REMOT PARTICIPATION ONLY

EXECUTIVE/ ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

Wednesday, August 3, 2022
3:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m.

To Attend and Participate on Your Computer:
https://scag.zoom.us/j/889726747

To Attend and Participate by Phone:
Call-in Number: 1-669-900-6833
Meeting ID: 889 726 747

PUBLIC ADVISORY

Given the declared state of emergency (pursuant to State of Emergency Proclamation dated March 4, 2020) and local public health directives imposing and recommending social distancing measures due to the threat of COVID-19, and pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(e)(1)(A), the meeting will be held telephonically and electronically.

If members of the public wish to review the attachments or have any questions on any of the agenda items, please contact Maggie Aguilar at (213) 630-1420 or via email at aguilar@scag.ca.gov. Agendas & Minutes are also available at: www.scag.ca.gov/committees.

SCAG, in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), will accommodate persons who require a modification of accommodation in order to participate in this meeting. SCAG is also committed to helping people with limited proficiency in the English language access the agency’s essential public information and services. You can request such assistance by calling (213) 630-1420. We request at least 72 hours (three days) notice to provide reasonable accommodations and will make every effort to arrange for assistance as soon as possible.
Instructions for Public Comments

You may submit public comments in two (2) ways:

1. **In Writing:** Submit written comments via email to: ePublicComment@scag.ca.gov by 5pm on Tuesday, August 2, 2022. You are not required to submit public comments in writing or in advance of the meeting; this option is offered as a convenience should you desire not to provide comments in real time as described below.

   All written comments received after 5pm on Tuesday, August 2, 2022 will be announced and included as part of the official record of the meeting.

2. **In Real Time:** If participating in real time via Zoom or phone, during the Public Comment Period (Matters Not on the Agenda) or at the time the item on the agenda for which you wish to speak is called, use the “raise hand” function on your computer or *9 by phone and wait for SCAG staff to announce your name/phone number. SCAG staff will unmute your line when it is your turn to speak. Limit oral comments to 3 minutes, or as otherwise directed by the presiding officer. For purpose of providing public comment for items listed on the Consent Calendar, please indicate that you wish to speak when the Consent Calendar is called; items listed on the Consent Calendar will be acted on with one motion and there will be no separate discussion of these items unless a member of the legislative body so requests, in which event, the item will be considered separately.

   If unable to connect by Zoom or phone and you wish to make a comment, you may submit written comments via email to: ePublicComment@scag.ca.gov.

*In accordance with SCAG’s Regional Council Policy, Article VI, Section H and California Government Code Section 54957.9, if a SCAG meeting is “willfully interrupted” and the “orderly conduct of the meeting” becomes unfeasible, the presiding officer or the Chair of the legislative body may order the removal of the individuals who are disrupting the meeting.*
Instructions for Participating in the Meeting

SCAG is providing multiple options to view or participate in the meeting:

**To Participate and Provide Verbal Comments on Your Computer**
1. Click the following link: [https://scag.zoom.us/j/889726747](https://scag.zoom.us/j/889726747).
2. If Zoom is not already installed on your computer, click “Download & Run Zoom” on the launch page and press “Run” when prompted by your browser. If Zoom has previously been installed on your computer, please allow a few moments for the application to launch automatically.
3. Select “Join Audio via Computer.”
4. The virtual conference room will open. If you receive a message reading, “Please wait for the host to start this meeting,” simply remain in the room until the meeting begins.
5. During the Public Comment Period (Matters Not on the Agenda) or at the time the item on the agenda for which you wish to speak is called (see note above regarding items on the Consent Calendar), use the “raise hand” function located in the participants’ window and wait for SCAG staff to announce your name. SCAG staff will unmute your line when it is your turn to speak. Each speaker is limited to oral comments totaling 3 minutes for all matters, or as otherwise directed by the presiding officer.

**To Listen and Provide Verbal Comments by Phone**
1. Call (669) 900-6833 to access the conference room. Given high call volumes recently experienced by Zoom, please continue dialing until you connect successfully.
2. Enter the **Meeting ID: 889 726 747**, followed by #.
3. Indicate that you are a participant by pressing # to continue.
4. You will hear audio of the meeting in progress. Remain on the line if the meeting has not yet started.
5. During the Public Comment Period (Matters Not on the Agenda) or at the time the item on the agenda for which you wish to speak is called (see note above regarding items on the Consent Calendar), press *9 to add yourself to the queue and wait for SCAG staff to announce your name/phone number. SCAG staff will unmute your line when it is your turn to speak. Each speaker is limited to oral comments totaling 3 minutes for all matters, or as otherwise directed by the presiding officer.
EAC - Executive/Administration Committee

Members – August 2022

1. Hon. Jan C. Harnik
   Chair, RCTC Representative

2. Sup. Carmen Ramirez
   1st Vice Chair, Ventura County

3. Hon. Art Brown
   2nd Vice Chair, Buena Park, RC District 21

4. Hon. Clint Lorimore
   Imm. Past President, Eastvale, RC District 4

5. Hon. Frank A. Yokoyama
   CEHD Chair, Cerritos, RC District 23

6. Hon. David J. Shapiro
   CEHD Vice Chair, Calabasas, RC District 44

7. Hon. Deborah Robertson
   EEC Chair, Rialto, RC District 8

8. Sup. Luis Plancarte
   EEC Vice Chair, Imperial County

9. Hon. Ray Marquez
   TC Chair, Chino Hills, RC District 10

10. Hon. Tim Sandoval
    TC Vice Chair, Pomona, RC District 38

11. Hon. Peggy Huang
    LCMC Chair, TCA Representative

12. Hon. Jose Luis Solache
    LCMC Vice Chair, Lynwood, RC District 26

13. Hon. Larry McCallon
    Highland, RC District 7, Pres. Appt.

14. Hon. Margaret Finlay

15. Hon. Kathleen Kelly
    Palm Desert, RC District 2, Pres. Appt.
16. Hon. Nithya Raman
   Los Angeles, RC District 51, Pres. Appt.

17. Hon. Andrew Masiel
   Tribal Govt Regl Planning Board Representative

18. Ms. Lucy Dunn
   Business Representative - Non-Voting Member
The Executive/Administration Committee may consider and act upon any of the items on the agenda regardless of whether they are listed as Information or Action items.

CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
(The Honorable Jan Harnik, Chair)

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD (Matters Not on the Agenda)
This is the time for persons to comment on any matter pertinent to SCAG’s jurisdiction that is not listed on the agenda. Although the committee may briefly respond to statements or questions, under state law, matters presented under this item cannot be discussed or acted upon at this time. Public comment for items listed on the agenda will be taken separately as further described below.

*General information for all public comments:* Members of the public are encouraged, but not required, to submit written comments by sending an email to: ePublicComment@scag.ca.gov by 5pm on Tuesday, August 2, 2022. Such comments will be transmitted to members of the legislative body and posted on SCAG’s website prior to the meeting. Any writings or documents provided to a majority of the Executive Administration Committee regarding any item on this agenda (other than writings legally exempt from public disclosure) are available at the Office of the Clerk, located at 900 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90017 during normal business hours and/or by contacting the office by phone, (213) 630-1420, or email to aguilarm@scag.ca.gov. Written comments received after 5pm on Tuesday, August 2, 2022, will be announced and included as part of the official record of the meeting. Members of the public wishing to verbally address the Executive/Administration Committee in real time during the meeting will be allowed up to a total of 3 minutes to speak on items on the agenda, with the presiding officer retaining discretion to adjust time limits as necessary to ensure efficient and orderly conduct of the meeting. The presiding officer has the discretion to equally reduce the time limit of all speakers based upon the number of comments received. If you desire to speak on an item listed on the agenda, please wait for the chair to call the item and then indicate your interest in offering public comment by either using the “raise hand” function on your computer or pressing *9 on your telephone. For purpose of providing public comment for items listed on the Consent Calendar, please indicate that you wish to speak when the Consent Calendar is called; items listed on the Consent Calendar will be acted upon with one motion and there will be no separate discussion of these items unless a member of the legislative body so requests, in which event, the item will be considered separately.

REVIEW AND PRIORITIZE AGENDA ITEMS

ACTION ITEM

1. Findings to Continue Holding Virtual Regional Council and Committee Meetings Under AB 361 *(Ruben Duran, BB&K Board Counsel)*
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
That the Executive/Administration Committee (EAC) acting on behalf of the Regional Council: (1) make the following findings required by Government Code Section 54953(e)(3) on the basis of the staff report, which is incorporated by this reference, that (i) a proclaimed state of emergency remains active in connection with the COVID-19 public health crisis, (ii) the EAC has reconsidered the circumstances of the state of emergency and (iii) state and local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing in relation to the COVID-19 public health crisis and, further, (2) authorize all legislative bodies of the Southern California Association of Government (SCAG), including the EAC, RC and all committees and task forces established by the RC or SCAG’s Bylaws, to utilize remote teleconference meetings pursuant to and in compliance with Brown Act provisions contained in Government Code Section 54953(e).

CONSENT CALENDAR

Approval Items

2. Minutes of the Special Meeting June 30- July 1, 2022
3. Minutes of the Regular Meeting – July 6, 2022
4. Approval of Business Representative as Ex Officio Policy Committee Member
5. Contract Amendment Greater Than $75,000 and 30% of the Contract’s Original Value: Contract No. 21-030-C01, Infrastructure Upgrade – Hardware and Software
6. Contracts $200,000 or Greater: Contract No. 22-028-C01, City of Pomona Complete Streets Ordinance (CCSO) – Network Visioning & Implementation
7. Contracts $200,000 or Greater: Contract No. 22-058-C01, Industry Forum: Housing Supportive Infrastructure and Utilities
8. H.R. 7642 (Brownley) - Transportation Assistance for Olympic Cities Act of 2022

Receive and File

9. CFO Monthly Report

CFO REPORT
(Cindy Giraldo, Chief Financial Officer)

PRESIDENT’S REPORT
(The Honorable Jan C. Harnik, Chair)

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT
(Kome Ajise, Executive Director)

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

ANNOUNCEMENTS

ADJOURNMENT
RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR EAC:
That the Executive/Administration Committee (EAC) acting on behalf of the Regional Council:
(1) make the following findings required by Government Code Section 54953(e)(3) on the basis of the staff report, which is incorporated by this reference, that (i) a proclaimed state of emergency remains active in connection with the COVID-19 public health crisis, (ii) the EAC has reconsidered the circumstances of the state of emergency and (iii) state and local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing in relation to the COVID-19 public health crisis and, further, (2) authorize all legislative bodies of the Southern California Association of Government (SCAG), including the EAC, RC and all committees and task forces established by the RC or SCAG’s Bylaws, to utilize remote teleconference meetings pursuant to and in compliance with Brown Act provisions contained in Government Code Section 54953(e).

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
On March 4, 2020, Governor Newsom issued a Proclamation of State of Emergency pursuant to Government Code Section 8625 in relation to the COVID-19 public health crisis. Notwithstanding significant declines in COVID-19-related cases and hospitalizations from the winter of 2021-22 and the relaxing of COVID-19-related mandates, this State of Emergency is still in force. Additionally, recent variants (such as b.a.4 and b.a.5) have increased positivity in test results and hospitalizations in the region, including recent increases in hospitalization at levels not seen since late winter. Social distancing measures are also still being recommended, as further discussed below. Further, based on recent reports and statements, it is possible that the Los Angeles County Health Officer may issue an indoor mask mandate as soon as late July if certain factors continue to rise. Amendments to the Brown Act in Government Code section 54953(e) (hereafter, “Section 54953(e)”) allow legislative bodies to conduct remote/teleconferenced meetings without
posting the location of teleconferenced meeting sites or making such sites available to the public (as is required by Section 54953(b)(3)), provided that certain conditions facilitating “real time” public participation and other requirements are satisfied. SCAG’s Regional Council Policy Manual permits the holding of remote and teleconferenced meetings in the manner permitted by Section 54953(e). Teleconference meetings include meetings that are held in a “hybrid” manner (that is, with both remote and “in-person” participation, and where the public is not permitted to attend in-person).

Since the enactment of Section 54953(e), the EAC, SCAG’s Policy Committees, its other committees and the RC have met pursuant to Section 54953(e), subdivision (1)(A). SCAG’s legislative bodies may continue meeting pursuant to Section 54953(e) provided that certain findings are made to continue doing so. Further, to continue meeting in such manner, the meetings must be held pursuant to the requirements of subdivision (e) of Section 54953.

This staff report includes findings that the EAC can make, acting on behalf of the Regional Council (RC) pursuant to Bylaws Article V.C(3)(a), to continue meeting remotely. Because the next regular meeting of the RC is not until September 1, 2022, action by the EAC will facilitate and authorize all of SCAG’s legislative bodies (the RC, EAC, Policy Committees, other committees and task forces) to continue utilizing teleconference/videoconference meetings for a thirty-day period. Further continuation of this practice would require the EAC and/or RC to reconsider the then-current circumstances and make findings accordingly.

BACKGROUND:
The United States Secretary of Health and Human Services declared a public health emergency based on the threat cause by COVID-19 on January 31, 2020. The President of the United States issued a Proclamation Declaring a National State of Emergency Concerning COVID-19 beginning March 1, 2020. Thereafter, the Governor of California issued a Proclamation of State of Emergency pursuant to Government Code Section 8625 in relation to the COVID-19 public health crisis on March 4, 2020. This proclamation has not yet been repealed or rescinded and is currently in force, notwithstanding declines in in COVID-19-related cases and hospitalizations from rates in the 2021-22 wintertime and the general relaxing of COVID-19-related mandates. As a result, a state of emergency continues to be declared in California with respect to COVID-19. Additionally, COVID-19 variants, including the b.a.4 and b.a.5 variants, have recently demonstrated that the virus continues to transmit in public places, as evidenced by increase positivity in test results and an increase in COVID-related hospitalizations in the region. Recent increases in hospitalization have been at levels not seen since late winter.¹ Social distancing measures are also still being recommended, as further discussed below. Further, based on recent reports and official statements, it is possible that the Los

Angeles County Health Officer may issue an indoor mask mandate if certain factors continue to rise. This mandate could occur as soon as late July.

Pursuant to Assembly Bill (AB) 361, which amended the Brown Act’s teleconferencing provisions, Section 54953(e) allows legislative bodies to meet virtually without posting the remote meeting locations and without providing public access at such locations (as is generally required by section 54953(b)(3)), provided there is a state of emergency, and either (1) state or local officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing or (2) the legislative body determines by majority vote that meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health and safety of attendees. Additionally, Section 54953(e) imposes transparency requirements to the management of remote and teleconference public meetings held under this section. Specifically, Section 54953(e) imposes two requirements for remote public meetings:

1. Public agencies hosting teleconference meetings pursuant to Section 54953(e) in lieu of traditional in-person or teleconferenced meetings must permit direct “real time” public comment during the teleconference and must leave open the opportunity for public comment until the comment period is closed during the ordinary course of the meeting. The opportunity to make public comment must be of a sufficient duration to allow actual public participation.

2. Any action by the governing body during a public teleconference meeting held under Section 54953(e) must occur while the agency is actively and successfully broadcasting to members of the public through a call-in option or an internet-based service option. If a technical disruption within the agency’s control prevents members of the public from either viewing the meeting of the public agency or prevents members of the public from offering public comment, the agency must cease all action on the meeting agenda until the disruption ends and the broadcast is restored.

SCAG has implemented the requirements for conducting public meetings in compliance with the prior executive orders and Section 54953(e). Teleconference accessibility via call-in option or an internet-based service option (via the Zoom Webinars platform) is listed on the published agenda for each meeting of SCAG legislative bodies, and on SCAG’s website. Further, SCAG provides access for public comment opportunities in real time at the time noted on the agenda. The holding of remote meetings in compliance with Section 54953(e) promotes the public interests of facilitating robust public participation on a remote platform and, further, protecting the public, SCAG’s members and its employees when congregating indoors and against recent variants (including variants b.a.4, b.a.5 and emerging variants) that pose health risks. Providing remote meetings also allows for the fully participation of SCAG’s governing board members and staff who otherwise have

---

tested positive, are mildly ill, and would be unable to personally attend meetings at SCAG’s offices but for the accommodations in Section 54953(e).

Since the enactment of Section 54953(e), the EAC, SCAG’s Policy Committees, its other committees and the RC have met pursuant to provisions in Government Code section 54953(e)(1)(A) because a declared state of emergency exists and County of Los Angeles Public Health Department and the City of Los Angeles currently recommends a variety of social distancing measures (including recommended masking, recommending avoidance of crowded indoor spaces and to maintain six feet of social distancing, especially in cases where, as is the case here, the vaccination status of persons outside your household is unknown).\(^3\) The continued importance of social distancing measures is exemplified by current local health order recommendations to continue adhering to public health measures and recognition that local agencies and businesses may desire to adhere to more stringent health protocols than formally mandated.\(^4\) Given the potential for a return to an indoor mask mandate and based on the recent increase in positivity and hospitalizations from COVID-19, these findings are warranted.

SCAG’s legislative bodies may continue meeting pursuant to Section 54953(e) if certain findings are periodically made and provided, further, that such meetings continue to be held pursuant to the requirements of subdivision (e) of Section 54953. The required findings include: (1) the legislative body has reconsidered the circumstances of the state of emergency and (2) that either (i) state or local officials continue to recommend measures to promote social distancing or (ii) an in-person meeting would constitute an imminent risk to the safety of attendees.

SCAG’s Regional Policy Manual permits holding teleconference/videoconference meetings and permits the President to waive certain requirements in the Policy Manual where state law permits such waiver. Likewise, SCAG’s Bylaws authorize the EAC to make decisions and take actions binding on SCAG if such decisions or actions are necessary prior to the next regular meeting of the Regional Council. (Art. V.C(3)(a).) Given the Regional Council’s regular meeting is not until September 1\(^{st}\) and there will be several meetings of SCAG’s legislative bodies in August prior to the next RC meeting, SCAG’s Bylaws authorize the EAC to make the findings contained in this staff report.

---

\(^3\) See [https://coronavirus.lacity.org/](https://coronavirus.lacity.org/) (visited March 9, 2022) Los Angeles County Public Health Department notation that social distancing is still a recommended practice.

\(^4\) See [https://www.lamayor.org/sites/g/files/wph1781/files/page/file/20220304%20SAFER%20LA%20ORDER%202020.03.19%20%28REV%202022.03.04%29.pdf](https://www.lamayor.org/sites/g/files/wph1781/files/page/file/20220304%20SAFER%20LA%20ORDER%202020.03.19%20%28REV%202022.03.04%29.pdf) (City of Los Angeles Mayoral Order), noting “All persons living and working within the City of Los Angeles should continue to always practice required and recommended COVID-19 infection control measures at all times and when among other persons when in community, work, social, or school settings, especially when multiple unvaccinated persons from different households may be present and in close contact with each other, especially when in indoor or crowded outdoor settings.” Also, noting “Consistent and correct mask use (covering nose and mouth) is especially important indoors when in close contact with others (less than six feet from) who are not fully vaccinated against COVID-19 or whose vaccination status is unknown.”
If the findings below are made by the EAC, all SCAG legislative bodies (i.e., the RC, EAC, Policy Committees and other SCAG committees and task forces) are authorized to meet pursuant to Section 54953(e) for thirty days. Further continuation beyond this period would require the EAC and/or RC to reconsider the then-current circumstances.

**FINDINGS:**

The recommendations in this staff report are based on the following facts and findings, made pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(e)(3), which are incorporated into the recommended action taken by the EAC and RC, as noted above:

1. The EAC and RC have reconsidered the circumstances of the state of emergency initially declared by the Governor on March 4, 2020, pursuant to section 8625 of the California Emergency Services Act, relating to the COVID-19 public health crisis and find that the declaration remains in effect. The continuation of virtual meetings will allow for full participation by members of the public, consistent with continued social distancing recommendations, and will facilitate the purposes of such social distancing recommendations by preventing large crowds from congregating at in indoor facilities for extended periods of time. Given that the vaccination status of meeting participants (including members of the public) is not known, it is prudent to use caution in protecting the health of the public, SCAG’s employees and its membership where, as here, adequate virtual means exist to permit the meeting to occur by teleconference/videoconference with the public being afforded the ability to comment in real time. Additionally, continuing virtual meetings allows for the fully participation of SCAG’s governing board members and staff who otherwise have tested positive, are mildly ill, and would be unable to personally attend meetings at SCAG’s offices but for the accommodations in Section 54953(e). Allowing the continued participation of mildly ill persons by remote means while they isolate promotes the public interest.

---

5 See https://www.lamayor.org/sites/g/files/wph1781/files/page/file/20220304%20SAFER%20LA%20ORDER%202020.03.19%20%28REV%202022.03.04%29.pdf, noting “People at risk for severe illness or death from COVID-19—such as unvaccinated older adults and unvaccinated individuals with underlying medical conditions associated with higher risk for severe COVID-19—and members of their household, should defer participating in activities with other people outside their household where taking protective measures, including wearing face masks and social distancing, may not occur or will be difficult, especially indoors or in crowded spaces. For those who are not yet fully vaccinated, staying home or choosing outdoor activities as much as possible with physical distancing from other households whose vaccination status is unknown is the best way to prevent the risk of COVID-19 transmission.”
2. The EAC and RC find that state and local officials continue to recommend measures to promote social distancing as exemplified by the discussion and footnoted provisions above. Further the Los Angeles County Department of Public Health and City of Los Angeles continue to recommend measures to promote social distancing, including recommendations to avoid crowded indoor spaces and to maintain six feet of social distancing, especially in cases where the vaccination status of persons outside a person’s household is unknown. The continued importance of social distancing measures is exemplified by recent increases in the COVID-19 positivity rate and in hospitalizations in excess of wintertime surge numbers, recent health orders recommending the need to continued adherence to public health measures and in recognition that local agencies and businesses may desire to adhere to more stringent health protocols than formally mandated. Finally, SCAG’s primary offices and its regional offices remain closed to the public in relation to the COVID-19 emergency declaration.

CONCLUSION:
Staff recommends the actions described above be taken based on the findings contained in this staff report. Should further remote meetings pursuant to Section 54953(e) be warranted, the EAC and/or RC are required to reconsider the circumstances and make findings to continue holding meetings in this manner.

FISCAL IMPACT:
None.
MINUTES OF THE MEETING
EXECUTIVE/ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE (EAC)
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 30, 2022 – THURSDAY, JULY 1, 2022


The Executive/Administration Committee (EAC) of the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) held its annual retreat meeting at the Hotel Paseo, Paseo A, 45-400 Larkspur Lane, Palm Desert, CA 92260. A quorum was present.

THURSDAY, JUNE 30, 2022 11:00 AM – 5:00 PM

Members Present
Hon. Jan Harnik, President
Hon. Carmen Ramirez, 1st Vice President
Hon. Frank Yokoyama, Chair, CEHD
Hon. David J. Shapiro, Vice Chair, CEHD
Hon. Deborah Robertson, Chair, EEC
Hon. Luis Plancarte, Vice Chair, EEC
Hon. Ray Marquez, Chair, TC
Hon. Tim Sandoval, Vice Chair, TC
Hon. Peggy Huang, Chair, LCMC
Hon. Jose Luis Solache, Vice Chair, LCMC
Hon. Margaret Finlay, President’s Appt.
Hon. Kathleen Kelly, President’s Appt.
Hon. Larry McCallon, President’s Appt.
Hon. Nithya Raman, President’s Appt.
Hon. Andrew Masiel, Sr.
Hon. Lucy Dunn

RCTC
Ventura County
Cerritos
District 23
Calabasas
District 44
Rialto
District 8
Chino Hills
District 10
Pomona
District 38
TCA
Lynwood
District 26
Rosemead
District 35
Palm Desert
District 2
Highland
District 7
Los Angeles
District 51
Pechanga Dev. Corp.
TGRPB Representative
Business Representative

Members Not Present
Hon. Art Brown, 2nd Vice President
Hon. Clint Lorimore, Imm. Past President
Buena Park
District 21
Eastvale
District 4
Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance

The Honorable Jan Harnik called the meeting to order at 11:10 a.m. President Harnik asked First Vice President Carmen Ramirez, Ventura County, to lead the Pledge of Allegiance.

Public Comment Period

President Harnik opened the Public Comment Period and outlined instructions for public comments. She noted this was the time for persons to comment on any matter pertinent to SCAG’s jurisdiction that were not listed on the agenda. She reminded the public to submit comments via email to ePublicComment@scag.ca.gov.

Ruben Duran, Board Counsel, acknowledged there no written public comments for items not listed on the agenda.

Seeing no public comment speakers, President Harnik closed the Public Comment Period.

Review and Prioritize Agenda Items

There was no prioritization of agenda items.

Information/Discussion Items

1. Welcome, Opening Remarks, Introductions, Agenda Review and Expectations
President Harnik provided opening remarks and had EAC members and staff introduce themselves.

2. SCAG 101: Overview of Organization

Darin Chidsey, Chief Operating Officer provided an overview of the organization which included a review of the SCAG region, vision, mission, core values, primary roles and responsibilities, the strategic plan goals, agency budget and overall workplan, the four-year budget and staffing history, and FY 2021-2022 accomplishments, recognitions, and awards. He also introduced the Executive Team and had them each provide a brief introduction of their departments.

3. Updating the Strategic Plan
   (Kome Ajise, Executive Director, Debbie Dillon, Chief Strategy Officer, and Loree Goffigon, Performance Works)

Kome Ajise, Executive Director, provided opening comments regarding the Strategic Plan. He stated they were hoping to get input and direction as they were in the process of updating the strategic plan to be more relevant to the environment they were in. The Executive Director asked Debbie Dillon, Chief Strategy Officer, to add some comments to this discussion. Ms. Dillon shared she was leading the efforts for this item and expressed her excitement in gathering the data, working with the consulting team, and refining it. She introduced Loree Goffigon, with Performance Works. Ms. Goffigon provided a general introduction to the work process, and went over what the strategic plan was, where they were going and how they planned to get there. She shared they would be working on aligning the vision, work culture, processes as well as stakeholder engagement. She emphasized this was a refresher, and that the process and project were designed to build on what was already underway.

4. Progress on President’s Work Plan and FY 23 Work Plan and Priorities
   (Kome Ajise, Executive Director and Sarah Jepson, Director of Planning)

Mr. Ajise introduced the annual work plan. He stated that they had worked on this the previous year and would use it as a basis for discussion. He shared he hoped they would use the bones of the framework of last year’s work plan for creating a work plan for this coming year. He called upon Sarah Jepson, Director of Planning to talk about the structure of the plan.

Sarah Jepson stated this would be a working session and was hoping for active engagement. The focus of her discussion was around the four priority areas in the strategic workplan which were:

- Regional Policy Development and Regional Plan Update;
- Leadership in Resource Deployment and how they were implementing the current plan;
- Legislative Action; and
- Technology, Innovation and Leadership.
Various members shared their thoughts on what SCAG could look into as part of the workplan. Some ideas included economic growth, looking into who was left behind at the subregional level, creating jobs within the region and within communities to reduce travel distances for people. Members also discussed joint policy committees and looking at shared issues such as infrastructure, resource conservation, and racial equity.

5. Policy Briefing

(Sarah Jepson, Director of Planning)

a. Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) / Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG)

Mr. Chidsey provided context to the EAC on the two items that would be discussed. He shared that the both items that Annie Nam, Deputy Director for Transportation, would touch on were very complicated. He stated they involved on one side three decades worth of transportation funding law and on the other side the complex issue of air quality in the region. He stated that both were core functions of what they did as an organization. He shared there were changes coming on both fronts. He shared previous Air Quality plans had not been implemented on the Federal level. He stated the purpose of the presentation was to bring members up to speed so when the time came, they could approve an action that they would take on the air quality side.

Ms. Nam focused her discussion on the transportation funding component. She talked about two federal formula programs CMAQ and STBG. She stated that these programs were part of federal review, and that there were corrective actions for both, indicating administration of programs had not been in compliance, specifically, STBG could not be suballocated. She stated SCAG was working with County Transportation partners, to develop action plans addressing recommendations to improve the process and identifying SCAG’s role in project selection. She stated they would be meeting over next six months to draft an action plan by December 2022.

Ms. Jepson provided a brief overview of the air quality conformity component. She walked through two significant air quality issues that would potentially have implications for transportation investments. One being the notice of intent to sue that had been recently filed by the South Coast AQMD to sue the U.S. EPA and the second was the Regional Transportation Conformity lockdown.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, President Harnik adjourned the EAC Retreat of the Executive Administration Committee at 5:30 p.m. to Friday, July 1, 2022 at 8:00 a.m.

FRIDAY, JULY 1, 2022 – 8:00 AM – 10:30 AM
Members Present
Hon. Jan Harnik, President
Hon. Carmen Ramirez, 1st Vice President
Hon. Clint Lorimore, Imm. Past President
Hon. Frank Yokoyama, Chair, CEHD
Hon. David J. Shapiro, Vice Chair, CEHD
Hon. Deborah Robertson, Chair, EEC
Hon. Luis Plancarte, Vice Chair, EEC
Hon. Ray Marquez, Chair, TC
Hon. Kathleen Kelly, President’s Appt.
Hon. Larry McCallon, President’s Appt.
Hon. Nithya Raman, President’s Appt.
Hon. Andrew Masiel, Sr.
Hon. Lucy Dunn

RCTC
Ventura County
District 4

Members Not Present
Hon. Art Brown, 2nd Vice President
Hon. Tim Sandoval, Vice Chair, TC
Hon. Peggy Huang, Chair, LCMC
Hon. Jose Luis Solache, Vice Chair, LCMC

Buena Park
District 21

Pomona
District 38

TCA

Lynwood
District 26

Staff Present
Kome Ajise, Executive Director
Darin Chidsey, Chief Operating Officer
Debbie Dillon, Chief Strategy Officer
Cindy Giraldo, Chief Financial Officer
Erika Bustamante, Deputy Director of Finance
Sarah Jepson, Director of Planning
Annie Nam, Deputy Director of Planning - Transportation
Jenna Hornstock, Deputy Director of Planning – Land Use
Javiera Cartagena, Director of Government and Public Affairs
Julie Shroyer, Chief Information Officer
Michael Houston, Chief Counsel, Director of Legal Services
Ruben Duran, Board Counsel
Maggie Aguilar, Clerk of the Board

CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
The Honorable Jan Harnik called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m. President Harnik asked Immediate Past President Clint Lorimore, Eastvale, District 4, to lead the Pledge of Allegiance.

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

President Harnik opened the Public Comment Period and outlined instructions for public comments. She noted this was the time for persons to comment on any matter pertinent to SCAG’s jurisdiction that were not listed on the agenda. She reminded the public to submit comments via email to ePublicComment@scag.ca.gov.

Ruben Duran, Board Counsel, acknowledged there no written public comments for items not listed on the agenda.

Seeing no public comment speakers, President Harnik closed the Public Comment Period

INFORMATION/DISCUSSION ITEMS

1. Recap on Day 1

A brief recap of the previous day was provided. President Harnik asked all participants (EAC members and staff) to provide thoughts and take aways from the previous day’s discussion.

2. Consideration of RC, EAC and Policy Committee Meeting Schedule & Options
   (Michael R.W. Houston, Legal Counsel/Director of Legal Services)

President Harnik stated this had been something she asked for. She stated they had seen the growth of SCAG and its responsibilities from 2019-2022 and the change had been huge. She stated that their [Regional Council] meetings were only an hour and a half. She wanted them to look if they were being effective and if they were having complete discussions on matters.

Chief Counsel Michael Houston thanked President Harnik for the introduction to this item because it framed the issue nicely. He stated his presentation was about going through some ideas and options to give the members some space to have a discussion and provide staff with direction. He stated the goals for that day were focused on high level opportunity and purposes that would help guide discussions. As part of his discussion, he presented two potential options. Option one, was an option to move Policy Committee meetings to another day or another week from the date and week of the current Regional Council meetings. Option two, was to keep the existing schedule but start the Policy Committee meetings earlier and provide more time for the Regional Council meeting. During this presentation he highlighted advantages and disadvantages.
Members provided their input on the options provided. Members discussed their own demographics/personal backgrounds and how that impacted who could stay for longer discussions.

3. Wrap up / Next Steps  
(*Kome Ajise, Executive Director*)

Mr. Ajise thanked everyone for their time and the honest discussion. He stated there would be follow up on the Strategic Plan and interviews to capture their perspectives as they build the plan. He stated there would also be an update to the workplan based on their conversation and they would be provided with options regarding the meeting schedule.

**ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business, President Harnik adjourned the EAC Retreat of the Executive Administration Committee at 10:37 a.m.

[MINUTES ARE UNOFFICIAL UNTIL APPROVED BY THE EAC]
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## Executive / Administration Committee Attendance Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2022-23</th>
<th>Total Mtgs Attende To Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBERS</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>Representing</th>
<th>JUN</th>
<th>30-Jun</th>
<th>1-Jul</th>
<th>JULY</th>
<th>AUG</th>
<th>SEPT</th>
<th>OCT</th>
<th>NOV</th>
<th>DEC</th>
<th>JAN</th>
<th>FEB</th>
<th>MAR</th>
<th>APR</th>
<th>MAY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Jan Harnik, Chair, President, Chair</td>
<td></td>
<td>RCTC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sup. Carmen Ramirez, 1st Vice Chair</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ventura County</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Art Brown, 2nd Vice Chair</td>
<td></td>
<td>Buena Park</td>
<td>District 21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Clint Lorimore, Imm. Past President</td>
<td></td>
<td>Eastvale</td>
<td>District 4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Frank Yokoyama, Chair, CEHD</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerritos</td>
<td>District 23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. David J. Shapiro, Vice Chair, CEHD</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerritos</td>
<td>District 44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Deborah Roberston, Chair, EEC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rialto</td>
<td>District 8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Luis Plancarte, Vice Chair, EEC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Imperial County</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Ray Marquez, Chair, TC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chino Hills</td>
<td>District 10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Tim Sandoval, Vice Chair, TC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pomona</td>
<td>District 38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Peggy Huang, Chair, LCMC</td>
<td></td>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Jose Luis Solache, Vice Chair, LCMC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lynwood</td>
<td>District 26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Margaret Finlay, President’s Appt.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Duarte</td>
<td>District 35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Kathleen Kelly, President’s Appt.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Palm Desert</td>
<td>District 2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Larry McCallon, President’s Appt.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Highland</td>
<td>District 7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Nithya Ramen, President’s Appt.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>District 51</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Andrew Masiel, Sr.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pechanga Dev. Corporation</td>
<td>Tribal Government Regional Planning Board</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Lucy Dunn, Ex-Officio Member</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lewis Group of Companies</td>
<td>Business Representative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attachment: EAC Attendance Sheet 2022-23 Retreat (Minutes of the Meeting - June 30 - July 1, 2022)

The Executive/Administration Committee (EAC) of the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) held its regular meeting both in person and virtually (telephonically and electronically), given the declared state of emergency (pursuant to State of Emergency Proclamation dated March 4, 2020) and local public health directives imposing and recommending social distancing measures due to the threat of COVID-19, and pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(e)(1)(A). A quorum was present.

Members Present
Hon. Jan Harnik, President
Hon. Carmen Ramirez, 1st Vice President
Hon. Art Brown, 2nd Vice President
Hon. Clint Lorimore, Imm. Past President
Hon. Frank Yokoyama, Chair, CEHD
Hon. David J. Shapiro, Vice Chair, CEHD
Hon. Deborah Robertson, Chair, EEC
Hon. Luis Plancarte, Vice Chair, EEC
Hon. Ray Marquez, Chair, TC
Hon. Peggy Huang, Chair, LCMC
Hon. Margaret Finlay, President’s Appt.
Hon. Kathleen Kelly, President’s Appt.
Hon. Larry McCallon, President’s Appt.
Hon. Nithya Raman, President’s Appt.
Hon. Andrew Masiel, Sr.
Hon. Lucy Dunn

RCTC
Ventura County
District 21
District 4
District 23
District 44
District 8
Imperial County
District 10
TCA
District 35
District 2
District 7
District 51
TGRPB Representative
Business Representative

Members Not Present
Hon. Tim Sandoval, Vice Chair, TC
Hon. Jose Luis Solache, Vice Chair, LCMC
**Staff Present**
Kome Ajise, Executive Director  
Darin Chidsey, Chief Operating Officer  
Debbie Dillon, Chief Strategy Officer  
Cindy Giraldo, Chief Financial Officer  
Sarah Jepson, Director of Planning  
Javiera Cartagena, Director of Government and Public Affairs  
Julie Shroyer, Chief Information Officer  
Michael Houston, Chief Counsel, Director of Legal Services  
Ruben Duran, Board Counsel  
Maggie Aguilar, Clerk of the Board  
Cecilia Pulido, Deputy Clerk of the Board

**CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

The Honorable Jan Harnik called the meeting to order at 3:01 p.m. President Harnik asked Regional Council Member Ray Marquez, Chino Hills, District 10, to lead the Pledge of Allegiance.

**PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD**

President Harnik opened the Public Comment Period and outlined instructions for public comments. She noted this was the time for persons to comment on any matter pertinent to SCAG’s jurisdiction that were not listed on the agenda. She reminded the public to submit comments via email to ePublicComment@scag.ca.gov.

Ruben Duran, Board Counsel, acknowledged there no written public comments for items not listed on the agenda.

Seeing no public comment speakers, President Harnik closed the Public Comment Period.

**REVIEW AND PRIORITIZE AGENDA ITEMS**

There was no prioritization of agenda items.

**ACTION ITEM**

1. Findings to Continue Holding Virtual Regional Council and Committee Meetings under AB 361

President Harnik opened the Public Comment Period.
Seeing no public comment speakers, President Harnik closed the Public Comment Period.

A MOTION was made (McCallon) that the Executive Administration Committee (EAC): (1) make the following findings required by Government Code Section 54953(e)(3) on the basis of the staff report, which is incorporated by this reference, that (i) a proclaimed state of emergency remains active in connection with the COVID-19 public health crisis, (ii) the EAC has reconsidered the circumstances of the state of emergency and (iii) state and local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing in relation to the COVID-19 public health crisis and, further, (2) authorize all legislative bodies of the Southern California Association of Government (SCAG), including the EAC, RC and all committees and task forces established by the RC or SCAG’s Bylaws, to utilize remote teleconference meetings pursuant to and in compliance with Brown Act provisions contained in Government Code Section 54953(e). Motion was SECONDED (Finlay) and passed by the following votes:

AYES: Brown, Finlay, Harnik, Huang, Kelly, Lorimor, Marquez, Masiel, McCallon, Plancart, Ramirez, Robertson, Shapiro, and Yokoyama (14)

NOES: None (0)

ABSTAIN: None (0)

CONSENT CALENDAR

President Harnik opened the Public Comment Period.

Seeing no public comment speakers, President Harnik closed the Public Comment Period.

First Vice President Ramirez asked for a brief presentation on Agenda Item No. 3 as it would authorize international travel for the President, First Vice President and one SCAG staff to participate in the Vienna Social Housing Field Study from September 11-17, 2022.

Darin Chidsey, Chief Operating Officer, reported that SCAG was invited to participate in a trip to Vienna for a social housing field study. He indicated that this was an opportunity to learn, understand and bring back practices to address the housing issues they have in Southern California.

President Harnik recused herself on Item No. 4 due to a potential (appearance of a conflict) financial conflict and stated she would register an abstention on the item.

Approval Items
2. Minutes of the Regular Meeting – June 1, 2022

3. Approve Two Regional Council Members’ (President and First Vice President) and SCAG One Staff Member’s Participation in the Vienna Social Housing Field Study, September 11-17, 2022

4. Contracts $200,000 or Greater: Contract No. 21-047-MRFP-24, Palmdale Housing Project

5. Contracts $200,000 or Greater: Contract No. 21-047-MRFP-29, Objective Development Standards Bundle (Non-Los Angeles County)

6. Contracts $200,000 or Greater: Contract No. 22-034-C01, Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LADOT) Wilshire Center/Koreatown Network Visioning & Quick Build Implementation

7. Contracts $200,000 or Greater: Contract No. 22-042-C01, SCP Call 3 - GoSGV Engagement & Evaluation

8. Contracts $200,000 or Greater: Contract No. 22-050-C01, City of Banning Comprehensive Active Transportation Plan & City of Lynwood Safe Routes to School Plan

9. Contracts $200,000 or Greater: Contract No. 22-059-C01, Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) for the 2024 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) (the 2024 RTP/SCS)

10. Housing Bills of Interest

11. Transportation Bills of Interest

Receive and File

12. Purchase Orders $5,000 - $199,999; Contracts $25,000 - $199,999 and Amendments $5,000 - $74,999

13. CFO Monthly Report

A MOTION was made (Brown) to approve Consent Calendar, Items 2 through 11; Receive and File Items 12 through 13. Motion was SECONDED (Plancarte) and passed by the following votes:

AYES: Brown, Harnik, Huang, Kelly, Lorimore, Marquez, Masiel, McCallon, Plancarte,
Raman, Ramirez, Robertson, Shapiro, Solache, and Yokoyama (15)

NOES: Raman (1) No on Items 10 and 11

ABSTAIN: Harnik (1) Abstained on Agenda Item 4

CFO REPORT

Cindy Giraldo, Chief Financial Officer, reported that fiscal year 2022 had come to an end and with it came a significant amount of work for the accounting department which took the lead on the year end audit as well as the preparation of the annual comprehensive financial report. She also reported that the Budget and Grants department was working on preparing the completion of year end required grant reporting and were also starting their internal process for the preparation of the fiscal year 2024 budget and workplan. On the procurement side, Ms. Giraldo briefly discussed the success of the board approved procurement process. She reminded the EAC that in effort to improve their procurement efficiency, staff created a bench for anticipated on-call REAP services, and the Regional Council granted staff authority to execute up to $10 million in contracts against that bench. She noted that they were at about $7.1 million of that threshold. Overall, this bench coupled with the granted authority had contributed significantly to their efficiency and the execution of the REAP 1.0 program. She indicated that it had also proven to be a great resource for the sub regional partners. With REAP 1.0 procurements wrapping up, she stated that they were capturing lessons learned and harvesting the best practices moving forward, and as they continue to develop new programs for REAP 2.0, this will likely be a model that they will once again pursue should Board approval be granted.

PRESIDENT’S REPORT

President Harnik reported they met with Assemblymember Laurie Davies, from South Orange County, a member of the influential transportation and appropriations committees, for a discussion on public safety, RHNA reform, and funding equity for Southern California, especially as it relates to goods movement. She thanked First Vice President Carmen Ramirez, Second Vice President Art Brown, Immediate Past President Clint Lorimore, LCMC Chair Peggy Huang, as well as RC Members Wendy Bucknum, Fred Minagar and Don Wagner for joining her in the meeting. She indicated that the California legislature recently approved a $79 million budget allocation to build a new Student Center Building at the Cal State San Bernardino Palm Desert Campus. She thanked Assemblymembers Chad Mayes and Eduardo Garcia for their efforts. President Harnik also thanked everybody who participated in the EAC retreat as she thought they did some really great work, and it was positive. Lastly, she reported that the next meeting of the EAC was scheduled for Wednesday, August 3, 2022 at 3:00 p.m. by remote participation.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT

Executive Director Kome Ajise provided an update on the CARB 2022 Climate Change Scoping Plan and noted that SCAG sent a letter before the June 24 deadline and that a final draft of the Scoping Plan would be released in the fall for public comment followed by a final CARB hearing and vote later in the fall. On the Local Data Exchange (LDX), he reported that they were scheduling one on one meetings with all 197 local jurisdictions to provide background on the development of Connect SoCal 2024 and provide training on available tools on the Regional Data Platform (RDP). With respect to RAMP, Mr. Ajise reported that they had been regularly providing updates on the Greenprint tool and the work of the 5-member advisory task group (ATG), focused on Regional Advance Mitigation Planning. He indicated that as directed by the Regional Council the previous year, the ATG was developing a policy framework for advanced mitigation in the region to ensure the future Greenprint tool was aligned with policy objectives. He noted they had completed five meetings of the ATG and received comments on the draft policy framework and were considering the comments and would be reporting soon on next steps. He also reported that AB 197, the bill that extends rezoning deadlines for housing elements, was approved. He explained that SCAG jurisdictions that missed the February deadline but adopt a compliant housing element by October 15, 2022 will be able to have until February 2025 to rezone. He also noted that AB 197 also extends a few other deadlines like RHNA Reform. Basically, the deadline for the State’s report on RHNA reform to the Legislature has been extended from the end of this year to December 31, 2023. This will also change some of SCAG’s own RHNA reform timeline. He noted that the scheduled August CEHD meetings would be postponed until sometime next year. He also reported that AB 197 also extended the REAP 1.0 expenditure deadline by another year and funds must be expended by December 2024. Furthermore, he also provided an update on the Housing Production Grant Writing Technical Assistance Program and stated that they were still holding a call for applications for the program. He noted that jurisdictions and Tribal Governments who need expert assistance in applying for grants from HCD and other public agencies to accelerate housing production were encouraged to apply and that applications for technical assistance were now accepted on a rolling basis and recipients would be notified starting in August.

Mr. Ajise also provided an update on accomplishments noting that AB 2237 by Assemblymember Laura Friedman was held by Chair Gonzalez of the Senate Transportation Committee. This bill would have injected new state authority over local sales tax measures and prohibited state funds from being used on many infrastructure projects that increase “single occupancy vehicle capacity”. He stated they anticipated there may be legislative hearings and SCAG was ready to work with the legislature on implementing the Sustainable Communities Strategy. He also shared SCAG attended the NARC annual conference in Columbus, Ohio and had shared SCAG’s Regional Data Platform. He noted that SCAG received an Achievement Award for the Housing Policy Leadership Academy. Additionally, he reported that SCAG joined Mobility21’s annual trip to Washington, D.C., to support our region’s applications for federal funding and met with several legislators like Congressman Pete
Aguilar, Congresswoman Linda Sanchez from Cerritos, Senator Alex Padilla, and Charles Small, the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Intergovernmental Affairs at the Department of Transportation. Lastly, he welcomed Ana Vallianatos who had joined SCAG as the new Department Manager of Communication and Public Affairs.

**FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS**

There were no future agenda items.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS**

Regional Council Member Larry McCallon, Highland, District 7, reported that the Southcoast AQMD had a draft 2022 Air Quality Management Plan out for comment. He noted that the plan will outline in broad details what the rulemaking activity for AQMD will be over the next several years. He indicated they had received 224 pages of comments from the Sierra Club and several comments from several business sectors. He noted that in the plan there were some rulemaking activities that would have some impact on the cost of new housing and retrofit housing that could affect some of their most vulnerable communities. He encouraged people to take a look at the plan and submit comments, if any.

**ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business, President Harnik adjourned the Regular Meeting of the Executive Administration Committee at 3:42 p.m.

[MINUTES ARE UNOFFICIAL UNTIL APPROVED BY THE EAC]
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### Executive / Administration Committee Attendance Report

#### 2022-23

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBERS</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>Representing</th>
<th>JUN</th>
<th>30-Jun</th>
<th>1-Jul</th>
<th>JULY</th>
<th>AUG</th>
<th>SEPT</th>
<th>OCT</th>
<th>NOV</th>
<th>DEC</th>
<th>JAN</th>
<th>FEB</th>
<th>MAR</th>
<th>APR</th>
<th>MAY</th>
<th>Total Mtg Attended To Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Jan Harnik, Chair, President, Chair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sup. Carmen Ramirez, 1st Vice Chair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Art Brown, 2nd Vice Chair</td>
<td>Buena Park</td>
<td>District 21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Clint Lorimore, Imm. Past President</td>
<td>Eastvale</td>
<td>District 4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Frank Yokoyama, Chair, CEHD</td>
<td>Cerritos</td>
<td>District 23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. David J. Shapiro, Vice Chair, CEHD</td>
<td>Cerritos</td>
<td>District 44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Deborah Roberston, Chair, EEC</td>
<td>Rialto</td>
<td>District 8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Luis Plancarte, Vice Chair, EEC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Imperial County</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Ray Marquez, Chair, TC</td>
<td>Chino Hills</td>
<td>District 10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Tim Sandoval, Vice Chair, TC</td>
<td>Pomona</td>
<td>District 38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Peggy Huang, Chair, LCMC</td>
<td>TCA</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Jose Luis Solache, Vice Chair, LCMC</td>
<td>Lynwood</td>
<td>District 26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Margaret Finlay, President's Appt.</td>
<td>Duarte</td>
<td>District 35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Kathleen Kelly, President's Appt.</td>
<td>Palm Desert</td>
<td>District 2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Larry McCallon, President's Appt.</td>
<td>Highland</td>
<td>District 7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Nithya Ramen, President's Appt.</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>District 51</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Andrew Masiel, Sr.</td>
<td>Pechanga Dev. Corporation</td>
<td>Tribal Government Regional Planning Board</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Lucy Dunn, Ex-Officio Member</td>
<td>Lewis Group of Companies</td>
<td>Business Representative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attachment: EAC Attendance Sheet 2022-23 jul (Minutes of the Meeting - July 6, 2022)
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
That the Executive/Administration Committee (EAC), acting on behalf of the Regional Council (RC) pursuant to Bylaw Article V.C(3)(a), approve the ex officio representative from the private sector on the RC and EAC (the “Business Representative”) to serve on a Policy Committee as an ex officio (non-voting) member, subject to appointment by the President.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
The Bylaws afford the President the ability to appoint a representative from the private sector to serve on both the RC and the EAC in an ex officio, non-voting capacity (the “Business Representative”). Similarly, the Bylaws permit inclusion of ex officio members to Policy Committees if approved by the RC, subject to appointment by the President upon committee recommendation. Historically, the Business Representative has provided critical input and perspective on policy matters to, and helped guide policy development by, the RC and the EAC. This input has included monthly verbal reports to the RC and EAC and participation in other meetings to provide business perspective to decisionmakers. For the past several months, the Business Representative has been sitting in on meetings of the Community, Economic & Human Development Committee. In light of the purposes noted above, including providing business sector input to assist in development of SCAG’s policy positions, staff recommends that the EAC, acting on behalf of the RC, approve the Business Representative to serve on Policy Committees as an ex officio (non-voting) member, subject to appointment by the President. Bylaw Article V.C(3)(a) authorizes the EAC to take this action on behalf of the RC in cases where, as here, action is needed prior to the next regular meeting of the RC in September. If approved, the President would be authorized to appoint the Business Representative to a Policy Committee upon committee recommendation.
BACKGROUND:
The Business Representative is appointed by the President to serve on both the RC and the EAC in an ex officio, non-voting capacity. The RC, EAC, SCAG officers and executive staff have benefited from the Business Representative’s input and perspective on policy matters and this input has helped to guide policy development by the RC and the EAC. It has been common for the Business Representative to provide monthly verbal reports to the RC and EAC and to participate in other meetings to provide business perspective to decisionmakers.

The current Business Representative has, for the past several months, been sitting in on meetings of the Community, Economic & Human Development Committee. The Business Representative has offered several comments during public comment on topics before the committee. In light of the purposes noted above, including providing business sector input to Policy Committees as they develop policies for recommendation to the RC, staff believes that authorizing the Business Representative to serve as an ex officio (non-voting) member of a Policy Committee would be advantageous to a Policy Committee.

The Bylaws permit inclusion of ex officio members of Policy Committees, if approved by the Regional Council and subject to appointment by the President on committee recommendation. See Bylaws Art. V.E, subdivs. (1)(b) and (2)(c). Staff recommends that the EAC, acting on behalf of the RC, approve inclusion of the Business Representative as a member of a Policy Committee, subject to presidential appointment.

FISCAL IMPACT:
None.
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
That the Executive/Administration Committee (EAC), acting on behalf of the Regional Council (RC) pursuant to Bylaw Article V.C(3)(a), approve Amendment No. 1 to Contract No. 21-030-C01 in an amount not to exceed $1,504,820, with DLT Solutions to continue to support and maintain a cloud-based computing and storage infrastructure, supporting the development SCAG modeling including ABM, SPM, Aerial Imagery, and future big data initiatives. Authorize the Executive Director, or his designee, pursuant to legal counsel review, to execute the contract amendment on behalf of SCAG.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 3: Be the foremost data information hub for the region.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
The continuing efforts of the SCAG Information Technology team to support the development of Planning Division initiatives have resulted in expanded use and support of cloud-based computing and storage infrastructure. This amendment will add compute and storage resources which expands our modeling program and aerial imagery initiative into high-speed cloud computing and consolidates all modeling systems and associated data in the AWS cloud. The billing type for multiple computing resources will also change to AWS Reserve Instances. This change will significantly reduce ongoing run costs by providing discounted hourly rates. This cloud-based approach allows SCAG’s modeling team and big data initiatives an agile and scalable environment to run large data models efficiently and accurately. Accordingly, the consultant shall provide cloud-based infrastructure to assist staff with these efforts.

This amendment exceeds $75,000, as well as 30% of the contract’s original value. Therefore, in accordance with the SCAG Procurement Manual (January 2021) Section 9.3, it requires the Regional Council’s approval. Article V.C(3)(a) authorizes the EAC to take this action to approve
this contract on behalf of the RC in cases where, as here, action is needed prior to the next regular meeting of the RC in September.

BACKGROUND:
Staff recommends executing the following amendment greater than $75,000 and 30% of the contract’s original value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant/Contract #</th>
<th>Amendment’s Purpose</th>
<th>Amendment Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DLT Solutions (21-030-C01)</td>
<td>This amendment enables the consultant to continue to provide cloud-based infrastructure to assist staff with its efforts.</td>
<td>$1,504,820</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FISCAL IMPACT:
Funding of $835,410 is available in the FY 2022-23 Indirect Cost Program Budget, and the remaining $669,410 is expected to be available in the FY 2023-24 Indirect Cost Program Budget in Project Number 811-1163.23, subject to budget availability.

ATTACHMENT(S):
1. Contract Summary 21-030-C01 Amendment 1
2. Contract Summary 21-030-C01 Amendment 1 COI
CONTRACT NO. 21-030-C01 AMENDMENT NO. 1

Consultant: DLT Solutions

Background & Scope of Work:
On January 7, 2021, SCAG awarded Contract 21-030-C01 to DLT Solutions to provide cloud-based computing and storage infrastructure. Under this agreement, DLT Solutions acts as the reseller for the purchase of cloud computing and storage services (on-demand availability of scalable computing resources and storage over the internet) provided by Amazon Web Services (AWS).

This amendment increases the contract value from $753,000 to $2,257,820 ($1,504,820).

We are increasing the DLT contract amount as part of four board approved initiatives planned for FY23 under tasks 811-1163.22 (Planning Infrastructure - Aerial Imagery) and 811-1163.23 (Planning Infrastructure – Modeling). The four initiatives will increase our compute and storage resources which expands our modeling program and aerial imagery initiative into high-speed cloud computing and consolidates all modeling systems and associated data in the AWS cloud. The cost of each initiative is outlined below.

1. Aerial Imagery - AWS Infrastructure Support - $23,040
3. Modeling - Migration of On-Premise Systems to AWS - $632,400
4. Modeling - Migration of On-Premise Storage to AWS - $33,180

The billing type for multiple computing resources will also change from on-demand to AWS Reserve Instance. This change will reduce ongoing run costs by providing a flat monthly rate compared to our current hourly rate. The cost of this change is outlined below.

5. Reserved Instances (3-year term) - $500,000

Project’s Benefits & Key Deliverables:
This project directly supports the development SCAG modeling including ABM, SPM, Aerial Imagery, and future big data initiatives. Key benefits include flexibly to increase SCAG’s compute and storage capacity to meet the specialized needs and growth of modeling, reduction in modeling run times, the ability to support large and simultaneous modeling runs in a centralized location, high-capacity centralized data storage and retention in the AWS cloud.

Strategic Plan:
This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan Goal 3: Be the foremost data information hub for the region; Objective F. Model best practices by prioritizing continuous improvement and technical innovations through the adoption of interactive, automated, and state-of-the-art information tools and technologies.

Amendment Amount:
Amendment 1 $1,504,820
Original contract value $753,000
Total contract value is not to exceed $2,257,820
This amendment exceeds $75,000, as well as 30% of the contract’s original value. Therefore, in accordance with the SCAG Procurement Manual (January 2021) Section 9.3, it requires the Regional Council’s approval.

**Contract Period:** January 7, 2021 through January 31, 2024

**Project Number:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>811-1163.23</td>
<td>$1,481,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>811-1163.22</td>
<td>$23,040</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding source: Indirect Cost

Funding of $835,410 is available in the FY 2022-23 Indirect Cost Program Budget, and the remaining $669,410 is expected to be available in the FY 2023-24 budget in Project Number 811-1163.23, subject to budget availability.

**Basis for the Amendment:**

In accordance with SCAG’s Procurement Manual (January 2021) Section 9.3, to foster greater economy and efficiency, SCAG’s federal procurement guidance (2 CFR 200.318 [e]) authorizes SCAG to procure goods and services by using an Intergovernmental Agreement (Master Service Agreement – MSA, also known as a Leveraged Purchase Agreement – LPA). The goods and services procured under an MSA were previously competitively procured by another governmental entity (SCAG is essentially “piggy-backing” on the agreement.) SCAG utilized US Communities Government Purchasing Alliance, Contract Number 4400006643, between DLT and Fairfax County, Virginia, that was competitively procured. This MSA is specifically designed for use by local agencies to leverage combined purchasing power for discounted volume pricing.

The continued efforts of the SCAG IT team have resulted in the implementation of a cloud-based computing and storage infrastructure to support modeling and big data projects. The addition of the four new initiatives, mentioned above, will significantly increase our cloud computing and storage resource usage. Since cost depends on actual resource usage, the cost will increase as we begin to implement these new initiatives. To help mitigate some of these costs SCAG looks to take advantage of flat rate costs through AWS Reserve Instances. If staff does not acquire these services then inefficiencies will exist in modeling run times and the ability to scale and simultaneously run large modeling runs will be limited negatively affecting development of future planning initiatives.
Approve Contract Amendment No. 1 to Contract No. 21-030-C01 in an amount not to exceed $1,504,820, with DLT Solutions to continue to support and maintain a cloud-based computing and storage infrastructure, supporting the development SCAG modeling including ABM, SPM, Aerial Imagery, and future big data initiatives. Authorize the Executive Director, or his designee, pursuant to legal counsel review, to execute the contract amendment on behalf of SCAG.

The consultant team for this contract includes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant Name</th>
<th>Did the consultant disclose a conflict in the Conflict of Interest Form they submitted with its original proposal (Yes or No)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DLT Solutions (prime consultant)</td>
<td>No - form attached</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SCAG CONFLICT OF INTEREST FORM

RFP No./Contract No. 21-030

SECTION I: INSTRUCTIONS

All persons or firms seeking contracts must complete and submit a SCAG Conflict of Interest Form along with the proposal. This requirement also applies to any proposed subconsultant(s). Failure to comply with this requirement may cause your proposal to be declared non-responsive.

In order to answer the questions contained in this form, please review SCAG’s Conflict of Interest Policy, the list of SCAG employees, and the list of SCAG’s Regional Council members. All three documents can be viewed online at https://scag.ca.gov. The SCAG Conflict of Interest Policy is located under “GET INVOLVED”, then “Contract & Vendor Opportunities” and scroll down under the “Vendor Contracts Documents” tab; whereas the SCAG staff may be found under “ABOUT US” then “OUR TEAM" then "Employee Directory”; and Regional Council members can be found under “MEETINGS”, then scroll down to “LEADERSHIP” then select "REGIONAL COUNCIL" on the left side of the page and click on “Regional Council Officers and Member List.”

Any questions regarding the information required to be disclosed in this form should be directed to SCAG’s Legal Division, especially if you answer “yes” to any question in this form, as doing so MAY also disqualify your firm from submitting an offer on this proposal.

Name of Firm: DLT Solutions, LLC

Name of Preparer: Elizabeth White

Project Title: __________________________

Date Submitted: 7/22/22

SECTION II: QUESTIONS

1. During the last twelve (12) months, has your firm provided a source of income to employees of SCAG or members of the SCAG Regional Council, or have any employees or Regional Council members held any investment (including real property) in your firm?

☐ YES    ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list the names of those SCAG employees and/or SCAG Regional Council members and the nature of the financial interest:

Name: __________________________       Nature of Financial Interest: __________________________

Name: __________________________       Nature of Financial Interest: __________________________

Name: __________________________       Nature of Financial Interest: __________________________
2. Have you or any members of your firm been an employee of SCAG or served as a member of the SCAG Regional Council within the last twelve (12) months?

☐ YES  ✔ NO

If “yes,” please list name, position, and dates of service:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Dates of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Are you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm related by blood or marriage/domestic partnership to an employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council that is considering your proposal?

☐ YES  ✔ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Does an employee of SCAG or a member of the SCAG Regional Council hold a position at your firm as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or any position of management?

☐ YES  ✔ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Have you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm ever given (directly or indirectly), or offered to give on behalf of another or through another person, campaign contributions or gifts to any current employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council (including contributions to a political committee created by or on behalf of a member/candidate)?

☐ YES  ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name, date gift or contribution was given/offered, and dollar value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dollar Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION III: VALIDATION STATEMENT

This Validation Statement must be completed and signed by at least one General Partner, Owner, Principal, or Officer authorized to legally commit the proposer.

DECLARATION

I, (printed full name) Elizabeth White, Director of Contracts, Corporate Counsel of (firm name) DLT Solutions, LLC, hereby declare that I am the (position or title) Director of Contracts, Corporate Counsel of (firm name) DLT Solutions, LLC, and that I am duly authorized to execute this Validation Statement on behalf of this entity. I hereby state that this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form dated 7/22/22 is correct and current as submitted. I acknowledge that any false, deceptive, or fraudulent statements on this Validation Statement will result in rejection of my contract proposal.

[Signature]  7/22/22
Signature of Person Certifying for Proposer (original signature required)

NOTICE

A material false statement, omission, or fraudulent inducement made in connection with this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form is sufficient cause for rejection of the contract proposal or revocation of a prior contract award.
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
That the Executive/Administration Committee (EAC), acting on behalf of the Regional Council (RC) pursuant to Bylaw Article V.C(3)(a), approve Contract No. 22-028-C01 in an amount not to exceed $650,000 with Toole Design Group Engineering, Inc., to implement a quick build project to support active transportation in the City of Pomona. Authorize the Executive Director, or his designee, pursuant to legal counsel review, to execute the contract on behalf of SCAG.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 4: Provide innovative information and value-added services to enhance member agencies’ planning and operations and promote regional collaboration.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
Consistent with the requirements of the Active Transportation Program grant that funds this project, the consultant shall complete a plan and support the implementation of a quick build project to support active transportation in the City of Pomona. This project also supports the goals outlined in the adopted 2020 Connect SoCal, the Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) Active Transportation Technical Report. This project supports regional planning by advancing active transportation in local jurisdictions, supporting equitable public engagement, reducing motorized Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT), and aims to reduce local and regional greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Article V.C(3)(a) authorizes the EAC to take this action to approve this contract on behalf of the RC in cases where, as here, action is needed prior to the next regular meeting of the RC in September.

BACKGROUND:
Staff recommends executing the following contract $200,000 or greater:
FISCAL IMPACT:
Funding of $650,000 is available in the FY 2022-23 Overall Work Program (OWP) Budget in Project Number 275-4892X7.02, 275-4892X8.02 as well as 275-4892XA.02, and whatever is not spent in FY 2022-23 OWP will be carried over into the FY 2023-24 and FY 2024-25 OWP.

ATTACHMENT(S):
1. Contract Summary 22-028-C01
2. Contract Summary 22-028-C01 COI
Recommended Consultant:
Toole Design Group Engineering, Inc.

Background & Scope of Work:
Consistent with the requirements of the Active Transportation Program grant that funds this project, the consultant shall complete a plan and support the implementation of a quick build project to support active transportation in the City of Pomona. This project also supports the goals outlined in the adopted 2020 Connect SoCal, the Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) Active Transportation Technical Report. This project supports regional planning by advancing active transportation in local jurisdictions, supporting equitable public engagement, reducing motorized Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT), and aims to reduce local and regional greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. The project will establish a citywide complete streets network developed through robust community engagement across a diverse range of institutional and community stakeholders. The planning and policy efforts will culminate in a quick build project as identified during the planning process and accompanying implementation plan.

Project’s Benefits & Key Deliverables:
The Pomona Citywide Complete Streets Ordinance (CCSO) includes the following key goals and deliverables:

- Providing both technical variables pertaining to active transportation, and socioeconomic variables prioritizing equity and environmental justice;
- Providing meaningful opportunities for stakeholders to participate in visioning processes and critique of proposed complete streets network and all aspects of the project;
- Establishing a legal basis to connect future development on private property to impacts on active transportation infrastructure in the public rights-of-way; and
- Adopting regulations and development standards for multi-modal active transportation implementation, including the development of future financing mechanisms.

Strategic Plan:
This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan Goal 4: Provide innovative information and value-added services to enhance member agencies’ planning and operations and promote regional collaboration.

Contract Amount:
Total not to exceed $650,000

- Toole Design Engineering, Inc. (prime consultant) $438,288
- Code Studio (subconsultant) $86,974
- Day One (subconsultant) $36,850
- Leslie Scott (subconsultant) $32,348
- Kimley Horn (subconsultant) $55,540

Contract Period:
Notice to Proceed through June 1, 2024

Project Number(s):
275-4892X7/X8/XA.02 $650,000
Funding source(s): Active Transportation Program (ATP) Cycle 5 Plans/Cycle 5 Pomona/Cycle 5 Network Visioning
Funding of $650,000 is available in the FY 2022-23 Overall Work Program (OWP) Budget in Project Number 275-4892X7.02, 275-4892X8.02 as well as 275-4892XA.02, and whatever is not spent in FY 2022-23 OWP will be carried over into the FY 2023-24 and FY 2024-25 OWP.

Request for Proposal (RFP):

SCAG staff notified 3,927 firms of the release of RFP 22-028 via SCAG’s Solicitation Management System. A total of 81 firms downloaded the RFP. SCAG received the following three (3) proposals in response to the solicitation:

Toole Design Group, Inc. (4 subconsultants) $650,000
Note: This solicitation was conducted as an Architectural and Engineering (A&E) procurement and therefore as required by law each offeror was evaluated based on qualifications and not cost. The Proposal Review Committee ranked Toole Design Group, Inc. as the highest (most qualified) proposer and the other two (2) offeror’s cost proposals were kept sealed.

ARUP, US Inc. (3 subconsultants)
Sam Schwartz Engineering (4 subconsultants)

Selection Process:

The Proposal Review Committee (PRC) evaluated each proposal in accordance with the criteria set forth in the RFP and conducted the selection process in a manner consistent with all applicable federal and state contracting regulations. After evaluating the proposals, the PRC interviewed the two (2) highest ranked offerors.

The PRC consisted of the following individuals:

Hannah Brunelle, Senior Regional Planner, SCAG
Ata Khan, Planning Manager, City of Pomona
Anita Gutierrez, Community Development Director, City of Pomona

Basis for Selection:

The PRC recommended Toole Design Group Engineering, Inc. for the contract award because the consultant:

- Demonstrated the best qualifications due to their extensive experience in both technical planning and quick build projects, as well as a proven track record of designing innovative projects that center community feedback.
- Demonstrated the best understanding of the project, specifically, the consultant presented a clear, organized, and innovative technical approach in both the proposal and interview. Toole presented specific and achievable steps to fulfill the requirements laid out in the scope of work. The team also proactively identified potential challenges and researched the context of the local community, showing a commitment to the work and understanding of the potential to benefit the community;
- Provided the best technical approach, for example, the team presented a strong equity analysis integrated throughout the entire technical approach. The team presented a participatory approach to community engagement to directly inform the technical planning processes; and
- Recognized by the PRC for the inclusion of Day One, a local community-based organization known for their organizing and advocacy work.
Approve Contract No. 22-028-C01 in an amount not to exceed $650,000 with Toole Design Group Engineering, Inc., to implement a quick build project to support active transportation in the City of Pomona. Authorize the Executive Director, or his designee, pursuant to legal counsel review, to execute the contract on behalf of SCAG.

The consultant team for this contract includes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant Name</th>
<th>Did the consultant disclose a conflict in the Conflict of Interest Form they submitted with its original proposal (Yes or No)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toole Design Group Engineering, Inc. (prime consultant)</td>
<td>No - form attached</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code Studio</td>
<td>No - form attached</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.</td>
<td>No - form attached</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day One, Inc.</td>
<td>No - form attached</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leslie Scott Consulting</td>
<td>No - form attached</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SCAG CONFLICT OF INTEREST FORM

RFP No./Contract No. 22-028

SECTION I: INSTRUCTIONS

All persons or firms seeking contracts must complete and submit a SCAG Conflict of Interest Form along with the proposal. This requirement also applies to any proposed subconsultant(s). Failure to comply with this requirement may cause your proposal to be declared non-responsive.

In order to answer the questions contained in this form, please review SCAG’s Conflict of Interest Policy, the list of SCAG employees, and the list of SCAG’s Regional Council members. All three documents can be viewed online at https://scag.ca.gov. The SCAG Conflict of Interest Policy is located under “GET INVOLVED”, then “Contract & Vendor Opportunities” and scroll down under the “Vendor Contracts Documents” tab; whereas the SCAG staff may be found under “ABOUT US” then “OUR TEAM” then “Employee Directory”: and Regional Council members can be found under “MEETINGS”, then scroll down to “LEADERSHIP” then select “REGIONAL COUNCIL” on the left side of the page and click on “Regional Council Officers and Member List.”

Any questions regarding the information required to be disclosed in this form should be directed to SCAG’s Legal Division, especially if you answer “yes” to any question in this form, as doing so MAY also disqualify your firm from submitting an offer on this proposal.

Name of Firm: TDG Engineering, Inc.
Name of Preparer: Megan Eby, CPSM
Project Title: SCAG and City of Pomona Complete Streets Ordinance Network Visioning and Implementation
RFP Number: 22-028 Date Submitted: 1/31/2022

SECTION II: QUESTIONS

1. During the last twelve (12) months, has your firm provided a source of income to employees of SCAG or members of the SCAG Regional Council, or have any employees or Regional Council members held any investment (including real property) in your firm?

☐ YES       ☑ NO

If "yes," please list the names of those SCAG employees and/or SCAG Regional Council members and the nature of the financial interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Nature of Financial Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Have you or any members of your firm been an employee of SCAG or served as a member of the SCAG Regional Council within the last twelve (12) months?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If "yes," please list name, position, and dates of service:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Dates of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Are you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm related by blood or marriage/domestic partnership to an employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council that is considering your proposal?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If "yes," please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Does an employee of SCAG or a member of the SCAG Regional Council hold a position at your firm as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or any position of management?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If "yes," please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attachment: Contract Summary 22-028-C01 COI (Contracts $200,000 or Greater: Contract No. 22-028-C01, City of Pomona Complete Streets)
5. Have you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm ever given (directly or indirectly), or offered to give on behalf of another or through another person, campaign contributions or gifts to any current employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council (including contributions to a political committee created by or on behalf of a member/candidate)?

[ ] YES  [X] NO

If “yes,” please list name, date gift or contribution was given/offered, and dollar value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dollar Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION III: VALIDATION STATEMENT

This Validation Statement must be completed and signed by at least one General Partner, Owner, Principal, or Officer authorized to legally commit the proposer.

DECLARATION

I, (printed full name) Roswell Edmonds, hereby declare that I am the (position or title) Executive Vice President of (firm name) TDG Engineering, Inc., and that I am duly authorized to execute this Validation Statement on behalf of this entity. I hereby state that this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form dated 1/31/2022 is correct and current as submitted. I acknowledge that any false, deceptive, or fraudulent statements on this Validation Statement will result in rejection of my contract proposal.

Signature of Person Certifying for Proposer
(Original signature required)

1/31/2022

Date

NOTICE

A material false statement, omission, or fraudulent inducement made in connection with this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form is sufficient cause for rejection of the contract proposal or revocation of a prior contract award.
SCAG CONFLICT OF INTEREST FORM

SECTION I: INSTRUCTIONS

All persons or firms seeking contracts must complete and submit a SCAG Conflict of Interest Form along with the proposal. This requirement also applies to any proposed subconsultant(s). Failure to comply with this requirement may cause your proposal to be declared non-responsive.

In order to answer the questions contained in this form, please review SCAG’s Conflict of Interest Policy, the list of SCAG employees, and the list of SCAG’s Regional Council members. All three documents can be viewed online at https://scag.ca.gov. The SCAG Conflict of Interest Policy is located under “GET INVOLVED”, then “Contract & Vendor Opportunities” and scroll down under the “Vendor Contracts Documents” tab; whereas the SCAG staff may be found under “ABOUT US” then “OUR TEAM” then “Employee Directory”; and Regional Council members can be found under “MEETINGS”, then scroll down to “LEADERSHIP” then select "REGIONAL COUNCIL" on the left side of the page and click on “Regional Council Officers and Member List.”

Any questions regarding the information required to be disclosed in this form should be directed to SCAG’s Legal Division, especially if you answer “yes” to any question in this form, as doing so MAY also disqualify your firm from submitting an offer on this proposal.

Name of Firm: Code Studio
Name of Preparer: Lee Einsweiler
Project Title: Pomona Complete Streets Ordinance (CCSO) – Network Visioning & Implementation
RFP Number: 22-028 Date Submitted: January 11, 2022

SECTION II: QUESTIONS

1. During the last twelve (12) months, has your firm provided a source of income to employees of SCAG or members of the SCAG Regional Council, or have any employees or Regional Council members held any investment (including real property) in your firm?

☐ YES ❌ NO

If “yes,” please list the names of those SCAG employees and/or SCAG Regional Council members and the nature of the financial interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Nature of Financial Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Have you or any members of your firm been an employee of SCAG or served as a member of the SCAG Regional Council within the last twelve (12) months?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list name, position, and dates of service:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Dates of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Are you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm related by blood or marriage/domestic partnership to an employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council that is considering your proposal?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Does an employee of SCAG or a member of the SCAG Regional Council hold a position at your firm as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or any position of management?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Have you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm ever given (directly or indirectly), or offered to give on behalf of another or through another person, campaign contributions or gifts to any current employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council (including contributions to a political committee created by or on behalf of a member/candidate)?

☐ YES    X NO

If “yes,” please list name, date gift or contribution was given/offered, and dollar value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dollar Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION III: VALIDATION STATEMENT

This Validation Statement must be completed and signed by at least one General Partner, Owner, Principal, or Officer authorized to legally commit the proposer.

DECLARATION

I, (printed full name) Lee Einsweiler, hereby declare that I am the (position or title) President of (firm name) Code Studio, and that I am duly authorized to execute this Validation Statement on behalf of this entity. I hereby state that this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form dated January 11, 2022 is correct and current as submitted. I acknowledge that any false, deceptive, or fraudulent statements on this Validation Statement will result in rejection of my contract proposal.

Signature of Person Certifying for Proposer (original signature required)  January 11, 2022

NOTICE

A material false statement, omission, or fraudulent inducement made in connection with this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form is sufficient cause for rejection of the contract proposal or revocation of a prior contract award.
SCAG CONFLICT OF INTEREST FORM

RFP No./Contract No. 22-028

SECTION I: INSTRUCTIONS

All persons or firms seeking contracts must complete and submit a SCAG Conflict of Interest Form along with the proposal. This requirement also applies to any proposed subconsultant(s). Failure to comply with this requirement may cause your proposal to be declared non-responsive.

In order to answer the questions contained in this form, please review SCAG’s Conflict of Interest Policy, the list of SCAG employees, and the list of SCAG’s Regional Council members. All three documents can be viewed online at https://scag.ca.gov. The SCAG Conflict of Interest Policy is located under “GET INVOLVED”, then “Contract & Vendor Opportunities” and scroll down under the “Vendor Contracts Documents” tab; whereas the SCAG staff may be found under “ABOUT US” then “OUR TEAM” then ”Employee Directory”; and Regional Council members can be found under “MEETINGS”, then scroll down to “LEADERSHIP” then select ”REGIONAL COUNCIL” on the left side of the page and click on “Regional Council Officers and Member List.”

Any questions regarding the information required to be disclosed in this form should be directed to SCAG’s Legal Division, especially if you answer “yes” to any question in this form, as doing so MAY also disqualify your firm from submitting an offer on this proposal.

Name of Firm: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
Name of Preparer: Robert Blume, PE
Project Title: Vice President
RFP Number: 22-028 Date Submitted: January 11, 2022

SECTION II: QUESTIONS

1. During the last twelve (12) months, has your firm provided a source of income to employees of SCAG or members of the SCAG Regional Council, or have any employees or Regional Council members held any investment (including real property) in your firm?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list the names of those SCAG employees and/or SCAG Regional Council members and the nature of the financial interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Nature of Financial Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Have you or any members of your firm been an employee of SCAG or served as a member of the SCAG Regional Council within the last twelve (12) months?

☐ YES ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name, position, and dates of service:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Dates of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Are you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm related by blood or marriage/domestic partnership to an employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council that is considering your proposal?

☐ YES ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Does an employee of SCAG or a member of the SCAG Regional Council hold a position at your firm as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or any position of management?

☐ YES ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attachment: Contract Summary 22-028-C01 COI (Contracts $200,000 or Greater: Contract No. 22-028-C01, City of Pomona Complete Streets)
5. Have you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm ever given (directly or indirectly), or offered to give on behalf of another or through another person, campaign contributions or gifts to any current employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council (including contributions to a political committee created by or on behalf of a member/candidate)?

☐ YES   ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list name, date gift or contribution was given/offered, and dollar value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dollar Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION III: VALIDATION STATEMENT

This Validation Statement must be completed and signed by at least one General Partner, Owner, Principal, or Officer authorized to legally commit the proposer.

DECLARATION

I, (printed full name) Robert Blume __________________________________, hereby declare that I am the (position or title) Vice President of (firm name) Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc., and that I am duly authorized to execute this Validation Statement on behalf of this entity. I hereby state that this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form dated January 11, 2022 is correct and current as submitted. I acknowledge that any false, deceptive, or fraudulent statements on this Validation Statement will result in rejection of my contract proposal.

______________________________  January 11, 2022
Signature of Person Certifying for Proposer  Date

(original signature required)

NOTICE

A material false statement, omission, or fraudulent inducement made in connection with this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form is sufficient cause for rejection of the contract proposal or revocation of a prior contract award.
SCAG CONFLICT OF INTEREST FORM

RFP No./Contract No. 22-028

SECTION I: INSTRUCTIONS

All persons or firms seeking contracts must complete and submit a SCAG Conflict of Interest Form along with the proposal. This requirement also applies to any proposed subconsultant(s). Failure to comply with this requirement may cause your proposal to be declared non-responsive.

In order to answer the questions contained in this form, please review SCAG’s Conflict of Interest Policy, the list of SCAG employees, and the list of SCAG’s Regional Council members. All three documents can be viewed online at https://scag.ca.gov. The SCAG Conflict of Interest Policy is located under “GET INVOLVED”, then “Contract & Vendor Opportunities” and scroll down under the “Vendor Contracts Documents” tab; whereas the SCAG staff may be found under “ABOUT US” then “OUR TEAM” then “Employee Directory”; and Regional Council members can be found under “MEETINGS”, then scroll down to “LEADERSHIP” then select “REGIONAL COUNCIL” on the left side of the page and click on “Regional Council Officers and Member List.”

Any questions regarding the information required to be disclosed in this form should be directed to SCAG’s Legal Division, especially if you answer “yes” to any question in this form, as doing so MAY also disqualify your firm from submitting an offer on this proposal.

Name of Firm: Day One
Name of Preparer: Christy Zamani
Project Title: Pomona Complete Streets Ordinance Network Vision in and Implementation
RFP Number: 22-028 Date Submitted: 01.07.222

SECTION II: QUESTIONS

1. During the last twelve (12) months, has your firm provided a source of income to employees of SCAG or members of the SCAG Regional Council, or have any employees or Regional Council members held any investment (including real property) in your firm?

☐ YES ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list the names of those SCAG employees and/or SCAG Regional Council members and the nature of the financial interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Nature of Financial Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Have you or any members of your firm been an employee of SCAG or served as a member of the SCAG Regional Council within the last twelve (12) months?

☐ YES  ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name, position, and dates of service:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Dates of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Are you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm related by blood or marriage/domestic partnership to an employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council that is considering your proposal?

☐ YES  ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Does an employee of SCAG or a member of the SCAG Regional Council hold a position at your firm as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or any position of management?

☐ YES  ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Have you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm ever given (directly or indirectly), or offered to give on behalf of another or through another person, campaign contributions or gifts to any current employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council (including contributions to a political committee created by or on behalf of a member/candidate)?

☐ YES  ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name, date gift or contribution was given/offered, and dollar value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dollar Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION III: VALIDATION STATEMENT

This Validation Statement must be completed and signed by at least one General Partner, Owner, Principal, or Officer authorized to legally commit the proposer.

DECLARATION

I, (printed full name) Christy Zamani, hereby declare that I am the (position or title) Executive Director of (firm name) Day One, and that I am duly authorized to execute this Validation Statement on behalf of this entity. I hereby state that this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form dated January 7, 2022 is correct and current as submitted. I acknowledge that any false, deceptive, or fraudulent statements on this Validation Statement will result in rejection of my contract proposal.

Christy Zamani
Signature of Person Certifying for Proposer (original signature required)
01/07/2022
Date

NOTICE

A material false statement, omission, or fraudulent inducement made in connection with this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form is sufficient cause for rejection of the contract proposal or revocation of a prior contract award.
SCAG CONFLICT OF INTEREST FORM

RFP No./Contract No. 22-028

SECTION I: INSTRUCTIONS

All persons or firms seeking contracts must complete and submit a SCAG Conflict of Interest Form along with the proposal. This requirement also applies to any proposed subconsultant(s). Failure to comply with this requirement may cause your proposal to be declared non-responsive.

In order to answer the questions contained in this form, please review SCAG’s Conflict of Interest Policy, the list of SCAG employees, and the list of SCAG’s Regional Council members. All three documents can be viewed online at https://scag.ca.gov. The SCAG Conflict of Interest Policy is located under “GET INVOLVED”, then “Contract & Vendor Opportunities” and scroll down under the “Vendor Contracts Documents” tab; whereas the SCAG staff may be found under “ABOUT US” then “OUR TEAM” then “Employee Directory”; and Regional Council members can be found under “MEETINGS”, then scroll down to “LEADERSHIP” then select “REGIONAL COUNCIL” on the left side of the page and click on “Regional Council Officers and Member List.”

Any questions regarding the information required to be disclosed in this form should be directed to SCAG’s Legal Division, especially if you answer “yes” to any question in this form, as doing so MAY also disqualify your firm from submitting an offer on this proposal.

Name of Firm: Leslie Scott Consulting

Name of Preparer: Leslie Scott

Project Title: City of Pomona Complete Streets Ordinance Network Visioning & Implementation

RFP Number: RFP No. 22-028 Date Submitted: January 12, 2021

SECTION II: QUESTIONS

1. During the last twelve (12) months, has your firm provided a source of income to employees of SCAG or members of the SCAG Regional Council, or have any employees or Regional Council members held any investment (including real property) in your firm?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list the names of those SCAG employees and/or SCAG Regional Council members and the nature of the financial interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Nature of Financial Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Have you or any members of your firm been an employee of SCAG or served as a member of the SCAG Regional Council within the last twelve (12) months?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If "yes," please list name, position, and dates of service:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Dates of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Are you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm related by blood or marriage/domestic partnership to an employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council that is considering your proposal?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If "yes," please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Does an employee of SCAG or a member of the SCAG Regional Council hold a position at your firm as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or any position of management?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If "yes," please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Have you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm ever given (directly or indirectly), or offered to give on behalf of another or through another person, campaign contributions or gifts to any current employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council (including contributions to a political committee created by or on behalf of a member/candidate)?

☐ YES        ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list name, date gift or contribution was given/offered, and dollar value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dollar Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION III: VALIDATION STATEMENT

This Validation Statement must be completed and signed by at least one General Partner, Owner, Principal, or Officer authorized to legally commit the proposer.

DECLARATION

I, (printed full name) Leslie Scott, hereby declare that I am the (position or title) Principal Consultant of (firm name) Leslie Scott Consulting, and that I am duly authorized to execute this Validation Statement on behalf of this entity. I hereby state that this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form dated January 12, 2021 is correct and current as submitted. I acknowledge that any false, deceptive, or fraudulent statements on this Validation Statement will result in rejection of my contract proposal.

[Signature]

Signature of Person Certifying for Proposer (original signature required)

January 12, 2022

Date

NOTICE

A material false statement, omission, or fraudulent inducement made in connection with this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form is sufficient cause for rejection of the contract proposal or revocation of a prior contract award.
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
That the Executive/Administration Committee (EAC), acting on behalf of the Regional Council (RC) pursuant to Bylaw Article V.C(3)(a), approve Contract No. 22-058-C01 in an amount not to exceed $274,100 (subject to negotiation) with PlaceWorks, Inc. to assist SCAG in bringing together practitioners in housing development and utility delivery for an Industry Forum. Authorize the Executive Director, or his designee, pursuant to legal counsel review, to execute the contract on behalf of SCAG.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians. 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy. 4: Provide innovative information and value-added services to enhance member agencies’ planning and operations and promote regional collaboration.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
The consultant will assist SCAG in bringing together practitioners in housing development and utility delivery for an Industry Forum. Article V.C(3)(a) authorizes the EAC to take this action to approve this contract on behalf of the RC in cases where, as here, action is needed prior to the next regular meeting of the RC in September.

BACKGROUND:
Staff recommends executing the following contract $200,000 or greater:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant/Contract #</th>
<th>Contract Purpose</th>
<th>Contract Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PlaceWorks, Inc. (22-058-C01)</td>
<td>To assist SCAG bringing together practitioners in housing development and utility delivery for an Industry Forum.</td>
<td>$274,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FISCAL IMPACT:
Execution of this contract is subject to the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) approval of the for the REAP 2.0 early application funding. Upon receipt of an award letter from the HCD for the REAP 2.0 early application funding, SCAG will use available fund balances to fund and commence this contract activities in the amount of $274,100 and the funding will be later included and reconciled in a future amendment of the FY 2022-23 Overall Work Program (OWP), as approved by the Regional Council on February 3, 2022.

ATTACHMENT(S):
1. Contract Summary 22-058-C01
2. Contract Summary 22-058-C01 COI
Recommended Consultant: PlaceWorks, Inc.

Background & Scope of Work: SCAG seeks to deliver best practices and actionable workplans to its cities and counties, maximizing the region’s ability to absorb and expend funds at the rapid pace of American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) expenditure deadlines, and importantly, to ensure that this one-time substantial investment results in increased and accelerated housing production, innovation and transformative planning. SCAG also seeks to develop Regional Early Action Planning (REAP) 2021 programs that align with Connect SoCal, the May 2021 adopted Racial Equity Action Plan, and the SCAG Executive Committee’s Strategic Action Plan adopted in July 2021.

To that end, this Scope of Work has the objective of bringing together practitioners in housing development and utility delivery to answer the exploratory questions posed in the background section above, and to:

(1) Learn about best practices in delivery of sustainable and resilient utilities in alignment with facilitating housing development;
(2) Gather information about programs and projects that are either ongoing or in development in the SCAG region that could benefit from the REAP 2021 funding;
(3) Facilitate formation of partnerships that will generate new project and program ideas that could benefit from the REAP 2021 funding; and
(4) Intentionally center principles of affirmatively furthering fair housing and racial equity in new programs and projects that align with the REAP 2021 core program objectives.

Project’s Benefits & Key Deliverables: The core deliverables in this Scope of Work are centered around a week-long Industry Forum that will include the following components, each of which is described in further detail:

(1) The Selected Consultant shall identify five to eight industry practitioners to form the expert panel; ideally drawing from across the US or even internationally;
(2) SCAG to develop a background “briefing book” to prepare the industry professionals;
(3) SCAG and the selected Consultant to develop a list of 20-30 local practitioners and experts to be interviewed by the expert panel;
(4) Hold a five-day Industry Forum that will culminate in a one or two-day in-person event, bringing experts, practitioners, and stakeholders from across the SCAG region to learn and share in best practices, and ideally to meet and form partnerships that will ultimately submit proposals for REAP 2.0 funding; and
(5) A final report that summarizes the findings from the interviews and Industry Forum, and that can support SCAG’s development of a call for projects.

Strategic Plan: This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians; and 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy; and 4: Provide innovative information and
value-added services to enhance member agencies’ planning and operations and promote regional collaboration.

**Contract Amount:** Total not to exceed $274,100
PlaceWorks, Inc. (prime consultant)

**Contract Period:** Notice to Proceed through October 31, 2023

**Project Number(s):** Regional Early Action Planning (REAP) Grants of 2021 (REAP 2.0)

Execution of this contract is subject to the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) approval of the for the REAP 2.0 early application funding. Upon receipt of an award letter from the HCD for the REAP 2.0 early application funding, SCAG will use available fund balances to fund and commence this contract activities in the amount of $274,100 and the funding will be later included and reconciled in a future amendment of the FY 2022-23 Overall Work Program (OWP), as approved by the Regional Council on February 3, 2022.

**Request for Proposal (RFP):**
SCAG staff notified 1,897 firms of the release of RFP 22-058-C01 via SCAG’s Solicitation Management System website, PlanetBids. A total of 27 firms downloaded the RFP. SCAG received the following two (2) proposals in response to the solicitation:

- PlaceWorks, Inc. (no subconsultants) $274,100
- Black & Vaetch – (no subconsultants) $234,542

**Selection Process:** The Proposal Review Committee (PRC) evaluated each proposal in accordance with the criteria set forth in the RFP and conducted the selection process in a manner consistent with all applicable federal and state contracting regulations. After evaluating the proposals, the PRC did not conduct interviews because the proposals contained sufficient information on which to base a contract award.

The PRC consisted of the following individuals:

- Alisha James, Community Engagement Specialist, SCAG
- Ma’Ayn Johnson, Program Manage II, SCAG
- Jessica Reyes Juarez, Associate Regional Planner, SCAG
Basis of Selection: The PRC recommended PlaceWorks, Inc. for the contract award because the consultant:

- Demonstrated the best understanding of the project, specifically the consultant included a streamlined and specific approach to the scope of work;
- Provided the best technical approach, for example the proposal included key considerations that will be key in developing the forum, as well as a well organized schedule for the forum and technical assistance panel; and
- Put together a highly qualified team that brings experience providing similar advisory services and forums and having vast knowledge and experience in the SCAG region; for having reasonable time commitment and staff allocation.

Although the other firm proposed a lower price, the PRC did not recommend this/these firm(s) for contract award because this firm:

- Did not clearly demonstrate experience hosting this type of forum. The consultants experience examples were not tailored to this scope; and
- Did not demonstrate a level of detail in approach and process for completing the scope of services; and
- The proposer indicated that they will not provide recommendations and recommendations would come solely from the industry experts. Whereas the scope calls for recommendations from the consultant.
Approve Contract No. 22-058-C01 in an amount not to exceed $274,100 (subject to final negotiation) with PlaceWorks, Inc. to assist SCAG in bringing together practitioners in housing development and utility delivery for an Industry Forum. Authorize the Executive Director, or his designee, pursuant to legal counsel review, to execute the contract on behalf of SCAG.

The consultant team for this contract includes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant Name</th>
<th>Did the consultant disclose a conflict in the Conflict of Interest Form they submitted with its original proposal (Yes or No)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PlaceWorks, Inc. (prime consultant)</td>
<td>No - form attached</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Land Institute (sub consultant)</td>
<td>No - form attached</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SCAG CONFLICT OF INTEREST FORM

RFP No. 22-058

SECTION I: INSTRUCTIONS

All persons or firms seeking contracts must complete and submit a SCAG Conflict of Interest Form along with the proposal. This requirement also applies to any proposed subconsultant(s). Failure to comply with this requirement may cause your proposal to be declared non-responsive.

In order to answer the questions contained in this form, please review SCAG’s Conflict of Interest Policy, the list of SCAG employees, and the list of SCAG’s Regional Council members. All three documents can be viewed online at https://scag.ca.gov. The SCAG Conflict of Interest Policy is located under “GET INVOLVED”, then “Contract & Vendor Opportunities” and scroll down under the “Vendor Contracts Documents” tab; whereas the SCAG staff may be found under “ABOUT US” then “OUR TEAM” then “Employee Directory”; and Regional Council members can be found under “MEETINGS”, then scroll down to “LEADERSHIP” then select "REGIONAL COUNCIL" on the left side of the page and click on “Regional Council Officers and Member List.”

Any questions regarding the information required to be disclosed in this form should be directed to SCAG’s Legal Division, especially if you answer “yes” to any question in this form, as doing so MAY also disqualify your firm from submitting an offer on this proposal.

Name of Firm: PlaceWorks, Inc.
Name of Preparer: Kara Kosel, Contracts Manager
Project Title: Industry Forum: Housing Supportive Infrastructure and Utilities
RFP Number: 22-058 Date Submitted: June 15, 2022

SECTION II: QUESTIONS

1. During the last twelve (12) months, has your firm provided a source of income to employees of SCAG or members of the SCAG Regional Council, or have any employees or Regional Council members held any investment (including real property) in your firm?

☐ YES ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list the names of those SCAG employees and/or SCAG Regional Council members and the nature of the financial interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Nature of Financial Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Have you or any members of your firm been an employee of SCAG or served as a member of the SCAG Regional Council within the last twelve (12) months?

☐ YES  ✗ NO

If “yes,” please list name, position, and dates of service:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Dates of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Are you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm related by blood or marriage/domestic partnership to an employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council that is considering your proposal?

☐ YES  ✗ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Does an employee of SCAG or a member of the SCAG Regional Council hold a position at your firm as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or any position of management?

☐ YES  ✗ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Have you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm ever given (directly or indirectly), or offered to give on behalf of another or through another person, campaign contributions or gifts to any current employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council (including contributions to a political committee created by or on behalf of a member/candidate)?

☐ YES  ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list name, date gift or contribution was given/offered, and dollar value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dollar Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION III: VALIDATION STATEMENT

This Validation Statement must be completed and signed by at least one General Partner, Owner, Principal, or Officer authorized to legally commit the proposer.

DECLARATION

I, (printed full name) ________________________________, hereby declare that I am the (position or title) ________________________________ of (firm name) ________________________________, and that I am duly authorized to execute this Validation Statement on behalf of this entity. I hereby state that this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form dated _____________________ is correct and current as submitted. I acknowledge that any false, deceptive, or fraudulent statements on this Validation Statement will result in rejection of my contract proposal.

______________________________
Signature of Person Certifying for Proposer
(original signature required)

______________________________
Date

NOTICE

A material false statement, omission, or fraudulent inducement made in connection with this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form is sufficient cause for rejection of the contract proposal or revocation of a prior contract award.
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
That the Executive/Administration Committee (EAC), acting on behalf of the Regional Council (RC) pursuant to Bylaw Article V.C(3)(a), approve the recommendation to support H.R. 7642, and any future legislation with substantially similar language.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
House of Representatives (H.R.) Bill 7642 (Brownley, D-CA-26) would authorize the Secretary of Transportation to provide technical assistance to jurisdictions hosting, and prioritize surface transportation projects relating to, international Olympic, Paralympic, and Special Olympics events until September 30, 2034. At its meeting on July 19, 2022, the Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee (LCMC) forwarded a “support” position to the Regional Council on H.R. 7642 and recommended authorizing support for any future legislation with substantially similar language that may be introduced in a future Congress.

BACKGROUND:
In September 2017, the International Olympic Committee (IOC) confirmed that Los Angeles would host the 2028 Olympic and Paralympic Games (LA28). LA28 will take place from July 21, 2028, until August 6, 2028. LA28 will mark the fifth Summer Olympics and Ninth Olympics, hosted in the United States, and the third time Los Angeles will host the games, having hosted in 1932 and 1984. The Summer Olympics consists of 28 “core” sports that are held every Summer Olympics. However, a few additional slots can be filled with the approval of the IOC and organizing committee that may appeal to the local population, with the caveat that the total number of participants does not exceed 10,500 athletes.
In order to accommodate this massive number of athletes and sports, LA28 will host competitions at a wide variety of venues across Southern California, divided into four main “Sports Parks,” with most venues concentrated in Los Angeles County. The four Sports Parks will consist of the Downtown Sports Park, Valley Sports Park, South Bay Sports Park, and Long Beach Sports Park. However, some events will be hosted in other Southern California venues, such as the Honda Center in Anaheim, the Rose Bowl in Pasadena, and Lake Perris in Riverside County.

The following venues are listed on the LA28 website:

**Downtown Sports Park**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Venue</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L.A.F.C Stadium</td>
<td>Football</td>
<td>22,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crypto.com Arena</td>
<td>Basketball</td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedeaux Field (USC)</td>
<td>Swimming, diving, artistic swimming</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galen Center (USC)</td>
<td>Badminton</td>
<td>10,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Park &amp; City Hall</td>
<td>Marathon, Road cycling, Race walk</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.A. Convention Center</td>
<td>Boxing, Basketball, Fencing, Taekwondo, &amp; Table tennis</td>
<td>5,000-8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.A. Memorial Coliseum</td>
<td>Ceremonies and athletics</td>
<td>77,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsoft Theater</td>
<td>Weightlifting</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valley Sports Park**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Venue</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sepulveda Basin Park</td>
<td>Canoe slalom, equestrian, and shooting</td>
<td>8,000-15,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**South Bay Sports Park**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Venue</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dignity Health Sports Park - Main Stadium</td>
<td>Rugby and pentathlon</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dignity Health Sports Park - Tennis Stadium</td>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dignity Health Sports Park - Track and Field Facility</td>
<td>Field hockey</td>
<td>5,000-15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VELO Sports Center</td>
<td>Track cycling</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Long Beach Sports Park**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Venue</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long Beach Waterfront</td>
<td>Triathlon and marathon swimming</td>
<td>2,000-8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Beach Arena</td>
<td>Handball</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Beach Lot</td>
<td>BMX and water polo</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belmont Veterans Memorial Pier</td>
<td>Sailing</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Southern California Venues**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Venue</th>
<th>Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frank G. Bonelli Park</td>
<td>Mountain Biking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honda Center</td>
<td>Volleyball</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Perris</td>
<td>Canoe sprint and rowing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riviera Country Club</td>
<td>Golf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose Bowl Stadium</td>
<td>Football</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Monica Beach</td>
<td>Beach Volleyball</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA Stadium</td>
<td>Archery and ceremonies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Forum</td>
<td>Gymnastics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
According to the Los Angeles Times, the predicted cost of LA28 is $6.9 billion, funded through private sector dollars, as the games are expected to generate as much revenue as costs. The City of Los Angeles and the State of California have committed to serving as financial guarantors in the amount of $250 million each for a total $500 million buffer to cover cost overruns. However, the
City of Los Angeles’ bid did not contain costs for improved infrastructure, as they are relying on public transportation projects already in progress.

Mayor Eric Garcetti and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority announced the Twenty-eight by ’28 initiative in 2017, which proposed the completion of a roster of 28 transportation projects by the start of the 2028 Olympics. These projects are primarily funded through a temporary half-cent sales tax increase, Measure R (2008), and a continuation of the Measure M increase, plus an additional permanent half-cent sales tax increase, Measure M (2016), which were both approved by Los Angeles County voters. Many of the 28 projects are in progress and seeking federal funding, while others are being placed on an accelerated schedule to be ready for the games.

The United States last hosted the Olympics in 2002, when Salt Lake City, Utah, hosted the Winter Olympics. In June 1998, H.R. 2400, the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21), was enacted, which reauthorized federal surface transportation programs for highways, highway safety, and transit for the 6-year period 1998-2003. Aside from reauthorizing federal surface transportation programs, TEA-21 also included language that authorized the Secretary of Transportation to provide assistance to the State of Utah and Salt Lake City and prioritize transportation projects relating to the 2002 Winter Olympics. Language relating to the Olympics in H.R. 2400 is attached to this report.

Congresswoman Julia Brownley (D-Oxnard) introduced H.R. 7642, the Transportation Assistance for Olympic Cities Act of 2022, which contains language substantially similar to the language in TEA-21 authorizing assistance to jurisdictions hosting the Salt Lake City Olympic Games.

Additional information on H.R. 7642 is included below.

**H.R. 7642**

H.R. 7642, the Transportation Assistance for Olympic Cities Act of 2022, was authored by Congresswoman Julia Brownley (D-Oxnard) and introduced on May 5, 2022.

This bill would prioritize and support state and local efforts on surface transportation issues necessary to fully realize the benefits of hosting the Olympic Games in the United States. It would provide the Secretary of Transportation the authority to give priority in providing grant funding to surface transportation projects related to the Olympic Games. This bill would also authorize the Secretary to provide assistance for planning activities undertaken by a state or metropolitan planning organization, develop transportation plans in coordination with States and local transportation agencies, expedite the review and comment of required submissions, and provide technical assistance so long as these activities relate to the Olympic Games.
Specifically, the Secretary would be authorized to provide planning, capital, and operating assistance to a State or local government for the Olympics. Any funding provided could only be expended on temporary facilities, equipment, operations, and the maintenance of extraordinary surface transportation infrastructure needs for the Olympic Games. The authority provided by H.R. 7642 would sunset on September 30, 2034. This timeline would allow the Secretary of Transportation to provide assistance for LA28 as well as Salt Lake City and the State of Utah should the IOC approve Salt Lake City’s bid to host the 2030 or 2034 Winter Olympics.

H.R. 7642 was referred to the House Committee on Transportation & Infrastructure and Subcommittee on Highways & Transit and is pending a hearing. Further, the following Representatives have cosponsored the bill:

- Rep. Grace Napolitano (D-CA-32)
- Rep. Alan Lowenthal (D-CA-47)
- Rep. Salud Carbajal (D-CA-24)

Congresswoman Brownley is seeking SCAG support to help obtain support from a bipartisan coalition of her colleagues in the House of Representatives to boost the chances of this bill being passed. U.S. Senator Alex Padilla (D-CA) also introduced S. 4383, the Senate companion bill for the Transportation Assistance for Olympic Cities Act of 2022, in the 117th Congress, which contains the same language as the House version and is cosponsored by U.S. Senator Dianne Feinstein (D-CA).

As the 117th Congress is coming to a close, with summer recess in August and a recess in October for the midterm elections, it is possible that the full House and Senate will not act on H.R. 7642 this year. Thus, the bill may have to be reintroduced in a future Congress. Therefore, staff recommends a support position for H.R. 7642 and recommends authorizing support for future legislation with the same or substantially similar language that may be introduced in a future Congress.

**Prior Committee Action**

Staff presented HR 7642 to the LCMC at its meeting on July 19, 2022 with a recommendation to “support H.R. 7642 and future legislation with substantially similar language” because the bill is consistent with the following points from the Regional Council-adopted legislative platform:

- Support measures that expedite and streamline both project development and delivery.

- Support efforts that expand public transit projects and service, both bus and rail, in the region to reduce congestion and enhance sustainability.

In February of this year, SCAG also convened Metrolink and the region’s six county transportation commissions for a joint Transportation Priorities Letter for State Budget Surplus, which contained a
state budget ask for transportation projects supporting the 2028 Olympic Games. Thus, support for H.R. 7642 will complement SCAG’s regional advocacy to secure outside resources to Southern California in preparation for the 2028 Olympic Games.

H.R. 7642 will open more opportunities to bring federal transportation funding to the region and grant Salt Lake City, Utah, the same opportunities, should it be selected to host the Olympic Games in the future.

After staff answered a question clarifying the timeline of the bill with regard to the sunset date of September 30, 2034, the LCMC voted unanimously to forward a “support H.R. 7642 and future legislation with substantially similar language” recommendation to the Regional Council.

The bill text for H.R. 7642 is attached to this report.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**
Work associated with the staff report on H.R. 7642 is contained in the Indirect Cost budget, Legislation 810-0120.10.

**ATTACHMENT(S):**
   Language
2. 04b - EAC - 08032022 - H.R. 7642 (Brownley) - Transportation Assistance for Olympic Cities Act of 2022
Public Law 105–178
105th Congress

An Act

To authorize funds for Federal-aid highways, highway safety programs, and transit programs, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the “Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century”.

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents of this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
Sec. 2. Definitions.

TITLE I—FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAYS

Subtitle A—Authorizations and Programs

Sec. 1101. Authorization of appropriations.
Sec. 1102. Obligation ceiling.
Sec. 1103. Apportionments.
Sec. 1104. Minimum guarantee.
Sec. 1105. Revenue aligned budget authority.
Sec. 1106. Federal-aid systems.
Sec. 1107. Interstate maintenance program.
Sec. 1108. Surface transportation program.
Sec. 1109. Highway bridge program.
Sec. 1110. Congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program.
Sec. 1111. Federal share.
Sec. 1112. Recreational trails program.
Sec. 1113. Emergency relief.
Sec. 1114. Highway use tax evasion projects.
Sec. 1115. Federal lands highways program.
Sec. 1116. Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge.
Sec. 1117. Appalachian development highway system.
Sec. 1118. National corridor planning and development program.
Sec. 1119. Coordinated border infrastructure and safety program.

Subtitle B—General Provisions

Sec. 1201. Definitions.
Sec. 1202. Bicycle transportation and pedestrian walkways.
Sec. 1203. Metropolitan planning.
Sec. 1204. Statewide planning.
Sec. 1205. Contracting for engineering and design services.
Sec. 1206. Access of motorcycles.
Sec. 1207. Construction of ferry boats and ferry terminal facilities.
Sec. 1208. Training.
Sec. 1209. Use of HOV lanes by inherently low-emission vehicles.
Sec. 1210. Advanced travel forecasting procedures program.
Sec. 1211. Amendments to prior surface transportation laws.
Sec. 1212. Miscellaneous.
Sec. 1213. Studies and reports.
Sec. 1214. Federal activities.
SEC. 1223. TRANSPORTATION ASSISTANCE FOR OLYMPIC CITIES.

(a) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this section is to authorize the provision of assistance for, and support of, State and local efforts concerning surface transportation issues necessary to obtain the national recognition and economic benefits of participation in the International Olympic movement, the International Paralympic movement, and the Special Olympics International movement by hosting international quadrennial Olympic and Paralympic events, and Special Olympics International events, in the United States.

(b) PRIORITY FOR TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS RELATING TO OLYMPIC, PARALYMPIC, AND SPECIAL OLYMPIC EVENTS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, from funds available to carry out sections 118(c) and 144(g)(1) of title 23, United States Code, the Secretary may give priority to funding for a transportation project relating to an international quadrennial Olympic or Paralympic event, or a Special Olympics International event, if—

(1) the project meets the extraordinary needs associated with an international quadrennial Olympic or Paralympic event or a Special Olympics International event; and

(2) the project is otherwise eligible for assistance under sections 118(c) and 144(g)(1) of such title.

(c) TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ACTIVITIES.—The Secretary may participate in—

(1) planning activities of States and metropolitan planning organizations and transportation projects relating to an international quadrennial Olympic or Paralympic event, or a Special Olympics International event, under sections 134 and 135 of title 23, United States Code; and

(2) developing intermodal transportation plans necessary for the projects in coordination with State and local transportation agencies.

(d) FUNDING.—Notwithstanding section 5001(a), from funds made available under such section, the Secretary may provide assistance for the development of an Olympic, a Paralympic, and a Special Olympics transportation management plan in cooperation with an Olympic Organizing Committee responsible for hosting, and State and local communities affected by, an international quadrennial Olympic or Paralympic event or a Special Olympics International event.

(e) TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS RELATING TO OLYMPIC, PARALYMPIC, AND SPECIAL OLYMPIC EVENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may provide assistance, including planning, capital, and operating assistance, to States and local governments in carrying out transportation projects relating to an international quadrennial Olympic or Paralympic event or a Special Olympics International event.

(2) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of the cost of a project assisted under this subsection shall not exceed 80 percent.
(f) ELIGIBLE GOVERNMENTS.—A State or local government shall be eligible to receive assistance under this section only if the government is hosting a venue that is part of an international quadrennial Olympics that is officially selected by the International Olympic Committee.

(g) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this section such sums as are necessary for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2003.

Subtitle C—Program Streamlining and Flexibility

SEC. 1301. REAL PROPERTY ACQUISITION AND CORRIDOR PRESERVATION.

(a) ADVANCE ACQUISITION OF REAL PROPERTY.—Section 108 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking the section heading and subsection (a) and inserting the following:

“§ 108. Advance acquisition of real property

“(a) IN GENERAL.—

“(1) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—For the purpose of facilitating the timely and economical acquisition of real property for a transportation improvement eligible for funding under this title, the Secretary, upon the request of a State, may make available, for the acquisition of real property, such funds apportioned to the State as may be expended on the transportation improvement, under such rules and regulations as the Secretary may issue.

“(2) CONSTRUCTION.—The agreement between the Secretary and the State for the reimbursement of the cost of the real property shall provide for the actual construction of the transportation improvement within a period not to exceed 20 years following the fiscal year for which the request is made, unless the Secretary determines that a longer period is reasonable.”.

(b) CREDIT FOR ACQUIRED LANDS.—Section 323(b) of such title is amended—

(1) in the subsection heading, by striking “DONATED” and inserting “ACQUIRED”;

(2) by striking paragraphs (1) and (2) and inserting the following:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this title, the State share of the cost of a project with respect to which Federal assistance is provided from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) may be credited in an amount equal to the fair market value of any land that—

“(A) is lawfully obtained by the State or a unit of local government in the State;

“(B) is incorporated into the project;

“(C) is not land described in section 138; and

“(D) the Secretary determines will not influence the environmental assessment of the project, including—

“(i) the decision as to the need to construct the project;
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A BILL

To provide assistance for surface transportation projects relating to international Olympic, Paralympic, and Special Olympics events.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Transportation Assistance for Olympic Cities Act of 2022”.

SEC. 2. TRANSPORTATION ASSISTANCE FOR OLYMPIC, PARALYMPIC, AND SPECIAL OLYMPICS CITIES.

(a) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this section is to prioritize and support State and local efforts on surface
transportation issues necessary to obtain the national recogni-
tion and economic benefits of participation in the international Olympic, Paralympic, and Special Olympics movement by hosting international Olympic, Paralympic, and Special Olympics events in the United States.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) COVERED EVENT.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The term “covered event” means an international Olympic, Paralympic, or Special Olympics event held at a site that has been selected to hold such event.

(B) EXCLUSION.—The term “covered event” does not include activities relating to preparing or submitting a bid to be selected to hold an international Olympic, Paralympic, or Special Olympics event.

(2) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Transportation.

(c) PRIORITY FOR TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS RELATING TO OLYMPIC, PARALYMPIC, AND SPECIAL OLYMPICS EVENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in providing grants for surface transportation projects described in paragraph (2),
the Secretary may give priority to a surface transportation project relating to a covered event.

(2) GRANTS DESCRIBED.—A grant referred to in paragraph (1) is a discretionary grant for a surface transportation project—

(A) under title 23 or 49, United States Code, beginning on the date of enactment of this Act; or

(B) otherwise administered by the Secretary for surface transportation projects.

(d) TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ACTIVITIES.—The Secretary shall take all reasonable efforts to provide assistance to a covered event, including—

(1) by providing assistance for planning activities of States and metropolitan planning organizations under sections 134 and 135 of title 23, United States Code, for surface transportation projects relating to a covered event;

(2) by developing intermodal transportation plans in coordination with States and local transportation agencies;

(3) by expediting review and comment of any required submissions to the Secretary relating to a covered event; and

(4) by providing technical assistance.
(c) **TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS RELATING TO OLYMPIC, PARALYMPIC, AND SPECIAL OLYMPICS EVENTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary may provide assistance, including planning, capital, and operating assistance, to a State or unit of local government in carrying out surface transportation projects relating to a covered event.

(2) **USE OF FUNDS.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any funding provided in accordance with this section may be used for any temporary facility, equipment, operations, and maintenance that meets the extraordinary surface transportation needs associated with hosting a covered event.

(f) **FUNDING.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section such sums as are necessary for each of fiscal years 2022 through 2034.

(2) **SUPPLEMENT, NOT SUPPLANT.**—Any amounts provided to a State or unit of local government in accordance with this section shall be in addition to any Federal funds otherwise available to the State or unit of local government for the surface transportation project.
(g) **SUNSET.**—The authority provided by this section shall terminate on September 30, 2034.
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Information Only - No Action Required

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 7: Secure funding to support agency priorities to effectively and efficiently deliver work products.

ACCOUNTING:
During FY 2021-22, staff has prepared and submitted requests for reimbursements of approximately $37.08 million to Caltrans for work funded with federal and state grants completed from July 2021 to May 2022. Of this amount, $37.08 million has been received, and there are no outstanding reimbursement requests pending approval by Caltrans.

SCAG’s external independent auditor, Eide Bailly, has started audit work on SCAG’s FY 2021-22 financial statements. SCAG’s engagement partner, Roger Alfaro, presented their preliminary work plan to the Audit Committee on July 27, 2022. Eide Bailly plans to complete the audit and report the results to the Audit Committee in November or December 2022 and the Executive/Administration Committee (EAC) and Regional Council (RC) in January or February 2023.

BUDGET & GRANTS (B&G):
On June 17, 2022, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) approved SCAG’s FY 2022-2023 (FY23) Overall Work Program (OWP) for FHWA Metropolitan Planning (PL) and FTA Section 5303 and 5304 funding.

On July 5, 2022, staff kicked off the FY23 OWP Administrative Budget Amendment 01 process and is currently analyzing the proposed changes. Budget Amendment 01 will be presented to the Executive/Administration Committee (EAC) and the Regional Council (RC) for approval in September.
On July 30, 2022, SCAG submitted the FY 2021-22 (FY22) OWP 4th Quarter Progress Report with preliminary expenditures to Caltrans. The 4th Quarter Progress Report with final expenditures and the FY22 OWP Final Work Products will be submitted to Caltrans by August 30, 2022.

**CONTRACTS:**
In June 2022, the Contracts Department issued four (4) Request for Proposals; awarded five (5) contracts; issued twenty (20) contract amendments; and processed forty-three (43) Purchase Orders to support ongoing business and enterprise operations. Staff also administered 176 consultant contracts. Contract staff continued to negotiate better pricing and reduced service costs. This month the Contracts team negotiated $761,217 in budget savings bringing the Fiscal Year total to $1,389,678 in savings.

**INTERNAL AUDIT ON PROCUREMENT:**
SCAG’s Internal Auditor, Mr. David James, completed an audit of SCAG’s procurement process and has found SCAG to be generally in compliance with its policies and government regulations. Mr. James presented his report, including detailed findings and recommendations, to the Audit Committee at the July 27, 2022, Audit Committee meeting. Mr. James’ report and the Finance Update report, which includes updates to the progress and planned action items on the recommendations contained in the Internal Audit Report on Procurement, can be found within the Audit Committee agenda package available online through the SCAG website https://scag.ca.gov/meetings-agendas.