members of the public through a call-in option or an internet-based service option. If a technical disruption within the agency’s control prevents members of the public from either viewing the meeting of the public agency or prevents members of the public from offering public comment, the agency must cease all action on the meeting agenda until the disruption ends and the broadcast is restored.

SCAG has implemented the requirements for conducting public meetings in compliance with the prior executive orders and Section 54953(e). Teleconference accessibility via call-in option or an internet-based service option (via the Zoom Webinars platform) is listed on the published agenda for each meeting of SCAG legislative bodies, and on SCAG’s website. Further, SCAG provides access for public comment opportunities in real time at the time noted on the agenda. The holding of remote meetings in compliance with Section 54953(e) promotes the public interests of facilitating robust public participation on a remote platform and, further, protecting the public, SCAG’s members and its employees when congregating indoors and against recent variants (including Omnicron variant ba.2 and emerging variants) that pose health risks.

Since the enactment of Section 54953(e), the EAC, SCAG’s Policy Committees, its other committees and the RC have met pursuant to provisions in Government Code section 54953(e)(1)(A) because a declared state of emergency exists and County of Los Angeles Public Health Department and the City of Los Angeles currently recommends a variety of social distancing measures (including recommended masking, recommending avoidance of crowded indoor spaces and to maintain six
feet of social distancing, especially in cases where, as is the case here, the vaccination status of persons outside your household is unknown). The continued importance of social distancing measures is exemplified by current local health order recommendations to continue adhering to public health measures and recognition that local agencies and businesses may desire to adhere to more stringent health protocols than formally mandated.

SCAG’s legislative bodies may continue meeting pursuant to Section 54953(e) if certain findings are periodically made and provided, further, that such meetings continue to be held pursuant to the requirements of subdivision (e) of Section 54953. The required findings include: (1) the legislative body has reconsidered the circumstances of the state of emergency and (2) that either (i) state or local officials continue to recommend measures to promote social distancing or (ii) an in-person meeting would constitute an imminent risk to the safety of attendees.

If the findings below are made by the EAC, all SCAG legislative bodies (i.e., the RC, EAC, Policy Committees and other SCAG committees and task forces) are authorized to meet pursuant to Section 54953(e) for thirty days. Further continuation beyond this period would require the EAC and/or RC to reconsider the then-current circumstances.

---

1 See https://coronavirus.lacity.org/ (visited March 9, 2022) Los Angeles County Public Health Department notation that social distancing is still a recommended practice.

2 See https://www.lamayor.org/sites/g/files/wph1781/files/page/file/20220304%20SAFER%20LA%20ORDER%202020.03.19%20%20REV%202022.03.04%29.pdf (City of Los Angeles Mayoral Order), noting “All persons living and working within the City of Los Angeles should continue to always practice required and recommended COVID-19 infection control measures at all times and when among other persons when in community, work, social, or school settings, especially when multiple unvaccinated persons from different households may be present and in close contact with each other, especially when in indoor or crowded outdoor settings.” Also, noting “Consistent and correct mask use (covering nose and mouth) is especially important indoors when in close contact with others (less than six feet from) who are not fully vaccinated against COVID-19 or whose vaccination status is unknown.”
FINDINGS:

The recommendations in this staff report are based on the following facts and findings, made pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(e)(3), which are incorporated into the recommended action taken by the EAC and RC, as noted above:

1. The EAC and RC have reconsidered the circumstances of the state of emergency initially declared by the Governor on March 4, 2020, pursuant to section 8625 of the California Emergency Services Act, relating to the COVID-19 public health crisis and find that the declaration remains in effect. The continuation of virtual meetings will allow for full participation by members of the public, consistent with continued social distancing recommendations, and will facilitate the purposes of such social distancing recommendations by preventing large crowds from congregating at indoor facilities for extended periods of time. Given that the vaccination status of meeting participants (including members of the public) is not known, it is prudent to use caution in protecting the health of the public, SCAG’s employees and its membership where, as here, adequate virtual means exist to permit the meeting to occur by teleconference/videoconference with the public being afforded the ability to comment in real time.  

2. The EAC and RC find that state and local officials continue to recommend measures to promote social distancing as exemplified by the discussion and footnoted provisions above. Further the Los Angeles County Department of Public Health and City of Los Angeles continue to recommend measures to promote social distancing, including recommendations to avoid crowded indoor spaces and to maintain six feet of social distancing, especially in cases where the vaccination status of persons outside a person’s household is unknown. The continued importance of social distancing measures is exemplified by recent health order recommending the need to continued adherence to public health measures and recognition that local agencies and businesses may desire to adhere to more stringent health protocols than formally mandated. Finally, SCAG’s primary offices and its regional offices remain closed to the public in relation to the COVID-19 emergency declaration.

---

3 See https://www.lamayor.org/sites/g/files/wph1781/files/page/file/20220304%20SAFER%20LA%20ORDER%202020.03.19%20%28REV%202020.03.04%29.pdf, noting “People at risk for severe illness or death from COVID-19—such as unvaccinated older adults and unvaccinated individuals with underlying medical conditions associated with higher risk for severe COVID-19—and members of their household, should defer participating in activities with other people outside their household where taking protective measures, including wearing face masks and social distancing, may not occur or will be difficult, especially indoors or in crowded spaces. For those who are not yet fully vaccinated, staying home or choosing outdoor activities as much as possible with physical distancing from other households whose vaccination status is unknown is the best way to prevent the risk of COVID-19 transmission.”
CONCLUSION:
Staff recommends the actions described above be taken based on the findings contained in this staff report. Should further remote meetings pursuant to Section 54953(e) be warranted, the EAC and/or RC are required to reconsider the circumstances and make findings to continue holding meetings in this manner.

FISCAL IMPACT:
None.
RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR EAC:
Recommend that the Regional Council adopt the Policy Development Framework for Connect SoCal 2024.

RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR RC:
Adopt the Policy Development Framework for Connect SoCal 2024.

RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR CEHD, EEC AND TC:
Receive and File.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
In July 2021, the Executive Administration Committee convened for a strategic planning session. One action identified during that session was to create a Policy Development Framework for Connect SoCal 2024. Connect SoCal 2024, the 2024 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS), will be prepared by SCAG over the next two years, in anticipation of an April 2024 adoption date. SCAG will build from the strategies and policies established in Connect SoCal 2020, such as the Core Vision and Key Connections. However, in developing Connect SoCal, SCAG must continue to monitor and reexamine trends and emerging issues in order to put forth a plan that addresses the region’s evolving needs, challenges and opportunities. The attached draft Policy Development Framework for Connect SoCal 2024 outlines the approach for policy development with the existing Policy Committees and three new sub-committees.
BACKGROUND:
What is Connect SoCal 2024?
SCAG prepares a long-range RTP/SCS every four years which provides a vision for integrating land use and transportation for increased mobility and more sustainable growth.

SCAG’s next RTP/SCS, Connect SoCal 2024, will incorporate important updates of fundamental data, enhanced strategies and investments based on, and intended to strengthen, the plan adopted by the SCAG Regional Council in 2020. The pillars of the Connect SoCal—the Core Vision and Key Connections—are anticipated to continue into the next plan. The Core Vision centers on maintaining and better managing the transportation network we have for moving people and goods, while expanding mobility choices by locating housing, jobs and transit closer together and increasing investment in transit and complete streets. The Key Connections augment the Core Vision of the plan to address trends and emerging challenges. These Key Connections lie at the intersection of land use, transportation and innovation to accelerate progress on regional planning goals. For this plan development cycle, SCAG staff will focus on process improvements and data updates and refinements. However, in developing Connect SoCal, SCAG must continue to monitor and reexamine trends and emerging issues in order to put forth a plan that addresses the region’s evolving needs, challenges and opportunities.

Connect SoCal 2024: Status Update
As described at the February 2022 Joint Policy Committee Meeting, SCAG is now entering into the “Data Collection and Policy Development” phase of plan development.

Throughout 2022, staff will be continuing with research to better understand the trends and existing conditions in the region. This phase also includes steps to understand the existing conditions and planning occurring at the local jurisdiction level through the Local Data Exchange process and engagement with County Transportation Commissions on the Project List later this year. Over the course of the next year and in the first half of 2023, SCAG staff will be seeking direction from our policy makers, through the relevant Policy Committees, on the priorities and strategies for Connect SoCal 2024 to augment and help better align plans and investments across the region.

Phases of Connect SoCal 2024 Development
Policy Development Framework for Connect SoCal 2024

In July 2021, then President Lorimore convened a strategic planning session for the Executive Administration Committee (EAC) and executive staff to establish high-level work goals and priorities for work planning for the year. The resulting EAC Strategic Work Plan identified elevating and expanding policy leadership as a central measure to advancing the EAC’s goals and priorities. Among the tasks assigned to staff to expand policy leadership was the development of a Policy Development Framework for Connect SoCal 2024. Per the EAC Strategic Work Plan:

“Working with the Executive Officers and the Policy Committee Chairs and Vice Chairs to develop a Policy Development Framework for 2024 Connect SoCal that identifies priority policy issues for deeper discussion and establishes a sub-committee structure for policy education, engagement, and consensus building to guide visionary policy development (March 2022)”

The attached Policy Development Framework for Connect SoCal 2024 includes the preliminary plan vision and goals\(^1\), key policy priorities, and an outline for the focus and responsibility of each Policy Committee and three new sub-committees to consider opportunities to incorporate new policy direction and priorities identified by the board since 2020 into the regional plan.

In March 2022, SCAG staff shared this draft with the EAC for initial feedback. Several members highlighted the need to address or discuss water in relationship to planned development. Staff noted that in Connect SoCal 2020 we incorporated water considerations through the Housing Supportive Infrastructure Key Connection and through analysis in the Program Environmental Impact Report. Staff will explore how best to address this issue within the requirements and goals for Connect SoCal 2024.

In April 2022, SCAG staff presented the draft to each Policy Committee. Policy Members provided direction to staff on areas for further discussion or consideration including but not limited to: leveraging remote work to reach regional goals; addressing disabled community needs through universal design and first-last mile; feasibility of blending biofuels in diesel trucks; and exploring hydrogen-powered heavy-duty freight. Staff have noted these areas of interest for inclusion during plan policy development.

\(^1\) The attached Policy Development Framework includes updated vision and goals which reflect stakeholder feedback collected between January and April 30, 2022.
Next Steps
Following adoption, SCAG will circulate a survey to all members, to assess interest in policy issues and in serving on one of the sub-committees. Following the results of this survey, SCAG will work with the President and Executive Officers establish the sub-committees and prepare a more detailed policy committee agenda outlook for each of the Committees to illustrate the progression of policy discussions leading up to plan analysis and production in summer 2023.

Later this year, SCAG will be onboarding a consultant to assist with Public and Stakeholder Engagement for the plan. Early deliverables from that work will include educational materials to help stakeholders better understand the regional planning and policy development process.

FISCAL IMPACT:
Work associated with this item is included in the FY 21-22 Overall Work Program (310.4874.01: Connect SoCal Development).

ATTACHMENT(S):
1. Policy Development Framework for Connect SoCal 2024
2. PowerPoint Presentation - Policy Development Framework
DRAFT PLAN VISION AND GOALS
In January 2022, staff began engaging with stakeholders through the Regional Planning Working Groups and the Technical Working Group on the draft Goals & Vision for Connect SoCal 2024. Their feedback informed the updated draft Vision and Goals below.

The draft Vision is meant to capture what we want the region to be by 2050 and is supported by four draft Goals, each centered around a key theme (Mobility, Communities, the Environment, and the Economy). These goals are each further defined by supporting sub-Goals.

DRAFT VISION STATEMENT
A healthy, accessible and connected region for a more resilient and equitable future.

DRAFT GOALS

Mobility: Build and maintain a robust transportation network.
- Support investments and programs that are well-maintained and operated, coordinated, and resilient, and result in improved safety and air quality.
- Ensure reliable, accessible, affordable, and quality travel options while striving to enhance equity in transportation resources offered in underserved communities.
- Plan for people of all ages, abilities, and backgrounds.

Communities: Develop, connect, and sustain communities that are livable and thriving.
- Reinforce vibrant, human-centered communities in urban, suburban, and rural settings to increase mobility options and reduce travel distances.
- Produce and preserve a diversity of housing types to improve affordability, accessibility, and choices for all.
- Foster inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity, and actively seek to reduce racial and economic disparities.

Environment: Create a healthy region for the people of today and tomorrow.
- Develop communities that are resilient and can mitigate, adapt to, and respond to chronic and acute stressors and disruptions, such as climate change.
- Integrate the region’s development pattern and transportation network to improve air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.
- Conserve and restore the region’s natural and agricultural resources.
Economy: Support a sustainable, efficient, and productive regional economic environment that provides opportunities for all.

- Provide physical and digital infrastructure to improve access to education, vocational training, jobs, financial systems, and to foster the growth of small businesses in underserved communities.
- Advance a resilient and efficient goods movement system that supports the economic vitality of the region, attainment of clean air, and quality of life for our communities.
- Improve regional multimodal transportation system infrastructure and efficiency to enhance the region's global economic competitiveness.

POLICY PRIORITIES
The Draft Policy Priorities are based upon policy direction established in Connect SoCal 2020 and recent Regional Council actions to address three of the emerging issues facing the region. The pillars of Connect SoCal 2020—the Core Vision and Key Connections—are outlined below followed by a summary of the emerging issues and related actions.

CORE VISION
Rooted in the 2008 and 2012 RTP/SCS plans, Connect SoCal's Core Vision centers on maintaining and better managing the transportation network we have for moving people and goods, while expanding mobility choices by locating housing, jobs and transit closer together and increasing investment in transit and complete streets.

- Sustainable Development
- System Preservation & Resilience
- Demand & System Management
- Transit Backbone
- Complete Streets
- Goods Movement

Many of the policies and strategies summarized as the Core Vision of the plan are reflective of the policies and projects developed at the local level and gathered by SCAG through the plan development process (through the Local Data Exchange with Local Jurisdictions and Project List submissions from County Transportation Commissions). The Core Vision strategies will be discussed during plan development to identify barriers to implementation and opportunities for enhancement.

KEY CONNECTIONS
Connect SoCal 2020 introduced Key Connections that build on the Core Vision to leverage technology or advance policy needed to accelerate reaching plan goals. Key Connections identify critical regional strategies to “close the gap” between what could be accomplished through intensification of core planning strategies alone, and what must be done to meet increasingly aggressive greenhouse gas reduction goals. The Key Connections lie at the intersection of land use, transportation and innovation, aiming to coalesce policy discussions and advance promising strategies for leveraging new technologies and partnerships to accelerate progress on regional planning goals. The policies and strategies identified as Key Connections became the focal point for SCAG implementation efforts in directing research priorities and local technical assistance.

- Smart Cities & Job Centers
- Housing Supportive Infrastructure
- Go Zones
- Accelerated Electrification
- Shared Mobility & Mobility as A Service (MaaS)
In developing both the Core Vision and Key Connections, SCAG works with stakeholders, identifies trends and data in the region, assesses local best practices and researches the efficacy of different strategies. SCAG also needs strategic input and direction from Policy Committee members and the Regional Council.

EMERGING ISSUES
There are three salient and interconnected challenges facing our region: equity, resilience, and the economy. Actions by SCAG’s Regional Council over the past year and a half provide a policy foundation for work on the next plan development and expanded policy focus on these emerging issue areas.

Equity
On July 2, 2020, the Regional Council adopted Resolution No. 20-623-2\(^1\) on Racial and Social Justice, affirming SCAG’s commitment to meaningfully advance justice, equity, diversity and inclusion, and establishing the Special Committee on Equity and Social Justice to advance social justice throughout the agency.

On May 6, 2021, the Regional Council adopted the Racial Equity Early Action Plan\(^2\), the purpose of which is to guide and sustain SCAG’s regional leadership in service of equity and social justice. It reflects discussions and feedback provided to the Special Committee on the definition of equity and overarching goals and strategies to advance racial equity through SCAG’s policies, practices and activities.

Resilience
On January 7, 2021, the Regional Council adopted Resolution No. 21-628-1\(^3\) on Climate Change Action that affirms a climate change crisis in Southern California and identifies actions for SCAG to undertake, including: developing a regional resilience framework, initiating a regional climate planning network, and developing a regional advanced mitigation program (RAMP).

Economy
On July 1, 2021, the Regional Council adopted the Inclusive Economic Recovery Strategy (IER) Final Report and Recommendations\(^4\), which identifies five core principles to drive SCAG’s work and to act as a lens for identifying recommendations:

1. Center the economic recovery strategy on racial and gender equity; focusing in reducing the racial wealth gap;
2. Focus on rebuilding the middle class with high road employment;
3. Ensure that all strategies contribute to a climate ready region;
4. Tailor strategies to the needs of both industry sectors and geographic subregions; and
5. Bring new and diverse voices to the table.

On February 4, 2021, the Regional Council adopted Resolution No. 21-629-2\(^5\) to establish a Broadband Action Plan to assist in bridging the digital divide. The Broadband Action Plan includes incorporating

---

\(^1\) https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/rc070220agn01.pdf?1602368143

\(^2\) https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/rc050621fullpacket.pdf#page=91

\(^3\) https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/rc010721fullpacket.pdf#page=12

\(^4\) https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/rc070121fullpacket.pdf#page=13

\(^5\) https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/rc020421fullpacket.pdf#page=13
broadband planning, data and research findings, and strategies, as appropriate, into existing SCAG programs and future Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategies.

POLICY DEVELOPMENT: LEADERSHIP & OUTLOOK

Policy discussions that occur during SCAG’s Policy Committee meetings provide valuable direction to staff on areas for further research, potential strategies to address regional challenges, and priorities for what to include in the proposed plan.

Staff will bring forward informational and discussion items related to the relevant Core Vision and Key Connections; highlighting context and data from staff research; perspectives from academics, researchers or policy experts; and insights from local practitioners. Presentations may also focus on current subregional efforts and best practices to address the Connect SoCal 2020 Key Connections, as well as emerging trends and new issues related to each Core Vision or Key Connection. The plan issue areas organized by Policy Committee are outlined in the table below.

MAIN POLICY COMMITTEES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE</th>
<th>COMMUNITY, ECONOMIC, HUMAN DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE</th>
<th>ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Core Vision</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• System Preservation &amp; Resilience</td>
<td>• Sustainable Development</td>
<td>• Sustainable Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Demand &amp; System Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Transit Backbone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Complete Streets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Goods Movement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Connections</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Go Zones</td>
<td>• Smart Cities &amp; Job Centers</td>
<td>• Accelerated Electrification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Shared Mobility &amp; MaaS</td>
<td>• Housing Supportive Infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Smart Cities &amp; Job Centers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Environmental Compliance**

- PEIR
- Transportation Conformity
- Environmental Justice
- SB 375 Technical Methodology

JOINT POLICY COMMITTEE

The issues facing the region are intersectional and often do not neatly fit within one committee. For that reason, it is occasionally necessary to hold a Joint Policy Committee meeting of members from all three Policy Committees (Transportation; Community, Economic, Human Development; and Energy and
Environment) to share pertinent information, discuss key plan development issues or seek coordinated actions or recommendations.

**SPECIAL CONNECT SOCAL 2024 SUB-COMMITTEES**

As discussed at the February 2022 Joint Policy Committee Meeting, there are a number of trends disrupted by the pandemic and emerging issues setting the context for the next Connect SoCal. To help dive deeper into key areas for Connect SoCal, SCAG will establish three new sub-committees.

These three sub-committees will be comprised of members from each county with participation from invited business or civic leaders and practitioners with unique and valuable perspective on the given sub-committee focus area.

These sub-committees will prepare and make recommendations to SCAG Policy Committees on how to address these emerging issues within Connect SoCal 2024.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NEXT GENERATION INFRASTRUCTURE</th>
<th>RESILIENCE &amp; CONSERVATION</th>
<th>RACIAL EQUITY &amp; REGIONAL PLANNING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Purpose:</em> Build on the recommendations for the Inclusive Economic Recovery Strategy to identify ways Connect SoCal can ensure opportunities for all; and explore solutions for making the most of existing infrastructure to maintain and improve levels of service such as through innovations in Broadband and System Demand Management.</td>
<td><em>Purpose:</em> Advance the direction set in the SCAG Regional Council Resolution on Climate Change Action to consider opportunities for enhanced conservation and how can Connect SoCal support our communities in adapting to changing conditions or mitigating risks to become more resilient.</td>
<td><em>Purpose:</em> Identify opportunities to advance racial equity through the policies and strategies in Connect SoCal and guide how our planning and investments over the next 30 years can address and rectify the effects of racially discriminatory policies in SCAG’s environmental justice communities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Policy Development Framework Overview

- **Draft Vision and Goals**
  - Mobility, Communities, Environment, Economy

- **Policy Priorities**
  - Core Vision
  - Key Connections
  - Emerging Issues

- **Policy Development: Leadership & Outlook**
**Draft Connect SoCal 2024 Vision and Goals**

- **Vision Statement** to capture what we want the region to be

- Four simplified Goals
  - Themes: Mobility, Communities, Environment, and Economy
  - Further defined through sub-goals

- Overarching through lines to integrate into the 2024 cycle: Equity and Resilience

---

**Policy Priorities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CORE VISION</th>
<th>KEY CONNECTIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Development</td>
<td>Smart Cities &amp; Job Centers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Preservation &amp;</td>
<td>Housing Supportive Infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resilience</td>
<td>Go Zones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand &amp; System Management</td>
<td>Accelerated Electrification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit Backbone</td>
<td>Shared Mobility &amp; Mobility as A Service (MaaS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete Streets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goods Movement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EMERGING ISSUES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resilience</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Policy Development: Leadership & Outlook**

**TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE**
- System Preservation & Resilience
- Demand & System Management
- Transit Backbone
- Complete Streets
- Goods Movement

**COMMUNITY, ECONOMIC, HUMAN DEV COMMITTEE**
- Sustainable Development

**ENERGY & ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE**
- Sustainable Development

**CORE VISION**
- System Preservation & Resilience
- Demand & System Management
- Transit Backbone
- Complete Streets
- Goods Movement
- Sustainable Development

**KEY CONNECTIONS**
- Smart Cities & Job Centers
- Shared Mobility & Mobility as a Service (MaaS)
- Go Zones
- Smart Cities & Job Centers
- Housing Supportive Infrastructure
- Accelerated Electrification

**ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE**
- PEIR
- Transportation Conformity
- Environmental Justice
- SB 375 Technical Methodology

---

**Special Connect SoCal 2024 Sub-Committees: Overview**

- **NEXT GENERATION INFRASTRUCTURE**
- **RESILIENCE & CONSERVATION**
- **RACIAL EQUITY & REGIONAL PLANNING**

**Purpose:** Dive deeper into key areas for Connect SoCal and provide recommendation to SCAG Policy Committees on how to address these issues in the plan.

**Representation:** Members from each county with invited participation from business or civic leaders and practitioners

**Duration:** 3-4 meetings between August 2022 and February 2023
# Special Connect SoCal 2024 Sub-Committees: Next Steps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summer 2022</th>
<th>Spring 2023</th>
<th>Summer 2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Survey to all members soliciting interest in serving on sub-committees</td>
<td>President and Executive Officers establish sub-committees and prepare agenda outlooks</td>
<td>Sub-committees prepare recommendations for Policy Committees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-committee meetings begin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Policy Committees incorporate recommendations into decision making for Connect SoCal 2024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


[www.scag.ca.gov](http://www.scag.ca.gov)
RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR EAC:
Recommend that the Regional Council adopt SCAG’s REAP 2021 Program Development Framework. This item will be presented at the July Regional Council for approval.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy. 4: Provide innovative information and value-added services to enhance member agencies’ planning and operations and promote regional collaboration.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
The Regional Early Action Planning Grant Program for 2021 (REAP 2021 or REAP 2.0) was established as a part of AB 140 for the FY 21-22 budget to support transformative and innovative projects that implement a region’s sustainable communities strategy and help achieve goals of more housing and transportation options that reduce reliance on cars. REAP 2021 will provide grants to regional entities, primarily metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs), through a combination of formula and competitive-based programs. The SCAG region’s formula share is $246,024,084 and the State’s Draft Program Guidelines were released on March 24, 2022 with final guidelines expected in June 2022.

SCAG’s REAP 2021 Program Development Framework, or “Framework,” outlines the core objectives, guiding principles, programmatic areas, major milestones and schedule for allocating funds available to SCAG through the Regional Early Action planning Grant Program for 2021 (REAP 2021) or REAP 2.0. Staff is seeking approval of the Framework to guide the development of the specific funding programs and projects to be included in the full REAP 2.0 Program application, which staff will seek authorization from the Regional Council to submit to HCD before the December 31, 2022 deadline.
SCAG staff first presented the Draft REAP 2021 Program Development Framework to all three SCAG Policy Committees in October 2021, and a revised Draft Framework was presented in April 2022, incorporating feedback from the committees, as well as from external stakeholders, including the subregional Councils of Government. The Draft Framework was subsequently posted to the program website with an open comment period from April 19 – May 19. This staff report summarizes the input received through the outreach process, includes proposed changes to the Framework in response to the feedback and seeks Regional Council approval in July for the final Framework paper. Following Regional Council approval, staff will continue with the outreach and engagement process focused on the development of funding guidelines for major program areas identified in the Framework and refinement of projects for inclusion in the full application.

BACKGROUND:

REAP 2021

REAP 2021 was established through AB 140 (July 2021) as part of the mid-year budget revise for the State’s FY 21-22 budget. Approximately $600 million is available statewide to support transformative and innovative projects that implement a region’s Sustainable Communities Strategy and help achieve goals of more housing and transportation options that reduce reliance on cars. Approximately $500 million of these funds are from American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) establishment of the Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds (SLFRF), and the balance are State General Funds. These new funds will be provided as grants to regional entities, primarily metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) such as SCAG. The SCAG region’s formula share is $246,024,084, of which an initial allocation of 10 percent of funds are available now, pending approval of SCAG’s advance application. The advance funding is available to fund outreach and program development only and SCAG submitted its advance application in May 2022. All REAP 2021 funds are to be obligated by June of 2024 and expended by June 2026, with a final closeout report due June 2026.

The California Housing and Community Development Department (HCD) is the lead for the program and will work collaboratively with the Strategic Growth Council (SGC), Governor’s Office of Planning and Research (OPR), and State Air Resources Board (CARB), to develop detailed guidelines for implementation.

At the time of this drafting, the REAP program development process is as follows:

- November 22, 2021 – HCD released the REAP 2021 Framework Paper, to solicit stakeholder feedback on their approach to program guidelines. SCAG staff provided comprehensive feedback by the due date of December 8, 2021.
- January 3, 2022 – HCD released the Advance Application which allows eligible entities to seek up to 10 percent (10%) of their REAP 2021 allocations, in support of regional engagement in the development of the full application and of an education and outreach
strategy, along with other activities determined consistent with program goals and objectives in consultation with the state collaborative partners (CARB, HCD, OPR and SGC)

- March 2022 – State partners released full draft program guidelines. While applications for the remaining 90 percent (90%) of REAP 2021 funds can be submitted on a rolling basis through December 2022, the State expects robust engagement efforts to drive the proposed programming, thus it is anticipated that a full application will be submitted later in the application window.
- May 2022 – SCAG submitted advance application to state partners
- June 2022 – State partners have stated that the final program guidelines will be released in June 2022
- December 31, 2022 – Deadline to submit SCAG’s full application to the state partners

**REAP 2021 Draft Guidelines and Funding Limitations**

The State Partners administering REAP 2021 released the draft guidelines on March 24, 2022. SCAG Staff has evaluated the guidelines to determine their impact on our proposed program areas. The majority ($500 million) of REAP 2021 funding will come from State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds (SLFRF), and the Final Rule was issued in January 2022 outlining the requirements for use of these funds. Some activities outlined in the legislation containing the REAP 2021 program are not eligible under this new funding, and further restrictions have been placed on the program. SCAG Staff continue to evaluate the guidelines and work with state partners to clarify eligible projects and programs and are refining the approach to program development and implementation.

A portion of the REAP 2021 funding ($100 million) will be allocated from state General Funds, which allows for greater flexibility. The state partners have indicated that the allocation of these less restrictive funds will follow the formula approach used to initially determine the MPO allocations within the program. SCAG staff are working to identify the best use for these general funds, which have a more expansive set of eligible uses from the SLFRF funds. Ultimately the funding split is anticipated to be clear with the State’s release of the final program guidelines in June 2022.

**SCAG’s Draft REAP 2021 Program Framework**

SCAG staff first presented the Draft REAP 2021 Program Development Framework to all three SCAG Policy Committees in October 2021, and a revised Draft Framework was presented in April 2022, incorporating feedback from the committees, as well as from external stakeholders, including the subregional Councils of Government. Subsequent to the April report to the SCAG Policy Committees, the Draft Framework was posted to the program website with an open comment period from April 19 – May 19. Staff held two virtual information sessions to present the Framework, and hosted a workshop on May 25, 2022, to share what we heard from the comments and how they will be incorporated. In addition to this program wide outreach, staff has been hosting outreach for both the proposed transportation and housing programs under REAP 2.0, which has generated additional feedback.
Comments and general feedback received are discussed and summarized as an attachment to this report (Framework Public Comment Re-Cap – Attachment 1), and the revised REAP 2021 Program Framework (Attachment 2) was modified with those comments in mind. Further refinements to the Framework have been made to address restrictions due to funding source, as well as responses to initial program development outreach.

The proposed core objectives for SCAG’s REAP 2.0 program are aligned with the REAP 2021 priorities, the Connect SoCal Implementation Strategy and the EAC Strategic Work Plan and have not been modified. The core objectives remain to:

- Support transformative planning and implementation activities that realize Connect SoCal objectives
- Leverage and augment the Connect SoCal Implementation Strategy to support activities that can be implemented quickly and in line with community-driven, pandemic recovery priorities
- Build regional capacity to deliver housing that realizes 6th cycle RHNA goals
- Represent best practices in vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction
- Demonstrate consistency with SCAG’s Racial Equity Early Action Plan (REEAP)
- Promote infill development in Connect SoCal identified Priority Growth Areas

Changes to the Framework

Substantive changes made to the SCAG REAP 2021 Framework are as follows:

- Actions proposed to be funded by a second advance application will now be part of the full application, after discussion with the state partners
- Early Program Initiatives now include development of the Subregional Partnership Program 2.0 (SRP 2.0), instead of Subregional Plans or Studies. Staff is meeting with the subregional COGs to identify those interested in pursuing additional projects and will focus program funding on activities that are REAP 2.0 eligible and that support cities and counties in implementing projects and programs identified in their draft and/or final compliant Housing Elements
- The guiding principle limiting the planning funds allocated to Early Program Initiatives to a maximum of ten percent (10%) funding has been increased to 15%, in response to the expressed need from subregions for additional planning resources to support cities and counties with Housing Element development and implementation—building upon technical assistance offered through the REAP 1.0 Subregional Partnership Program (SRP 1).
- SCAG has expanded its language regarding equity and need considerations to state that SCAG will work to balance funding allocation according to a number of equity considerations; ensuring that under-resourced jurisdictions are not left out due to lack of capacity and that geographic balance, equity, and need are all considerations in the suballocation of funding across all program areas.
• Programs goals were clarified to include a nexus to all REAP 2.0 program goals and objectives
• Timeline modified to include Early Actions and SRP 2.0 milestones

Using the framework as a guiding document, throughout the balance of 2022, SCAG will continue outreach to develop specific programs in support of the full program application.

As a core effort to support program development, SCAG staff have begun to map the state-identified parameters defining geographic eligibility of projects. Staff is working with the state partners to finalize these maps and facilitate more focused discussions with partners regarding eligible geographies.

**NEXT STEPS**
SCAG staff will work with the state partners to identify and resolve ambiguities in the Draft Guidelines and will finalize maps showing funding eligible project areas, which will be made available on SCAG’s website. Staff will report to Policy Committees regularly throughout the stakeholder engagement process, and guidelines for several of the REAP 2.0 program areas will be presented to the Policy Committees and Regional Council for approval beginning in July. Outreach and engagement will continue through the rest of the program, informing the development of the full application for funding, which will be submitted to the state partners in December 2022. A complete timeline for the initial work and program milestones is included in the attached Draft Framework.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**
Work associated with this item will be included in the FY22-23 OWP, once funding is received, with no fiscal impact to the existing budget.

**ATTACHMENT(S):**
1. REAP 2021 Revised DRAFT Framework_May_2022
2. REAP2_Framework_OutreachSummary_Attachment A
Overview & Purpose
The REAP 2021 Program Development Framework, or “Framework,” outlines the core objectives, guiding principles, programmatic areas, major milestones and schedule for allocating funds available to SCAG through the Regional Early Action planning Grant Program for 2021 (REAP 2021) or REAP 2.0, managed by The California Housing and Community Development Department (HCD) in collaboration with the Strategic Growth Council (SGC), Governor’s Office of Planning and Research (OPR), and State Air Resources Board (CARB) (“the State Partners”)

The REAP 2.0 Program Objectives defined in the State’s Draft REAP 2.0 Program Guidelines are:
1. Accelerating Infill Development that Facilitates Housing Supply, Choice, and Affordability;
2. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing;
3. Coronavirus Economic Recovery; and
4. Reducing Vehicle Miles Traveled

Approximately $600 million is available statewide to support transformative and innovative projects that implement a region’s Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) and help achieve the objectives above goals of more housing and transportation options that reduce reliance on cars.

The SCAG region’s formula share is estimated to be $246 million, of which an initial allocation of 10 percent (10%) of funds are available for outreach to support program development and the full program funding application. REAP 2.0 draft program guidelines were released by the State Partners on March 24, 2022, with full program guidelines and the application to be released in late Spring 2022. With the full program guidelines and after an extensive engagement effort, SCAG will develop a comprehensive REAP 2.0 Program Application in the latter part of 2022.

The Framework provides the policy direction to support the stakeholder engagement process and resultant development of the full REAP 2.0 Program application, to ensure SCAG’s REAP 2 program aligns with agency goals and policies. It is based on several guiding and adopted policies, including:

- State/Federal plans and directives
  - AB140 Trailer Bill Language (July 2021)
  - REAP 2.0 Draft Framework Paper (Nov 2021)
  - REAP 2.0 Draft Program Guidelines (March 2022)
  - Treasury’s Final Rule on Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds
    - Eligible areas as defined in the final rule
- SCAG adopted plans
  - Connect SoCal Implementation Strategy (2020)
  - Executive Administration Committee Strategic Action Plan (2021)
  - Racial Equity Early Action Plan (2021)
  - Inclusive Economic Recovery Strategy (2021)
- SCAG 2021 Housing Production Study

---

1 SCAG staff is working with the State Partners to create maps showing eligible areas within the SCAG region.
Early versions of SCAG’s Framework were presented to the SCAG Policy Committees, Executive Administration Committee (EAC) and the Regional Council. SCAG also received feedback on the Framework from the subregional COG directors and presented the draft to the Regional Housing Working Group and County Transportation Commission (CTC) Planning Directors. An updated Framework was presented to the SCAG Policy Committees, Executive Administration Committee (EAC) and the Regional Council in April 2022, was discussed at two virtual information sessions, and was then posted publicly for comment from April 19 – May 19. This final Framework document reflects feedback from public comments as well as outreach efforts directly to subregions and incorporates the Draft Guidelines for REAP 2.0 released by the State Partners. The Framework will be presented to the SCAG Regional Council for approval in July 2022 but may be amended and reconsidered based on additional stakeholder feedback as the Program development process continues.

Core Program Objectives

- Support transformative planning and implementation activities that realize Connect SoCal objectives
- Leverage and augment the Connect SoCal Implementation Strategy to support activities that can be implemented quickly and in line with community-driven, pandemic recovery priorities
- Build regional capacity to deliver housing that realizes 6th cycle RHNA goals
- Represent best practices in vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction
- Demonstrate consistency with the Racial Equity Early Action Plan
- Promote infill development in Connect SoCal identified Priority Growth Areas

Guiding Principles

In developing a program to address these core objectives, SCAG work shall be directed by the following guiding principles:

- Funding shall be allocated to three programmatic areas focused on Early Program Initiatives to implement Connect SoCal 2020 and realize 6th Cycle RHNA goals, Housing Supportive Infrastructure and a County Transportation Commission Partnership Program.
- Funding opportunities within each program area will balance formula allocations with competitive programs to ensure funding supports critical planning and implementation activities across the region while also prioritizing the most regionally impactful projects.
- Early Program Initiatives shall seek to provide immediate benefit to the region through support for transformative planning activities that can be implemented quickly to advance strategies in Connect SoCal 2020 and inform the 2024 plan update, and to meet the region’s 6th Cycle RHNA commitments. Early Program Initiatives shall comprise no more than 15% of the full program budget.
- The Housing Supportive Infrastructure Program and the County Transportation Commission Partnership Program shall serve as the primary vehicles for awarding REAP 2.0 funds focused on Connect SoCal 2020 implementation either through the deployment of pilot projects or support for the creation and/or expansion of new funding programs that directly support housing and mobility solutions. The Programs shall include regional initiatives and technical assistance led by SCAG and/or subregional partners to advance regionally coordinated and
significant solutions as well as county-specific or geographically targeted programs that advance best practices reflecting the unique opportunities in the region.

- The Housing Supportive Infrastructure Program and the County Transportation Commission Partnership Program shall include SCAG-led projects as well as the sub-allocation of resources to implementing agencies.
- Funding guidelines will be developed specific to the Housing Supportive Infrastructure Program and County Transportation Commission Partnership Program or for any sub-programs, including, but not limited to, the Subregional Partnership 2.0 (SRP 2.0) and the Sustainable Communities Program (SCP) for which SCAG may award resources to a partner agency via formula allocations or competitive programs. Funds available to each program shall be specified in the program guidelines, which will each be submitted to the Regional Council for approval.
- At least 80% of the REAP 2.0 funding in the full application will be directed to suballocated or partner-led projects, both formula-based and competitive, across all three programmatic areas to ensure efficient and effective delivery of REAP 2.0 and limit administrative costs to SCAG.
- SCAG will work to balance funding allocation according to a number of equity considerations; ensuring that under-resourced jurisdictions are not left out due to lack of capacity and that geographic balance, equity, and need are all considerations in the suballocation of funding across all program areas.
- No resources beyond those approved to support outreach and program development shall be expended until the application is approved by the State Partners.

Program Areas:
The Framework proposes SCAG’s REAP 2.0 funds be administered across three (3) programmatic areas. Further development of programs and guidelines within each program area will occur once the State has provided complete program guidelines (anticipated Spring 2022) and through the stakeholder engagement process:

1. Early Program Initiatives: SCAG will expand certain existing programs in the Connect SoCal Implementation Strategy to offer additional support to cities in implementing Connect SoCal.
   - **Sustainable Communities Program (SCP):** The Call for Projects provides resources to local jurisdictions to conduct GHG/VMT reducing planning activities and demonstration projects with a nexus to housing production in local jurisdictions throughout the SCAG region. SCAG is seeking to implement Call #4, the Call for Equity, Civic Engagement and Environmental Justice focused on under-resourced communities.
   - **Go Human:** SCAG is seeking to expand local resources and toolkits to help cities, counties and communities-based organizations promote safe walking and biking
   - **Regional Data Platform (RDP):** Local general plans provide the legal underpinning for land use decisions and set the vision about how a community will grow, reflecting community priorities and values while shaping the future. SCAG’s RDP provides data and planning templates and tools to help local jurisdictions update and align various elements of their general plans to advance sustainable planning at the local and regional level, and to
meet their 6th Cycle RHNA goals. SCAG seeks to partner with subregional agencies and other partners to expand support and training for local jurisdictions to use the RDP as well as provide additional features and functions to help further align local efforts with regional goals through performance dashboarding features.

- **Sub-regional Partnership Program 2.0 (SRP2.0):** SCAG will work with the subregional COGs to develop a Subregional Partnership Program (SRP) similar to the subregional partnership program developed under REAP 1 but based on REAP 2 goals and objectives. Engagement efforts will focus on identifying those COGs interested in pursuing additional planning projects and will focus program funding on activities that are REAP 2 eligible and support cities and counties to implement projects and programs identified in their draft and/or final compliant Housing Elements. To the extent that a COG chooses not to participate in this program, program guidelines will also outline opportunities for cities to access the program directly from SCAG or its consultants.

2. **Housing Supportive Infrastructure Program** - The Housing Supportive Infrastructure program builds on the concepts put forward in the *Key Connections* in Connect SoCal 2020, as well as current work funded through the REAP 1 program, as well as LEAP and SB2. This program will have 4 main priority areas:

- **Utilities to support housing development:** Identify transformative programs to invest in the utilities (sewer, storm water, electric, broadband) needed to environmentally clear, entitle and ultimately build the housing needed to meet the region’s 6th cycle RHNA goals, and in the Priority Growth areas identified in Connect SoCal.

- **Housing Trust Funds:** Support establishment of and investing in catalyst housing funds, regional or subregional housing trust funds, and revolving loan funds to leverage efforts to deliver affordable housing.

- **Preservation of expiring covenants and naturally occurring affordable housing:** Target existing affordable housing with expiring covenants and/or housing that is naturally affordable without covenants and develop programs to extend covenants and preserve these units as affordable.

- **Technical Assistance:** Through the outreach process, SCAG will identify additional technical assistance needs for cities, counties and other partners. Some of this technical assistance may be provided through the Subregional Partnerships Program 2.0 described above. Technical Assistance may include programs to:
  i. Help public agencies use their surplus land for affordable housing development,
  ii. Continue land use planning efforts that implement 6th cycle Housing Elements,
  iii. Ensure the region can secure grant funding for affordable housing development.

3. **County Transportation Commission (CTC) Partnership Program:** SCAG will develop a new partnership program with the region’s six County Transportation Commissions, to fund the development of plans, programs, pilot projects, and certain signature GHG/VMT reducing capital projects with a strong nexus to housing, consistent with the goals and objectives of REAP 2.0. Regional guidelines will be developed to comply with the State’s draft REAP 2021
guidelines released in March of 2022 with the intent to align with Key Connection strategies included in Connect SoCal and advance new concepts for reducing VMT while simultaneously achieving the other program goals. Key Connections focus on advancing expanded mobility ecosystems and management strategies using innovative policy and/or technology to realize regional planning goals, and account for 30% of the GHG reduction needed to meet SCAG’s SB 375 requirements. Staff has initiated some early outreach with CTC staff to gauge opportunities for partnerships and anticipates continued dialogue in developing a regional program. Some early concepts identified to date by CTC staff include transit fare subsidy programs, deployment of mobility hubs, and electric carshare programs for eligible communities directly in support of housing development. Discussions are underway to consider joint development opportunities at park and ride facilities. All activities will be evaluated for eligibility under REAP 2.0 funding sources, including geographic considerations, and conformity to funding restrictions prior to full program development.

**Major Milestones and Schedule:**

Based on the latest information from the State, the table below shows the REAP 2021 program development process and associated schedule:
## Draft - REAP 2021 Program Development Framework

### Grant Program Deadlines and Milestones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Outreach & Engagement**

- COG Listening Sessions, Subregional Surveys, Development of Advance Application

**Program Development**

- Present Draft Framework (CEHD, EEC, TC)
- Revised Draft Framework Info Item (EAC/RC)
- Framework Refined Based on Stakeholder Feedback & Engagement
- Advance Application Submitted to State

**Early Actions (SRP 2.0, Go Human, SCP Call 4, RDP)**

- SRP 2.0 COG Engagement and Consultation with State Regarding SRP 2.0 Guidelines
- SCP Call 4 Guidelines/Info Sessions

**Housing Supportive Infrastructure Program**

- Housing Policy Forum
  - One-on-One Sessions with Housing Trust Funds
  - Open City/County Listening Sessions
  - Focus Groups and CBO Listening Sessions
  - Report on preliminary findings, potential calls for projects (CEHD/RC)

**CTC Partnership Program**

- CTC Partnership Working Group Formed: Draft Guidelines, Establish Formal Process for Execution of CTC Program
- Final Guideline Approval, Issue Call for Projects and Select CTC Partnership Projects (TC/RC)

**Utilities Industry Forum + issue RFI Call for Projects Based on Listening Sessions**

**Staff to Report Findings from Industry Forum (CEHD/EAC)**

**Initial Program Guidelines (CEHD/RC)**

**SRP 2.0 Approval of Awards (CEHD/RC)**

**Early Action Funding Proposal (EAC/RC)**

**Full Application Developed Using Outreach Results**

**Full Application Deadline: 12/31/22**
SCAG REAP 2.0 Draft Program Framework

Summary of Public Comment

SCAG’s REAP 2.0 Draft Program Framework was widely shared with the public through email blasts, newsletters, through two virtual information sessions, and was made available on the SCAG website. This document summarizes comments received both in writing and during two virtual information sessions. The public comment period was open from April 19, 2022 to May 19, 2022 and the virtual information sessions were held April 25 and April 27. Six public comment letters were received during the comment period, from the City of Los Angeles, Coachella Valley Association of Governments, and the Gateway Cities, South Bay Cities, San Gabriel Valley, and Ventura Councils of Governments. Based on the comments received, SCAG has generated the following summary of themes. Within each theme, SCAG has provided either a change reflected in the final proposed Framework, or a direct response to the comment.

Theme 1: Goals and Objectives

Feedback was received that SCAG should include more specificity in the goals and objectives laid out in the Framework document, and that a broad definition for eligible uses should be adopted aligning with the eligible uses in the State program guidelines.

Response – the objectives in the REAP 2.0 Framework document align with both the objectives provided in the State’s Draft Program Guidelines as well as a number of SCAG adopted plans. The specific recommendations provided in one letter regarding goals and objectives are all consistent with what is included in the Framework, and in most cases are more narrowly defined and specific than what SCAG drafted in the Framework. SCAG prefers to keep the Framework objectives as they are, as they do not conflict with any of the recommendations and allow for more flexibility for SCAG to respond to the State’s Final Program Guidelines as well as feedback from our diverse region. As individual program guideline are developed for REAP 2 Programs, SCAG will create more specific goals and objectives.

Theme 2: Program Management

Feedback was received that contracting and funds administration should be set up to reduce administrative and process redundancies when a jurisdiction has more than one contract under the REAP 2.0 program. In addition, there was a request that SCAG provide faster turnaround for invoicing than has been the experience with the REAP 1 program.

Response - SCAG staff will explore contracting options to reduce duplication, including the possibility of issuing a master agreement with the option to attach more than one scope of work or approved project. SCAG will work to be expeditious with invoice processing, noting that the REAP 2.0 funding is largely federal and may require more onerous invoice review.

Theme 3: Funding Allocation/Eligibility

Feedback was received regarding funding allocations and geographic balance, that consideration should be given to the proportion of disadvantaged communities in a jurisdiction in addition to other factors including the number of new housing units allocated through the RHNA. One suggestion was to align sub-allocations with Disadvantaged Communities Census Tracts and High Segregation and Poverty Areas, another comment recommended sub-allocations be linked to CalEnviroScreen factors, while
another suggested allocating based on the proportion of low-income units within a jurisdiction’s RHNA allocation. Another comment requested agricultural worker housing to be considered eligible for funding. Comments also requested SCAG take into consideration staffing limitations among jurisdictions in relation to competing for funding or resources.

Response – The Framework does not prescribe the funding allocations for the proposed programs nor does it outline a methodology or criteria for allocating funds or selecting projects within each of the proposed program areas. Rather, the Framework includes Core Program Objectives, Guiding Principles and outlines a process for developing a set of Program Guidelines to address these issues. The comments received regarding funding allocation and geographic balance will be valuable as we move into the Program Guideline development phase of the process.

The Framework was edited to include: SCAG will work to balance funding allocation according to a number of equity considerations; ensuring that under-resourced jurisdictions are not left out due to lack of capacity and that geographic balance, equity and need are all considerations in the suballocation of funding across all program areas. Further, due to the federal funding requirements we do anticipate the majority, if not all, of the funding will need to be allocated to those communities most impacted by the Coronavirus pandemic.

To facilitate the further work to define eligibility and suballocation, SCAG staff are also working with HCD, OPR, and SGC staff to develop a set of maps to identify eligibility areas using the criteria provided in the state REAP 2021 program guidelines. The intention is to make these maps publicly available to support partner agencies and organizations identify priority areas and transformative projects. These maps will further support the effort of creating clear allocation priorities.

Finally, based on comments received, SCAG staff proposes to develop a Subregional Partnership Program 2.0 (SRP 2.0) similar to the Subregional Partnership Program developed under REAP 1.0 but based on REAP 2.0 goals and objectives. A brief summary of SRP 2.0 is included under “Requests for a Sub-Allocation Program” at the end of this document. Many of the comments regarding funding and eligibility will be addressed through SRP 2.0 and the guidelines that will be developed this summer into early fall. As SCAG continues with more focused stakeholder engagement and develops Program Guidelines, staff will take into consideration the various comments and concepts for allocating funds across all REAP 2.0 Programs.

**Theme 4: County Transportation Commission Partnership Program**

Additional information was requested for the County Transportation Commission (CTC) Partnership Program, including information on how the program aligns to the State’s objectives and the types of projects that will be eligible.

Response – SCAG staff are collaborating with a working group of CTC staff to define the types of transformative projects eligible through the CTC Partnership Program. As envisioned, the program will result in signature GHG/VMT reducing capital projects with a strong nexus to housing, consistent with the goals and objectives of REAP 2.0. Additional language was added to the Framework for clarity.
Theme 5: Housing Supportive Infrastructure Program

A wide range of comments and recommendations were received for project types and eligible uses under REAP 2.0. While some subregional COGs expressed the need for funding to support planning activities, other subregional COGs and jurisdictions expressed a need for funding to implement Housing Element programs and State mandated zoning amendments and permit streamlining requirements. A mix of comments were also received on the prospective infrastructure projects, with some requesting the infrastructure work expanded to include parks, street trees and mobility improvements, while other comments suggested a more streamlined approach focusing utility infrastructure for only affordable and homeless housing development.

Response – At this time, most of the activities commented on will be eligible under the range of programs developed under the Housing Supportive Infrastructure Program. In particular, with the development of the SRP 2.0 Program, COGS will have the opportunity to work with their cities to define their REAP 2 eligible planning and programmatic needs as identified in their draft, adopted and/or compliant housing elements. For the utilities program, the specifics of this program will be developed through an Industry Forum and then a Request for Information and Proposals. At this time, staff is not recommending expanding the concept beyond electricity, water, storm water and/or sewer in that there are other programs that cover the range of activities suggested within this programmatic area while there are not focused funding sources to address utility planning in support of housing development. Further, extensive initial engagement to industry professionals has been done indicating that transformative investment in sewer, storm water, electric and broadband utilities is needed to meet the region’s 6th cycle RHNA goals, and in the Priority Growth Areas identified in Connect SoCal and confirming that this is an area that has been underserved and underfunded.

Theme 6: Requests for a Sub-Allocation Program

A frequent comment received was the request for a subregional allocation to be included in the REAP 2.0 framework, similar to the planning partnership program made available through REAP 1.0.

Response - SCAG will work with the subregional COGs to develop a Subregional Partnership Program 2.0 similar to the subregional partnership program developed under REAP 1.0 but based on REAP 2.0 goals and objectives. Staff will work with each COG to identify interest in pursuing additional projects and will focus program funding on activities that are REAP 2.0 eligible and support cities and counties implement projects and programs identified in draft, adopted and/or or final compliant Housing Elements. To the extent that a COG may choose not to participate in this program, program guidelines will also outline opportunities for cities to access the resources directly from SCAG or its consultants.
MINUTES OF THE MEETING
EXECUTIVE/ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE (EAC)
WEDNESDAY, MAY 4, 2022


The Executive/Administration Committee (EAC) of the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) held its regular meeting at the JW Marriott Desert Springs Resort and Spa, Director Suite B, 74-855 Country Club Drive, Palm Desert, CA 92260. A quorum was present.
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Hon. Clint Lorimore, President
Hon. Jan Harnik, 1st Vice President
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Hon. David Pollock, Chair, EEC
Hon. Deborah Robertson, Vice Chair, EEC
Hon. Art Brown, Vice Chair, TC
Hon. Alan D. Wapner, Chair, LCMC
Hon. Cheryl Viegas-Walker, President’s Appt.
Hon. Larry McCallon, President’s Appt.
Hon. Donald P. Wagner, President’s Appt.
Hon. Lucy Dunn
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Cerritos
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Buena Park
El Centro
Highland

District 4
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District 33
District 23
District 46
District 8
District 21
District 1
District 7
Orange County
Business Representative

Members Not Present
Hon. Carmen Ramirez, 2nd Vice President
Hon. Rex Richardson, Imm. Past President
Hon. Peggy Huang, Vice Chair, LCMC
Hon. Kathryn Barger, President’s Appt.
Hon. Andrew Masiel, Sr.

Long Beach
Pechanga Dev. Corp.

Ventura County
District 29
TCA
Los Angeles County
TGRPB Representative

Staff Present
Kome Ajise, Executive Director
Darin Chidsey, Chief Operating Officer
CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Honorable Clint Lorimore called the meeting to order at 3:01 p.m. President Lorimore asked First Vice President Jan Harnik, RCTC, to lead the Pledge of Allegiance.

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

President Lorimore opened the Public Comment Period and outlined instructions for public comments. He noted this was the time for persons to comment on any matter pertinent to SCAG’s jurisdiction that were not listed on the agenda.

He reminded the public to submit comments via email to ePublicComment@scag.ca.gov. The Clerk acknowledged there no written public comments for items not listed on the agenda.

Seeing no public comment speakers, President Lorimore closed the Public Comment Period.

REVIEW AND PRIORITIZE AGENDA ITEMS

There was no prioritization of agenda items.

ACTION ITEM

1. Findings to Continue Holding Virtual Regional Council and Committee Meetings under AB 361

President Lorimore opened the Public Comment Period.

Seeing no public comment speakers, President Lorimore closed the Public Comment Period.

A MOTION was made (Brown) that the Executive Administration Committee (EAC): (1) make the following findings required by Government Code Section 54953(e)(3) on the basis of the staff
report, which is incorporated by this reference, that (i) a proclaimed state of emergency remains active in connection with the COVID-19 public health crisis, (ii) the EAC has reconsidered the circumstances of the state of emergency and (iii) state and local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing in relation to the COVID-19 public health crisis and, further, (2) authorize all legislative bodies of the Southern California Association of Government (SCAG), including the EAC, RC and all committees and task forces established by the RC or SCAG’s Bylaws, to utilize remote teleconference meetings pursuant to and in compliance with Brown Act provisions contained in Government Code Section 54953(e). Motion was SECONDED (Viegas-Walker) and passed by the following votes:

AYES: Brown, Harnik, Lorimore, Marquez, McCallon, Pollock, Robertson, Viegas-Walker, and Wapner (9)

NOES: None (0)

ABSTAIN: None (0)

2. Resolution No. 22-643-1 Approving the Fiscal Year 2022-23 Final Comprehensive Budget

Cindy Giraldo, Chief Financial Officer, reported that through collaboration with our member agencies, SCAG works to develop solutions for regional problems, including transportation, housing and air quality. She stated that the fiscal year 2022-23 budget before them supports those goals and complies with its primary responsibilities of the sustainable community strategies, the Federal Transportation Improvement Program, the Annual Overall Work Program (OWP), and the transportation related local Air Quality Management Plans. She reported that on March 2nd and 3rd, the EAC and Regional Council, respectively approved the draft comprehensive budget which included the OWP and the General Fund budget. Additionally, staff was authorized to release the draft OWP for public comment and noted that the public comment period closed on April 4th and no public comments received. She reported that Table 1 showed the budget total of $124.7 million, which was an increase of $5.1 million over the budget that was presented to them [the EAC and RC] back in March. She further explained that Attachment 3 to the staff report included a complete detail of every change contributing to that $5.1 million increase, but as discussed in the staff report, the increase was predominantly attributable to the programing of $6.8 million of Last Mile Freight Program grant funds, offset by a $2.7 million decline and FTA 5303 funds, which was based on updated estimates received by Caltrans.

President Lorimore opened the Public Comment Period.

Seeing no public comment speakers, President Lorimore closed the Public Comment Period.
Hon. Alan Wapner, SBCTA, asked how many new staff and capital costs were proposed. Ms. Giraldo acknowledged the question and noted 11 new positions were proposed and no capital costs.

Hon. David Pollock, Moorpark, District 46, noted that the budget had increased by over $6 million for consultants and asked what programs were involved in that increase. Ms. Giraldo acknowledged the question and stated there was a number of programs that were involved in consulting budgets but clarified that the consultant budget number was not just consultants. She stated the budget actually included money that they were going to be passing through to member agencies or to sub recipients. She stated that later when the programs were fully defined by the planning division, then those funds would get allocated and shifted into the appropriate account.

A MOTION was made (Marquez) that the Executive Administration Committee recommend to the Regional Council to: 1) Adopt Resolution No. 22-643-1 approving the Fiscal Year 2022-23 (FY 2022-23) Final Comprehensive Budget, subject to approval of the General Fund Budget and Membership Dues Assessment by the SCAG General Assembly; 2) Authorize the Executive Director, or his designee, to submit the FY 2022-23 Overall Work Program (OWP) to the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and Federal Transit Administration (FTA); and 3) Authorize the Executive Director, or his designee, to submit the FY23 Indirect Cost Rate Proposal (ICRP) and the FY23 Fringe Benefits Cost Rate Proposal to the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). Motion was SECONDED (Pollock) and passed by the following votes:

AYES: Brown, Harnik, Lorimore, Marquez, McCallon, Pollock, Robertson, Viegas-Walker, Wagner, and Wapner (9)

NOES: None (0)

ABSTAIN: None (0)

CONSENT CALENDAR

President Lorimore opened the Public Comment Period.

Seeing no public comment speakers, President Lorimore closed the Public Comment Period.

Approval Items

3. Minutes of the Regular Meeting – of the Regular Meeting – April 6, 2022 and Special Meeting – April 22, 2022
4. Contract Amendment $75,000 or Greater: Contract No. 22-020-C01, Go Human Safety Strategies

5. Housing Bills of Interest

6. SB 1410 (Caballero) – CEQA Transportation Impacts

7. SCAG Memberships and Sponsorship
   Receive and File

8. CFO Monthly Report

A MOTION was made (Brown) to approve Consent Calendar, Items 3 through 7; Receive and File Item 8. Motion was SECONDED (Pollock) and passed by the following votes:

**AYES:** Brown, Harnik, Lorimore, Marquez, McCallon, Pollock, Robertson, Viegas-Walker, Wagner, and Wapner (9)

**NOES:** None (0)

**ABSTAIN:** None (0)

**CFO REPORT**

Cindy Giraldo, Chief Financial Officer highlighted that in April SCAG staff met with the FTA and FHWA for their recertification, which is required every four years. She noted that the Planning division under Ms. Jepson’s leadership and the Government Affairs division under Ms. Cartagena’s leadership did an excellent job presenting not only their compliance with the required federal statutes and regulations, but also demonstrating the tremendous work that they do as an organization throughout the region. She reported that they had received preliminary news that SCAG will be recertified for another four years.

**PRESIDENT’S REPORT**

President Lorimore reported that on April 20th, he joined the Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce for their ACCESS Sacramento trip, where they met with Senator Susan Rubio, who was very receptive, and Assemblymember Adrin Nazarian to discuss SCAG’s budget priorities. He noted they were up again in Sacramento the following week to meet with members and discuss SCAG’s funding priorities and the need for additional investments in the Infill Infrastructure Grant program.
He stated that they met with Assembly Transportation Chair, Laura Friedman, Assemblymember Smitty Smith, and Assemblymember Majority Leader Eloise Gomez-Reyes. Lastly, he reported that the next EAC meeting was scheduled for Wednesday, June 1, 2022, at 3:00 p.m.

**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT**

Executive Director Ajise reported that on April 21, he participated in a panel at CoMotion Miami to discuss SCAG’s equity work in the region and our role in increasing equitable transportation options in our nation. He also reported that in collaboration with our partners at ESRI and member city staff, he participated in a panel discussion at the APA conference in San Diego focused on coordinating local planning initiatives and highlighted our Regional Data Platform. He further reported that SCAG’s Strategic Plan was last updated in 2017 and staff would be kicking off the data gathering process with the consultant, Loree Goffignon, of Performance Works. He informed the members that the Clerk of the Board would be working with the consultant to schedule time with each EAC member in May to start gathering their input on shaping the next plan. He indicated that he anticipated this would also be a topic at the June EAC retreat currently being planned with incoming President Harnik. Lastly, he informed the EAC members that they had been advised that there was a noticed of intent to sue the Federal Government by the AQMD.

**FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS**

Hon. Art Brown, Buena Park, District 21, noted the recent announcement by Metropolitan Water District (MWD) on water. Executive Director Ajise stated that the EEC Chair had requested a presentation on water. Hon. Deborah Robertson, Rialto, District 8, stated that Executive Director Ajise had informed her that they were working on having a presentation at the EEC from MWD.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS**

There were no announcements.

**ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business, President Lorimore adjourned the Regular Meeting of the Executive Administration Committee at 3:44 p.m.

[MINUTES ARE UNOFFICIAL UNTIL APPROVED BY THE EAC]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBERS</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>Representing</th>
<th>JUN</th>
<th>JULY</th>
<th>AUG</th>
<th>SEPT</th>
<th>OCT</th>
<th>NOV</th>
<th>DEC</th>
<th>JAN</th>
<th>FEB</th>
<th>MAR</th>
<th>APR 06</th>
<th>APR 22</th>
<th>MAY</th>
<th>Total Mtgs Attended To Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Clint Lorimore, President</td>
<td>Eastvale</td>
<td>District 4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Jan Harnik, Chair, 1st Vice Chair</td>
<td>RCTC</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sup. Carmen Ramirez, 2nd Vice Chair</td>
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<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Rex Richardson, Imm. Past President</td>
<td>Long Beach</td>
<td>District 29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Jorge Marquez, Chair, CEHD</td>
<td>Covina</td>
<td>District 33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Frank Yokoyama, Vice Chair, CEHD</td>
<td>Cerritos</td>
<td>District 23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. David Pollock, Chair, EEC</td>
<td>Moorpark</td>
<td>District 46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Deborah Roberston, Vice Chair, EEC</td>
<td>Rialto</td>
<td>District 8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
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<td>Hon. Art Brown, Vice Chair, TC</td>
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<td>District 21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Alan Wapner, Chair, LCMC</td>
<td>SBCTA</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Peggy Huang, Vice Chair, LCMC</td>
<td>TCA</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sup. Kathryn Barger, President's Appt.</td>
<td>Los Angeles County</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Larry McCallon, President's Appt.</td>
<td>Highland</td>
<td>District 7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Cheryl Viegas-Walker, President's Appt.</td>
<td>El Centro</td>
<td>District 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sup. Donald P. Wagner, President's Appt.</td>
<td>Orange County</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Andrew Masiel, Sr.</td>
<td>Pechanga Dev. Corporation</td>
<td>Tribal Government Regional Planning Board</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Lucy Dunn, Ex-Officio Member</td>
<td>Lewis Group of Companies</td>
<td>Business Representative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attachment: EAC Attendance Sheet 2021-22 (Minutes of the Meeting - May 4, 2022)
RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR EAC:
That the Executive/Administration Committee (EAC) recommend that the Regional Council (RC) amend the Regional Council Policy Manual (Policy Manual) to provide stipend and travel expense reimbursement to the ex officio business representative to the RC and EAC for attendance at up to 4 meetings per month consisting of the Regional Council, the Executive/Administration Committee, the General Assembly, the Economic Summit, and other SCAG events as the President or Executive Director may specifically request.

RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR RC:
Amend the Regional Council Policy Manual (Policy Manual) to provide stipend and travel expense reimbursement to the ex officio business representative to the RC and EAC for attendance at certain specified official SCAG meetings and up to 4 meetings per month consisting of the Regional Council, the Executive/Administration Committee, the General Assembly, the Economic Summit, and other SCAG events as the President or Executive Director may specifically request.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 4: Provide innovative information and value-added services to enhance member agencies’ planning and operations and promote regional collaboration.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
The Bylaws afford the President the ability to appoint a representative from the private sector to serve on both the RC and the EAC in an ex officio, non-voting capacity (the “Business Representative”). Historically, the Business Representative has provided critical input and perspective on policy matters to, and helped guide policy development by, the RC and the EAC. This input has included monthly verbal reports to the RC and EAC and participation in other meetings to provide business perspective to decisionmakers and executive staff. Currently the Policy Manual states that individuals serving in an ex officio capacity to SCAG bodies (including
the RC) are not eligible for stipends or the reimbursement of travel expenses. Given the unique role played by the Business Representative in helping SCAG, and the RC and EAC, understand how SCAG’s policies may impact the business community, and given that in person meetings and attendance at RC, EAC and PC meetings is becoming more common, staff recommends that the Policy Manual be amended to provide stipend and travel expense reimbursement to the Business Representative for attendance at up to 4 meetings per month consisting of the Regional Council, the Executive/Administration Committee, the General Assembly, the Economic Summit, and other SCAG events as the President or Executive Director may specifically request.

BACKGROUND:
The Policy Manual permits RC Members to receive a stipend and reimbursement of travel expenses for the attendance at various SCAG meeting and events, as more specifically detailed in the Policy Manual. However, the Policy Manual expressly states that individuals serving in an ex officio capacity to SCAG bodies (including the RC) are not eligible for stipends or the reimbursement of travel expenses. This prohibition on receipt of stipends and travel expense reimbursement applies to the Business Representative.

Staff believes that the Policy Manual should be amended to permit the Business Representative to receive stipend payment and reimbursement of travel expenses for his or her attendance at certain specified official SCAG meetings and other SCAG events where the Business Representative’s participation in the meeting or event has a direct and tangible benefit to the RC, EAC or SCAG in the development of regional policy. Historically, the Business Representative has provided critical input and perspective on policy matters to the RC, the EAC and SCAG’s officers and executive staff. This input has included monthly verbal reports to the RC and EAC at their meetings, and has included attending other meetings where the business representative’s perspective has assisted in development of policy. Additionally, since September 2021, the EAC has been holding its meetings in a hybrid manner, with members participating both in-person and remotely. In March, the Policy Committees and RC also began holding their meetings in a hybrid manner. As the public health environment continues to evolve, SCAG may begin transitioning additional meetings to this hybrid format, and, eventually, to more in person meetings, which would increase travel to in person meetings.

For these reasons, staff recommends that the Policy Manual be amended to provide that the Business Representative shall be eligible to receive a stipend and reimbursement of travel expenses, pursuant to the process provided the Policy Manual, for attending up to four (4) meetings per month consisting of the Regional Council, the Executive/Administration Committee, the General Assembly and the Economic Summit, and for attending other SCAG events per month as the President or Executive Director may specifically request. These public meetings afford opportunity for the Business Representative to provide input to SCAG’s decision makers and executive staff in connection with their development of policy. The Business Representative would not be entitled to
a stipend or reimbursement of travel expenses except for those meetings referenced in the previous sentence, and attendance at meetings other than the RC, EAC, General Assembly or the Economic Summit would have to be specifically requested by the President or Executive Director. In all cases, reimbursement of travel expenses and payment of a stipend would be limited to a total of up to four (4) meetings in a calendar month.

Accordingly, the following amendment is proposed for addition to the Policy Manual in a new subdivision (C)(5) to Article VIII:

“Notwithstanding subsection C(4) of this Article VIII, the representative from the private/business sector appointed by the President to serve on both the Regional Council and Executive/Administration Committee as an ex officio member is eligible to receive a Stipend (pursuant to the process provided in Article VIII.B) and reimbursement of travel expenses (as provided in Article VIII.F) for attending up to four (4) meetings per month consisting of the Regional Council, the Executive/Administration Committee, the General Assembly, the Economic Summit, and other SCAG events as the President or Executive Director may specifically request.”

Excerpts of the Policy Manual that are proposed to be amended are attached to this report, with the provisions highlighted for reference and specific changes shown in tracking (deletions/additions).

FISCAL IMPACTS:
Funds for stipends and travel expense reimbursement are included in the General Fund Budget (800-0160.01: Regional Council).

ATTACHMENT(S):
1. Excerpt RC_PolicyManual (Biz Rep Stipend Amends)
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ARTICLE VIII
STIPENDS AND EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENTS

A. General Stipend Payment Policy

(1) The payment for a stipend of one hundred twenty dollars (hereinafter “Stipend”) will be calculated and authorized by the Office of Regional Council Support based on attendance records, attendance sheets or submitted expense reimbursement forms.

(2) Requests for Stipend payments must be received by the Office of Regional Council Support no later than 30 days after the close of the fiscal year for which the Stipend payments are requested.

B. Stipends for Representatives of Regional Council Members and SCAG Officers

(1) Representatives of Regional Council Members and SCAG Officers shall receive a Stipend for attendance at SCAG-sponsored meetings or events (such as, the General Assembly, Regional Council meetings, Economic Summit, Demographic Workshop, etc.) or other SCAG business activities. A Stipend will be authorized for each day of actual attendance at such SCAG-sponsored meetings or activities. Stipends for business travel on behalf of SCAG meetings or activities will be authorized for days on which actual business is conducted and not for days that are devoted solely to travel. With regard to multiple meetings on a single calendar day, a Stipend will be authorized for attendance at every meeting that is at a different address. A request for a Stipend payment must be made in writing and contain information on the date, time, location and purpose of any such meeting and be submitted to the Office of Regional Council Support. Such requests must be approved by the SCAG President or SCAG’s Executive Director or his/her designee. Attendance at SCAG-sponsored meetings or activities shall be demonstrated by a signature on an attendance form, attendance records of SCAG staff at such meetings, or a the submittal of an expense reimbursement form to the Office of Regional Council Support.

(2) Representatives of Regional Council Members and SCAG Officers shall receive only one Stipend for attendance at one or both of the monthly Regional Council meeting and the same day meeting of the individual’s assigned SCAG Policy Committee (or a joint meeting of all of the Policy
Committees). Attendance will be demonstrated by the individual’s signature on the attendance forms for the Regional Council and the Policy Committee.

(3) Representatives of Regional Council Members and SCAG Officers shall receive a Stipend for meetings (including those over the telephone and those involving video- or teleconferencing) that are scheduled by SCAG’s President or by SCAG’s Executive Director or his/her designee.

(4) Representatives of Regional Council Members may receive up to six Stipends per month and the SCAG President may authorize two additional Stipends in a single month on a case-by-case basis. SCAG’s First Vice President, Second Vice President and Immediate Past President may receive up to nine Stipends per month. SCAG’s President may receive up to twelve Stipends per month. Approval by the Regional Council is required for payment of any Stipends in excess of the limits identified herein.

C. Stipends for Other Elected Officials and Individuals serving in an Ex Officio Capacity

(1) Other elected officials (i.e. those not serving as a representative of a Regional Council Member) serving on a SCAG Policy Committee or another SCAG Committee, Subcommittee or Task Force shall receive a Stipend for attendance at a Policy Committee, Committee, Subcommittee or Task Force meeting.

(2) Other elected officials serving on a SCAG Policy Committee or another SCAG Committee, Subcommittee or Task Force shall receive a Stipend for attendance at a meeting (including those over the telephone or those involving video- or teleconferencing) when the attendance of the elected official is requested by the SCAG President or SCAG’s Executive Director or his/her designee.

(3) Attendance at all such meetings shall be demonstrated by a signature on an attendance form, attendance records of SCAG staff at such meetings, or the submittal of an expense reimbursement form to the Office of Regional Council Support. Other elected officials may receive up to four Stipends per month.

(4) Individuals serving in an ex officio capacity in any SCAG body including the Regional Council shall not be eligible for stipends or for the reimbursement of travel expenses (except for certain General Assembly expenses discussed elsewhere in this Policy Manual).

Notwithstanding subsection C(4) of this Article VIII, the representative from the
private/business sector appointed by the President to serve on both the Regional Council and Executive/Administration Committee as an ex officio member is eligible to receive a Stipend (pursuant to the process provided in Article VIII.B) and reimbursement of travel expenses (as provided in Article VIII.F) for attending up to four (4) meetings per month consisting of the Regional Council, the Executive/Administration Committee, the General Assembly, the Economic Summit, and other SCAG events as the President or Executive Director may specifically request.

D. **Special Conditions for Stipends for the General Assembly**

(1) A representative of a Regional Council Member or a SCAG Officer or an elected official serving on a SCAG Policy Committee shall receive a Stipend for attendance at the General Assembly regardless of whether or not the individual serves as an Official Representative or Alternate at the General Assembly.

(2) Stipends are not provided to Official Representatives or Alternates at the General Assembly unless those individuals are either a representative of a Regional Council Member or a SCAG Officer or a member of a SCAG Policy Committee.

(3) Stipends for attendance at the General Assembly are not provided to individuals who serve in an ex officio capacity at the General Assembly; however, registration fees, hotel charges, parking costs and meal costs may be billed directly to SCAG or reimbursed if within the limits of this Article VIII.

E. **General Travel Policy**

(1) SCAG endeavors to maintain an accountable and cost-effective travel policy. Such a travel policy must satisfy the following requirements: (a) be only business related; (b) use the most cost-effective travel options; (c) comply with all applicable SCAG requirements; (d) substantiate expenses as required; (e) return unspent advanced amounts or unused tickets or travel vouchers; and (f) mandate timeliness, accuracy and honesty in the reporting of all travel expenses.

(2) Whenever reasonably possible, travel to SCAG meetings should be avoided if teleconferencing or videoconferencing is available for a meeting.

(3) Requests for travel reimbursement must be received by the Office of Regional Council Support no later than 30 days after the close of the fiscal year in which the travel costs were incurred.
incurred.

(4) The Executive Director or his/her designee shall review all requests for travel reimbursements.

F. **Travel Policy for Representatives of Members of the Regional Council and SCAG Officers**

(1) Representatives of Regional Council Members and SCAG Officers who attend meetings on behalf of SCAG are eligible to receive travel reimbursement for: (a) actual costs of airplane, bus, train, rental car, shuttle, taxi or car service (e.g. Lyft); (b) miles travelled using a personal automobile; and (c) actual parking expenses. Mileage will be reimbursed at the prevailing federal reimbursement rate. Distances will be calculated based on the travel distance from the representative’s seat of government and the meeting location. An airplane, taxi, car service or rental car should be used only if is the best alternative considering both cost and time. Taxi, shuttle and car service gratuities should not exceed 15%. Parking at SCAG’s Los Angeles office will be validated.

(2) International travel always requires advance approval of the Regional Council.

(3) SCAG representatives of Regional Council Members and SCAG Officers should, whenever possible, use SCAG staff to arrange all air travel. Only economy air travel is allowed. Except for air travel, whenever possible representatives of Members of the Regional Council and SCAG Officers should make their own surface travel and lodging arrangements and obtain reimbursement from SCAG for all expenses incurred. If SCAG representatives make their own air travel arrangements and if the cost of airfare significantly exceeds costs regularly paid by SCAG for air travel, approval of SCAG’s President will be required before reimbursement will be made.

(4) The cost of alcoholic beverages cannot be claimed for reimbursement. Meal allowances will not be paid if meal service is provided by SCAG (e.g. lunch at meetings of the Regional Council). The following allowances for meals and incidentals, or 150% (one-hundred fifty percent) of the reimbursement amounts approved by the State of California, whichever is higher and which include an allowance for gratuities, shall apply and be reimbursed without receipts. Higher amounts may be approved by the Regional Council in the case of international travel.

a. Breakfast costs of $10.50 if away from home or if travel begins from home to a meeting that
starts at 10AM or earlier.

b. Lunch costs of $16.50.

c. Dinner costs of $34.50 if away from home or if the travel begins from home to a meeting that starts at or before 4PM and ends at or after 7PM.

d. Incidental costs of $5 for each day involving an overnight stay away from home.

(5) Lodging is reimbursable at the applicable government rate plus taxes if: (a) required for trips outside of the SCAG Region; (b) an individual is required to travel 75 miles or more one way for a SCAG-related activity that begins at 10 AM or earlier; or (c) an individual is required to attend a SCAG-related activity that begins at 1 PM or later and is required to attend a SCAG-related activity the following day that begins at 10 AM or earlier. If government lodging rates do not appear to be available, assistance should be requested from SCAG staff, if possible, to avoid paying above government rates. Lodging charges that are more than double standard government rates for the locale of the lodging will require approval of the SCAG President before reimbursement can be made.

(6) All travel costs must be fully documented, as required, with receipts identifying the date and time the expenses were incurred, the location and the purpose of the travel or expense. The SCAG President or SCAG’s Executive Director or his/her designee must approve travel reimbursement requests without receipts in the case of lost or partial receipts.

G. Travel Policy for Other Elected Officials and/or Appointed Members of SCAG Committees and Task Forces

(1) Other elected officials (those not serving as Representatives of Regional Council Members) and appointed members of SCAG committees and task forces will generally not be asked to travel on behalf of SCAG except for meetings within the SCAG Region. The provisions of Section F above shall apply to any travel outside of the SCAG Region that is requested by SCAG.

(2) Other elected officials and appointed members of SCAG committees may be reimbursed for travel expenses to meetings held within the SCAG Region if they are not reimbursed or provided a stipend from a public agency other than SCAG. Reimbursement will be limited to: (a) parking validation or actual parking costs; and (b) costs of round-trip public transportation or round-trip
mileage at the prevailing federal mileage reimbursement rate. All such travel reimbursement requests will require complete documentation of all expenditures and will be approved by the SCAG President or SCAG’s Executive Director or his/her designee. Travel reimbursement requests that do not have appropriate documentation must be approved by the SCAG President or SCAG’s Executive Director or his/her designee.

***[End Excerpt]***
RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR EAC:
That the Executive/Administration Committee (EAC) recommend to the Regional Council (RC) to:
Adopt Resolution No. 22-644-1 approving the investment of SCAG’s funds in the State of California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF).

RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR RC:
Adopt Resolution No. 22-644-1 approving the investment of SCAG’s funds in the State of California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF).

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 7: Secure funding to support agency priorities to effectively and efficiently deliver work products.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
The Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF), established in the State Treasury under Government Code section 16429.1 et. seq., began in 1977 as an investment alternative for California’s local governments and special districts. LAIF offers local agencies the opportunity to participate in a major portfolio, which invests hundreds of millions of dollars, using the investment expertise of the State Treasurer’s Office professional investment staff at no additional cost to the taxpayer.

SCAG’s funds are currently invested in two accounts with the Los Angeles County Treasurer (LACT) pooled investment fund portfolio. The investment with LAIF will provide SCAG with a diversified investment opportunity, a more efficient withdrawal and deposit process, and a return on investment that is approximately equal to LACT investment. SCAG recommends adopting the attached resolution and authorizing SCAG officers to invest SCAG funds in the LAIF.
BACKGROUND:
The Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF), is a voluntary program created by statute, began in 1977 as an investment alternative for California's local governments and special districts and it continues today under the California Treasurer’s administration. The enabling legislation for the LAIF is Section 16429.1 et seq. of the California Government Code. This program offers local agencies the opportunity to participate in a major portfolio, which invests hundreds of millions of dollars, using the investment expertise of the State Treasurer's Office professional investment staff at no additional cost to the taxpayer. The investment portfolio is highly liquid with low risk and interest earnings.

DISCUSSION:
SCAG’s funds are currently invested in two accounts with the LACT pooled investment fund portfolio. The investment with LAIF will provide SCAG with a diversified investment opportunity, a more efficient withdrawal and deposit process, and a return on investment that is approximately equal to LACT investment (LACT and LAIF average annual yield during the last 18 months is approximately 50 basis points, that is 0.50%).

The following SCAG officers or their successors in office are each authorized to order the deposit or withdrawal of monies in the Local Agency Investment Fund from or to SCAG’s operating bank account and may execute and deliver any and all documents necessary or advisable:

- Kome Ajise, Executive Director
- Darin Chidsey, Chief Operating Officer
- Cindy Giraldo, Chief Financial Officer
- Erika Bustamante, Deputy Finance Director
- Emad Gewaily, Controller

The attached Resolution would remain in full force and effect until rescinded by the SCAG Regional Council by resolution and a copy of the resolution rescinding this resolution is filed with the State Treasurer’s Office.

FISCAL IMPACT:
None.

ATTACHMENT(S):
1. Resolution No. 22-644-1 - LAIF
RESOLUTION NO. 22-644-1

A RESOLUTION OF THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS (SCAG) APPROVING THE INVESTMENT OF SCAG’S FUNDS IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA LOCAL AGENCY INVESTMENT FUND (LAIF)

WHEREAS, the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) is the Metropolitan Planning Organization, for the six county region consisting of Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, and Imperial counties;

WHEREAS, the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) is established in the State Treasury under Government Code section 16429.1 et. seq. for the deposit of money of a local agency for purposes of investment by the State Treasurer; and

WHEREAS, the SCAG Regional Council hereby finds that the deposit and withdrawal of money in the LAIF in accordance with Government Code section 16429.1 et. seq. for the purpose of investment as provided therein is in the best interests of SCAG;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the SCAG Regional Council hereby authorizes the deposit and withdrawal of agency monies in the LAIF in the State Treasury in accordance with Government Code section 16429.1 et. seq. for the purpose of investment as provided therein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT: as follows:

Section 1. The following SCAG officers holding the title(s) specified hereinbelow or their successors in office are each hereby authorized to order the deposit or withdrawal of monies in the LAIF and may execute and deliver any and all documents necessary or advisable in order to effectuate the purposes of this resolution and the transactions contemplated hereby:
Section 2. This resolution shall remain in full force and effect until rescinded by the SCAG Regional Council by resolution and a copy of the resolution rescinding this resolution is filed with the State Treasurer's Office.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED by the Regional Council of the Southern California Association of Governments at its regular meeting this 2nd day of June, 2022.
Jan C. Harnik  
President, SCAG  
Riverside County Transportation Commission

Attested by:

______________________________  
Kome Ajise  
Executive Director

Approved as to Form:

______________________________  
Michael R.W. Houston  
Chief Counsel
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Approve Amendment 9 to contract 17-026-C1, with Eide Bailly, LLC, to provide additional professional auditing services, increasing the contract value $83,500, from $365,024 to $448,524. Authorize the Executive Director, or his designee, pursuant to legal counsel review, to execute the contract amendment on behalf of SCAG.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
In April 2017, SCAG awarded contract 17-026-C1 to Eide Bailly, LLC (Formerly Vavrinek, Trine, Day and Company, LLP), to conduct audit services pursuant to the United States Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Title 2 United State Code of Federal Regulations Part 200 (2 CFR 200) Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards. The initial contract was to end June 30, 2020, but staff requested, and the Regional Council approved, an extension of the contract term for an additional two-years (i.e., through June 30, 2022) to maintain the continuity of services. Due to new funding and programs, like the Regional Early Action Planning (REAP), additional financial statement audit and single audit work are required that was not included in the original contract scope of work. Staff now requests that the not to exceed amount of the contract be increased by $83,500 for audit services required to complete our FY 2021-22 audit (the work for this audit will be performed during the period June 15, 2022 through March 31, 2023).

This amendment when combined with a previous amendment also exceeds 30% of the contract’s original value. Therefore, in accordance the SCAG Procurement Manual (dated January 2021) Section 9.3, it requires the Regional Council’s approval.
Background:

**Staff recommends executing the following amendment greater than 30% of the contract’s original value:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant/Contract #</th>
<th>Amendment’s Purpose</th>
<th>Amendment Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eide Bailly, LLC</td>
<td>The consultant shall provide additional to provide additional professional auditing services.</td>
<td>$83,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FISCAL IMPACT:**
Funding of $83,500 is available in the FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 Indirect Cost budget in Project Number 810-0120.08.

**ATTACHMENT(S):**
1. Contract Summary 17-026-C1 Amendment No. 9
2. Contract Summary 17-026-C1 Amendment No. 9 COI
Recommended Consultant:

Eide Bailly, LLC (Formerly Vavrinek, Trine, Day and Company, LLP)

Background & Scope of Work:

In April 2017, SCAG awarded contract 17-026-C1 to Eide Bailly, LLC (Formerly Vavrinek, Trine, Day and Company, LLP), to conduct audit services pursuant to the United States Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Title 2 United State Code of Federal Regulations Part 200 (2 CFR 200) Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards. The initial contract was to end June 30, 2020, but staff requested, and the Regional Council approved, an extension of the contract term for an additional two-years (i.e., through June 30, 2022) to maintain the continuity of services. Due to new funding and programs, like Regional Early Action Planning (REAP), additional financial statement audit and single audit work is required that was not included in the original contract scope of work. Staff now requests that the not to exceed amount of the contract is increased by $83,500 to cover additional work needed during FY 2021-22 audit (the work for this audit will be performed during the period June 15, 2022 through March 31, 2023).

This Amendment will also increase the contract value from $365,024 to $448,524.

Project’s Benefits & Key Deliverables:

The project’s benefits and key deliverables include, but are not limited to:
- Providing Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and Single Audit
- Report auditor opinions;
- Increasing the public’s confidence in the financial statements released by SCAG;
- Increasing the ability to safeguard SCAG’s assets; and
- Providing assurance that SCAG’s control environment is adequate.

Strategic Plan:

This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan Goal 1: Improve Regional Decision Making by Providing Leadership and Consensus Building on Key Plans and Policies; Objective: a) Create and facilitate a collaborative and cooperative environment to produce forward thinking regional plans.

Amendment Amount

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amendment 9</td>
<td>$83,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendment 8</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendment 7 (administrative - no change to contract’s value)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendment 6</td>
<td>$125,386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendment 5 (administrative - no change to contract’s value)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendment 4</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendment 3 (administrative - no change to contract’s value)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendment 2 (administrative - no change to contract’s value)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendment 1 (administrative - no change to contract’s value)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original contract value</td>
<td>$209,638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total contract value is not to exceed</strong></td>
<td><strong>$448,524</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This amendment when combined with a previous amendment also exceeds 30% of the contract’s original value. Therefore, in accordance with the SCAG Procurement Manual (dated January 2021) Section 9.3, it requires the Regional Council’s approval.

**Contract Period:** April 10, 2017 through March 31, 2023

**Project Number:** 810.0120.08  $448,524

Funding of $83,500 is available in the FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 Indirect Cost budget in Project Number 810-0120.08.

**Basis for the Amendment:** The consultant is in the 6th year of its contract with SCAG which will take the contract through June 30, 2023. As previously stated, due to new funding and programs, like REAP, additional financial statement audit and single audit work is required that was not included in the original contract scope of work. Staff now requests that the not to exceed amount of the contract is increased by $83,500 to cover additional work needed during FY 2021-22 audit (the work for this audit will be performed during the period June 15, 2022 through March 31, 2023).
Conflict Of Interest (COI) Form - Attachment
For June 2, 2022 the Regional Council Approval

Approve Amendment 9 to contract 17-026-C1, with Eide Bailly, LLC, to provide additional professional auditing services, increasing the contract value $83,500, from $365,024 to $448,524. Authorize the Executive Director, or his designee, pursuant to legal counsel review, to execute the contract amendment on behalf of SCAG.

The consultant team for this contract includes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant Name</th>
<th>Did the consultant disclose a conflict in the Conflict of Interest Form they submitted with its original proposal (Yes or No)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eide Bailly, LLC</td>
<td>No - form attached</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SCAG CONFLICT OF INTEREST FORM

Contract No. 17-026-C01

SECTION I: INSTRUCTIONS

All persons or firms seeking contracts must complete and submit a SCAG Conflict of Interest Form along with the proposal. This requirement also applies to any proposed subconsultant(s). Failure to comply with this requirement may cause your proposal to be declared non-responsive.

In order to answer the questions contained in this form, please review SCAG’s Conflict of Interest Policy, the list of SCAG employees, and the list of SCAG’s Regional Council members. All three documents can be viewed online at https://scag.ca.gov. The SCAG Conflict of Interest Policy is located under “GET INVOLVED”, then “Contract & Vendor Opportunities” and scroll down under the “Vendor Contracts Documents” tab; whereas the SCAG staff may be found under “ABOUT US” then “OUR TEAM" then "Employee Directory"; and Regional Council members can be found under “MEETINGS”, then scroll down to “LEADERSHIP” then select "REGIONAL COUNCIL" on the left side of the page and click on “Regional Council Officers and Member List.”

Any questions regarding the information required to be disclosed in this form should be directed to SCAG’s Legal Division, especially if you answer “yes” to any question in this form, as doing so may also disqualify your firm from submitting an offer on this proposal.

Name of Firm: Eide Bailly LLP
Name of Preparer: Roger Alford
Project Title: Financial Statement Audit Services
Date Submitted: 11/14/2021

SECTION II: QUESTIONS

1. During the last twelve (12) months, has your firm provided a source of income to employees of SCAG or members of the SCAG Regional Council, or have any employees or Regional Council members held any investment (including real property) in your firm?

☐ YES ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list the names of those SCAG employees and/or SCAG Regional Council members and the nature of the financial interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Nature of Financial Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Have you or any members of your firm been an employee of SCAG or served as a member of the SCAG Regional Council within the last twelve (12) months?
☐ YES  ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name, position, and dates of service:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Dates of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Are you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm related by blood or marriage/domestic partnership to an employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council that is considering your proposal?

☐ YES  ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Does an employee of SCAG or a member of the SCAG Regional Council hold a position at your firm as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or any position of management?

☐ YES  ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Have you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm ever given (directly or indirectly), or offered to give on behalf of another or through another person, campaign contributions or gifts to any current employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council (including contributions to a political committee created by or on behalf of a member/candidate)?

☐ YES  ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name, date gift or contribution was given/offered, and dollar value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dollar Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION III: VALIDATION STATEMENT

This Validation Statement must be completed and signed by at least one General Partner, Owner, Principal, or Officer authorized to legally commit the proposer.

DECLARATION

I, (printed full name) Roger A. Faro, hereby declare that I am the (position or title) Partner of (firm name) Eide Bailly, LLP, and that I am duly authorized to execute this Validation Statement on behalf of this entity. I hereby state that this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form dated 11/11/2021 is correct and current as submitted. I acknowledge that any false, deceptive, or fraudulent statements on this Validation Statement will result in rejection of my contract proposal.

Signature of Person Certifying for Proposer (original signature required)  
Date

NOTICE

A material false statement, omission, or fraudulent inducement made in connection with this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form is sufficient cause for rejection of the contract proposal or revocation of a prior contract award.
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Approve Contract No. 21-048-C01 MRFP 05 in an amount not to exceed $790,713 with IBI Group to develop for the cities of Long Beach, Los Angeles, and Stanton, a Curb Space Data Collection & Inventory Study. Authorize the Executive Director, or his designee, pursuant to legal counsel review, to execute the contract amendment on behalf of SCAG.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians. 4: Provide innovative information and value-added services to enhance member agencies’ planning and operations and promote regional collaboration.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
The consultant will develop for the cities of Long Beach, Los Angeles, and Stanton, a Curb Space Data Collection & Inventory Study. This study will consider important elements for each city independently and for the broader region related to the dynamic challenges facing curb usage through multi-modal and commercial activities. Key study developments will include review of existing curb policies and curb management recommendations highlighting best practices, coordination with key stakeholders and communities, development of data analytics tools, guidance to advance city policies, and focus on curb management plans, among others.

BACKGROUND:
Staff recommends executing the following contract $200,000 or greater:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant/Contract #</th>
<th>Contract Purpose</th>
<th>Contract Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IBI Group</td>
<td>The consultant shall develop for the cities of</td>
<td>$790,713</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(21-048-C01) Long Beach, Los Angeles, and Stanton, a Curb Space Data Collection & Inventory Study.

FISCAL IMPACT:
Funding of $459,531 is available in the FY 2021-22 Overall Work Program (OWP) in Project Number 275.4895.01, and the remainder is expected to be available in FY 2022-23 and FY 2023-24 OWP, subject to budget availability.

ATTACHMENT(S):
1. Contract Summary 21-048-C01 MRFP 05
2. Contract Summary 21-048-C01 MRFP 05 COI
CONSULTANT CONTRACT NO. 21-048-C01 MRFP 05

Recommended Consultant: IBI Group

Background & Scope of Work:
The consultant shall provide services for a Sustainability Planning Grant for the cities of Long Beach, Los Angeles, and Stanton, to develop a Curb Space Data Collection & Inventory Study ("Study"). Curb space data collection and inventory is a major need for cities as well as the region, and strategies can potentially help achieve a balanced transportation system.

The consultant shall perform this Study and consider important elements for each city independently and for the broader region related to the dynamic challenges facing curb usage through multi-modal and commercial activities. Key Study areas include, but are not limited to, review of existing curb policies and curb management recommendations highlighting best practices, coordination with key stakeholders and communities, development of data analytics tools, guidance to advance city policies, and focus on curb management plans, among others.

The Study will directly align with Connect SoCal’s Sustainable Community Strategy (SCS) goals and strategies by focusing growth near destinations and mobility options, create an opportunity to leverage technology innovations, support the implementation of sustainable policies, promote a green region, and take a GHG reducing approach to transportation planning and future development.

Project’s Benefits & Key Deliverables: The project’s benefits and key deliverables include, but are not limited to:

- Providing a comprehensive Stakeholder Coordination Plan and process to afford each city appropriate engagement and dialogue needs and tools across the universe of curb space users to inform curb inventory and demand characteristics, and other management needs and considerations;
- Performing a collective existing conditions assessment of city curb policies, land-use characteristics and inventory, multimodal and commercial curb users to identify key site locations that can be considered for further data collection and analysis and strategies and recommendations; and
- Recommending data analytic tools, digital inventory management, best practices, and pilot project concepts tailored for each city, with more broader regional tools concurrently identified.

Strategic Plan: This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan Goal: 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians. Goal 4: Provide innovative information and value-added services to enhance member agencies’ planning and operations and promote regional collaboration.

Contract Amount: Total not to exceed $790,713

IBI Group (prime consultant) $490,663
Sutra Research (sub-consultant) $131,153
Cityfi (sub-consultant) $89,430
MBI Media (sub-consultant) $79,467
Note: IBI Group originally proposed $799,308 but staff negotiated the price down to $790,713 without reducing the scope of work.

**Contract Period:**
Notice to Proceed through February 28, 2024

**Project Number(s):**
275.4895.01  $790,713
Funding source(s): SB 1 Sustainable Communities (SC) Formula and Transportation Development Act (TDA)

Funding of $790,713 is available in the FY 2021-22 OWP budget in Project Number 275.4895.01, and whatever is not spent in FY 2021-22 will be carried over into the FY 2022-2023 OWP.

**Request for Proposal (RFP):**
SCAG staff released RFP 21-048-MRFP 05 to all 21 firms on SCAG’s Transportation Planning Bench. Staff received the following four (4) proposals in response to the solicitation:

- **IBI Group (3 subconsultants)**  $799,308
  - Populus Technologies, Inc. (1 subconsultant)  $785,799
  - CDM Smith (1 subconsultant)  $796,130
  - Cambridge Systematics (2 subconsultants)  $849,177

**Selection Process:**
The Proposal Review Committee (PRC) evaluated each proposal in accordance with the criteria set forth in the RFP and conducted the selection process in a manner consistent with all applicable federal and state contracting regulations.

The PRC consisted of the following individuals:

- Scott Strelecki, Program Manager (Project Manager), SCAG
- Tomas Carranza, Principal Transportation Engineer, City of Los Angeles
- Reed Sherlock, Transportation Planner, City of Long Beach
- Maribeth Tinio, Planning Manager, City of Stanton

**Basis for Selection:**
The PRC recommended IBI Group for the contract award because the consultant;
- Demonstrated the best understanding of the project, specifically, provided a thorough approach utilizing a core stakeholder process through a highly experienced team, to inform the entirety of the study, while balancing both regional and city perspectives and needs, as well as implementation strategies and outcomes; and
- Provided the best technical approach, for example, IBI Group will leverage multiple developed tools including the CurbIQ platform and Quantum parametric model, to optimize data collection and analysis efforts across tasks, while incorporating further data collection needs and stakeholder engagement for each respective city.
Although two (2) other firms proposed lower prices, the PRC did not recommend these firms for contract award because these firms:

- Did not clearly demonstrate a sufficient level of effort, primarily in the form of staff hours, or well-defined integration of sub-consultants to satisfactorily complete the tasks in the Scope of Work;
- The allocation of resources was either predominantly focused on micro-mobility aspects or less clear curb management strategies and alignment with how task elements would come together to a final study product; and
- Did not propose a technical approach that fully met the RFP scope, specifically, did not provide enough information or clarity across all tasks to ensure all task elements could be met. Ultimately, did not demonstrate the breadth of experience as did the IBI Group.
Approve Contract No. 21-048-C01 MRFP 05 in an amount not to exceed $790,713 with IBI Group to develop for the cities of Long Beach, Los Angeles, and Stanton, a Curb Space Data Collection & Inventory Study. Authorize the Executive Director, or his designee, pursuant to legal counsel review, to execute the contract amendment on behalf of SCAG.

The consultant team for this contract includes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant Name</th>
<th>Did the consultant disclose a conflict in the Conflict of Interest Form they submitted with its original proposal (Yes or No)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IBI Group (prime consultant)</td>
<td>No - form attached</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutra Research (sub-consultant)</td>
<td>No – form attached</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cityfi (sub-consultant)</td>
<td>No – form attached</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBI Media (sub-consultant)</td>
<td>No - form attached</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SCAG CONFLICT OF INTEREST FORM

SECTION I: INSTRUCTIONS

All persons or firms seeking contracts must complete and submit a SCAG Conflict of Interest Form along with the proposal. This requirement also applies to any proposed subconsultant(s). Failure to comply with this requirement may cause your proposal to be declared non-responsive.

In order to answer the questions contained in this form, please review SCAG’s Conflict of Interest Policy, the list of SCAG employees, and the list of SCAG’s Regional Council members. All three documents can be viewed online at https://scag.ca.gov. The SCAG Conflict of Interest Policy is located under “GET INVOLVED”, then “Contract & Vendor Opportunities” and scroll down under the “Vendor Contracts Documents” tab; whereas the SCAG staff may be found under “ABOUT US” then “OUR TEAM” then "Employee Directory"; and Regional Council members can be found under “MEETINGS”, then scroll down to “LEADERSHIP” then select "REGIONAL COUNCIL" on the left side of the page and click on “Regional Council Officers and Member List.”

Any questions regarding the information required to be disclosed in this form should be directed to SCAG’s Legal Division, especially if you answer “yes” to any question in this form, as doing so MAY also disqualify your firm from submitting an offer on this proposal.

Name of Firm: IBI Group, A California Partnership

Name of Preparer: William Delo, Director

Project Title: Sustainable Communities Program - Curb Space Data Collection & Inventory Study

RFP Number: No. 21-048-MRFP 05  Date Submitted: 03/07/2022

SECTION II: QUESTIONS

1. During the last twelve (12) months, has your firm provided a source of income to employees of SCAG or members of the SCAG Regional Council, or have any employees or Regional Council members held any investment (including real property) in your firm?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list the names of those SCAG employees and/or SCAG Regional Council members and the nature of the financial interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Nature of Financial Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attachment: Contract Summary 21-048-C01 MRFP 05 COI (Contracts $200,000 or Greater: Contract No. 21-048-C01 MRFP 05, Sustainable
2. Have you or any members of your firm been an employee of SCAG or served as a member of the SCAG Regional Council within the last twelve (12) months?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list name, position, and dates of service:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Dates of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Are you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm related by blood or marriage/domestic partnership to an employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council that is considering your proposal?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Does an employee of SCAG or a member of the SCAG Regional Council hold a position at your firm as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or any position of management?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Have you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm ever given (directly or indirectly), or offered to give on behalf of another or through another person, campaign contributions or gifts to any current employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council (including contributions to a political committee created by or on behalf of a member/candidate)?

☐ YES   ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list name, date gift or contribution was given/offered, and dollar value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dollar Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION III: VALIDATION STATEMENT

This Validation Statement must be completed and signed by at least one General Partner, Owner, Principal, or Officer authorized to legally commit the proposer.

DECLARATION

I, (printed full name) William Delo, Director of IBI Group, A California Partnership, hereby declare that I am the (position or title) and that I am duly authorized to execute this Validation Statement on behalf of this entity. I hereby state that this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form dated 03/07/2022 is correct and current as submitted. I acknowledge that any false, deceptive, or fraudulent statements on this Validation Statement will result in rejection of my contract proposal.

Signature of Person Certifying for Proposer

Date 03/07/2022

NOTICE

A material false statement, omission, or fraudulent inducement made in connection with this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form is sufficient cause for rejection of the contract proposal or revocation of a prior contract award.
SCAG CONFLICT OF INTEREST FORM

SECTION I: INSTRUCTIONS

All persons or firms seeking contracts must complete and submit a SCAG Conflict of Interest Form along with the proposal. This requirement also applies to any proposed subconsultant(s). Failure to comply with this requirement may cause your proposal to be declared non-responsive.

In order to answer the questions contained in this form, please review SCAG’s Conflict of Interest Policy, the list of SCAG employees, and the list of SCAG’s Regional Council members. All three documents can be viewed online at https://scag.ca.gov. The SCAG Conflict of Interest Policy is located under “GET INVOLVED”, then “Contract & Vendor Opportunities” and scroll down under the “Vendor Contracts Documents” tab; whereas the SCAG staff may be found under “ABOUT US” then “OUR TEAM” then "Employee Directory"; and Regional Council members can be found under “MEETINGS”, then scroll down to “LEADERSHIP” then select "REGIONAL COUNCIL" on the left side of the page and click on “Regional Council Officers and Member List.”

Any questions regarding the information required to be disclosed in this form should be directed to SCAG’s Legal Division, especially if you answer “yes” to any question in this form, as doing so MAY also disqualify your firm from submitting an offer on this proposal.

Name of Firm: Cityfi
Name of Preparer: Gabe Klein
Project Title: Sustainable Communities Program - Curb Space Data Collection & Inventory Study
RFP Number: No. 21-048-MRFP 05 Date Submitted: 02/22/2022

SECTION II: QUESTIONS

1. During the last twelve (12) months, has your firm provided a source of income to employees of SCAG or members of the SCAG Regional Council, or have any employees or Regional Council members held any investment (including real property) in your firm?

☐ YES  ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list the names of those SCAG employees and/or SCAG Regional Council members and the nature of the financial interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Nature of Financial Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Have you or any members of your firm been an employee of SCAG or served as a member of the SCAG Regional Council within the last twelve (12) months?

☐ YES  ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name, position, and dates of service:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Dates of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Are you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm related by blood or marriage/domestic partnership to an employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council that is considering your proposal?

☐ YES  ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Does an employee of SCAG or a member of the SCAG Regional Council hold a position at your firm as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or any position of management?

☐ YES  ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Have you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm ever given (directly or indirectly), or offered to give on behalf of another or through another person, campaign contributions or gifts to any current employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council (including contributions to a political committee created by or on behalf of a member/candidate)?

☐ YES  ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name, date gift or contribution was given/offered, and dollar value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dollar Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION III: VALIDATION STATEMENT

This Validation Statement must be completed and signed by at least one General Partner, Owner, Principal, or Officer authorized to legally commit the proposer.

DECLARATION

I, (printed full name) ____________________________, hereby declare that I am the (position or title) Partner of (firm name) ____________________________, and that I am duly authorized to execute this Validation Statement on behalf of this entity. I hereby state that this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form dated _______________ is correct and current as submitted. I acknowledge that any false, deceptive, or fraudulent statements on this Validation Statement will result in rejection of my contract proposal.

[Signature]

02/22/2022

Signature of Person Certifying for Proposer
(original signature required)

Date

NOTICE

A material false statement, omission, or fraudulent inducement made in connection with this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form is sufficient cause for rejection of the contract proposal or revocation of a prior contract award.
SCAG CONFLICT OF INTEREST FORM

SECTION I: INSTRUCTIONS

All persons or firms seeking contracts must complete and submit a SCAG Conflict of Interest Form along with the proposal. This requirement also applies to any proposed subconsultant(s). Failure to comply with this requirement may cause your proposal to be declared non-responsive.

In order to answer the questions contained in this form, please review SCAG’s Conflict of Interest Policy, the list of SCAG employees, and the list of SCAG’s Regional Council members. All three documents can be viewed online at https://scag.ca.gov. The SCAG Conflict of Interest Policy is located under “GET INVOLVED”, then “Contract & Vendor Opportunities” and scroll down under the “Vendor Contracts Documents” tab; whereas the SCAG staff may be found under “ABOUT US” then “OUR TEAM” then ”Employee Directory”; and Regional Council members can be found under “MEETINGS”, then scroll down to “LEADERSHIP” then select "REGIONAL COUNCIL" on the left side of the page and click on “Regional Council Officers and Member List.”

Any questions regarding the information required to be disclosed in this form should be directed to SCAG’s Legal Division, especially if you answer “yes” to any question in this form, as doing so MAY also disqualify your firm from submitting an offer on this proposal.

Name of Firm: Sutra Research
Name of Preparer: Elizabeth Hannon
Project Title: Sustainable Communities Program - Curb Space Data Collection & Inventory Study
RFP Number: 21-048-MRFP 05 Date Submitted: 2.23.2022

SECTION II: QUESTIONS

1. During the last twelve (12) months, has your firm provided a source of income to employees of SCAG or members of the SCAG Regional Council, or have any employees or Regional Council members held any investment (including real property) in your firm?

☐ YES ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list the names of those SCAG employees and/or SCAG Regional Council members and the nature of the financial interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Nature of Financial Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Have you or any members of your firm been an employee of SCAG or served as a member of the SCAG Regional Council within the last twelve (12) months?

☐ YES ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list name, position, and dates of service:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Dates of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Are you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm related by blood or marriage/domestic partnership to an employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council that is considering your proposal?

☐ YES ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Does an employee of SCAG or a member of the SCAG Regional Council hold a position at your firm as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or any position of management?

☐ YES ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Have you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm ever given (directly or indirectly), or offered to give on behalf of another or through another person, campaign contributions or gifts to any current employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council (including contributions to a political committee created by or on behalf of a member/candidate)?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list name, date gift or contribution was given/offered, and dollar value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dollar Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION III: VALIDATION STATEMENT

This Validation Statement must be completed and signed by at least one General Partner, Owner, Principal, or Officer authorized to legally commit the proposer.

DECLARATION

I, (printed full name) Elizabeth Hannon, hereby declare that I am the (position or title) COO of (firm name) Sutra Research, and that I am duly authorized to execute this Validation Statement on behalf of this entity. I hereby state that this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form dated 2.23.2022 is correct and current as submitted. I acknowledge that any false, deceptive, or fraudulent statements on this Validation Statement will result in rejection of my contract proposal.

______________________________  2.23.2022
Signature of Person Certifying for Proposer  Date
(original signature required)

NOTICE

A material false statement, omission, or fraudulent inducement made in connection with this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form is sufficient cause for rejection of the contract proposal or revocation of a prior contract award.
SCAG CONFLICT OF INTEREST FORM

SECTION I: INSTRUCTIONS

All persons or firms seeking contracts must complete and submit a SCAG Conflict of Interest Form along with the proposal. This requirement also applies to any proposed subconsultant(s). Failure to comply with this requirement may cause your proposal to be declared non-responsive.

In order to answer the questions contained in this form, please review SCAG’s Conflict of Interest Policy, the list of SCAG employees, and the list of SCAG’s Regional Council members. All three documents can be viewed online at https://scag.ca.gov. The SCAG Conflict of Interest Policy is located under “GET INVOLVED”, then “Contract & Vendor Opportunities” and scroll down under the “Vendor Contracts Documents” tab; whereas the SCAG staff may be found under “ABOUT US” then “OUR TEAM” then "Employee Directory"; and Regional Council members can be found under “MEETINGS”, then scroll down to “LEADERSHIP” then select "REGIONAL COUNCIL" on the left side of the page and click on “Regional Council Officers and Member List.”

Any questions regarding the information required to be disclosed in this form should be directed to SCAG’s Legal Division, especially if you answer “yes” to any question in this form, as doing so MAY also disqualify your firm from submitting an offer on this proposal.

Name of Firm: McCormick-Busse, Inc. (DBA MBI Media)
Name of Preparer: Matthew Castle
Project Title: Sustainable Communities Program - Curb Space Data Collection & Inventory Study
RFP Number: 21-048-MRFP 05 Date Submitted: 3/1/22

SECTION II: QUESTIONS

1. During the last twelve (12) months, has your firm provided a source of income to employees of SCAG or members of the SCAG Regional Council, or have any employees or Regional Council members held any investment (including real property) in your firm?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list the names of those SCAG employees and/or SCAG Regional Council members and the nature of the financial interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Nature of Financial Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Have you or any members of your firm been an employee of SCAG or served as a member of the SCAG Regional Council within the last twelve (12) months?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list name, position, and dates of service:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Dates of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Are you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm related by blood or marriage/domestic partnership to an employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council that is considering your proposal?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Does an employee of SCAG or a member of the SCAG Regional Council hold a position at your firm as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or any position of management?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Have you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm ever given (directly or indirectly), or
offered to give on behalf of another or through another person, campaign contributions or gifts to any
current employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council (including contributions to a
political committee created by or on behalf of a member/candidate)?

☐ YES       X NO

If “yes,” please list name, date gift or contribution was given/offered, and dollar value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dollar Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION III: VALIDATION STATEMENT

This Validation Statement must be completed and signed by at least one General Partner, Owner, Principal, or
Officer authorized to legally commit the proposer.

DECLARATION

I, (printed full name) Matthew Castle, hereby declare that I am the (position or title)
President of (firm name) McCormick-Busse, Inc., and that I am duly
authorized to execute this Validation Statement on behalf of this entity. I hereby state that this SCAG Conflict
of Interest Form dated 3/1/22 is correct and current as submitted. I acknowledge that any
false, deceptive, or fraudulent statements on this Validation Statement will result in rejection of my contract
proposal.

[Signature]

Signature of Person Certifying for Proposer
(original signature required)

Date 3/1/22

NOTICE

A material false statement, omission, or fraudulent inducement made in connection with this SCAG Conflict of
Interest Form is sufficient cause for rejection of the contract proposal or revocation of a prior contract award.
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Approve Contract No. 22-033-C01 in an amount not to exceed $893,551 (subject to negotiation) with Environmental Science Associates (ESA) to assist SCAG in completing the Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) and provide services to ensure compliance with federal and state planning and environmental laws in the PEIR. Authorize the Executive Director, or his designee, pursuant to legal counsel review, to execute the contract amendment on behalf of SCAG.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
The consultant will assist SCAG in preparing a PEIR for the 2024 RTP/SCS, better known as “Connect SoCal,” in accordance with environmental compliance procedures under federal metropolitan planning law and regulations, the CEQA statutes and guidelines, and other relevant federal and state environmental laws and regulations.

BACKGROUND:
Staff recommends executing the following contract $200,000 or greater:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant/Contract #</th>
<th>Contract Purpose</th>
<th>Contract Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Science Associates (22-033-C01)</td>
<td>To assist SCAG in completing the Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) and provide services to ensure compliance with federal and state planning and environmental laws in the PEIR.</td>
<td>$893,551</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FISCAL IMPACT:
Funding of $650,000 is available in the FY 2022-23 OWP budget in Project Number 020.0161.04 and the remaining $243,551 is expected to be available in the FY 2023-24 OWP budget in Project Number 020.0161.04 subject to budget availability.

ATTACHMENT(S):
1. Contract Summary 22-033-C01
2. Contract Summary 22-033-C01 COI
**CONSULTANT CONTRACT NO. 22-033-C01**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommended Consultant:</th>
<th>Environmental Sciences Associates (ESA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Background & Scope of Work:

The 2024 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) is a long-term plan for the development and preservation of the region’s transportation system. Development of the RTP is mandated by Federal and State law and is one of SCAG’s primary responsibilities. For a transportation project to become eligible for federal and state funding, it must be included in the financially-constrained portion of the RTP. In accordance with the Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act of 2008, or Senate Bill (SB) 375 (Steinberg), the RTP is required to include a Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) which details strategies to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from automobiles and light-duty trucks.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), Pub. Res. Code § 21000 et seq., and its implementing regulations, CEQA Guidelines, codified at 14 C.C.R. § 15000 et seq., require SCAG as the Lead Agency to prepare an Environmental Impact Report for the Plan. The Plan necessitates preparation of a Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR), which is a “first-tier” CEQA document designed to consider “broad policy alternatives and program-wide mitigation measures” (CEQA Guidelines §15168). The consultant shall prepare a PEIR for the 2024 RTP/SCS, better known as “Connect SoCal,” in accordance with environmental compliance procedures under federal metropolitan planning law and regulations, the CEQA statutes and guidelines, and other relevant federal and state environmental laws and regulations.

The 2024 Connect SoCal PEIR will be a program-level document that will analyze the cumulative effects of proposed actions, as well as transportation improvements and land use developments discussed in 2024 Connect SoCal. Additionally, the 2024 Connect SoCal PEIR will identify strategies to avoid or mitigate those environmental impacts where warranted. It will also provide the basis for further project-level CEQA (and possibly NEPA) compliance for implementation of future projects.

### Project’s Benefits & Key Deliverables:

The project’s benefits and key deliverables include, but are not limited to:

- Preparation of the Draft and Final PEIR, Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, and technical reports; and
- Fulfillment of state and federal requirements that allows for the adoption of the 2024 Connect SoCal, which crucially allows project sponsors to qualify for federal funding.

### Strategic Plan:

This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians; Objective A: Create plans that enhance the region’s strength, economy, resilience and adaptability by reducing greenhouse gas emissions and air pollution; and Objective E: Facilitate inclusive and meaningful engagement with diverse stakeholders to produce plans that are effective and responsive to community needs.
Contract Amount: Total not to exceed $893,551

Environmental Science Associates (ESA) (prime consultant) $638,519
Sirius Environmental (subconsultant) $165,658
Terry A. Hayes & Associates (subconsultant) $46,774
Diego and Son Printing (subconsultant) $42,600

Contract Period: Notice to Proceed through June 30, 2024

Project Number(s): 020.0161.04 $650,000
Funding source(s): FHWA PL

Funding of $650,000 is available in the FY 2022-23 budget, and the remaining $243,551 is expected to be available in the FY 2023-24 budget in Project Number 020.0161.04, subject to budget availability.

Request for Proposal (RFP): SCAG staff notified 3,169 firms of the release of RFP 22-033 via SCAG’s Solicitation Management System website. A total of 52 firms downloaded the RFP. SCAG received the following three (3) proposals in response to the solicitation:

Environmental Science Associates (ESA) (3 subconsultants) $893,551
Impact Sciences, Inc. – (3 subconsultants) $695,823
Ascent Environmental, Inc.– (3 subconsultants) $848,161

Selection Process: The Proposal Review Committee (PRC) evaluated each proposal in accordance with the criteria set forth in the RFP and conducted the selection process in a manner consistent with all applicable federal and state contracting regulations. After evaluating the proposals, the PRC interviewed the two (2) highest ranked offerors.

The PRC consisted of the following individuals:
Karen Calderon, Senior Regional Planner, SCAG
Annaleigh Ekman, Assistant Regional Planner, SCAG
Rongsheng Luo, Program Manager II, SCAG

Basis for Selection: The PRC recommended Environmental Science Associates for the contract award because the consultant:

- Best met the intent of the project and provided the most appropriate and clear description of all tasks and approach, especially as related to explicitly addressing the nine factors of growing significance in Draft PEIR as requested and outlined in the RFP and describing the unique challenges and legal defensibility of the PEIR in the SCAG region;

- Established realistic schedule and great approach to meet deadlines based on a very good understanding of aggressive deadlines and schedule; expressed deep commitment to the project by treating it as a priority project of the firm and allocating adequate available resources; has capacity and strong commitment to add staff, if needed; and demonstrated long-standing (two decades) close relationships and seamless interactions between prime and sub consultants especially in development of previous SCAG PEIRs;
• Demonstrated broad and in-depth understanding of SCAG’s stakeholders concerns and legal challenges, SCAG region’s key issues and approach to resolve them; proposed the most robust and clear technical approach (e.g., access to an optional comment system/internal legal expertise); and provided guidance and recommendations on how the Draft PEIR will be prepared based on the context of 2020 Connect SoCal PEIR such as earlier engagement with specific stakeholders, identification of the need to meet GHG emissions reduction targets, and RHNA issues; and

• The proposed total project cost is 10 percent lower than SCAG’s Independent Cost Estimate and only slightly higher than the second ranked firm.

Although two (2) other firms proposed a lower price, the PRC did not recommend these firms for contract award because:

• One firm (1) proposed unrealistically low cost and hours for the project; (2) its combined price per hour is the highest/most expensive; (3) its proposal included the preparation of the Initial Study, a key component of the work and required task, as an option; (4) the firm did not appear to have available resources/staff capacity to complete the SOW (not enough hours); (5) its proposal did not demonstrate strong GIS expertise which was a significant component of the scope of work; (6) typos throughout the proposal including date of 2024 PEIR adoption; and (7) there was overlapping subconsultant expertise (i.e., unnecessary/redundant staff hours) and

• The other firm (1) did not respond to the requirements to prepare an Initial Study key component of the work; (2) its technical approach is based on other Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) but lacks understanding of the regional context (e.g., issues or areas of concern) in the SCAG region, and many strategies from other MPOs may not be transferable to the SCAG’s large and complex region; (3) did not demonstrate adequate familiarity and breadth of experience with issues impacting the SCAG region; (4) its proposal does not demonstrate GIS expertise which is a significant component of the SOW; (5) its proposed approach to handle subconsultant coordination may be inefficient compared to the selected firm that has inhouse technical expertise.; and (6) its proposed total cost is slightly lower than that of the selected consultant but the cost does not include the preparation of the required Initial Study task.
Approve Contract No. 22-033-C01 in an amount not to exceed $893,551 (subject to negotiation) with Environmental Science Associates (ESA) to assist SCAG in completing the Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) and provide services to ensure compliance with federal and state planning and environmental laws in the PEIR. Authorize the Executive Director, or his designee, pursuant to legal counsel review, to execute the contract amendment on behalf of SCAG.

The consultant team for this contract includes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant Name</th>
<th>Did the consultant disclose a conflict in the Conflict of Interest Form they submitted with its original proposal (Yes or No)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Science Associates (ESA) (prime consultant)</td>
<td>No - form attached</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sirius Environmental (subconsultant)</td>
<td>No - form attached</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terry A. Hayes Associates (subconsultant)</td>
<td>No - form attached</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diego and Son Printing (subconsultant)</td>
<td>No - form attached</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SCAG CONFLICT OF INTEREST FORM

RFP No. 22-033

SECTION I: INSTRUCTIONS

All persons or firms seeking contracts must complete and submit a SCAG Conflict of Interest Form along with the proposal. This requirement also applies to any proposed subconsultant(s). Failure to comply with this requirement may cause your proposal to be declared non-responsive.

In order to answer the questions contained in this form, please review SCAG’s Conflict of Interest Policy, the list of SCAG employees, and the list of SCAG’s Regional Council members. All three documents can be viewed online at https://scag.ca.gov. The SCAG Conflict of Interest Policy is located under “GET INVOLVED”, then “Contract & Vendor Opportunities” and scroll down under the “Vendor Contracts Documents” tab; whereas the SCAG staff may be found under “ABOUT US” then “OUR TEAM” then “Employee Directory”; and Regional Council members can be found under “MEETINGS”, then scroll down to “LEADERSHIP” then select “REGIONAL COUNCIL” on the left side of the page and click on “Regional Council Officers and Member List.”

Any questions regarding the information required to be disclosed in this form should be directed to SCAG’s Legal Division, especially if you answer “yes” to any question in this form, as doing so MAY also disqualify your firm from submitting an offer on this proposal.

Name of Firm: Environmental Science Associates

Name of Preparer: Ruta Thomas

Project Title: 2024 Connect SoCal Program Environmental Impact Report

RFP Number: RFP No. 22-033 Date Submitted: March 1, 2022

SECTION II: QUESTIONS

1. During the last twelve (12) months, has your firm provided a source of income to employees of SCAG or members of the SCAG Regional Council, or have any employees or Regional Council members held any investment (including real property) in your firm?

☐ YES  ☐ NO

If “yes,” please list the names of those SCAG employees and/or SCAG Regional Council members and the nature of the financial interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Nature of Financial Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Have you or any members of your firm been an employee of SCAG or served as a member of the SCAG Regional Council within the last twelve (12) months?
☐ YES  ☐ NO

If “yes,” please list name, position, and dates of service:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Dates of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Are you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm related by blood or marriage/domestic partnership to an employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council that is considering your proposal?

☐ YES  ☐ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Does an employee of SCAG or a member of the SCAG Regional Council hold a position at your firm as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or any position of management?

☐ YES  ☐ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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5. Have you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm ever given (directly or indirectly), or offered to give on behalf of another or through another person, campaign contributions or gifts to any current employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council (including contributions to a political committee created by or on behalf of a member/candidate)?

☐ YES  ☐ NO

If “yes,” please list name, date gift or contribution was given/offered, and dollar value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dollar Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION III: VALIDATION STATEMENT

This Validation Statement must be completed and signed by at least one General Partner, Owner, Principal, or Officer authorized to legally commit the proposer.

DECLARATION

I, (printed full name) Ruta Thomas, Senior VP, SoCal Regional Director of Environmental Science Associates, hereby declare that I am the (position or title) and that I am duly authorized to execute this Validation Statement on behalf of this entity. I hereby state that this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form dated March 1, 2022 is correct and current as submitted. I acknowledge that any false, deceptive, or fraudulent statements on this Validation Statement will result in rejection of my contract proposal.

[Signature]

Signature of Person Certifying for Proposer

Date

March 1, 2022

NOTICE

A material false statement, omission, or fraudulent inducement made in connection with this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form is sufficient cause for rejection of the contract proposal or revocation of a prior contract award.
SCAG CONFLICT OF INTEREST FORM
RFP No. 22-033

SECTION I: INSTRUCTIONS

All persons or firms seeking contracts must complete and submit a SCAG Conflict of Interest Form along with the proposal. This requirement also applies to any proposed subconsultant(s). Failure to comply with this requirement may cause your proposal to be declared non-responsive.

In order to answer the questions contained in this form, please review SCAG’s Conflict of Interest Policy, the list of SCAG employees, and the list of SCAG’s Regional Council members. All three documents can be viewed online at https://scag.ca.gov. The SCAG Conflict of Interest Policy is located under “GET INVOLVED”, then “Contract & Vendor Opportunities” and scroll down under the “Vendor Contracts Documents” tab; whereas the SCAG staff may be found under “ABOUT US” then “OUR TEAM” then “Employee Directory”; and Regional Council members can be found under “MEETINGS”, then scroll down to “LEADERSHIP” then select “REGIONAL COUNCIL” on the left side of the page and click on “Regional Council Officers and Member List.”

Any questions regarding the information required to be disclosed in this form should be directed to SCAG’s Legal Division, especially if you answer “yes” to any question in this form, as doing so MAY also disqualify your firm from submitting an offer on this proposal.

Name of Firm: Sirius Environmental
Name of Preparer: Wendy Lockwood
Project Title: 2024 Connect SoCal Program EIR
RFP Number: RFP-22-033 Date Submitted: March 2, 2022

SECTION II: QUESTIONS

1. During the last twelve (12) months, has your firm provided a source of income to employees of SCAG or members of the SCAG Regional Council, or have any employees or Regional Council members held any investment (including real property) in your firm?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list the names of those SCAG employees and/or SCAG Regional Council members and the nature of the financial interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Nature of Financial Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Have you or any members of your firm been an employee of SCAG or served as a member of the SCAG Regional Council within the last twelve (12) months?

__)
If “yes,” please list name, position, and dates of service:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Dates of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Are you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm related by blood or marriage/domestic partnership to an employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council that is considering your proposal?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Does an employee of SCAG or a member of the SCAG Regional Council hold a position at your firm as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or any position of management?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Have you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm ever given (directly or indirectly), or offered to give on behalf of another or through another person, campaign contributions or gifts to any current employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council (including contributions to a political committee created by or on behalf of a member/candidate)?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list name, date gift or contribution was given/offered, and dollar value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dollar Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION III: VALIDATION STATEMENT

This Validation Statement must be completed and signed by at least one General Partner, Owner, Principal, or Officer authorized to legally commit the proposer.

DECLARATION

I, (printed full name) Wendy Lockwood, hereby declare that I am the (position or title) Principal of (firm name) Sirius Environmental, and that I am duly authorized to execute this Validation Statement on behalf of this entity. I hereby state that this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form dated February 7, 2022 is correct and current as submitted. I acknowledge that any false, deceptive, or fraudulent statements on this Validation Statement will result in rejection of my contract proposal.

Wendy Lockwood

Signature of Person Certifying for Proposer

Date

February 7, 2022

NOTICE

A material false statement, omission, or fraudulent inducement made in connection with this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form is sufficient cause for rejection of the contract proposal or revocation of a prior contract award.
SCAG CONFLICT OF INTEREST FORM

RFP No. 22-033

SECTION I: INSTRUCTIONS

All persons or firms seeking contracts must complete and submit a SCAG Conflict of Interest Form along with the proposal. This requirement also applies to any proposed subconsultant(s). Failure to comply with this requirement may cause your proposal to be declared non-responsive.

In order to answer the questions contained in this form, please review SCAG’s Conflict of Interest Policy, the list of SCAG employees, and the list of SCAG’s Regional Council members. All three documents can be viewed online at https://scag.ca.gov. The SCAG Conflict of Interest Policy is located under “GET INVOLVED”, then “Contract & Vendor Opportunities” and scroll down under the “Vendor Contracts Documents” tab; whereas the SCAG staff may be found under “ABOUT US” then “OUR TEAM” then “Employee Directory”; and Regional Council members can be found under “MEETINGS”, then scroll down to “LEADERSHIP” then select “REGIONAL COUNCIL” on the left side of the page and click on “Regional Council Officers and Member List.”

Any questions regarding the information required to be disclosed in this form should be directed to SCAG’s Legal Division, especially if you answer “yes” to any question in this form, as doing so MAY also disqualify your firm from submitting an offer on this proposal.

Name of Firm: Terry A. Hayes Associates Inc.

Name of Preparer: Natasha Mapp

Project Title: __________________________

RFP Number: __________________________ Date Submitted: February 11, 2022

SECTION II: QUESTIONS

1. During the last twelve (12) months, has your firm provided a source of income to employees of SCAG or members of the SCAG Regional Council, or have any employees or Regional Council members held any investment (including real property) in your firm?

☐ YES    ☐ NO

If “yes,” please list the names of those SCAG employees and/or SCAG Regional Council members and the nature of the financial interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Nature of Financial Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Have you or any members of your firm been an employee of SCAG or served as a member of the SCAG Regional Council within the last twelve (12) months?
3. Are you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm related by blood or marriage/domestic partnership to an employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council that is considering your proposal?

☐ YES  ☐ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Does an employee of SCAG or a member of the SCAG Regional Council hold a position at your firm as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or any position of management?

☐ YES  ☐ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Have you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm ever given (directly or indirectly), or offered to give on behalf of another or through another person, campaign contributions or gifts to any current employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council (including contributions to a political committee created by or on behalf of a member/candidate)?

☐ YES  ☐ NO

If “yes,” please list name, date gift or contribution was given/offered, and dollar value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dollar Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION III: VALIDATION STATEMENT

This Validation Statement must be completed and signed by at least one General Partner, Owner, Principal, or Officer authorized to legally commit the proposer.

DECLARATION

I, (printed full name) Terry A. Hayes, hereby declare that I am the (position or title) Chief Executive Officer of (firm name) Terry A. Hayes Associates Inc., and that I am duly authorized to execute this Validation Statement on behalf of this entity. I hereby state that this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form dated February 11, 2022 is correct and current as submitted. I acknowledge that any false, deceptive, or fraudulent statements on this Validation Statement will result in rejection of my contract proposal.

Signature of Person Certifying for Proposer (original signature required) February 11, 2022

NOTICE

A material false statement, omission, or fraudulent inducement made in connection with this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form is sufficient cause for rejection of the contract proposal or revocation of a prior contract award.
SCAG CONFLICT OF INTEREST FORM

RFP No. 22-033

SECTION I: INSTRUCTIONS

All persons or firms seeking contracts must complete and submit a SCAG Conflict of Interest Form along with the proposal. This requirement also applies to any proposed subconsultant(s). Failure to comply with this requirement may cause your proposal to be declared non-responsive.

In order to answer the questions contained in this form, please review SCAG’s Conflict of Interest Policy, the list of SCAG employees, and the list of SCAG’s Regional Council members. All three documents can be viewed online at https://scag.ca.gov. The SCAG Conflict of Interest Policy is located under “GET INVOLVED”, then “Contract & Vendor Opportunities” and scroll down under the “Vendor Contracts Documents” tab; whereas the SCAG staff may be found under “ABOUT US” then “OUR TEAM” then “Employee Directory”; and Regional Council members can be found under “MEETINGS”, then scroll down to “LEADERSHIP” then select "REGIONAL COUNCIL" on the left side of the page and click on “Regional Council Officers and Member List.”

Any questions regarding the information required to be disclosed in this form should be directed to SCAG’s Legal Division, especially if you answer “yes” to any question in this form, as doing so MAY also disqualify your firm from submitting an offer on this proposal.

Name of Firm: Diego & Son Printing

Name of Preparer: Nicholas Aguilera

Project Title:

RFP Number: ______________________ Date Submitted: ______________________

SECTION II: QUESTIONS

1. During the last twelve (12) months, has your firm provided a source of income to employees of SCAG or members of the SCAG Regional Council, or have any employees or Regional Council members held any investment (including real property) in your firm?

☐ YES    ☐ NO

If “yes,” please list the names of those SCAG employees and/or SCAG Regional Council members and the nature of the financial interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Nature of Financial Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Have you or any members of your firm been an employee of SCAG or served as a member of the SCAG Regional Council within the last twelve (12) months?
☐ YES  ☐ NO

If "yes," please list name, position, and dates of service:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Dates of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Are you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm related by blood or marriage/domestic partnership to an employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council that is considering your proposal?

☐ YES  ☐ NO

If "yes," please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Does an employee of SCAG or a member of the SCAG Regional Council hold a position at your firm as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or any position of management?

☐ YES  ☐ NO

If "yes," please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Have you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm ever given (directly or indirectly), or offered to give on behalf of another or through another person, campaign contributions or gifts to any current employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council (including contributions to a political committee created by or on behalf of a member/candidate)?

☐ YES  ☐ NO

If "yes," please list name, date gift or contribution was given/offered, and dollar value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dollar Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION III: VALIDATION STATEMENT

This Validation Statement must be completed and signed by at least one General Partner, Owner, Principal, or Officer authorized to legally commit the proposer.

DECLARATION

I, (printed full name) Nicholas Aguilera ________________, hereby declare that I am the (position or title) President ________________ of (firm name) Diego & Son Printing ________________, and that I am duly authorized to execute this Validation Statement on behalf of this entity. I hereby state that this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form dated 2/16/2022 ________________ is correct and current as submitted. I acknowledge that any false, deceptive, or fraudulent statements on this Validation Statement will result in rejection of my contract proposal.

Signature of Person Certifying for Proposer (original signature required)  2/16/2022

Date

NOTICE

A material false statement, omission, or fraudulent inducement made in connection with this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form is sufficient cause for rejection of the contract proposal or revocation of a prior contract award.
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Approve up to $23,000 for memberships and sponsorships with 1) the Southern California Leadership Network Leadership Southern California ($10,000), 2) the 2022 Mobility21 Summit ($5,000), and 3) the Intelligent Transportation Society of America ($8,000).

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
At its May 24, 2022, meeting, the Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee (LCMC) recommended approval of up $23,000 for memberships and sponsorships with 1) the Southern California Leadership Network Leadership Southern California ($10,000), 2) the 2022 Mobility21 Summit ($5,000), and 3) the Intelligent Transportation Society of America ($8,000).

BACKGROUND:
Item 1: Southern California Leadership Network Leadership Southern California
Type: Sponsorship  
Amount: $10,000

The Southern California Leadership Network (SCLN) was founded to advance the region and the state by inspiring, preparing, and connecting leaders to drive change. SCLN does this through its signature Leadership Fellowships, continued leadership development opportunities, and other events to promote lifelong leadership learning. SCAG has been a long-time supporter of various SCLN programs, including the California Connections Program and their annual Visionaries Luncheon.

SCLN’s Leadership Southern California (LSC) Fellowship Program gives professionals from government, business, academic, and community organizations a unique opportunity to connect on
a regional level while also preparing them to be a part of the region in a positive direction through new and innovative partnerships. The curriculum is designed for civic leaders to give them the skills to lead and facilitate diverse teams through conflict into common ground and new initiatives. Fellows will learn to recognize unity that is present in the midst of diversity, conflict, and strife, giving them the skills needed to build consensus and resolve community challenges in a productive, impactful manner. Staff recommends sponsoring the LSC program in the amount of $10,000. A list of SCAG LSC alumni is below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCAG’s LSC Alumni</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Philip Law ’06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darin Chidsey ’08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Asuncion ’11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annie Nam ’11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marco Anderson ‘12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grieg Asher ’12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naresh Amatya ‘13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Wen ’13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Jones ‘14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debbie Dillon ’15 (California Connections)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ma’Ayn Johnson ‘15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alison Linder ‘15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Liu ‘15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimberly Clark ‘16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Mora ‘17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Javiera Cartagena ‘18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ying Zhou ‘18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Dominguez ‘19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Yoon ‘19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anita Au ’20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julia Lippe-Klein ‘20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julie Shroyer ‘21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marisa Blancarte ‘21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hannah Brunelle ‘21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret DeLarios ‘22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonathan Hughes ‘22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Salgado ‘22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Item 2: 2022 Mobility21 Summit
Type: Sponsorship  Amount: $5,000

Mobility 21 is a coalition of public, business, and community stakeholders to pursue regional solutions to transportation challenges facing the SCAG region and San Diego County. SCAG is a founding member of Mobility 21, and Kome Ajise, SCAG’s Executive Director, is a member of the coalition’s board of directors. Created in 2002 as an effort in Los Angeles County, Mobility 21 became a regional effort in 2007 with the primary goals to:

- Support practical solutions to Southern California’s transportation challenges;
- Mobilize regional support for transportation funding and legislative priorities at the federal and state levels;
- Unite political leaders around common priorities for transportation; and
- Bring together residents, civic leaders, business groups, and industry experts to inspire them to act and educate them on how to effectively speak out in support of transportation initiatives.

Mobility21 co-hosts an annual conference with SCAG, and this year’s 2022 Mobility 21 Southern California Transportation Summit Future Forward will be held on Friday, August 19, 2022, at the Disneyland Hotel in Anaheim, California. Last year’s Summit focused on the Road to Recovery from
the COVID-19 pandemic. It featured panels such as The Road to Recovery, Southern California’s Global Ports & Supply Chain, and Carbon Neutral 2045. Various panels included members of the SCAG board. Staff recommends sponsoring the conference at the $5,000 Gold level, which along with our Mobility21 membership, includes the following benefits:

- Nine (9) full Summit comp registrations;
- Two (2) expo-only registrations;
- 1 VIP Reception ticket;
- Exhibitor table at Mobility 21 Expo and one (1) exhibitor-only registration;
- Logo visibility on shared slide in conference sponsor slide show;
- Logo on Mobility 21 sponsor page website;
- Company name on pre-conference advertising, both print and electronic;
- Company name on the event program and other Summit materials; and
- Half-page ad in Summit program.

**Item 3:** The Intelligent Transportation Society of America  
**Type:** Membership  
**Amount:** $8,000

The Intelligent Transportation Society of America (ITS America), a non-profit established in 1991, advocates for deploying Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) in the United States. Its mission is to advance the research and deployment of intelligent transportation technologies to save lives, improve mobility, increase accessibility and equity, promote sustainability, and improve efficiency and productivity. They seek to do this by convening leaders from the public sector, the private sector, academia, and research organizations to foster innovation. ITS America advocates for policies that support investment in ITS. The organization also conducts research, educates stakeholders, and spreads awareness of innovations in smart transportation technologies.

ITS America’s membership brings together the most influential voices from its 240+ members throughout 45 sectors ranging from state departments of transportation (DOT), metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs), cities, transit, freight, start-ups, automakers, suppliers, academia, and many more, including:

- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
- American Automobile Association (AAA)
- American Public Transportation Association (APTA)
- California Department of Transportation (CalTrans)
- Deloitte
- ESRI
- HDR, Inc.
- Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Los Angeles County MTA
Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LADOT)
Metropolitan Transportation Commission
Mobility21
U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration
Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration
Federal Transit Administration
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

Staff recommends membership at an $8,000 level, which includes the following benefits:

- Join leaders that drive and shape the discussion on a wide range of emerging mobility issues through ITS America’s Standing Advisory Committees;
- Receive weekly updates on federal, legislative, state, and local regulations in the Policy Rundown newsletter and participate in federal advocacy;
- Discounted executive forum attendance fees, exhibit, and conference discounts, and forum and policy roundtable speaking opportunities;
- Promote SCAG’s projects and accomplishments in the transportation ecosystem on ITS America’s digital media platforms, ITSABlog, and in the Momentum newsletter; and
- Access to ITS America’s global network of ITS Professionals.

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTION:
Staff presented the sponsorships for 1) Southern California Leadership Network’s Leadership Southern California ($10,000) 2) 2022 Mobility21 Summit ($5,000), and membership with 3) the Intelligent Transportation Society of America ($8,000) to the LCMC at its meeting on May 24, 2022. The LCMC approved both items unanimously as part of its consent calendar.

FISCAL IMPACT:
$23,000 for membership with the Intelligent Transportation Society America and sponsorship of Southern California Leadership Network Leadership Southern California and 2022 Mobility21 Summit is included in the approved FY 22-23 General Fund budget.
To: Executive/Administration Committee (EAC)
   Community Economic & Human Development Committee (CEHD)
   Energy & Environment Committee (EEC)
   Transportation Committee (TC)
   Regional Council (RC)
From: Cory Wilkerson, Program Manager II
       (213) 236-1992, wilkerson@scag.ca.gov
Subject: 2020 Sustainable Communities Program (SCP) Call for Projects 1-4
          Combined Update

RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR EEC AND TC:
Information Only – No Action Required

RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR EAC, CEHD AND RC:
Receive and File

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 4: Provide innovative information and value-added services to enhance member agencies’ planning and operations and promote regional collaboration.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
The 2020 Connect SoCal Sustainable Communities Program (SCP) Call for Projects has been ongoing since summer 2020 and has involved multiple funding opportunities for SCAG jurisdictions. The four Calls include:

- Call 1: Active Transportation & Safety
- Call 2: Housing & Sustainable Development
- Call 3: Smart Cities & Mobility Innovations
- Call 4: Civic Engagement, Equity & Environmental Justice

Each of the four Calls for Projects (“Calls” 1-4) serve a crucial role in helping the SCAG region implement innovative strategies from the region’s Regional Transportation Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS), also known as Connect SoCal. This staff report provides an update on the 2020 Connect SoCal SCP Calls 1-3 and shares details about the upcoming fourth Call for Applications related to civic engagement, equity, and environmental justice.
Projects from the first three Calls have completed or are approaching critical milestones. The first three Calls have completed application review, award recommendations, board approval process, and have begun procurement. Many projects have issued Notice to Proceed (NTP) orders to the selected consultants, and several have initiated project work. Calls 1-3 represent an investment of over $12 million in the SCAG region and include a total of 45 local projects.

Given the timing and availability of additional funding sources, the fourth Call for Projects (Call 4), Civic Engagement, Equity & Environmental Justice, will occur in fiscal year 2022-2023, serving as a strategic continuation of the 2020 Connect SoCal SCP. Call 4 aligns with the Regional Early Action Planning Grants1 (“REAP 2.0”) goals and objectives.

BACKGROUND:
Calls 1-3: Project Summary

Projects across all three Connect SoCal SCP Calls have made significant progress since Regional Council (RC) approval for each respective Call. When combined, the first three Calls for Projects represent over $12 million in investments for local projects throughout the SCAG region. The three programs include 45 projects, reflecting SCAG’s commitment to directly support SCS/RTP strategies and implementation efforts at the local level.

Call 1: Active Transportation & Safety (AT&S)
Funding: $5 million
Call 1 supports planning and quick build projects that result in increased rates of walking and biking, promote traffic safety, expand opportunities for multimodal transportation options, and better position local jurisdictions to be competitive for implementation funds. Eligible projects include Active Transportation Plans, Safety Plans, Network Visioning and Implementation, and Quick Build projects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Project Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Los Angeles County Department of Public Health</td>
<td>Lennox Community Pedestrian Plan</td>
<td>Pedestrian Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Orange County Transportation Authority</td>
<td>Bus Stop Safety and Accessibility Study</td>
<td>First/Last Mile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Los Angeles Dept. of Transportation</td>
<td>Wilshire Center/Koreatown Networking Visioning Project</td>
<td>Visioning &amp; Implementation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 California Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Regional Early Action Planning (REAP) Grants: https://www.hcd.ca.gov/regional-early-action-planning
### Call 2: Housing & Sustainable Development (HSD)

Funding: $5 million

Call 2 supports planning projects which aspire to integrate and align Southern California’s housing production, preservation and protection opportunities with Connect SoCal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Project Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>City of Buena Park</td>
<td>Advancing ADU Implementation</td>
<td>ADU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>City of Compton</td>
<td>ADU Housing Opportunity</td>
<td>ADU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>City of Garden Grove</td>
<td>Advancing Accessory Dwelling Unit Implementation Programs</td>
<td>ADU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>City of Laguna Beach</td>
<td>ADU Ordinance and Toolkits</td>
<td>ADU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>City of Paramount</td>
<td>Paramount Small Model Homes</td>
<td>ADU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>City of Pasadena</td>
<td>City of Pasadena ADU Incentive Program</td>
<td>ADU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>City of Santa Fe Springs</td>
<td>ADU Implementation Program: Prototypes and Procedural Manual</td>
<td>ADU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>City of Santa Monica</td>
<td>ADU Accelerator Program</td>
<td>ADU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>City of Los Angeles – Heart of Hollywood</td>
<td>Heart of Hollywood Infrastructure Financing District</td>
<td>Workforce &amp; TIF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>City of Los Angeles – One San Pedro</td>
<td>One San Pedro</td>
<td>Workforce &amp; TIF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>County of Los Angeles</td>
<td>LAC/USC Healthy Village Vision</td>
<td>Workforce &amp; TIF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Call 3: Smart Cities & Mobility Innovations (SCMI)

Funding: $2.5 million

Call 3 supports the implementation of three Connect SoCal Key Connections: Smart Cities & Job Centers, Go Zones, and Shared Mobility/Mobility as a Service. These Key Connections focus on advancing expanded mobility ecosystems and management strategies using innovative policy and/or technology to realize regional planning goals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Project Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>– USC Healthy Village</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>City of Covina</td>
<td>Covina Downtown EIFD</td>
<td>Workforce &amp; TIF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>City of Palmdale</td>
<td>Central Palmdale Workforce Housing Project WHAR12</td>
<td>Workforce &amp; TIF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>City of Yucaipa</td>
<td>Yucaipa Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District (EIFD)</td>
<td>Workforce &amp; TIF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>City of Burbank</td>
<td>Media District Specific Plan Update</td>
<td>Development Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>City of Coachella</td>
<td>Objective Design and Development Standards</td>
<td>Development Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>City of Grand Terrace</td>
<td>Permitting Software for Expediting Housing Opportunities</td>
<td>Development Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>City of Montebello</td>
<td>Streamlining Permitting Procedures</td>
<td>Development Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>City of Newport Beach</td>
<td>Newport Beach Objective Development Standards</td>
<td>Development Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>City of Rialto</td>
<td>Foothill-Riverside Specific Plan Updates</td>
<td>Development Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>City of San Dimas</td>
<td>San Dimas Downtown Specific Plan</td>
<td>Development Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>City of Santa Fe Springs</td>
<td>Objective Design Standards and Design Manual</td>
<td>Development Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>City of Santa Monica</td>
<td>Objective Development Standards</td>
<td>Development Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>City of South El Monte</td>
<td>South El Monte Zoning Code Comprehensive Update for Housing Streamlining</td>
<td>Development Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>City of South Pasadena</td>
<td>Housing Application &amp; Materials Streamlining and Training</td>
<td>Development Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>City of Westminster</td>
<td>Westminster Objective Development Standards</td>
<td>Development Standards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The three first Calls for Projects are moving through various stages of procurement. Calls 2 and 3 (HSD and SCMI, respectively) have nearly completed consultant selection and approval for projects, while the timeline for Call 1 (AT&S) is longer due to required Caltrans coordination, consultation, and approval needs, in response to Active Transportation Program (ATP) funding sources. Call 1 projects however continue to progress through procurement. Projects within Calls 2 and 3 are entering the project kickoff stage and starting project implementation, marking an exciting time for these programs. Given the various funding sources for each program, Calls 2 and 3 will be complete by June 2023 and January 2024, respectively, and Call 1 is projected to be completed by December 2025. Project findings will be incorporated into the 2024 Connect SoCal Plan, as available and as needed.

Call 4: Civic Engagement, Equity, and Environmental Justice: Status and Progress

SCAG is preparing the fourth and final Call for Projects in this SCP cycle, with application development and evaluation currently anticipated in September 2022 – Winter 2023. Call 4 prioritizes engagement, equity, and environmental justice, prioritizing Communities of Concern and SB535 Disadvantaged Communities, and other priority areas as outlined by REAP 2.0. The Call will support a range of eligible land uses and transportation activities and help to address the racial equity gap. Call 4 will be funded by REAP 2.0 grant dollars and any awarded project must directly
address coronavirus economic recovery and demonstrate the connection between transportation and housing.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**
Staff's work budget for the SCP is included in the Overall Work Program (OWP) for fiscal years 2021-2023 under the following project numbers: 275-4881.01 - Sustainable Communities Program (SCP) Call for Applications (FY20 SB1 Formula); 275-4882.01 - Sustainable Communities Program (SCP) Project Delivery (FY21 SB1 Formula); 275-4882.02 - Sustainable Communities Program (SCP) Project Delivery (FY23 SB1 Formula); 275-4892.01 - Sustainable Communities Program 2020 Call 1 (FY22 SB1 Formula); and 275-4892.02 - Sustainable Communities Program 2020 Call 1 (ATP Cycle 5). Additional funding for staff time and selected SCP projects is also anticipated to be available in future fiscal years, pending approval of the 2023-2024 and 2024-2025 OWPs.

**ATTACHMENT(S):**
1. PowerPoint Presentation - SCP 2020-2021 Calls 1-4 Update
2020 Connect SoCal Sustainable Communities Program (SCP) Calls for Applications Update

Call 1: Active Transportation & Safety, Cory Wilkerson
Call 2: Housing & Sustainable Development, Nashia Lalani
Call 3: Smart Cities & Mobility Innovations, Marisa Laderach
Call 4: Civic Engagement, Equity, Environmental Justice, Hannah Brunelle

June 2, 2022

Connect SoCal - Sustainable Communities Program (SCP)

- The SCP supports implementation of the Connect SoCal Plan (2020 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS))
- The program provides multiple opportunities to seek funding and resources to meet the needs of communities, address recovery and resiliency strategies considering COVID-19, and support regional goals
- The purpose of this update is to share the status of each Call for Projects, provide an overview of the awarded projects and program details, and inform everyone of next steps and general timelines
- The 2020 Connect SoCal SCP contains four Calls for Projects:
  - Call 1: Active Transportation & Safety (AT&S)
  - Call 2: Housing & Sustainable Development (HSD)
  - Call 3: Smart Cities & Mobility Innovations (SCMI)
  - Call 4: Civic Engagement, Equity & Environmental Justice (CEEJ)
Connect SoCal SCP Call 1: Active Transportation & Safety

- Applications Received: 39
- Total Funding Requested: $20.7M
- Award recommendations:
  1. **Los Angeles County Department of Public Health**: Lennox Community Pedestrian Plan
  2. **LADOT**: Wilshire Center/Koreatown Networking Visioning Project
  3. **City of Santa Monica**: East Pico Quick Build Safety Project
  4. **Riverside County Public Health**: Safe Routes for All - Coachella
  5. **Orange County Transportation Authority**: Bus Stop Safety and Accessibility Study
  6. **City of Banning**: Active Transportation Plan
  7. **City of Lynwood**: Safe Routes to School Plan
  8. **City of Pomona**: Complete Streets Networking Visioning Project
  9. **Montebello Bus Lines**: First Mile/Last Mile Master Plan
  10. **City of Duarte**: Safe Routes to School Plan
  11. **City of Santa Ana**: Safe Mobility Santa Ana Plan Update
Connect SoCal SCP Call 1: Active Transportation & Safety

Project Delivery Plan

- 11 projects "bundled" into 9 contracts
- Schedule & Milestones
  - Call for Projects September 2020 – December 2020
  - Projects Approved June 2021
  - Project Allocations March 2022 – May 2023
  - Scopes Developed October 2021 – June 2022
  - Procurement March 2022 – September 2022
  - Project Initiation -> Close-out June 2022 – December 2025
  - Funding Deadline ATP / March 2025 – May 2026

- The SCP Call 1 program represents a $5M investment in critical active transportation and safety projects over four fiscal years.

Call 2: Housing & Sustainable Development Project Types

- Advancing ADU Implementation
  - 8 applications received

- Housing Sustainability Districts, Workforce Housing Opportunity Zones, and TIF Districts
  - 6 applications received

- Objective Development Standards
  - 12 applications received
Connect SoCal SCP: Call 2 Housing and Sustainable Development (HSD)

- Applications Received: 26 applications received from 23 jurisdictions
- Total Funding Requested: $5M
- Award recommendations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Type 1: Advancing ADU Implementation</th>
<th>Project Type 2: Housing Sustainability Districts, Workforce Opportunity Zones, and TIF Districts</th>
<th>Project Type 3: Objective Development Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buena Park</td>
<td>City of Los Angeles – Heart of Hollywood</td>
<td>Burbank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compton</td>
<td>City of Los Angeles – One San Pedro</td>
<td>Coachella</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garden Grove</td>
<td>County of Los Angeles – USC Healthy Village</td>
<td>Grand Terrace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laguna Beach</td>
<td>Covina</td>
<td>Montebello</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paramount</td>
<td>Palmdale</td>
<td>Newport Beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasadena</td>
<td>Yucaipa</td>
<td>Rialto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Fe Springs</td>
<td></td>
<td>San Dimas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Monica</td>
<td></td>
<td>Santa Fe Springs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Project Delivery Plan
  - 26 projects "bundled" into 12 contracts
  - Schedule & Milestones
    - Call for Projects December 2020 – January 2021
    - Projects Approved April 2021
    - Scopes Developed May 2021 – December 2021
    - Procurement July 2021 – May 2022
    - Project Initiation -> Close-out October 2021 – June 2023
    - Funding Deadline REAP 1.0 / June 2023

- The SCP Call 2 program represents a $5M investment in innovative housing strategies over three fiscal years.
Connect SoCal SCP Call 3: Smart Cities & Mobility Innovations

- Applications Received: 21
- Total Funding Requested: $4.75M
- Award recommendations:
  1. **City of Rialto**: Smart Cities Plan for Warehousing and Logistics
  2. **LADOT**: Curb Zone Data Inventory for Digital Curb Management
  3. **City of Long Beach**: Curb Space Management Study
  4. **City of Stanton**: Citywide Curb Management Plan
  5. **San Gabriel Valley COG**: GoSGV Engagement & Evaluation
  6. **Desert Hot Springs**: Downtown and Light Industrial Parking Plan
  7. **City of Garden Grove**: Curb Data Study (parking related)
  8. **City of Laguna Woods**: Mobility Technology Plan
Connect SoCal SCP: Smart Cities & Mobility Innovations

Project Delivery Plan
• 8 projects "bundled" into 5 contracts
• Schedule & Milestones
  • Call for Projects February – April 2021
  • Projects Approved July 2021
  • Scopes Developed September 2021 – December 2022
  • Procurement October 2021 – February 2022
  • Project Initiation -> Close-out January 2022 – January 2024
  • Funding Deadline FY23 SB1 / February 2025

• The SCP Call 3 program represents a $2.5M investment in innovative mobility strategies over three fiscal years.

SCP & Equity Overview

• As a key implementation tool for Connect SoCal, SCAG is prioritizing equity across each SCP program area
• Program-wide goals prioritize investments in SB 535 Disadvantaged Communities (DACs) aligned with SCAG’s adopted resolution on race and equity and guided by the Connect SoCal Goal to support healthy and equitable communities
• Staff implemented multiple strategies to center equity across the program:
  - Targeted Outreach Strategies
  - One-to-One Coaching Sessions
  - Listening Sessions
  - Online Tools & Applications
  - Evaluation Criteria
  - CBO Evaluation Strategy
Centering Equity Across SCP Calls: Key Strategies

- Target priority areas, including SB 535 DACs, Communities of Concern (COCs), EJ Areas, and areas that have not received SCP funding through data-informed outreach approach
- Prioritize equity evaluation criteria across all Calls
- Provide 1:1 application coaching to shape projects alongside tools and resources for applicants to integrate equity into all projects
- Align projects with program goals to implement SCAGs Racial Equity Resolution and Racial Equity Early Action Plan
- Center equity in the evaluation process through paid CBO evaluators

SCP Equity Strategies

- Targeted Outreach
  - AT&S implemented a data-driven outreach strategy to target DACs with no existing plans to apply for funding
  - HSD and SCMI implemented outreach strategies targeting DACs and other priority areas. SCMI overlaid curb space data to further refine target DACs
- One-to-One Coaching Sessions
  - AT&S Call conducted 40 one-to-one coaching sessions, HSD held 21 sessions, and SCMI held 24 sessions
  - Through the sessions, SCAG identified a need to extend application deadlines given agency staff capacity impacts due to COVID-19, especially in under-resourced jurisdictions
  - Additional one-to-one sessions for Call 4 are ongoing
- Listening Sessions
  - Conducted a community listening session for SCMI Call to collect feedback to shape meaningful project types and increase awareness of program
  - Additional Listening Sessions for Call 4 were held in July 2021
SCP & Equity Strategies

- **Online Tools & Application**
  - SCAG developed a user friendly, interactive and accessible map for applicants to explore how project locations overlap with DAC definitions
  - SCAG developed an online application platform to streamline application development and submission for agency staff
  - SCAG extended program application deadlines to respond to partners' requests given the challenges of the pandemic and impacts to agencies and staff capacity

- **Evaluation Criteria**
  - Evaluation criteria includes both qualitative and quantitative ways to measure and evaluate equity strategies, impacts, and potential outcomes to provide a holistic view on equity benefits and impacts
  - Points reallocated to prioritize DACs and community-led engagement strategies

- **CBO Evaluation Strategy**
  - Expanded evaluation teams to paid roles for CBO Equity partners to participate and provide a community-centered perspective in project evaluation and a dedicated opportunity to direct resources based on need.

SCP & Equity Actions

- **Equity Visualization Tool**
  - Increase equitable investment through a data-driven prioritization, outreach, and evaluation method to target impacted communities to apply for funding and prioritize communities with the highest need
  - Evaluate existing and past projects to highlight inequities in investment and address gaps with future funding opportunities

- **Go Human Program Alignment & Integration**
  - Through a continuum of care program with *Go Human* partners, SCAG can support partners from visioning and through demonstration and planning, and toward implementation across SCP program areas
  - Partnership development through AT&S is ongoing

- **Project Delivery, Procurement & Partnerships**
  - Encourage partnership with local CBOs through project delivery and management
  - Ensure payment of CBOs through thoughtful and innovative procurement
SCAG will release a fourth Call forApplications for programs and projects the prioritize Civic Engagement, Equity & Environmental Justice in September 2022.

Connect SoCal SCP Call 4

- Prioritize efforts that benefit Communities of Concern and SB 535 Disadvantaged Communities.

- Support range of eligible land use and transportation activities.

- Support the development of plans to close the racial equity gap.

Connect SoCal SCP Call 4 Goals

- Provide needed planning resources
- Promote, address and ensure equity
- Encourage strategies to reduce VMT and GHG emissions
- Implement strategies in Connect SoCal
- Support Connect SoCal Key Connections
- Support regional resiliency
- Increase funding to the region
Connect SoCal Call 4 Project Eligibility

This project is funded by Regional Early Action Planning Grants of 2021 (REAP 2.0)

Applicable projects must directly address coronavirus economic recovery and at least one of three objectives:

1. Affirmatively furthering fair housing
2. Accelerating infill development that facilitates housing supply, choice and affordability
3. Reducing vehicle miles traveled

Project Eligibility

Applicants may apply to one or more of the following SCP project categories:

- Land Use & Transportation Strategies to increase accessibility, resiliency, and safety
  - Greenway Connectivity Plans
  - Highways to Boulevard Plans

- Housing Supportive Infrastructure Plans & Programs
- Infrastructure & Utility Financing Plans
- Public Art in Infrastructure Programs

- Planning Convenings & Community Engagement Strategies
  - Community Advocacy and Storytelling
  - Resiliency Toolkits
**Applicant Eligibility**

**Agency Applicant**
- Cities and Counties
- Transit Agencies
- County Transportation Agencies
- Natural Resources or Public Land Agencies
- Tribal Entities
- Housing Authorities
- School Districts
- Special Districts

**Co-Applicant**
- Community Based Organizations (CBOs), non-profits, and social enterprises that fulfill a social or public service mission
- An organization that utilizes a fiscal sponsor
- Regional housing trust funds
- Academic institutions

---

**SCP Call 4 Timeline**

- **June 2022**: Info Sessions
- **June/July 2022**: Draft Guidelines
- **August 2022**: Regional Council Approval and Call Opens
- **September 2022**: Application Development and Evaluation
- **Sept. 2022 – Winter 2023**: Project Awards
- **Spring 2023**: 1:1 Meetings

*subject to change upon feedback*
Connect SoCal SCP Collective Take-Aways

- Calls 1-3 represent a $12.5 million dollar investment to implement the region’s vision for the future
  - **45 total local projects** representing a variety of ATP, housing, and mobility strategies
    - Los Angeles County: **27 projects**
    - Orange County: **10 projects**
    - Riverside County: **5 projects**
    - San Bernardino County: **3 projects**
  - Selected projects directly align with regional planning policies
- Call 4 project awards will be determined in Spring 2023, adding to this significant investment.
- The SCP offers an opportunity to utilize efficient contracting procedures
  - Use of consultant benches & "bundled" contracts, both resulted in significant cost savings and staff labor savings
- Final products will complement release of Connect SoCal 2024

Questions?

Cory Wilkerson, Call 1: wilkerson@scag.ca.gov
Nashia Lalani, Call 2: lalani@scag.ca.gov
Marisa Laderach, Call 3: laderach@scag.ca.gov
Hannah Brunelle, Call 4: brunelle@scag.ca.gov
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Information Only - No Action Required

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 7: Secure funding to support agency priorities to effectively and efficiently deliver work products.

BACKGROUND:

**SCAG executed the following Purchase Orders (PO’s) for more than $5,000 but less than $200,000**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant/Contract #</th>
<th>PO’ Purpose</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axtegrity Consulting LLC</td>
<td>FY22 GP Annual Maintenance Renewal</td>
<td>$26,864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Program Bureau Inc</td>
<td>FY22 GA Keynote Speaker Fee</td>
<td>$22,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ink And Color Inc. DBA Acuprint</td>
<td>FY22 Printing 2022 GA Program</td>
<td>$9,186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALCOG</td>
<td>FY22 Reg. Leadership Academy E</td>
<td>$6,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinnacle Business Solutions Inc.</td>
<td>FY22 Cisco Support</td>
<td>$6,031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SCAG executed the following Contracts more than $25,000 but less than $200,000**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant/Contract #</th>
<th>Contract’s Purpose</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Various (21-047-C01 through 21-047-C41)</td>
<td>Monthly report on Regional Early Action Plan Program (REAP) on-call services.</td>
<td>Various (as identified in the attachment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson Squared Consulting, LLC (22-017-C01)</td>
<td>The consultant shall provide professional consulting services in the</td>
<td>$177,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SCAG executed the following Contracts more than $25,000 but less than $200,000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant/Contract #</th>
<th>Contract’s Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IDEA areas – Inclusion, Diversity, Equity, and Awareness. The consultant shall analyze, assess, strategize, and implement ongoing solutions in the areas of diversity, equity, and inclusion. Further, the consultant will support the Human Resources division by facilitating workshops and trainings on IDEA to provide education to and increase competencies of all staff.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SCAG executed these Amendments for more than $5,000 but less than $75,000 and less than 30% of the original contract value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant/Contract #</th>
<th>Amendment’s Purpose</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ATTACHMENT(S):**

1. Contract Summary 21-047-C01 through C41
2. Contract Summary 22-017-C01
CONSULTANT CONTRACT NOS. 21-047-C01 THROUGH 21-047-C19
MONTHLY REAP FOLLOW UP

Selected Consultants:

1. AECOM Technical Services, Inc.
2. Arup North America, Ltd.
3. Ascent Environmental, Inc.
4. BAE Urban Economics, Inc.
5. CTY Housing, Inc.
6. ECOnorthwest
7. Estolano Advisors
8. HR&A Advisors Inc.
11. LeSar Development Consultants
12. National Community Renaissance of California
14. Raimi + Associates
15. Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.
16. RDC-S111 (dba Studio One Eleven)
17. Terner Housing Innovation Labs, Inc.
18. Woodsong Associates, LLC
19. WSP USA Inc.

Background & Scope of Work:

On April 1, 2021, the Regional Council approved a procurement program to accelerate project delivery for the Regional Early Action Plan Program (REAP) and requested staff to report back monthly on procurement activities related to the On Call Services for the REAP Program. This report is to inform the RC of those activities. This report is to inform the Regional Council of procurement activities, contracts and amendments related to the On-call Services for the REAP Program.

In summary, the REAP Program provides a new model for timely implementation of SCAG’s local assistance programs and the Regional Council has approved the following:

(1) Authorized staff to enter into up to a total of $10,000,000 in On Call Services contracts to implement the Regional Council’s approved REAP work program, upon completion of competitive procurement and selection of consultants for the On Call Services;

(2) Waived SCAG’s procurement requirement to first obtain the Executive/Administration Committee’s and Regional Council’s approval for contracts at or above $200,000 prior to execution, for any individual contract up to $500,000 awarded to complete work that is part of the Regional Council’s approved REAP grant funded program and authorization for the Executive Director or his/her designee to execute such contracts upon consultation with legal counsel;

(3) Waived SCAG’s procurement requirement necessitating Executive/Administration Committee’s and Regional Council approval prior to entering any contract amendment exceeding $75,000 or 30% (whichever is less) and, instead, requiring amendments of 30% or more to be first approved by the Executive/Administration Committee and Regional Council, and authorizing the Executive Director or his/her designee to execute such amendments upon
consultation with legal counsel; and (4) directing staff to make monthly informational reports to the Regional Council of procurement activities, contracts and amendments related to REAP made pursuant to this action.

**Project’s Benefits & Key Deliverables:**

The project’s benefits and key deliverables include, but are not limited to:

- Supporting local jurisdictions in the update of their Housing Elements;
- Assistance with integrated land use planning, urban design and land use policy;
- Assistance with community development finance;
- Assistance with racial equity analysis and training; and
- Assistance with Grant Writing and Grant Program Administration.

**Strategic Plan:**

This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians.

**Contract Amount:**

Total not to exceed $10,000,000

Note: This is for on-call, or as needed services with consultants to be paid upon a Task Order award. As such, there is no specific award amount to each consultant, nor does SCAG guarantee any specific amount of work to a consultant. Therefore, the amount that may be funded to each consultant is not yet determined.

**Contract Period:**

June 2021 through December 31, 2023

**Project Number(s):**

300.4887.01 – 300.4887.04
300.4888.01
300.4889.01 – 300.4889.04
300.4890.01 – 300.4890.02
300.4891.01 – 300.4891.02

Funding source(s): REAP Program Grant

**Update**

Below is a table showing the on-call services procurements, and their status at present. Any future dates are subject to change, and procurements may be added or removed to this list.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MRFP #</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>RFP Release Date</th>
<th>Procurement/Contract Stage</th>
<th>Consultant Selected</th>
<th>Award Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Housing Policy Leadership Academy (P&amp;O-1 Leadership Academy)</td>
<td>05/03/21</td>
<td>Agreement Executed</td>
<td>LeSar Development Consultants</td>
<td>$815,823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SCAG Development Streamlining (HPS-1 CEQA)</td>
<td>05/25/21</td>
<td>Agreement Executed</td>
<td>Ascent Environmental, Inc.</td>
<td>$337,738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Advanced Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) Bundle (HSD 1-A - Advanced ADU Bundle)</td>
<td>06/30/21</td>
<td>Agreement Executed</td>
<td>AECOM Technical Services, Inc.</td>
<td>$546,676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Westside Cities COG (WSCCOG) Partnership (Project 1)</td>
<td>07/16/21</td>
<td>Agreement Executed</td>
<td>ARUP US, Inc.</td>
<td>$148,513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRFP #</td>
<td>Project Title</td>
<td>RFP Release Date</td>
<td>Procurement/Contract Stage</td>
<td>Consultant Selected</td>
<td>Award Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Other-To Residential Tool Kit</td>
<td>07/30/21</td>
<td>Agreement Executed</td>
<td>Studio One Eleven</td>
<td>$579,462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1-B HSD Preliminary ADU Bundle (HSD 1-B Preliminary ADU Bundle)</td>
<td>08/04/21</td>
<td>Agreement Executed</td>
<td>Woodsong Associates</td>
<td>$534,765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2-A HSD EIFD Bundle</td>
<td>07/30/21</td>
<td>Agreement Executed</td>
<td>Kosmont</td>
<td>$582,638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3-A HSD Objective Development Standards</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2-D HSD One San Pedro EIFD Study</td>
<td>08/11/21</td>
<td>Agreement Executed</td>
<td>Kosmont</td>
<td>$222,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Digitize Utilities Inventory For Housing Tool</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2-C (HSD) – Heart of Hollywood TIF Study</td>
<td>08/19/21</td>
<td>Agreement Executed</td>
<td>HR&amp;A Advisors</td>
<td>$240,804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Digitize Utilities Inventory For Housing Tool Rerelease of MRFP-10</td>
<td>10/14/21</td>
<td>Agreement Executed</td>
<td>Black &amp; Veatch</td>
<td>$201,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2-B HSD Palmdale Housing Project</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Regional Resilience Framework (RRF)</td>
<td>01/13/22</td>
<td>Agreement Executed</td>
<td>AECOM Technical Services, Inc.</td>
<td>$504,954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3-E HSD South El Monte Zoning Update</td>
<td>10/01/21</td>
<td>Agreement Executed</td>
<td>WSP USA, Inc.</td>
<td>$239,394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>3-C HSD Rialto Specific Plan Update</td>
<td>10/14/21</td>
<td>Agreement Executed</td>
<td>WSP USA, Inc.</td>
<td>$467,604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>San Fernando Valley COG (SFVCOG) Partnership Program</td>
<td>11/29/21</td>
<td>Agreement Executed</td>
<td>ARUP US, Inc.</td>
<td>$198,742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>3-A1 HSD Objective Development Standards LA Rerelease of MRFP-8</td>
<td>10/27/21</td>
<td>Agreement Executed</td>
<td>AECOM Technical Services, Inc.</td>
<td>$198,742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>3-A2 HSD Objective Development Standards Bundle</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td>Woodsong Associates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>HSD 3-D Burbank Media Specific Plan Update</td>
<td>02/08/22</td>
<td>Agreement Executed</td>
<td>WSP USA, Inc.</td>
<td>$654,549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Metro’s Joint Development</td>
<td>12/01/21</td>
<td>Agreement Executed</td>
<td>HR&amp;A Advisors</td>
<td>$350,736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>SRP-1A Westside Cities COG (WSCCOG) REAP Subregional Partnership (Project #2 and #4 - re-release)</td>
<td>12/21/21</td>
<td>Agreement Executed</td>
<td>ECONorthwest</td>
<td>$105,519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Metro’s Equitable Housing</td>
<td>12/23/21</td>
<td>Agreement Executed</td>
<td>Raimi + Associates</td>
<td>$332,812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2-B HSD Palmdale Housing Project</td>
<td>01/07/22</td>
<td>Evaluating/Negotiating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>HPS-1 Grant Application Technical Assistance</td>
<td>03/21/22</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>HSD 1-C - Compton ADU Project</td>
<td>01/21/22</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>HPS-3 TIF EIFD Projects (Barstow, County of Imperial)</td>
<td>05/12/22</td>
<td>RFP Released</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>SRP-4 Riverside County Thermal Community Plan</td>
<td>01/31/22</td>
<td>RFP Released</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRFP #</td>
<td>Project Title</td>
<td>RFP Release Date</td>
<td>Procurement/Contract Stage</td>
<td>Consultant Selected</td>
<td>Award Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>3-AZ HSD Objective Development Standards Bundle Rerelease of MRFP-19</td>
<td>01/26/22</td>
<td>Consultant Selected/Agreement Routing</td>
<td>Crandall Arambula</td>
<td>638,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>TOD 2- Metrolink Area Station Analysis</td>
<td>02/15/22</td>
<td>Agreement Executed</td>
<td>BAE Urban Economics, Inc.</td>
<td>$717,444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Los Angeles Metro’s Joint Development Housing Accelerator Part C</td>
<td>02/09/22</td>
<td>Evaluating/Negotiating</td>
<td>LeSar Development Consultants</td>
<td>411,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>TCC Pomona Technical Assistance</td>
<td>02/14/22</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>TCC Riverside Technical Assistance</td>
<td>02/14/22</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>SRP-4 Riverside County Thermal Community Plan</td>
<td>03/09/22</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>SPR-5 Imperial County</td>
<td>03/31/22</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>TCC Pomona Technical Assistance</td>
<td>03/17/22</td>
<td>Evaluating/Negotiating</td>
<td>Lamar Johnson Collaborive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>TCC Riverside Technical Assistance</td>
<td>04/07/22</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>HSD 1-C - Compton ADU Project</td>
<td>03/29/22</td>
<td>Evaluating/Negotiating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>SPR-5 Imperial County</td>
<td>5/16/2022</td>
<td>Pre-release/RFP Routing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>HPS-1 Grant Application Technical Assistance</td>
<td>5/13/2022</td>
<td>RFP Released</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Prevention of At Risk Units</td>
<td>5/19/2022</td>
<td>RFP Released</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,031,242</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONSULTANT CONTRACT NO. 22-017-C01

Recommended Consultant: Johnson Squared Consulting, LLC

Background & Scope of Work:
To assist with the commitment of fostering a diverse, inclusive, and equitable workforce, SCAG’s Human Resources Division established the Inclusion, Diversity, Equity, and Awareness (“IDEA”) team. This cross-functional internal team was established to support SCAG’s Strategic Plan Goal 2, to recruit, support, and develop a world-class workforce and be the workplace of choice. The IDEA team is committed to fostering organizational change within SCAG, a goal contained in the Racial Equity Early Action Plan.

Three sub-committees have been formed within IDEA: Communication and Engagement, Education and Training, and Strategy, Policies, and Procedures. Each sub-committee is in the process of developing a workplan for the work their sub-committee will accomplish. Activities within these workplans will be related to providing knowledge and IDEA education to SCAG staff, updating and implementing more inclusive and equitable policies and practices within SCAG, and ensuring SCAG employees can feel their most authentic selves while at work.

The consultant shall provide professional consulting services in the IDEA areas – Inclusion, Diversity, Equity, and Awareness. The consultant shall analyze, assess, strategize, and implement ongoing solutions in the areas of diversity, equity, and inclusion. Further, the consultant will support the Human Resources division by facilitating workshops and trainings on IDEA to provide education to and increase competencies of all staff.

Project’s Benefits & Key Deliverables:
The project’s benefits and key deliverables include, but are not limited to:
- Providing a report/assessment of the organization's practices from an IDEA perspective;
- Updating policies, practices, and program documents;
- Facilitating workshops and trainings on IDEA to provide education to and increase competencies of all staff;
- Conducting a pre- and post-training survey to gauge what the staff learned; and
- Developing an “evergreen” resource (such as a video, presentation recording, or pamphlet) so new SCAG hires can receive similar training.

Strategic Plan: This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan Goal 2: To recruit, support, and develop a world-class workforce and be the workplace of choice.

Contract Amount: Total not to exceed $177,000
Johnson Squared Consulting, LLC

Contract Period: March 29, 2022 through June 30, 2025

Project Number(s): 810-0120.04 $177,000
Funding source: Indirect Cost Fund
Funding of $19,100 is available in the FY 2021-22 budget, and the remaining $157,900 is expected to be available in the FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24, FY 2024-25 budgets, subject to budget availability.

**Request for Proposal (RFP):**

SCAG staff notified 1,099 firms of the release of RFP 22-017 via SCAG’s Solicitation Management System website. A total of 56 firms downloaded the RFP. SCAG received the following five (5) proposals in response to the solicitation:

- **Johnson Squared Consulting, LLC (no subconsultants)** $177,000
- Arthur J. Gallagher & Co. (no subconsultants) $116,820
- MCG & Associates (no subconsultants) $157,291
- Phase Consulting Partners (no subconsultants) $176,550
- Knowledge Strategies, Int’l (no subconsultants) $244,760

**Selection Process:**

The Proposal Review Committee (PRC) evaluated each proposal in accordance with the criteria set forth in the RFP and conducted the selection process in a manner consistent with all applicable federal and state contracting regulations. After evaluating the proposals, the PRC interviewed the three (3) highest ranked offerors.

The PRC consisted of the following individuals:

- Shalina Khanna, Human Resources Analyst II, SCAG
- Carmen Flores, Director of Human Resources, SCAG
- Nicole Katz, Senior Human Resources Analyst, SCAG
- Courtney Aguirre, Program Manager II, SCAG

**Basis for Selection:**

The PRC recommended Johnson Squared Consulting for the contract award because the consultant:

- Demonstrated the best understanding of concepts in the areas of diversity, equity, and inclusion and provided examples of previous work that are relevant to the work SCAG is planning to accomplish;
- Provided the best technical approach, specifically regarding strategic partnership, resources, communication, implementation, training and education. The firm presented a customizable approach, hitting each task of the RFP and shared various examples of activities to apply to this engagement including their experience in coaching leadership staff and leading focus groups on difficult topics. This firm was the only one to demonstrate their due diligence in reading SCAG’s Racial Equity Early Action plan and applied their proposal approach as a supplement to the work SCAG has already accomplished; and
- Demonstrated their ability to partner with members of the organization at any level (i.e. IDEA team members, Human Resources team members, staff, and the Executive/Leadership Team, serving as the subject matter expert in diversity, equity, and inclusion.

Although other firms proposed lower prices, the PRC did not recommend these firms for contract award because these firms:

- Did not demonstrate the same level of knowledge of diversity, equity, and inclusion principles, creativity, and innovation within their proposed technical approach; and
• Did not propose a technical approach that satisfied all areas of the individual tasks within the RFP scope of work. These firms did not demonstrate the familiarity and breadth of experience as did the selected consultant, and did not factor into their proposal SCAG’s current work in this area (including the Racial Equity Early Action Plan) that was provided to all firms within the RFP.

Total not to exceed $194,478

Johnson Squared Consulting, LLC

Note: Since this contract is a Labor Rate contract, it was decided to provide the total not to exceed amount as close as possible to the expected overall available budget for this project, hence the reason the total not to exceed amount is higher than the original proposed amount.
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Information Only - No Action Required

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 7: Secure funding to support agency priorities to effectively and efficiently deliver work products.

ACCOUNTING:
In the current fiscal year, staff has prepared and submitted requests for reimbursements of approximately $31.04 million to Caltrans for work funded with federal and state grants that were completed from July 2021 to March 2022. Of this amount, the full $31.04 million has been received and there are no outstanding reimbursement requests pending approval by Caltrans.

SCAG received and deposited a $3.5 million check from the State of California Workforce Development Force, which represents advance payment to support the implementation of the Inclusive Economic Recovery Strategy (IERS).

The Accounting Department is starting the hiring process for the Associate Accountant, Accountant, and Senior Accountant positions. The hiring process is estimated to take between two to four months.

In the current agenda, the Accounting Department submitted a staff report and resolution to establish an investment account with the State’s Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF). This new account, if approved, will provide SCAG with improved cash management operational efficiency. If approved by Regional Council, the new account will be opened in July 2022.
On April 29, 2022, SCAG submitted the FY 2021-22 (FY22) Overall Work Program (OWP) 3rd Quarter Progress Report to Caltrans. The total expenditures reported are approximately $45.8 million or 34% of the FY22 OWP Amendment 02 budget.

On May 5, 2022, the Regional Council approved the FY 2022-23 (FY23) Final Comprehensive Budget including OWP in the amount of $124.7 million. Also, the General Assembly approved the General Fund Budget and Membership Assessment Schedule. The FY23 OWP was submitted to Caltrans on May 9th, and state and federal approval of the OWP is expected by June 30, 2022.

With support from the California Office of Traffic Safety (OTS), SCAG’s Go Human Mini-Grants Program funds community-driven traffic safety engagement projects that meet the needs of people most harmed by traffic injuries and fatalities. SCAG closed the Call for Projects for the Go Human Mini-Grants Program in April 2022 and swiftly moved into the evaluation process in May. During the month of May, staff finalized the risk assessment review of the Go Human Mini-Grant subrecipients, performed cost analysis, and drafted MOUs to provide funding support to help the subrecipients implement local traffic safety projects.

CONTRACTS:
In April 2022, the Contracts Department issued six (6) Request for Proposals; awarded four (4) contracts; issued three (3) contract amendments; and processed 29 purchase orders to support ongoing business and enterprise operations. Staff also administered 196 consultant contracts. Contracts staff continue to negotiate better pricing, thus far in FY22 Contracts staff negotiated approximately $392,403 in savings.

ATTACHMENT(S):
1. MARCH 22_CFO CHARTS
Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Quarterly Report

MARCH 2022
As of March 31 2022, 185 cities and 6 counties had paid their FY22 dues. This represents 100.00% of the dues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY22 Membership Dues</th>
<th>$ 2,193,246</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Collected</td>
<td>$ 2,193,247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage Collected</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Office of the CFO
Fiscal Year-To-Date Indirect Cost & Recovery

Actual Exp's  $1,587  $1,537  $1,591  $2,289  $2,053  $1,910  $1,796  $1,912
Recovered  $1,903  $2,125  $2,391  $2,130  $1,958  $1,889  $2,075  $1,955  $2,388
Cum Recovered  $1,903  $4,028  $6,419  $8,549  $10,507  $12,396  $14,471  $16,426  $18,814

Monthly Actual Amount
Millions

Cum Actual Amount
Millions

Actual Exp's  Recovered  Cum Recovered
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### Office of the CFO

#### Consolidated Balance Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>12/31/2021</th>
<th>3/31/2022</th>
<th>Incr (decr) to equity</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash at Bank of the West</td>
<td>$9,788,769</td>
<td>$10,848,068</td>
<td>(399,791)</td>
<td>Revenues of $15.3M and Expenses of $15.7M both on cash basis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA County Investment Pool</td>
<td>$4,271,858</td>
<td>$2,812,767</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash &amp; Investments</td>
<td>$14,060,626</td>
<td>$13,660,836</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Receivable</td>
<td>$13,496,533</td>
<td>$22,435,477</td>
<td>8,938,944</td>
<td>Payments of $4.78M from FHWA PL, $4.5M from REAP, $3.78M from FTA5303, $3.63M from FY22 SB1 SC Formula, $5.08M from MSRC, $970K from FTA5339, $920K from TDA, $675K from FTA5312, $386K from ATP, $369K from Other Local Cash Match, $211K from SP&amp;R, $104K from Membership and Sponsorship, $28K from Interest and Misc items, $24K from OTS, $20K from DOE, $17K from FTA5304, offset by billings of $16.37M to REAP, $6.27M to FHWA PL, $4.54M to FTA5303, $4.27M to FY22 SB1 SC Formula, $4.26M to FY22 SB1 SC Formula, $3.63M to Other Local Cash Match, $228K to SP&amp;R, $104K to Membership, $28K to Interest and other Misc items, $24K to OTS, $20K to DOE, $17K to FTA5304, and $5M AR Unbilled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Current Assets</td>
<td>$209,896</td>
<td>$(1,545,352)</td>
<td>(1,755,249)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Assets - Net Book Value</td>
<td>$1,851,417</td>
<td>$1,851,417</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>Increase due to REAP AB101 (30500), CETF (60082), SRTSMP (60070) and ATN (60076) billings totaled to $12,168,035.62 but offset by $4,504,205 decrease in REAP AB101 billing, $52,000 in Sponsorship, $1,064 and $1,929.47 in VCTC billing and cash match refund, and $78,468.40 for Paramount, North Paramount Blvd Specific Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>$29,618,472</td>
<td>$36,402,376</td>
<td>$6,783,905</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Payable</td>
<td>$(703,092)</td>
<td>$(399,770)</td>
<td>303,322</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee-related Liabilities</td>
<td>$(851,430)</td>
<td>$(1,161,371)</td>
<td>(309,941)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Revenue</td>
<td>$(7,703,766)</td>
<td>$(15,235,655)</td>
<td>(7,531,889)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liabilities and Deferred Revenue</td>
<td>$(9,258,286)</td>
<td>$(16,796,76)</td>
<td>(7,538,508)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Balance</td>
<td>$20,360,184</td>
<td>$19,605,581</td>
<td>(754,603)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WORKING CAPITAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>12/31/2021</th>
<th>3/31/2022</th>
<th>Incr (decr) to working capital</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$14,060,626</td>
<td>$13,660,836</td>
<td>(399,791)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Receivable</td>
<td>$13,496,533</td>
<td>$22,435,477</td>
<td>8,938,944</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Payable</td>
<td>$(703,092)</td>
<td>$(399,770)</td>
<td>303,322</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee-related Liabilities</td>
<td>$(851,430)</td>
<td>$(1,161,371)</td>
<td>(309,941)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Capital</td>
<td>$26,002,637</td>
<td>$34,535,171</td>
<td>$8,532,534</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Comprehensive Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expense Description</th>
<th>Adopted Budget</th>
<th>Amended Budget</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
<th>Commitments</th>
<th>Budget Balance</th>
<th>% Budget Spent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total General Fund</strong></td>
<td>4,213,001</td>
<td>4,213,001</td>
<td>2,306,551</td>
<td>463,491</td>
<td>1,442,959</td>
<td>54.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Staff &amp; Allocated Fringe Benefits</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff &amp; Allocated Fringe Benefits</td>
<td>17,631,038</td>
<td>18,094,923</td>
<td>13,036,326</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,058,597</td>
<td>72.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Allocated Indirect Costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocated Indirect Costs</td>
<td>24,915,148</td>
<td>26,099,829</td>
<td>18,421,632</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,678,197</td>
<td>70.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCAG Consultants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAG Consultants</td>
<td>40,296,922</td>
<td>83,888,483</td>
<td>9,829,774</td>
<td>34,371,683</td>
<td>39,687,026</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Profits/Hl</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Profits/Hl</td>
<td>933,245</td>
<td>742,022</td>
<td>210,688</td>
<td>322,674</td>
<td>208,661</td>
<td>28.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Legal Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Services</td>
<td>439,858</td>
<td>99,738</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pass-through Payments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass-through Payments</td>
<td>9,191,406</td>
<td>5,007,208</td>
<td>2,598,965</td>
<td>2,408,243</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Software Support</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Support</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>332,694</td>
<td>34,716</td>
<td>232,590</td>
<td>55.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cloud Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloud Services</td>
<td>1,635,500</td>
<td>564,274</td>
<td>318,194</td>
<td>246,080</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Third Party Contributions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Party Contributions</td>
<td>5,230,855</td>
<td>6,348,594</td>
<td>3,498,160</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,850,434</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F&amp;F Principal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F&amp;F Principal</td>
<td>264,368</td>
<td>264,368</td>
<td>197,069</td>
<td>67,299</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F&amp;F Interest</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F&amp;F Interest</td>
<td>10,623</td>
<td>10,623</td>
<td>8,667</td>
<td>1,956</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>63.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AV Principal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV Principal</td>
<td>149,034</td>
<td>149,034</td>
<td>98,454</td>
<td>50,580</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AV Interest</strong></td>
<td>2,642</td>
<td>2,642</td>
<td>1,981</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Off Site Storage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Site Storage</td>
<td>9,124</td>
<td>13,762</td>
<td>6,549</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7,213</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hardware Supp</strong></td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3,146</td>
<td>1,854</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outreach</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outreach</td>
<td>64,000</td>
<td>108,508</td>
<td>44,508</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64,000</td>
<td>41.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resource Materials - subscribe</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Materials - subscribe</td>
<td>540,000</td>
<td>540,000</td>
<td>101,378</td>
<td>40,555</td>
<td>398,045</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital Outlay &gt; $5,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay &gt; $5,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Notices</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Notices</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>25,093</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39,907</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Networking Meetings/Special Events</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Networking Meetings/Special Events</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3,925</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Meeting Expense</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Meeting Expense</td>
<td>19,068</td>
<td>19,068</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,068</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Miscellaneous</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>190,717</td>
<td>433,211</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>18,766</td>
<td>408,445</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Misc Labor</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc Labor</td>
<td>1,204,452</td>
<td>6,506,124</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,506,124</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Misc Labor, Future</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc Labor, Future</td>
<td>1,185,044</td>
<td>5,105,522</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5,105,522</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temp Help</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp Help</td>
<td>38,250</td>
<td>38,250</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38,250</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Printing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Travel</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>82,500</td>
<td>83,898</td>
<td>4,211</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79,687</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exp - Local Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp - Local Other</td>
<td>40,011,607</td>
<td>33,223,585</td>
<td>19,699,282</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13,524,303</td>
<td>59.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total OWP, FTA Pass Thru &amp; TDA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total OWP, FTA Pass Thru &amp; TDA</td>
<td>144,250,025</td>
<td>188,355,166</td>
<td>68,555,841</td>
<td>37,855,503</td>
<td>81,943,823</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensive Budget</strong></td>
<td>148,463,026</td>
<td>192,568,167</td>
<td>70,862,392</td>
<td>38,318,993</td>
<td>83,386,782</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## INDIRECT COST EXPENDITURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adopted Budget</th>
<th>Amended Budget</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
<th>Commitments</th>
<th>Budget Balance</th>
<th>% Budget Spent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 50010 Regular Staff</td>
<td>7,746,533</td>
<td>7,998,790</td>
<td>6,203,880</td>
<td>1,794,910</td>
<td>77.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 50013 Regular OT</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>3,702</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 50014 Interns, Temps, Annuit</td>
<td>78,000</td>
<td>78,000</td>
<td>42,442</td>
<td>35,558</td>
<td>54.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 50016 Retired Annuitant</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>38,561</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,439</td>
<td>96.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 50030 Severance</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>27,000</td>
<td>22,358</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 51xxx Allocated Fringe Benefits</td>
<td>6,077,056</td>
<td>6,209,616</td>
<td>4,882,972</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,326,644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 54300 SCAG Consultants</td>
<td>1,961,819</td>
<td>1,933,999</td>
<td>1,210,550</td>
<td>723,449</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 54301 Consultants - Other</td>
<td>731,000</td>
<td>791,200</td>
<td>398,707</td>
<td>392,493</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 54340 Legal</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>190,000</td>
<td>100,442</td>
<td>89,558</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 55201 Network and Communications</td>
<td>304,000</td>
<td>303,800</td>
<td>175,041</td>
<td>41,322</td>
<td>87,436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 55210 Software Support</td>
<td>548,900</td>
<td>890,535</td>
<td>672,970</td>
<td>64,952</td>
<td>152,613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 55220 Hardware Supp</td>
<td>940,817</td>
<td>891,498</td>
<td>90,477</td>
<td>24,791</td>
<td>776,230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 55240 Repair &amp; Maint Non-IT</td>
<td>26,500</td>
<td>26,500</td>
<td>11,039</td>
<td>15,461</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 55251 Infrastructure Cloud Services</td>
<td>623,465</td>
<td>414,930</td>
<td>145,962</td>
<td>125,316</td>
<td>143,652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 55271 On-Prem Software</td>
<td>247,690</td>
<td>196,190</td>
<td>1,402</td>
<td>13,440</td>
<td>181,347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 55275 Co-location Services</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>246,039</td>
<td>79,295</td>
<td>13,658</td>
<td>153,086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 55315 F&amp;I Interest</td>
<td>4,376</td>
<td>4,376</td>
<td>3,639</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 55325 AV Interest</td>
<td>8,162</td>
<td>8,162</td>
<td>6,123</td>
<td>2,039</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 55400 Office Rent DTLA</td>
<td>2,302,445</td>
<td>2,302,445</td>
<td>1,803,911</td>
<td>295,286</td>
<td>203,249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 55410 Office Rent Satellite</td>
<td>278,200</td>
<td>278,200</td>
<td>98,485</td>
<td>45,250</td>
<td>134,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 55415 Office Storage</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>3,671</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 55420 Equip Leases</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>31,902</td>
<td>28,098</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 55430 Equip Repairs &amp; Maint</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 55435 Security Services</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>38,093</td>
<td>56,698</td>
<td>5,209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 55440 Insurance</td>
<td>315,000</td>
<td>315,000</td>
<td>265,060</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 55441 Payroll / Bank Fees</td>
<td>17,500</td>
<td>17,500</td>
<td>6,707</td>
<td>10,793</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 55445 Taxes</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>1,331</td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 55460 Mater &amp; Equip &lt; $5,000 *</td>
<td>54,000</td>
<td>54,000</td>
<td>2,922</td>
<td>1,947</td>
<td>49,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 55510 Office Supplies</td>
<td>73,800</td>
<td>66,700</td>
<td>14,965</td>
<td>51,735</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 55520 Graphic Supplies</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 55530 Telephone</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 55540 Postage</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 55550 Delivery Svc</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>6,500</td>
<td>6,128</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 55600 SCAG Memberships</td>
<td>102,200</td>
<td>102,200</td>
<td>13,330</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>88,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 55610 Prof Memberships</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 55611 Prof Dues</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>1,850</td>
<td>1,384</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 55620 Res Mats/Subscribe</td>
<td>58,100</td>
<td>57,000</td>
<td>31,847</td>
<td>5,750</td>
<td>19,403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 55630 COVID Facility Expenses</td>
<td>53,740</td>
<td>8,966</td>
<td>7,131</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37,644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 55700 Deprec - Furn &amp; Fixt</td>
<td>250,330</td>
<td>250,330</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>250,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 55720 Amortiz - Leasehold Improvements</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 55800 Recruitment Notices</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>10,386</td>
<td>14,614</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 55801 Recruitment - other</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>13,735</td>
<td>18,272</td>
<td>12,993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 55810 Public Notices</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 55820 In House Training</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 55830 Networking Meetings/Special Events</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 55840 Training Registration</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>22,178</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42,822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47 55920 Other Mfg Exp</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48 55950 Temp Help</td>
<td>108,316</td>
<td>68,316</td>
<td>64,909</td>
<td>3,407</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49 55xxx Miscellaneous - other</td>
<td>11,500</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 56100 Printing</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>7,015</td>
<td>15,388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 58100 Travel - Outside</td>
<td>83,300</td>
<td>80,199</td>
<td>11,144</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69,055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 58101 Travel - Local</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>1,062</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17,938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 58110 Mileage - Local</td>
<td>23,500</td>
<td>22,700</td>
<td>1,194</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 58120 Travel Agent Fees</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Indirect Cost</strong></td>
<td><strong>23,891,359</strong></td>
<td><strong>24,474,315</strong></td>
<td><strong>16,543,627</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,065,526</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,865,161</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Office of the CFO**  
**Fiscal Year-To-Date Expenditure Report Through March 31, 2022**

Attachment: MARCH 22_CFO CHARTS (CFO Monthly Report)
Overview
This chart shows the number of contracts administered by the Contracts division, by month, from July 2020 thru April 2022.

Summary
As illustrated on the chart, the Contracts Department is currently managing a total of 196 contracts. Thirty-five (35) are Cost Plus Fee contracts; eighty (80) are Lump Sum (formerly Fixed Price) contracts, and the remaining eighty-one (81) are Time and Materials (T&M) contracts (includes Labor Hour and Retainer contracts). Note, due to the nature of SCAG’s work, the majority of SCAG contracts have a one year term and end on June 30th each year.
## Staffing Update

**CFO Report**  
*As of April 1, 2022*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Authorized Positions</th>
<th>Filled Positions</th>
<th>Vacant Positions</th>
<th>Interns/Temps</th>
<th>Agency Temps</th>
<th>Volunteers</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive Office</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Resources</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Services</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Technology</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gov. &amp; Public Affairs</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning &amp; Programs</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>194</strong></td>
<td><strong>174</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td><strong>6</strong></td>
<td><strong>193</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**CalPERS Membership**

- Classic, 76, 44%
- PEPRA, 98, 56%

---

*Attachment: MARCH 22_CFO CHARTS (CFO Monthly Report)*
CFO Report
As of April 1, 2022

Vacation Update

Vacation Usage FY22

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hours Used</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9,407</td>
<td>$612,059.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>62.98</td>
<td>$44,435.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of Staff</td>
<td>138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Staff</td>
<td>79.31%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vacation Cash Out Pilot Program Usage in FY22 and FY21

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY22 Hours Used</th>
<th>FY22 Cost</th>
<th>FY21 Hours Used</th>
<th>FY21 Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>$49,940.80</td>
<td>1,180</td>
<td>$81,956.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>37.89</td>
<td>$2,577.60</td>
<td>39.33</td>
<td>$2,731.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$1,227.00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$1,352.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest</td>
<td>40 (max)</td>
<td>$5,902.40</td>
<td>40 (max)</td>
<td>$5,568.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of Staff</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Staff</td>
<td>10.34%</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.75%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>