HYBRID (IN-PERSON & REMOTE PARTICIPATION) *

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE

**In-Person & Remote Participation**

**Thursday, July 7, 2022**

9:30 a.m. – 11:30 a.m.

*Public Participation: The SCAG offices are currently closed to members of the public. Please see next page for detailed instructions on how to participate in the meeting.*

**To Attend and Participate on Your Computer:**

[https://scag.zoom.us/j/317727062](https://scag.zoom.us/j/317727062)

**To Attend and Participate by Phone:**

Call-in Number: 1-669-900-6833

Meeting ID: 317 727 062

**PUBLIC ADVISORY**

Given the declared state of emergency (pursuant to State of Emergency Proclamation dated March 4, 2020) and local public health directives imposing and recommending social distancing measures due to the threat of COVID-19, and pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(e)(1)(A), the meeting will be held telephonically and electronically.

If members of the public wish to review the attachments or have any questions on any of the agenda items, please contact Maggie Aguilar at (213) 630-1420 or via email at aguilarm@scag.ca.gov. Agendas & Minutes are also available at: [www.scag.ca.gov/committees](http://www.scag.ca.gov/committees).

SCAG, in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), will accommodate persons who require a modification of accommodation in order to participate in this meeting. SCAG is also committed to helping people with limited proficiency in the English language access the agency’s essential public information and services. You can request such assistance by calling (213) 630-1420. We request at least 72 hours (three days) notice to provide reasonable accommodations and will make every effort to arrange for assistance as soon as possible.
Instructions for Public Comments

You may submit public comments in two (2) ways:

1. **In Writing:** Submit written comments via email to: EECPublicComment@scag.ca.gov by 5pm on Wednesday, July 6, 2022. You are *not* required to submit public comments in writing or in advance of the meeting; this option is offered as a convenience should you desire not to provide comments in real time as described below.

   All written comments received after 5pm on Wednesday, July 6, 2022 will be announced and included as part of the official record of the meeting.

2. **In Real Time:** If participating in real time via Zoom or phone, during the Public Comment Period (Matters Not on the Agenda) or at the time the item on the agenda for which you wish to speak is called, use the “raise hand” function on your computer or *9 by phone and wait for SCAG staff to announce your name/phone number. SCAG staff will unmute your line when it is your turn to speak. Limit oral comments to 3 minutes, or as otherwise directed by the presiding officer. For purpose of providing public comment for items listed on the Consent Calendar, please indicate that you wish to speak when the Consent Calendar is called; items listed on the Consent Calendar will be acted on with one motion and there will be no separate discussion of these items unless a member of the legislative body so requests, in which event, the item will be considered separately.

   If unable to connect by Zoom or phone and you wish to make a comment, you may submit written comments via email to: EECPublicComment@scag.ca.gov.

In accordance with SCAG’s Regional Council Policy, Article VI, Section H and California Government Code Section 54957.9, if a SCAG meeting is “willfully interrupted” and the “orderly conduct of the meeting” becomes unfeasible, the presiding officer or the Chair of the legislative body may order the removal of the individuals who are disrupting the meeting.
Instructions for Participating in the Meeting

SCAG is providing multiple options to view or participate in the meeting:

**To Participate and Provide Verbal Comments on Your Computer**

1. Click the following link: [https://scag.zoom.us/j/317727062](https://scag.zoom.us/j/317727062)
2. If Zoom is not already installed on your computer, click “Download & Run Zoom” on the launch page and press “Run” when prompted by your browser. If Zoom has previously been installed on your computer, please allow a few moments for the application to launch automatically.
3. Select “Join Audio via Computer.”
4. The virtual conference room will open. If you receive a message reading, “Please wait for the host to start this meeting,” simply remain in the room until the meeting begins.
5. During the Public Comment Period, use the “raise hand” function located in the participants’ window and wait for SCAG staff to announce your name. SCAG staff will unmute your line when it is your turn to speak. Limit oral comments to 3 minutes, or as otherwise directed by the presiding officer.

**To Listen and Provide Verbal Comments by Phone**

1. Call (669) 900-6833 to access the conference room. Given high call volumes recently experienced by Zoom, please continue dialing until you connect successfully.
2. Enter the Meeting ID: **317 727 062**, followed by #.
3. Indicate that you are a participant by pressing # to continue.
4. You will hear audio of the meeting in progress. Remain on the line if the meeting has not yet started.
5. During the Public Comment Period, press *9 to add yourself to the queue and wait for SCAG staff to announce your name/phone number. SCAG staff will unmute your line when it is your turn to speak. Limit oral comments to 3 minutes, or as otherwise directed by the presiding officer.
1. Hon. Deborah Robertson  
   EEC Chair, Rialto, RC District 8

2. Sup. Luis Plancarte  
   EEC Vice Chair, Imperial County

3. Hon. Cindy Allen  
   Long Beach, RC District 30

4. Hon. Ana Beltran  
   Westmorland, ICTC

5. Hon. Daniel Brotman  
   Glendale, AVCJPA

6. Hon. Margaret Clark  
   Rosemead, RC District 32

7. Hon. Robert Copeland  
   Signal Hill, GCCOG

8. Hon. Maria Davila  
   South Gate, GCCOG

9. Hon. Ned Davis  
   Westlake Village, LVMCOG

10. Hon. Rick Denison  
    Yucca Valley, SBCTA

11. Hon. Julian Gold  
    Beverly Hills, WSCCOG

12. Hon. Shari Horne  
    Laguna Woods, OCCOG

13. Hon. Britt Huff  
    Rolling Hills Estates, SBCCOG

14. Hon. Jonathan Ingram  
    Murrieta, WRCOG

15. Hon. Dan Kalmick  
    Huntington Beach, OCCOG
16. Hon. Joe Kalmick  
Seal Beach, RC District 20

17. Hon. Elaine Litster  
Simi Valley, VCOG

18. Hon. Diana Mahmud  
South Pasadena, SGVCOG

19. Hon. Cynthia Moran  
Chino Hills, SBCTA

20. Hon. Oscar Ortiz  
Indio, CVAG

21. Hon. Randall Putz  
Big Bear Lake, RC District 11

22. Sup. Carmen Ramirez  
Ventura County

23. Hon. Greg Raths  
Mission Viejo, OCCOG

24. Hon. Richard Rollins  
Port Hueneme, VCOG

25. Hon. Jesus Silva  
Fullerton, Pres. Appt. (Member at Large)

26. Hon. Sharon Springer  
Burbank, SFVCOG

27. Hon. Connor Traut  
Buena Park, OCCOG

28. Hon. John Valdivia  
San Bernardino, SBCTA

29. Hon. Colleen Wallace  
Banning, WRCOG

30. Hon. Edward Wilson  
Signal Hill, GCCOG
The Energy and Environment Committee may consider and act upon any of the items on the agenda regardless of whether they are listed as Information or Action items.

CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
(The Honorable Deborah Robertson, Chair)

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD (Matters Not on the Agenda)
This is the time for persons to comment on any matter pertinent to SCAG’s jurisdiction that is not listed on the agenda. Although the committee may briefly respond to statements or questions, under state law, matters presented under this item cannot be discussed or acted upon at this time. Public comment for items listed on the agenda will be taken separately as further described below.

General information for all public comments: Members of the public are encouraged, but not required, to submit written comments by sending an email to: EECPublicComment@scag.ca.gov by 5pm on Wednesday, July 6, 2022. Such comments will be transmitted to members of the legislative body and posted on SCAG’s website prior to the meeting. Any writings or documents provided to a majority of the Energy and Environment Committee regarding any item on this agenda (other than writings legally exempt from public disclosure) are available at the Office of the Clerk, located at 900 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90017 during normal business hours and/or by contacting the office by phone, (213) 630-1420, or email to aguilarm@scag.ca.gov. Written comments received after 5pm on Wednesday, July 6, 2022, will be announced and included as part of the official record of the meeting. Members of the public wishing to verbally address the Energy and Environment Committee in real time during the meeting will be allowed up to a total of 3 minutes to speak on items on the agenda, with the presiding officer retaining discretion to adjust time limits as necessary to ensure efficient and orderly conduct of the meeting. The presiding officer has the discretion to equally reduce the time limit of all speakers based upon the number of comments received. If you desire to speak on an item listed on the agenda, please wait for the chair to call the item and then indicate your interest in offering public comment by either using the “raise hand” function on your computer or pressing *9 on your telephone. For purpose of providing public comment for items listed on the Consent Calendar (if there is a Consent Calendar), please indicate that you wish to speak when the Consent Calendar is called; items listed on the Consent Calendar will be acted upon with one motion and there will be no separate discussion of these items unless a member of the legislative body so requests, in which event, the item will be considered separately.
REVIEW AND PRIORITIZE AGENDA ITEMS

CONSENT CALENDAR

Approval Items

1. Minutes of the Meeting – June 2, 2022

2. Release of Transportation Conformity Analyses of Draft 2023 Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) and Draft 2020 Connect SoCal Amendment #2

Receive and File

3. Initial Findings for Connect SoCal CEQA Addendum No. 3 to Programmatic Environmental Impact Report (State Clearinghouse #2019011061)

4. Two Transit Transportation Control Measures (TCMs) Substitution by Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA)

5. SCAG Climate Action Resolution Quarterly Update

ACTION ITEMS

6. Connect SoCal Sustainable Communities Program (SCP) Call 4 – Civic Engagement, Equity, and Environmental Justice

   (Anita Au and Hannah Brunelle, Senior Regional Planner)

   20 Mins.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Recommend Regional Council approve the Connect SoCal SCP Call 4 Guidelines and authorize staff to release the Call for Applications.

INFORMATION ITEMS

7. Water Policy and Local Conservation Actions

   (Multiple Presenters)

   40 Mins.


   (Mike Gainor, Senior Planner)

   15 Mins.

9. Equity Analysis Update (formerly Environmental Justice Analysis) - Performance Measures

   (Anita Au, Senior Regional Planner and Annaleigh Ekman, Assistant Regional Planner)

   15 Mins.

10. Status Update on Transportation Conformity Challenges in SCAG Region

    20 Mins.
11. Green Region Resource Areas Methodology for SCAG’s Local Data Exchange (LDX) 15 Mins.

CHAIR’S REPORT
(The Honorable Deborah Robertson, Chair)

STAFF REPORT
(Rachel Wagner, Regional Affairs Officer, SCAG Staff)

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

ANNOUNCEMENTS

ADJOURNMENT
The Energy and Environment Committee (EEC) of the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) held its regular meeting both in person and virtually (telephonically and electronically), given the declared state of emergency (pursuant to State of Emergency Proclamation dated March 4, 2020) and local public health directives imposing and recommending social distancing measures due to the threat of COVID-19, and pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(e)(1)(A). A quorum was present.

Members Present

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Deborah Robertson, Rialto (Chair)</td>
<td>District 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sup. Luis Plancarte (Vice Chair)</td>
<td>Imperial County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Cindy Allen, Long Beach</td>
<td>District 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Daniel Brotman, Glendale</td>
<td>AVCIPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Margaret Clark, Rosemead</td>
<td>SGVCOG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Robert Copeland, Signal Hill</td>
<td>GCCOG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Ned Davis, Westlake Village</td>
<td>LVMCOG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Rick Denison, Yucca Valley</td>
<td>SBCTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Julian Gold, Beverly Hills</td>
<td>WSCCOG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Shari Horne, Laguna Woods</td>
<td>OCCOG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Britt Huff, Rolling Hills Estates</td>
<td>SBCCOG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Dan Kalmick, Huntington Beach</td>
<td>OCCOG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Joe Kalmick, Seal Beach</td>
<td>District 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Diana Mahmud, South Pasadena</td>
<td>SGVCOG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Cynthia Moran, Chino Hills</td>
<td>SBCTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Randall Putz, Big Bear Lake</td>
<td>District 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sup. Carmen Ramirez</td>
<td>Ventura County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Sharon Springer, Burbank</td>
<td>SFVCOG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Connor Traut, Buena Park</td>
<td>OCCOG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chair Deborah Robertson called the meeting to order at 9:31 a.m. Vice Chair, Luis Plancarte, Imperial County, led the Pledge of Allegiance. Staff confirmed a quorum was present.

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

Chair Robertson opened the public comment period and provided detailed instructions on how to provide public comments. She noted that this was the time for members of the public to offer comment for matters that are within SCAG’s jurisdiction but are not listed on the agenda.

She reminded the public to submit comments via email to EECPublicComment@scag.ca.gov. She noted that public comments received via email after 5pm on Wednesday, June 1, 2022, would be announced and included as part of the official record of the meeting.

SCAG staff noted there were no written public comments received by email before or after the 5pm deadline on Wednesday, June 1, 2022. Chair Robertson acknowledge one public comment speaker for matters not listed on the agenda.

Stephanie Nava-Angeles, Program Manager from the Water Education for Latino Leaders (WELL), invited the EEC members to the WELL conference scheduled from June 10-11, 2022 in Pico Rivera.

Seeing no further public comment speakers, Chair Robertson closed the public comment period for matters not listed on the agenda.

REVIEW AND PRIORITIZE AGENDA ITEMS
There were no requests to prioritize agenda items.

**CONSENT CALENDAR**

Chair Robertson opened the Public Comment Period.

Seeing no public comment speakers, Chair Robertson closed the Public Comment Period.

**Approval Items**

1. Minutes of the Meeting – April 7, 2022
2. Two Transit Transportation Control Measures (TCMs) Substitution by Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA)
3. California Transportation Assessment Report (AB 285) Joint Comment Letter
4. Go Human Kit of Parts Statewide Pilot Program: SCAG & Caltrans Active Transportation Resource Center
5. Housing-Supportive Grant Application Technical Assistance
6. Policy Development Framework for Connect SoCal 2024

A MOTION was made (Valdivia) to approve the Consent Calendar. Motion was SECONDED (Denison) and passed by the following votes:

**AYES:** Brotman, Clark, Copeland, Davis, Denison, Gold, Horne, Huff, D. Kalmick, Mahmud, Moran, Plancarte, Putz, Robertson, Springer, Traut, Valdivia, and Wallace (18)

**NOES:** None (0)

**ABSTAINS:** None (0)

**INFORMATION ITEMS**

7. Connect SoCal 2024 Local Data Exchange Launch
Chair Robertson opened the Public Comment Period.

Seeing no public comment speakers, Chair Robertson closed the Public Comment Period.

Kevin Kane, Program Manager I, provided a report on the Connect SoCal 2024 Local Data Exchange Launch. The report consisted of information on the release of important pieces of data for local jurisdiction review during the Connect SoCal 2024 development which includes the preliminary growth forecast of households and employment through 2050 for the region at the jurisdiction and Transportation Analysis Zone (TAZ) levels and a concise planning survey. Also, over the next six months, as part of the Local Data Exchange (LDX) process, SCAG staff aims to meet one-on-one with local staff to discuss the projections, other data layers, and guide the review process. Feedback from local jurisdictions is due on December 2, 2022.

The comprehensive staff report, including PowerPoint presentation, was included in the agenda packet, and can be accessed on the SCAG website. The video of the presentation can be accessed through the Meeting Portal at http://scag.iqm2.com/Citizens/.

8. Water Resilience and Planning

Chair Robertson opened the Public Comment Period.

Seeing no public comment speakers, Chair Robertson closed the Public Comment Period.

Martha Davis, former Assistant General Manager/Executive Manager for Policy Development at the Inland Empire Utilities Agency in Southern California, presented an overview of the role of water in regional resilience planning, shifts towards policies and practices enhancing local water infrastructure. She also explored the water-energy-climate nexus. Her report also noted that more can be done with underutilized resources such as rainwater, grey water, stormwater, water reuse and water efficiency. Her presentation also addressed using watershed science to maximize multiple benefits.

The comprehensive staff report, including PowerPoint presentation, was included in the agenda packet, and can be accessed on the SCAG website. The video of the presentation can be accessed through the Meeting Portal at http://scag.iqm2.com/Citizens/.

Policy Committee Member Sharon Springer, Burbank, SFVCOG, asked Ms. Davis what her thoughts were on stormwater capture projects as she thought there was a disconnect between funding it and who the water belongs too. Ms. Davis acknowledged the comment and indicated that there has been some effort to clarify who the water belongs too and that a collaborative watershed approach
has become important. She further noted that they needed to act as a community that’s looking after the welfare of all.

Policy Committee Member Diana Mahmud, South Pasadena, SGVCOG, expressed that cities are legally obligated to make significant investments in water infrastructure to comply with their MS4 requirements. She stated that there was no doubt that the cost of water was going to continue to increase, and they needed the state to take a more holistic look at their residents ability to pay for the various statutory and regulatory obligations that they have under the Safe Drinking Water Act and the Clean Water Act. She also stated that she was hoping to see some action from the legislature in their budget because currently water retail suppliers have no ability to discount rates for low-income water consumers without taking money from the general fund due to Proposition 218.

Chair Robertson expressed concern over water infrastructure and the cost associated and thought this conversation should be at workshop so that they can all get on the same page.

Sarah Jepson, Director of Planning, indicated she has had several conversations with Chair Robertson about how planning for water and resiliency is a priority to bring to this committee. She noted that the agenda packet included an item on the Policy Development Framework for Connect SoCal and that it outlined key issues that they were going to look at as part of this plan. She noted that water was a priority that they want to highlight in the Policy Development Framework.

Chair Robertson left the meeting and Vice Chair Plancarte proceeded to chair.

9. 2020 Sustainable Communities Program (SCP) Call for Projects 1-4 Combined Update

Vice Chair Plancarte opened the Public Comment Period.

Seeing no public comment speakers, Vice Chair Plancarte closed the Public Comment Period.

Cory Wilkerson, Program Manager II, provided a report on the 2020 Sustainable Communities program (SCP) Call for Projects 1-4 which supports implementation of the Connect SoCal Plan 2020 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS). The report included information on the four calls which are Call 1: Active Transportation & Safety; Call 2: Housing & Sustainable Development; Call 3: Smart Cities & Mobility Innovations; and Call 4: Civic Engagement, Equity & Environmental Justice. Also, the report included an update on the 2020 Connect SoCal SCP Calls 1-3 and details about the upcoming fourth Call for Applications related to civic engagement, equity, and environmental justice. Additionally, the Call 4 project awards will be determined in Spring 2023.
The comprehensive staff report, including PowerPoint presentation, was included in the agenda packet, and can be accessed on the SCAG website. The video of the presentation can be accessed through the Meeting Portal at [http://scag.iqm2.com/Citizens/](http://scag.iqm2.com/Citizens/).

10. CARB Draft Scoping Plan

Vice Chair Plancarte opened the Public Comment Period.

Seeing no public comment speakers, Vice Chair Plancarte closed the Public Comment Period.

Sarah Dominguez, Program Manager II, provided a report on the CARB Draft 2022 Scoping Plan which was released by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) on May 10, 2022. The update to the Scoping Plan, due in Fall/Winter 2022, will reflect California’s goal to assess progress towards the Senate Bill (SB) 32 target of reducing GHG emission to 40 percent below 1990 levels in 2030, and to achieve climate neutrality by 2045. It was reported that SCAG staff are intends to submit a comment letter by the deadline to express support for those actions that align with Connect SoCal and highlight potential areas of concern.

The comprehensive staff report, including PowerPoint presentation, was included in the agenda packet, and can be accessed on the SCAG website. The video of the presentation can be accessed through the Meeting Portal at [http://scag.iqm2.com/Citizens/](http://scag.iqm2.com/Citizens/).

**CHAIR’S REPORT**

Vice Chair Plancarte reported the next meeting of the EEC was July 7 at 9:30 a.m.

**STAFF REPORT**

Rachel Wagner, Regional Affairs Officer, reported that there would be regional public briefing on curb space management on June 8 at 12:00 p.m. and that SCAG staff would present a high-level overview of the curb space issues facing many cities along with a menu of solutions to address them. Lastly, she reported that Women in Transportation was hosting an LA Complete Streets event on Wednesday, June 15 and that SCAG Panning Director Sarah Jepson would participate on a panel related to transportation access, equity and connectivity.

**FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS**

There were no future agenda items.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS**
There were no announcements.

**ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business, Vice Chair Plancarte adjourned the Energy and Environment Committee meeting at 11:22 a.m.

[MINUTES ARE UNOFFICIAL UNTIL APPROVED BY THE EEC]
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<th>Nov</th>
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<td>1</td>
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<td></td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
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<tr>
<td>Clark, Margaret</td>
<td>Rosemead, SGVCOG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copeland, Robert</td>
<td>Signal Hill, GCCOG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td>1</td>
</tr>
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<td>Huff, Britt</td>
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<td>1</td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td>0</td>
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<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>0</td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td>Moran, Cynthia</td>
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<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortiz, Oscar</td>
<td>Indio, CVAG</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plancarte, Luis</td>
<td>Imperial County</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putz, Randall</td>
<td>Big Bear Lake, District 11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramirez, Carmen</td>
<td>Oxnard, RC District 45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raths, Greg</td>
<td>Mission Viejo, OCCOG</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robertson, Deborah</td>
<td>Rialto, RC District 8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rollins, Richard</td>
<td>Port Hueneme, VCOG</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springer, Sharon</td>
<td>Burbank, SFVCOG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traut, Connor</td>
<td>Buena Park, OCCOG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valdivia, John</td>
<td>San Bernardino, SBCCOG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallace, Colleen</td>
<td>Banning, WRCOG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson, Edward H.J.</td>
<td>Signal Hill, GCCOG</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR EEC:
Recommend that the Regional Council authorize the Executive Director to release the transportation conformity analyses of the Draft 2023 FTIP and the Draft Connect SoCal (2020 RTP/SCS) Amendment #2 for public review and comment.

RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR CEHD & TC:
Receive and File.

RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR RC:
Authorize the Executive Director to release the transportation conformity analyses of the Draft 2023 FTIP and the Draft Connect SoCal (2020 RTP/SCS) Amendment #2 for public review and comment.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
As requested by County Transportation Commissions (CTCs), SCAG has developed the draft 2023 Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) and 2020 Connect SoCal Amendment #2 including the associated transportation conformity analyses. The conformity analyses demonstrate that the draft 2023 FTIP and 2020 Connect SoCal Amendment #2 meet all federal transportation conformity requirements. Staff is seeking recommendation from the Energy and Environment Committee (EEC) and approval of the Regional Council (RC) to release the conformity analyses as part of the draft 2023 FTIP and 2020 Draft Connect SoCal Amendment #2 for public review and comment. Pending approval by the EEC, the Transportation Committee (TC), and the
RC, the draft 2023 FTIP and 2020 Connect SoCal Amendment #2 which include the associated transportation conformity analyses will be released for a thirty (30) day public review and comment period. Upon completion of the public review and comment, the proposed final 2023 FTIP and 2020 Connect SoCal Amendment #2 are scheduled to be presented to the TC and the transportation conformity analyses portion is scheduled to be presented to the EEC for recommended adoption by the RC in October 2022.

BACKGROUND:
SCAG is the federally designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the six (6) county region of Southern California and the designated Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) per state law. As such, it is responsible for developing and maintaining the FTIP and RTP/SCS in cooperation with the State (Caltrans), the CTCs, and public transit operators.

In consultation and continuous communication with the CTCs throughout the region, staff has developed the draft 2023 FTIP. The draft 2023 FTIP is a programming document totaling $35.9 billion in programming and containing over 1,700 projects covering a six (6) year period. The draft 2023 FTIP includes 56 projects for Imperial County programmed at $201.2 million; 945 projects for Los Angeles County programmed at $19.4 billion; 129 projects for Orange County programmed at $1.5 billion; 319 projects for Riverside County programmed at $8.0 billion; 201 projects for San Bernardino County programmed at $5.6 billion; and 157 projects for Ventura County programmed at $1.1 billion.

Concurrent with the Draft 2023 FTIP, staff has also developed the draft 2020 Connect SoCal Amendment #2 which serves as a consistency amendment to the 2023 FTIP, allowing for changes to long-range RTP/SCS projects in addition to changes to state and local highway, transit, and passenger rail projects currently in the FTIP that will be carried forward as part of the 2023 FTIP. The draft Amendment #2 consists of 102 project modifications with 14 of those being new projects.

Under the U.S. Department of Transportation’s metropolitan planning regulations and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s transportation conformity regulations, the draft 2023 FTIP and 2020 Connect SoCal Amendment #2 need to pass five transportation conformity tests: consistency with the adopted Connect SoCal as previously amended, regional emissions analysis, timely implementation of transportation control measures, financial constraint, and interagency consultation and public involvement. Once approved by the federal agencies, the 2023 FTIP and the 2020 Connect SoCal Amendment #2 would allow the regional transportation projects to receive the necessary federal approvals and move forward towards implementation. Staff has performed the required transportation conformity analyses, and the analyses demonstrate conformity.

At its meeting today, the TC is considering recommended approval to the RC of the public release of the draft 2023 FTIP and 2020 Connect SoCal Amendment #2 for a 30-day public review and comment period beginning on July 8, 2022 and ends on August 8, 2022.
Upon completion of the public review period, staff will provide responses to all comments in the proposed final 2023 FTIP and 2020 Connect SoCal Amendment #2. The proposed final 2023 FTIP and 2020 Connect SoCal Amendment #2 will thereafter be presented to the TC in September 2022 and the RC in October 2022 meetings. The proposed final conformity finding will be presented to the EEC and the RC for approval on the same day. Federal approval of the 2023 FTIP and 2020 Connect SoCal Amendment #2 is expected to occur in December 2022.

The draft 2023 FTIP is accessible at:
www.scag.ca.gov/2023-ftip

The draft 2020 Connect SoCal Amendment #2 is available at:
www.scag.ca.gov/post/amendment-2-0

**FISCAL IMPACT:**
Work associated with this item is included in the current FY 2021-22 Overall Work Program (025.0164.01: Air Quality Planning and Conformity).
To: Community Economic & Human Development Committee (CEHD)  
Energy & Environment Committee (EEC)  
Transportation Committee (TC)  
Regional Council (RC)  

From: Annaleigh Ekman, Assistant Planner  
(213) 630-1427, ekman@scag.ca.gov

Subject: Initial Findings for Connect SoCal CEQA Addendum No. 3 to Programmatic Environmental Impact Report (State Clearinghouse #2019011061)

RECOMMENDED ACTION:  
Receive and File

STRATEGIC PLAN:  
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:  
Since approval of the 2020-2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (2020 RTP/SCS or Connect SoCal) Amendment #1 and certification of the Program Environmental Impact Report (State Clearinghouse #2019011061) (PEIR) by the SCAG Regional Council (RC) and Addendums #1 and #2, SCAG has received requests from several county transportation commissions to amend Connect SoCal to reflect additions or changes to project scopes, costs, and/or schedule for a number of transportation projects, as well as the addition of some new projects. Pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), SCAG staff has prepared Draft Addendum No. 3 to the PEIR, which analyzes the changes documented in the Connect SoCal Amendment No. 2 to the 2020 RTP/SCS (Connect SoCal Amendment No. 2 or Amendment No. 2). SCAG staff finds that the proposed changes resulting from Amendment No. 2 would not result in a substantial change to the region-wide impacts when compared to the certified PEIR with Addendum No. 1 and Addendum No. 2. SCAG staff also finds that the projects identified in Connect SoCal Amendment No. 2 are programmatically consistent with the analysis, mitigation measures, and Findings of Fact contained in the previously certified PEIR and Addendum No. 1 and Addendum No. 2.

An informational copy of draft Addendum No. 3 to the PEIR is attached to this staff report. This staff report and draft addendum is for informational purposes only. Staff will return to the EEC for
approval of the final Addendum No. 3 to the PEIR on September 1, 2022 and to SCAG’s Regional Council for certification on October 6, 2022.

BACKGROUND:
At its May 7, 2020 meeting, the RC adopted Connect SoCal for purposes of federal transportation conformity only and certified the associated Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR). At its September 3, 2020 meeting, the RC adopted Connect SoCal and certified the associated PEIR Addendum No. 1. On October 30, 2020, Connect SoCal was certified by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) for compliance with Senate Bill 375, and on June 5, 2020 by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Federal Transit Administration (FTA) for compliance with the Federal Clean Air Act (transportation conformity). At its November 4, 2021 meeting, the RC adopted Resolution No. 21-637-1 to adopt Addendum No. 2 to the PEIR in association with Connect SoCal Amendment No. 1. Since that time, SCAG staff received requests from several county transportation commissions (CTCs) to amend Connect SoCal to reflect additions or changes to project scopes, costs, and/or schedule for a number of critical transportation projects that are ready to move forward towards the implementation phase.

Connect SoCal Amendment No. 2 consists of 102 project changes, including 14 new and 88 modified projects. All 102 project changes are for financially constrained RTP/SCS projects, meaning there are no project changes to financially unconstrained RTP/SCS projects. Almost all the project changes, 98 out of 102, involve short-term RTP projects. Among the 102 project changes, most of them are modifications to existing projects, including revised project descriptions, schedules, and/or total costs. The 14 new projects include primarily Transportation System Management/Transportation Demand Management projects and minor arterial widenings providing benefits such as improving efficiency of existing systems and reducing congestion. These new projects involve new costs and modeling changes for projects that were previously not included in Connect SoCal Amendment #1. No projects are removed due to project cancellation or duplicate entries. Of the 102 project changes in Amendment #2, 3 of the projects are within Imperial County, 37 of the projects are within Los Angeles County, 4 of the projects are within Orange County, 48 of the projects are within Riverside County, 8 of the projects are within San Bernardino County, 2 of the projects are within Ventura County, and none of the projects spread across multiple counties. A complete list of the project changes is available in Amendment #2.

BASIS FOR A PEIR ADDENDUM:
When an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) has been certified and the project is modified or otherwise changed after certification, additional review may be necessary pursuant to the CEQA. The key considerations for determining the need and appropriate type of additional CEQA review are outlined in Section 21166 of the Public Resources Code and CEQA Guidelines Sections 15162, 15163 and 15164. In general, an addendum is the appropriate form of environmental documentation when there are not substantial changes to the project or new information that
would require major revisions to the EIR. Substantial changes are defined as those which “will require major revisions of the previous EIR...due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.” An addendum is not required to be circulated for public review.

PRELIMINARY PROGRAMMATIC ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT:
SCAG staff has conducted a programmatic environmental assessment of the changes to the Connect SoCal Project List documented in Amendment No. 2 pursuant to CEQA. The contents of Draft Addendum No. 3 are as follows:

- **Chapter 1.0, Introduction** describes the purpose and scope of this document and the basis for the addendum. The introduction includes applicable statutory sections of the Public Resources Code and Guidelines.
- **Chapter 2.0, Project Description** summarizes the changes to the Connect SoCal Project List.
- **Chapter 3.0, Environmental Analysis** discusses the extent to which the changes to the Connect SoCal Project List would have effects on the environment as compared to those already identified in the PEIR.
- **Chapter 4.0, Comparison of Alternatives** discusses the extent to which the changes to the Connect SoCal Project List would have effects on the project alternatives previously considered in the certified PEIR including the No Project Alternative; Existing Plans-Local Input Alternative; and Intensified Land Use Alternative.
- **Chapter 5.0, Other CEQA Considerations** discusses the extent to which the changes to the Connect SoCal Project List would have effects on the other CEQA considerations previously considered in the certified PEIR, including an assessment of growth inducing impacts, programmatic level unavoidable impacts, and irreversible impacts.
- **Chapter 6.0, Findings** describes the findings of the Addendum.

Summary of Findings:
Although the new projects identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment No. 2 were not identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR, SCAG has assessed these additional projects at the programmatic level and finds that they are consistent with the scope, goals, and policies contained in the Connect SoCal and with the analysis and conclusions presented in the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR with Addendum No. 1 and Addendum No. 2. Additionally, modeling results indicate that modifications to the Project List resulted in an overall difference of less than one percent. See Table 1, below, for a summary of the impacts analyzed in draft Addendum No. 3.

**TABLE 1: SUMMARY OF IMPACTS FROM CONNECT SOCAL AMENDMENT NO. 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Compared to the Certified Connect SoCal PEIR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aesthetics</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Impact Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture and Forestry Resources</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Quality</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological Resources</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Resources</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geology and Soils</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenhouse Gas Emissions</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazards and Hazardous Materials</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrology and Water Quality</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use and Planning</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral Resources</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population, Housing, and Employment</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Services</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks and Recreation</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation, Traffic, and Safety</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tribal Cultural Resources</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities and Service Systems</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildfire</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative Impacts</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison of Alternatives</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other CEQA Considerations</td>
<td>Same; no new impacts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCAG staff has determined that the changes and additions identified above with respect to Amendment No. 2 would result in impacts that would fall within the range of impacts already identified in the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR, PEIR Addendum No. 1, and PEIR Addendum No. 2. Therefore, as reflected in Addendum No. 3 no substantial physical impacts to the environment beyond those already anticipated and documented in the Connect SoCal PEIR are anticipated to result from the changes and additions identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment No. 2. Further, each project will be fully assessed at the project-level by the implementing agency in
accordance with CEQA, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and all applicable regulations. No changes to the mitigation measures or alternatives contained in the Connect SoCal PEIR are necessary or proposed. An informational copy of draft Addendum No. 3 to the PEIR is attached to this staff report.

CONCLUSION:
Analysis indicates that the projects identified in Connect SoCal Amendment No. 2 are programmatically consistent with the analysis, mitigation measures, and Findings of Fact contained in the certified PEIR with Addendum No. 1 and Addendum No. 2 and that adoption of the proposed modifications would not result in either new significant environmental impacts or substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant impacts in the certified PEIR and Addendum No. 1 and Addendum No. 2. Therefore, it is determined that a subsequent or supplemental EIR is not required and that Addendum No. 3 to the PEIR fulfills the CEQA requirements for Connect SoCal Amendment No. 2.

NEXT STEPS:
Staff will return to the EEC for its approval of the final Addendum No. 3 to the PEIR on September 1, 2022 and to SCAG’s Regional Council for certification on October 6, 2022.

FISCAL IMPACT:
Work associated with this item is included in the current Fiscal Year 2022/23 Overall Work Program (22-020.0161.04: Environmental Compliance, Coordination & Outreach).

ATTACHMENT(S):
1. Draft Addendum #3 PEIR
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DRAFT ADDENDUM #3

TO THE
PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
STATE CLEARINGHOUSE #2019011061

JULY 7, 2022

scag.ca.gov/connect-socal
scag.ca.gov/peir
1.0 INTRODUCTION

Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) proposes to amend the 2020-2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (“RTP/SCS,” “Connect SoCal” or “Plan”). The RTP is a long-range vision for regional transportation investments. Using growth forecasts and economic trends, the RTP considers the role of transportation relative to economic factors, environmental issues and quality-of-life goals, and provides an opportunity to identify transportation strategies today that address mobility needs for the future. The RTP is updated every four years to reflect changes in economic trends, state and federal requirements, progress made on projects, and adjustments for population and jobs. The SCS, pursuant to Senate Bill (SB) 375, integrates land use, transportation strategies, and transportation investments within the Plan.

The 2020 Connect SoCal Project List (hereafter referred to as “Project List”) contains thousands of individual transportation projects that aim to improve the region's mobility and air quality, and revitalize the economy and includes, but is not limited to, highway improvements such as mixed flow lanes, interchanges, ramps, high occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes, toll lanes, and arterials; transit improvements such as bus, bus rapid transit and various rail upgrades; high speed regional transport; and goods movement strategies. Although the Connect SoCal has a long-term time horizon under which projects are planned and proposed to be implemented, federal and state mandates ensure that the Plan is both flexible and responsive in the near term. Therefore, Connect SoCal is regarded as both a long-term regional transportation blueprint and as a dynamic planning tool subject to ongoing refinement and modification.

As the Lead Agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA, Cal. Pub. Res. Code Section 21000 et seq.), SCAG prepared the Final Connect SoCal Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) for the Connect SoCal Plan to
evaluate the potential environmental impacts associated with implementation of Connect SoCal and to identify practical and feasible mitigation measures.

The Connect SoCal PEIR focuses on a region-wide assessment of existing conditions and potential impacts as well as broad policy alternatives and program-wide mitigation measures (CEQA Guidelines Section 15168(b)(4)). Pursuant to Section 15152 of the CEQA Guidelines, subsequent environmental analyses for separate, but related, future projects may tier off the analysis contained in the Connect SoCal PEIR. The CEQA Guidelines do not require a Program EIR to specifically list all subsequent activities that may be within its scope. For large scale planning approvals (such as the RTP/SCS), where site-specific EIRs or negative declarations will subsequently be prepared for specific projects broadly identified within a Program EIR, the site-specific analysis can be deferred until the project level environmental document is prepared (Sections 15168 and 15152), provided deferral does not prevent adequate identification of significant effects of the planning approval at hand.

The Connect SoCal PEIR was certified on May 7, 2020 by the Regional Council (SCH No. 2019011061). SCAG prepared the Connect SoCal PEIR Addendum #1 (PEIR Addendum #1) to address technical refinements to the growth forecast in relation to entitlements and to address two comment letters from the Center of Biological Diversity which were received after the public comment period on May 1, 2020 and May 6, 2020. Upon evaluation, SCAG found that technical refinements1 resulted in minimal impacts to Connect SoCal’s performance results and the Plan would continue to achieve federal air quality conformity and meet the State's per-capita GHG reduction targets for 2020 and 2035. The Connect SoCal PEIR Addendum #1 was approved by the SCAG Regional Council on September 3, 2020, along with Connect SoCal (SCH No. 2019011061).

After the adoption of Connect SoCal, SCAG received requests from several county transportation commissions to amend the Plan to reflect changes to project scopes, costs, and/or schedule for a number of transportation projects, as well as the addition of some new transportation projects contained therein herein referred to as “Connect SoCal Amendment #1”). As such, SCAG prepared Connect SoCal PEIR Addendum #2 (herein referred to as “PEIR Addendum #2”) to assess potential environmental impacts of the proposed updates and revisions to the Project List included in Connect SoCal Amendment #1. Connect SoCal PEIR Addendum #2 was approved by the SCAG Regional Council on November 4, 2021, along with Connect SoCal Amendment #1.

Since the adoption of Connect SoCal Amendment #1, several county transportation commissions have requested to further amend the Plan to reflect changes to project scopes, costs, and/or schedule for a number of transportation projects, as well as the addition of some new transportation projects contained therein (proposed Amendment #2 to Connect SoCal, referred to herein as “Connect SoCal Amendment #2”). Therefore, this PEIR Addendum #3 has been prepared by SCAG to assess potential environmental impacts of the proposed updates and revisions to the Project List included in Connect SoCal Amendment #2. This document is prepared as an addendum to the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR and PEIR Addendums #1 and #2.

As described in more detail below, an addendum is appropriate because the modifications to the Project List would not result in either new significant environmental effects or substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects and that the modifications would be consistent with the analysis, mitigation measures, alternatives, and Findings of Fact contained in the Connect SoCal PEIR and PEIR Addendums #1 and #2. Therefore, a Subsequent or Supplemental PEIR is not required and this addendum to the Connect SoCal PEIR is sufficient.

In summary, PEIR Addendum #3 serves as an informational document to inform decision-makers and the public of the potential environmental impacts of Connect SoCal Amendment #2 by analyzing the projects and programs on a broad regional scale, not at a site-specific level of analysis. This programmatic analysis shows that Connect SoCal Amendment #2 would not result in either new significant environmental effects or substantial increase in the severity of previously identified effects.

1 For a summary of model rerun results and more information regarding Plan refinements for Addendum #1, please refer to the September 3, 2020, Regional Council staff report entitled: Final Connect SoCal Technical Refinements.

2 It is important to note that when the Connect SoCal PEIR is referenced in the environmental analysis of this document, it also includes all revisions that were part of the Connect SoCal PEIR Addendums #1 and #2.
significant effects. Site specific analysis will occur as each project is defined and goes through individual project-level environmental review.

1.1 BASIS FOR THE ADDENDUM

When an EIR has been certified and the project is modified or otherwise changed after certification, additional CEQA review may be necessary. The key considerations in determining the need for the appropriate type of additional CEQA review are outlined in Section 21166 of the Public Resources Code (CEQA) and CEQA Guidelines Sections 15162, 15163 and 15164.

Specifically, CEQA Guidelines Section 15162(a) provides that a Subsequent EIR is not required unless the following occurs:

1. Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects;

2. Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects;

3. New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence, at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete, shows any of the following:
   a. The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR;
   b. Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR;
   c. Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or
   d. Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.

An Addendum to an EIR may be prepared by the Lead Agency that prepared the original EIR if some changes or additions are necessary, but none of the conditions have occurred requiring preparation of a Subsequent EIR (Section 15164(a)). An Addendum must include a brief explanation of the agency’s decision not to prepare a Subsequent EIR and be supported by substantial evidence in the record as a whole (Section 15164(e)). The Addendum to the EIR need not be circulated for public review but it may be included in or attached to the Final EIR (Section 15164(c)). The decision-making body must consider the Addendum to the EIR prior to making a decision on the project (15164(d)).

An addendum to the Connect SoCal PEIR is appropriate to address the proposed changes in the Connect SoCal Plan because the proposed updates and revisions do not meet the conditions of Section 15162(a) for preparation of a subsequent EIR. Neither the proposed new projects or changes to existing projects would result in 1) substantial changes to Connect SoCal which will require major revisions of the Connect SoCal PEIR; 2) substantial changes to the circumstances under which the Connect SoCal is being undertaken which will require major revisions in the Connect SoCal PEIR; or 3) new information of substantial importance showing significant effects not previously examined.

While the proposed changes to the Project List documented in Connect SoCal Amendment #2 may arguably represent “new information of substantial importance” at the local project-level, these changes are not substantial at the regional program-level as analyzed in the Connect SoCal PEIR. More specifically, the proposed changes to the Project List documented in Amendment #2 would not result in one or more significant effects (at the regional level) not discussed in the Connect SoCal PEIR, nor result in a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects disclosed in the Connect SoCal PEIR. Moreover, no changes to the mitigation measures or alternatives contained in the Connect SoCal PEIR are necessary or being proposed that could trigger additional review regarding such measures. Furthermore, as discussed in the Connect SoCal PEIR, the level of detail for individual projects on the Project List is generally insufficient to be able...
to analyze local effects. Such analysis is more appropriately undertaken in project-specific environmental documents prepared by the individual CEQA lead agencies proposing each project.

SCAG has assessed potential environmental effects of the proposed changes to the Project List, contained in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, at the regional program-level, and finds that the additional and modified projects contained in PEIR Addendum #3 are consistent with the region-wide environmental impacts analysis, mitigation measures, alternatives, and Findings of Fact discussed in the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR and PEIR Addendums #1 and #2, and do not result in any of the conditions described in CEQA Guidelines Section 15162(a)(1)(2)(3). For these reasons, SCAG has elected to prepare an addendum to the Connect SoCal PEIR rather than a Subsequent or Supplemental EIR, and this PEIR Addendum #3 is prepared in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15164.

1.2 PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THE ADDENDUM TO THE PEIR

SCAG has prepared this Addendum #3 to the Connect SoCal PEIR to demonstrate that the proposed changes to the Connect SoCal Project List, contained in Connect SoCal Amendment #2, satisfies the requirements contained in Section 15164 of the CEQA Guidelines for the use of an Addendum to an EIR. The proposed changes to the Project List do not require the preparation of a Subsequent or Supplemental EIR pursuant to Sections 15162 and 15163, respectively, of the CEQA Guidelines due to the absence of new or substantially more adverse significant impacts than those analyzed in the certified EIR.

Addendum #3 to the Connect SoCal PEIR neither controls nor determines the ultimate decision for approval for Connect SoCal Amendment #2 and the proposed changes to the Project List contained therein. The information presented in this Addendum #3 to the Connect SoCal PEIR will be considered by SCAG’s decision-making body, the Regional Council, prior to deciding on the Connect SoCal Amendment #2.

2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A major component of Connect SoCal is the Project List, which includes thousands of individual transportation projects and programs that aim to improve the region’s mobility and air quality, and to revitalize our economy. More specifically, the Connect SoCal includes approximately 2,500 projects with completion dates spread over a 25 year time period (through 2045).

As part of the RTP/SCS Connect SoCal process, SCAG solicited input from the region’s six County Transportation Commissions (CTCs) regarding updates to their individual project lists. The types of changes reflected in the updated Project List include:

- Project is new and not currently included in the Project List;
- Connect SoCal Revisions in the Project List include:
  - Revised description;
  - Revised schedule; and/or
  - Change in total cost;
- Project is a duplicate and needs to be removed or combined with another project in the Project List;
- Project is no longer being pursued and the CTC has requested its removal from the Project List;

Based on input received, Amendment #2 consists of 102 project changes, including 14 new and 88 modified projects. All 102 project changes are for financially constrained RTP/SCS projects, meaning there are no project changes to financially unconstrained RTP/SCS projects. Almost all the project changes, 98 out of 102, involve short-term RTP projects. Among the 102 project changes, most of them are modifications to existing projects, including revised project descriptions, schedules, and/or total costs. The 14 new projects include primarily Transportation System Management/Transportation Demand Management projects and minor arterial widenings providing benefits such as improving efficiency of existing systems and reducing congestion. These new projects involve new costs and modeling changes for projects that were previously not included in Connect SoCal Amendment #1. No projects are removed due to project cancellation or duplicate entries.
Of the 102 project changes in Amendment #2, 3 of the projects are within Imperial County, 37 of the projects are within Los Angeles County, 4 of the projects are within Orange County, 48 of the projects are within Riverside County, 8 of the projects are within San Bernardino County, 2 of the projects are within Ventura County, and none of the projects spread across multiple counties. A complete list of the project modifications is available in Amendment #2.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS

The changes described above to the Project List identified in Connect SoCal Amendment #2 would not result in a substantial change to the region-wide impacts programmatically analyzed in the Connect SoCal PEIR. The Connect SoCal PEIR broadly identifies several region-wide significant impacts that would result from the numerous transportation policies and projects encompassed by Connect SoCal.

The Connect SoCal PEIR presents analysis at the programmatic level of various types of projects, including both modifications to the existing system as well as new systems such as new highway and transit facilities, goods movement roadway facilities, rail corridors, flyovers, interchanges, and High-Speed Rail.

Although the new projects identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2 were not identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR, SCAG has assessed these additional projects at the programmatic level and finds that they are consistent with the scope, goals, and policies contained in the Connect SoCal and with the analysis and conclusions presented in the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR. Modeling results indicate that modifications to the Project List resulted in an overall difference of less than one percent. Further, each project will be fully assessed at the project-level by the implementing agency in accordance with CEQA, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and all applicable regulations.

No changes to the mitigation measures or alternatives contained in the Connect SoCal PEIR are necessary or proposed. SCAG has determined that the changes and additions identified above would result in impacts that would fall within the range of impacts already identified in the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR and PEIR Addendums #1 and #2. Therefore, no substantial physical impacts to the environment beyond those already anticipated and documented in the Connect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 3-1 Summary of Impacts from Amendment #2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aesthetics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture and Forestry Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geology and Soils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenhouse Gas Emissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazards and Hazardous Materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrology and Water Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use and Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population, Housing, and Employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks and Recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation, Traffic, and Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tribal Cultural Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities and Service Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildfire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative Impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison of Alternatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other CEQA Considerations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SoCal PEIR are anticipated to result from the changes and additions identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2.

The environmental analysis provided in this Addendum #3 describes the information that was considered in evaluating the questions contained in the Environmental Checklist of the State CEQA Guidelines, Appendix G, consistent with the Connect SoCal PEIR. Potential region-wide environmental impacts from the proposed project changes, documented in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, as compared to those already identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR are summarized in TABLE 3-1, Summary of Impacts from Amendment #2.

3.1 AESTHETICS

The proposed changes to the Project List, identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, are not expected to result in any new or a substantial increase in the severity of significant impacts to aesthetics beyond those already described in the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR and PEIR Addendums #1 and #2. The Connect SoCal PEIR identified potential significant impacts with respect to substantial adverse effects on a scenic vista, scenic resources, the existing visual character or quality of public views, and creating a new source of substantial light affecting day or nighttime views. Incorporation of mitigation measures identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR would alleviate significant impacts associated with aesthetics (see Connect SoCal PEIR pp. 3.1-26 – 3.1-42). The previous addendums to the Connect SoCal PEIR determined that changes to Connect SoCal would not result in new or substantially increased impacts with respect to aesthetics. Similarly, aesthetic impacts from the proposed projects included in this Addendum #3 would be expected to fall within the range of impacts previously identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR and addendums.

As noted in the PEIR, detailed project-level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the certified Connect SoCal PEIR Aesthetics Section and previous addendums, adequately addresses the range of aesthetic impacts that could result from Connect SoCal Amendment #2 at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List, contained in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, would not result in any new significant impacts to aesthetics, or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts to aesthetics beyond those programmatically addressed in the Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums.

3.2 AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES

The proposed changes to the Project List, identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, are not expected to result in any new or a substantial increase in the severity of significant impacts to agriculture and forestry resources beyond those already described in the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR and PEIR Addendums #1 and #2. The Connect SoCal PEIR identified potential significant impacts with respect to converting Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland) to non-agricultural use; conflicting with existing zoning for agricultural use, a Williamson Act contract, forest land or timberland zoned Timberland Production; losing or converting forest land to non-forest use; and changing the existing environment resulting in conversion of Farmland to non-agricultural use or forest land to non-forest use. Incorporation of mitigation measures identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR would alleviate significant impacts associated with agricultural and forestry resources (see Connect SoCal PEIR pp. 3.2-21 – 3.1-33). The previous addendums to the Connect SoCal PEIR determined that changes to Connect SoCal would not result in new or substantially increased impacts with respect to agriculture and forestry resources. Similarly, agriculture and forestry resource impacts from the proposed projects included in this Addendum #3 would be expected to fall within the range of impacts previously identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR and addendums.

As noted in the PEIR, detailed project-level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the Connect SoCal PEIR Agriculture and Forestry Resources Section and previous addendums adequately addresses the range of agricultural and forestry impacts that could result from Connect SoCal Amendment #2 at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List, contained in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, would not result in any new significant impacts to agriculture and forestry resources, or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts to agriculture and forestry resources beyond those programmatically addressed in the Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums.
3.3 AIR QUALITY

The proposed changes to the Project List, identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, are not expected to result in any new or a substantial increase in the severity of significant impacts to air quality beyond those already identified in the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR and PEIR Addendums #1 and #2. The Connect SoCal PEIR identified that implementation of the Connect SoCal would result in less than significant impacts with respect to applicable air quality plans and other emissions, such as odors. However, the PEIR identified potential significant impacts with respect to air quality standards violations; cumulative net increase of criteria pollutants for which the region is non-attainment under federal or state ambient air quality standards; and exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. Incorporation of mitigation measures identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR would alleviate significant impacts associated with air quality (see Connect SoCal PEIR pp. 3.3-51 – 3.3-88). The previous addendums to the Connect SoCal PEIR determined that changes to Connect SoCal would not result in new or substantially increased impacts with respect to air quality.

As described in the Transportation Conformity Section of the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, the Plan would continue to meet the regional emissions and other tests set forth by the federal Transportation Conformity regulations, demonstrating the integrity of the State Implementation Plans prepared pursuant to the federal Clean Air Act for the non-attainment and maintenance areas in the SCAG region.

As shown in Table 3-2, On-Road Mobile-source Criteria Pollutant Emission By County - (2045) vs. Existing Conditions (2019) - Amendment #2, the Plan conditions (2045) and existing conditions (base year 2019) of the criteria pollutant emissions for the six counties in the SCAG region remain similar to what was analyzed for Connect SoCal with a slightly greater reduction in emissions with the proposed changes to the Project List identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2. Therefore, no changes to analyses and air quality findings previously discussed in the certified Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums would occur.

As noted in the PEIR, detailed project-level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR Air Quality Section and previous addendums adequately addresses the range of air quality impacts that could result from Connect SoCal Amendment #2 at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List, contained in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, would not result in any new significant air quality impacts or a substantial increase in the severity of air quality impacts beyond those programmatically addressed in the Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums.

3.4 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

The proposed changes to the Project List, identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, are not expected to result in any new or a substantial increase in the severity of significant impacts to biological resources beyond those already identified in the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR and PEIR Addendums #1 and #2. The Connect SoCal PEIR identified potential significant impacts with respect to species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status; riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community; State or Federally Protected Wetlands; the movement of native resident, migratory fish, wildlife species, corridors, or nursery sites; and local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources or approved habitat conservation plans. Incorporation of mitigation measures identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR would alleviate significant impacts associated with biological resources (see Connect SoCal PEIR pp. 3.4-61 – 3.4-102). The previous addendums to the Connect SoCal PEIR determined that changes to Connect SoCal would not result in new or substantially increased impacts with respect to biological resources. Similarly, biological resource impacts from the proposed projects included in this Addendum #3 would be expected to fall within the range of impacts previously identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR and addendums.

As noted in the PEIR, detailed project-level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by each implementing agency for each individual project.

The analysis in the certified Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums, adequately addresses the range of impacts that could result from Connect SoCal Amendment #2 at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List, contained in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, would not result in any new significant impacts to biological resources, or a substantial increase...
### TABLE 3-2  On-Road Mobile-Source Criteria Air Pollutant Emissions by County – Existing Condition (2019) vs Plan (2045) – Amendment #2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>(Tons/Day)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SUMMER</td>
<td>ANNUAL</td>
<td>SUMMER</td>
<td>ANNUAL</td>
<td>WINTER</td>
<td>WINTER</td>
<td>AnNUAL</td>
<td>WINTER</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROG</td>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>PM2.5</td>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>ROG</td>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>PM2.5</td>
<td>SOx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperial</td>
<td>Existing</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difference (Amendment #2)</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Previous Difference (PEIR)*</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Existing</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difference (Amendment #2)</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>-55</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>-261</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Previous Difference (PEIR)*</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>-55</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>-59</td>
<td>-251</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>Existing</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difference (Amendment #2)</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>-68</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Previous Difference (PEIR)*</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>-65</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>Existing</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difference (Amendment #2)</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-49</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Previous Difference (PEIR)*</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-47</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>Existing</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difference (Amendment #2)</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Previous Difference (PEIR)*</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-57</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura</td>
<td>Existing</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difference (Amendment #2)</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Previous Difference (PEIR)*</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCE:** SCAG Transportation Modeling, 2020, 2021, and 2022. NOTE: Calculations may be rounded.

* PEIR calculations include the original Final PEIR and the PEIR Addendums #1 and #2
in the severity of impacts to biological resources beyond those programmatically addressed in the Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums.

### 3.5 CULTURAL RESOURCES

The proposed changes to the Project List, identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, are not expected to result in any new or a substantial increase in the severity of significant impacts to cultural resources beyond those already identified in the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR and PEIR Addendums #1 and #2. The Connect SoCal PEIR identified potential significant impacts with respect to historical or archeological resources and the disturbance of human remains. Incorporation of mitigation measures identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR would alleviate significant impacts associated with cultural resources (see Connect SoCal PEIR pp. 3.5-33 – 3.5-42). The previous addendums to the Connect SoCal PEIR determined that changes to Connect SoCal would not result in new or substantially increased impacts with respect to cultural resources. Similarly, cultural resource impacts from the proposed projects included in this Addendum #3 would be expected to fall within the range of impacts previously identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR and addendums.

As noted in the PEIR, detailed project-level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the certified Connect SoCal PEIR Cultural Resources Section and previous addendums, adequately addresses the range of cultural resource impacts that could result from Connect SoCal Amendment #2 at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List, contained in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, would not result in any new significant impacts to cultural resources, or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts to cultural resources beyond those programmatically addressed in the Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums.

### 3.6 ENERGY

The proposed changes to the Project List, identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, are not expected to result in any new or a substantial increase in the severity of significant impacts to energy beyond those already described in the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR and PEIR Addendums #1 and #2. The Connect SoCal PEIR identified less than significant impacts with respect to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources and interference with state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency (see Connect SoCal PEIR pp. 3.6-32 – 3.5-43). The previous addendums to the Connect SoCal PEIR determined that changes to Connect SoCal would not result in new or substantially increased impacts with respect to energy. Similarly, energy impacts from the proposed projects included in this Addendum #3 would be expected to fall within the range of impacts previously identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR and addendums.

As shown in **TABLE 3-3**, SCAG Region Estimated Transportation Fuel Consumption – Amendment #2, below, the estimated transportation fuel consumption for the SCAG region would remain similar to what was analyzed for the Connect SoCal, with a slight reduction to the estimated daily fuel consumption. The 20.3 percentage reduction of fuel used compared to existing conditions (base year 2019) would remain the same. As such, no new or substantial impacts would occur when

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Fuel Consumed</th>
<th>Percentage under Existing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Billion Gallons per Year</td>
<td>Thousand Gallons per Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>22,876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2045 Baseline</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>19,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendment #2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>18,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEIR*</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>18,239</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCE:** SCAG Transportation Modeling, 2020, 2021, and 2022. NOTE: Calculations may be rounded.

* PEIR calculations include the original Final PEIR and the PEIR Addendums #1 and #2
3.7 GEOLOGY AND SOILS

The proposed changes to the Project List, identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, are not expected to result in any new or a substantial increase in the severity of significant impacts to geology and soils beyond those already identified in the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR and PEIR Addendums #1 and #2. The Connect SoCal PEIR identified less than significant impacts with respect to the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: rupture of a known earthquake fault, seismic ground shaking or ground failure (including liquefaction and landslides); geologic units or soils that are unstable or expansive; or soils incapable of supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems. The Connect SoCal PEIR identified potential significant impacts with respect to destruction of a unique paleontological resource or site geologic feature. Incorporation of mitigation measures identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR would alleviate significant impacts associated with geology and soils (see Connect SoCal PEIR pp. 3.7-31 – 3.7-51). The previous addendums to the Connect SoCal PEIR determined that changes to Connect SoCal would not result in new or substantially increased impacts with respect to geology and soils. Similarly, geology and soil impacts from the proposed projects included in this Addendum #3 would be expected to fall within the range of impacts previously identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR and addendums.

As noted in the PEIR, detailed project-level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.
### TABLE 3-4 Greenhouse Gas Emissions from On-Road Vehicles in the SCAG Region (Million Metric Tons Per Year) – Amendment #2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>On-Road Vehicles</th>
<th>2019 Based Year</th>
<th>2045 (Plan)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>CH₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light and Medium Duty Vehicles</td>
<td>59.46</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy Duty Trucks</td>
<td>15.47</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buses</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Road Vehicles (Subtotal) in CO₂</td>
<td>76.43</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Road Vehicles (Subtotal) in CO₂e*</td>
<td>76.43</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total GHG Emissions from on-road vehicles in CO₂ (Amendment #2)</strong></td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous Total GHG Emissions from on-road vehicles in CO₂e (PEIR)</strong> **</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CO₂ was converted to CO₂e based on the Global Warming Potential (GWP): [http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/background/gwp.htm](http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/background/gwp.htm)

**PEIR calculations include the original Final PEIR and the PEIR Addendums #1 and #2

### TABLE 3-5 Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Off-Road Vehicles in the SCAG Region (Million Metric Tons Per Year) – Amendment #2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Off-Road Vehicles</th>
<th>2019 Based Year</th>
<th>2045 (Plan)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>CH₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aviation</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean-going Vessel</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Transportation Sources (Subtotal) in CO₂</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Transportation Sources (Subtotal) in CO₂e*</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total GHG Emissions from off-road vehicles in CO₂ (Amendment #2)</strong></td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous Total GHG Emissions from off-road vehicles in CO₂e (PEIR)</strong> **</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CO₂ was converted to CO₂e based on the Global Warming Potential (GWP): [http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/background/gwp.htm](http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/background/gwp.htm)

**PEIR calculations include the original Final PEIR and the PEIR Addendums #1 and #2
Emissions from Off-Road Vehicles in the SCAG Region – Amendment #2.

Similar to Connect SoCal, Connect SoCal Amendment #2 would result in approximately 63.4 million metric tons per year CO$_2$e total GHG emissions from on-road vehicles and 10.1 million metric tons per year CO$_2$e from off-road vehicles in 2045, as shown in **TABLE 3-4** and **TABLE 3-5**, below. According to **TABLE 3-6**, Greenhouse Gas Emissions from On-Road and Off-Road Sources in the Transportation Sector in the SCAG Region – Amendment #2, Connect SoCal Amendment #2 would result in a 15 percent GHG emission reduction when compared to the 2019 baseline, which is a slight increase compared to the 14.9 percent estimated for Connect SoCal. Therefore, the proposed changes from the Connect SoCal Amendment #2 project list would result in similar GHG emissions from on-road and off-road vehicles.

SB 375 requires CARB to develop regional GHG emission reduction targets for cars and light-duty trucks for 2020 and 2035 (compared to 2005 emissions) for each of the state MPOs on a per capita basis. Each MPO is required to prepare an SCS as part of the RTP in order to meet these GHG emissions reduction targets by aligning transportation, land use, and housing strategies with respect to SB 375. For SCAG, the targets are to reduce per capita GHG emissions by 8 percent below 2005 levels by 2020 and 19 percent below 2005 levels by 2035. Determining the per capita CO$_2$ emissions requires modeling vehicle miles traveled (VMT) by passenger vehicles and light trucks that emit CO$_2$ and dividing the number by the total population.

According to **TABLE 3-7**, SB 375 Analysis – Amendment #2, per capita CO$_2$ emissions from cars and light duty trucks (only) from Connect SoCal Amendment #2 would remain at 21.3 pounds per day in 2020. Amendment #2 would result in no change to the Plan’s 8 percent decrease in per capita CO$_2$ emissions from 2005 to 2020 and would achieve the 8 percent emissions reduction target by 2020 for the region set by SB 375. By 2035, Addendum #3 projects 18.7 pounds per day for per capita CO$_2$ emissions from cars and light-duty trucks (only), which is the same as the projection in the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR with PEIR Addendums #1 and #2. Like the Plan, this represents a 19 percent decrease in per capita CO$_2$ emissions from 2005 to 2035. This 19 percent decrease would achieve the 19 percent emissions reduction target set by CARB for 2035. CARB has not set per capita GHG emission reduction targets for passenger vehicles for the Plan’s horizon year (2045). However, due to the projects and policies proposed by SCAG to reduce GHG emissions through transit improvements, traffic congestion management, emerging technology, and active transportation, the Plan’s GHG emission reduction trajectory is expected to meet more aggressive GHG emission reductions by 2045.

**TABLE 3-6** Greenhouse Gas Emissions from On-Road and Off-Road Sources in the Transportation Sector in the SCAG Region – Amendment #2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>2019 Based Year</th>
<th>2045 (Plan)**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total GHG Emissions from on-road vehicles in CO$_2$e*</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>63.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total GHG Emissions from other transportation sources in CO$_2$e</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Transportation Sector (On-Road and Off-Road Vehicles) in CO$_2$e</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>73.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amendment #2 vs. 2019 Base Year**

| Source                          | -15.0%          |

**PEIR** vs. 2019 Base Year

| Source                          | -14.9%          |


*CO$_2$ was converted to CO$_2$e based on the Global Warming Potential (GWP): http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/background/gwp.htm

** PEIR calculations include the original Final PEIR and the PEIR Addendums #1 and #2
Additionally, Connect SoCal Amendment #2 would not interfere with the reduction strategies provided in the SCS, including congestion pricing, mileage-based user fees, and co-working at strategic locations. By meeting the SB 375 targets for 2020 and 2035, implementation of Connect SoCal Amendment #2 would continue to achieve SB 375 per capita GHG reduction targets for the SCAG region.

Furthermore, Amendment #2 would result in the same GHG reduction trajectory as the original Plan and would not conflict with the State's long term GHG emission reduction goals.

As noted in the PEIR, detailed project-level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the certified Connect SoCal PEIR Greenhouse Gas Emissions Section and previous addendums, adequately addresses the range of GHG emission impacts that could result from Connect SoCal Amendment #2 at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List, contained in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, would not result in any new significant impacts to GHG emissions, or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts to GHG emissions beyond those programmatically addressed in the Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums.

### 3.9 HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

The proposed changes to the Project List, identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2 are not expected to result in any new or a substantial increase in the severity of significant impacts to hazards and hazardous materials beyond those already identified in the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR and PEIR Addendums #1 and #2. The Connect SoCal PEIR identified potential significant impacts with respect to the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials; reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials; emission or handling hazardous materials within one-quarter mile of a school; be located on a hazardous materials site pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5; result in a safety hazard or excessive noise for people residing or working within two miles of a public airport; interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan; or expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires.

Incorporation of mitigation measures identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR would alleviate significant impacts associated with hazards and hazardous materials (see Connect SoCal PEIR pp. 3.9-39 – 3.9-60). The previous addendums to the Connect SoCal PEIR determined that changes to Connect SoCal would not result in new or substantially increased impacts with respect to hazards and hazardous materials. Similarly, hazards and hazardous material impacts from the proposed projects included in this Addendum #3 would be expected to fall within the range of impacts previously identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR and addendums.

As noted in the PEIR, detailed project-level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the certified Connect SoCal PEIR Hazards and Hazardous Materials Section and previous addendums, adequately addresses the range of hazard impacts that could result from Connect SoCal Amendment #2 at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List, contained in the

### TABLE 3-7 SB 375 Analysis - Amendment #2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005 (Baseline)</th>
<th>2020 (Plan)</th>
<th>2035 (Plan)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resident population (per 1,000)</td>
<td>17,161</td>
<td>19,194</td>
<td>21,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ emissions (per 1,000 tons)</td>
<td>204.0*</td>
<td>204.5**</td>
<td>197.2***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per capita emissions (pounds/day)</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% difference from Amendment #2 (2020) to Baseline (2005)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-8%****</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% difference from Amendment #2 (2035) to Baseline (2005)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-19%****</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous % difference from Plan (2020) to Baseline (2005)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-8%****</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous % difference from Plan (2035) to Baseline (2005)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-19%****</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


* Based on EMFAC2007
** Based on EMFAC2014
*** Included off-model adjustments for 2035
**** Included EMFAC Adjustment
Connect SoCal Amendment #2, would not result in any new significant impacts to hazards and hazardous materials, or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts to hazards and hazardous materials beyond those programmatically addressed in the Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums.

### 3.10 HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY

The proposed changes to the Project List, identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, are not expected to result in any new or a substantial increase in the severity of significant impacts to hydrology and water quality beyond those already identified in the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR and PEIR Addendums #1 and #2. The Connect SoCal PEIR identified potential significant impacts with respect to water quality standards, waste discharge requirements, and groundwater quality; groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge; existing drainage patterns of the area; runoff water that would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or providing substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; risk of flood hazard, tsunami, or seiches; and with respect to physically dividing an established community and land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect. Incorporation of mitigation measures identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR would alleviate significant impacts associated with hydrology and water quality (see Connect SoCal PEIR pp. 3.10-52 – 3.10-72). The previous addendums to the Connect SoCal PEIR determined that changes to Connect SoCal would not result in new or substantially increased impacts with respect to hydrology and water quality. Similarly, hydrology and water quality impacts from the proposed projects included in this Addendum #3 would be expected to fall within the range of impacts previously identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR and addendums.

As noted in the PEIR, detailed project-level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the certified Connect SoCal PEIR Hydrology and Water Quality Section and previous addendums, adequately addresses the range of hydrology and water quality impacts that could result from Connect SoCal Amendment #2 at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List, contained in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, would not result in any new significant impacts to hydrology and water quality, or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts to hydrology and water quality beyond those programmatically addressed in the Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums.

### 3.11 LAND USE AND PLANNING

The proposed changes to the Project List, identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, are not expected to result in any new or a substantial increase in the severity of significant impacts to land use and planning beyond those already identified in the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR and PEIR Addendums #1 and #2. The Connect SoCal PEIR identified potential significant impacts with respect to land use planning, or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts to land use planning beyond those programmatically addressed in the Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums.

As noted in the PEIR, detailed project-level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the certified Connect SoCal PEIR Land Use and Planning Section and previous addendums, adequately addresses the range of impacts that could result from Connect SoCal Amendment #2 at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List, contained in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, would not result in any new significant impacts to land use and planning, or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts to land use and planning beyond those programmatically addressed in the Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums.

### 3.12 MINERAL RESOURCES

The proposed changes to the Project List, identified in the Connect SoCal
Amendment #2, are not expected to result in any new or a substantial increase in the severity of significant impacts to mineral resources beyond those already identified in the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR and PEIR Addendums #1 and #2. The Connect SoCal PEIR identified potential significant impacts with respect to the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state and the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan. Incorporation of mitigation measures identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR would alleviate significant impacts associated with mineral resources (see Connect SoCal PEIR pp. 3.12-8 – 3.12-13). The previous addendums to the Connect SoCal PEIR determined that changes to Connect SoCal would not result in new or substantially increased impacts with respect to mineral resources. Similarly, mineral resource impacts from the proposed projects included in this Addendum #3 would be expected to fall within the range of impacts previously identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR and addendums.

As noted in the PEIR, detailed project-level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the certified Connect SoCal PEIR Minerals Section and previous addendums, adequately addresses the range of mineral resource impacts that could result from Connect SoCal Amendment #2 at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List, contained in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, would not result in any new significant impacts to mineral resources, or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts to mineral resources beyond those programmatically addressed in the Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums.

3.13 NOISE

The proposed changes to the Project List, identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, are not expected to result in any new or a substantial increase in the severity of significant impacts to noise beyond those already identified in the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR and PEIR Addendums #1 and #2. The Connect SoCal PEIR identified potential significant impacts with respect to ambient noise levels, groundborne vibration or noise levels, and exposure to excessive noise levels near airports. Incorporation of mitigation measures identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR would alleviate significant impacts associated with noise impacts (see Connect SoCal PEIR pp. 3.13-33 – 3.13-51). The previous addendums to the Connect SoCal PEIR determined that changes to Connect SoCal would not result in new or substantially increased impacts with respect to noise. Similarly, noise impacts from the proposed projects included in this Addendum #3 would be expected to fall within the range of impacts previously identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR and addendums.

As noted in the PEIR, detailed project-level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the certified Connect SoCal PEIR Noise Section and previous addendums, adequately addresses the range of noise impacts that could result from Connect SoCal Amendment #2 at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List, contained in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, would not result in any new significant impacts to noise, or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts to noise beyond those programmatically addressed in the Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums.

3.14 POPULATION, HOUSING AND EMPLOYMENT

The proposed changes to the Project List, identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, are not expected to result in any new or a substantial increase in the severity of significant impacts to population, housing, and employment beyond those already identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR and Addendums #1 and #2. The Connect SoCal PEIR identified potential significant impacts with respect to unplanned population growth and displacement of substantial numbers of existing people or housing. Incorporation of mitigation measures identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR would alleviate significant impacts associated with population, housing, and employment (see Connect SoCal PEIR pp. 3.14-21 – 3.14-31). The previous addendums to the Connect SoCal PEIR determined that changes to Connect SoCal would not result in new or substantially increased impacts with respect to population, housing, and employment. Similarly, population, housing, and employment impacts from the proposed projects included in this Addendum #3 would be expected to fall within the range of impacts previously identified in the
Connect SoCal PEIR and addendums.

As noted in the PEIR, detailed project-level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the certified Connect SoCal PEIR Population, Housing, and Employment Section and previous addendums, adequately addresses the range of population, housing, and employment impacts that could result from Connect SoCal Amendment #2 at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List, contained in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, would not result in any new significant impacts to public services, or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts to public services beyond those programmatically addressed in the Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums.

3.16 RECREATION

The proposed changes to the Project List, identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, are not expected to result in any new or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts to recreation beyond those already identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR and PEIR Addendums #1 and #2. The Connect SoCal PEIR identified potential significant impacts with respect to existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities, park facilities, and service ratios. Incorporation of mitigation measures identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR would alleviate significant impacts associated with recreation (see Connect SoCal PEIR pp. 3.16.22 – 3.16.30). The previous addendums to the Connect SoCal PEIR determined that changes to Connect SoCal would not result in new or substantially increased impacts with respect to recreation. Similarly, recreation impacts from the proposed projects included in this Addendum #3 would be expected to fall within the range of impacts previously identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR and addendums.

As noted in the PEIR, detailed project-level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the certified Connect SoCal PEIR Recreation Section and previous addendums, adequately addresses the range of recreation impacts that could result from Connect SoCal Amendment #2 at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List, contained in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, would not result in any new significant impacts to recreation, or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts to recreation beyond those programmatically addressed in the Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums.

3.17 TRANSPORTATION, TRAFFIC, AND SAFETY

The proposed changes to the Project List, identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, are not expected to result in any new or a substantial increase in the severity of significant impacts to transportation, traffic, and security beyond
those already identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR and PEIR Addendums #1 and #2. The Connect SoCal PEIR utilized data from the Regional Travel Demand Model to present a regional analysis for the impacts of the Connect SoCal PEIR on transportation. The Connect SoCal PEIR identified potential significant impacts with respect to: programs, plans, ordinances or policies addressing the circulation system; CEQA Guidelines section 15064.3(b) including per capita Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT); hazards due to geometric design feature; inadequate emergency access; and emergency response or evacuation plans. Incorporation of mitigation measures identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR would alleviate significant impacts associated with transportation, traffic, and safety impacts (see Connect SoCal PEIR pp. 3.17-47 – 3.17-79). The previous addendums to the Connect SoCal PEIR determined that changes to Connect SoCal would not result in new or substantially increased impacts with respect to transportation, traffic, and safety. Similarly, transportation, traffic, and safety impacts from the proposed projects included in this Addendum #3 would be expected to fall within the range of impacts previously identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR and addendums.

As shown in TABLE 3-8 Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled in 2019 and 2045 – Amendment #2 and TABLE 3-9 VMT Per Capita by County – Amendment #2, Connect SoCal Amendment #2 would result in similar daily vehicle miles traveled and vehicle miles traveled per capita throughout the SCAG region as previously disclosed in the PEIR. TABLE 3-10 Total Daily Hours of Delay in 2019 and 2045 – Amendment #2 and TABLE 3-11 Percentage of PM Peak Period Work Trips Completed within 45 Minutes Amendment #2 indicate that there would be a slight decrease in total hours of delay in 2045 and in the percentage of work trips of less than 45 minutes as a result of the Project List changes identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2. TABLE 3-12 Percentage of Mode Share on Transit and Active Transportation – Amendment #2 indicates no change to the percentage of mode share on transit and active transportation would occur. As such, project changes are not expected to result in any new or substantial impacts when compared to the certified Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums. Therefore, no changes to analyses and transportation findings previously discussed in the certified Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums would occur.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>2019 Base Year</th>
<th>2045 No Project</th>
<th>2045 Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imperial</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>11,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>231,000</td>
<td>253,000</td>
<td>239,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>79,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td>83,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>61,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>77,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>63,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td>81,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCAG Total (Amendment #2)</strong></td>
<td><strong>460,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>536,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>511,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous SCAG Total (PEIR) *</td>
<td>460,000</td>
<td>536,000</td>
<td>511,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOURCE: SCAG Transportation Modeling, 2020 and 2021. NOTE: Numbers are rounded to nearest thousand.

* PEIR calculations include the original Final PEIR and the PEIR Addendums #1 and #2
### Table 3-9 VMT Per Capita by County - Amendment #2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Light/Medium Duty Vehicles</th>
<th>All Vehicles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperial</td>
<td>29.69</td>
<td>32.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>21.47</td>
<td>19.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>23.59</td>
<td>22.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>22.29</td>
<td>20.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>25.34</td>
<td>24.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura</td>
<td>21.30</td>
<td>19.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional (Amendment #2)</td>
<td>22.45</td>
<td>20.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional (PEIR) *</td>
<td>22.45</td>
<td>20.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOURCE: SCAG Transportation Modeling, 2020 and 2021. NOTE: Calculations may be rounded.
* PEIR calculations include the original Final PEIR and the PEIR Addendums #1 and #2

### Table 3-10 Total Daily Hours of Delay in 2019 and 2045 – Amendment #2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>2019 Base Year</th>
<th>2045 No Project</th>
<th>2045 Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imperial</td>
<td>9,529</td>
<td>38,571</td>
<td>26,355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>1,685,849</td>
<td>2,048,956</td>
<td>1,585,581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>438,551</td>
<td>546,434</td>
<td>392,216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>167,164</td>
<td>373,426</td>
<td>240,339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>151,356</td>
<td>320,519</td>
<td>199,118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura</td>
<td>54,696</td>
<td>76,854</td>
<td>42,858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional (Amendment #2)</td>
<td>2,507,144</td>
<td>3,404,759</td>
<td>2,486,467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional (PEIR) *</td>
<td>2,507,144</td>
<td>3,404,759</td>
<td>2,491,517</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOURCE: SCAG Transportation Modeling, 2020 and 2021. NOTE: Calculations may be rounded.
* PEIR calculations include the original Final PEIR and the PEIR Addendums #1 and #2
### TABLE 3-11  Percentage of PM Peak Period Work Trips Completed Within 45 Minutes – Amendment #2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>2019 Base Year</th>
<th>2045 No Project</th>
<th>2045 Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUTOS – SINGLE OCCUPANCY VEHICLES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperial</td>
<td>93.54%</td>
<td>91.72%</td>
<td>91.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>79.50%</td>
<td>80.06%</td>
<td>86.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>84.97%</td>
<td>86.08%</td>
<td>89.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>71.88%</td>
<td>73.97%</td>
<td>81.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>72.18%</td>
<td>74.67%</td>
<td>79.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura</td>
<td>81.04%</td>
<td>83.49%</td>
<td>86.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>79.14%</td>
<td>80.09%</td>
<td>85.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUTOS – HIGH OCCUPANCY VEHICLES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperial</td>
<td>94.93%</td>
<td>92.13%</td>
<td>90.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>79.09%</td>
<td>78.09%</td>
<td>83.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>85.89%</td>
<td>84.67%</td>
<td>88.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>71.00%</td>
<td>70.68%</td>
<td>79.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>73.76%</td>
<td>73.31%</td>
<td>79.86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura</td>
<td>83.70%</td>
<td>84.30%</td>
<td>88.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>79.45%</td>
<td>78.33%</td>
<td>83.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSIT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperial</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
<td>59.39%</td>
<td>63.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>43.62%</td>
<td>42.58%</td>
<td>44.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>60.03%</td>
<td>62.18%</td>
<td>59.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>69.74%</td>
<td>69.88%</td>
<td>63.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>67.06%</td>
<td>68.58%</td>
<td>62.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura</td>
<td>67.91%</td>
<td>63.13%</td>
<td>64.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region (Amendment #2)</td>
<td><strong>47.25%</strong></td>
<td><strong>46.68%</strong></td>
<td><strong>46.90%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region (PEIR) *</td>
<td>47.25%</td>
<td>46.68%</td>
<td>47.06%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCE:** SCAG Transportation Modeling, 2020 and 2021. **NOTE:** Calculations may be rounded.

* PEIR calculations include the original Final PEIR and the PEIR Addendums #1 and #2
As noted in the PEIR, detailed project-level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the certified Connect SoCal PEIR Transportation, Traffic, and Safety Section and previous addendums, adequately addresses the range of GHG emission impacts that could result from Connect SoCal Amendment #2 at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List, contained in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, would not result in any new significant impacts to transportation, or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts beyond those programmatically addressed in the Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums.

3.18 TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES

The proposed changes to the Project List, identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, are not expected to result in any new or a substantial increase in the severity of significant impacts to tribal resources beyond those already identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR and PEIR Addendums #1 and #2. The Connect SoCal PEIR identified potential significant impacts with respect to tribal cultural resources defined in Public Resources Code section 21074. SCAG met the requirements of AB 52 by performing the requisite tribal consultation as documented in Appendix 3.5 of the PEIR. Incorporation of mitigation measures identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR would alleviate significant impacts associated with tribal cultural resources (see Connect SoCal PEIR pp. 3.18-18 – 3.18-21). The previous addendums to the Connect SoCal PEIR determined that changes to Connect SoCal would not result in new or substantially increased impacts with respect to tribal cultural resources. Similarly, tribal cultural resource impacts from the proposed projects included in this Addendum #3 would be expected to fall within the range of impacts previously identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR and addendums.

As noted in the PEIR, detailed project-level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project.

The analysis in the certified Connect SoCal PEIR Tribal Cultural Resources Section and previous addendums, adequately addresses the range of tribal cultural resource impacts that could result from Connect SoCal Amendment #2 at the

### TABLE 3-12 Percentage of Mode Share on Transit and Active Transportation - Amendment #2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode Share</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2045 No Project</th>
<th>2045 Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walk</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (Amendment #2)</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Total (PEIR) *</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (Original Plan)</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCE:** SCAG Transportation Modeling, 2020 and 2021. **NOTE:** Calculations may be rounded.

* PEIR calculations include the original Final PEIR and the PEIR Addendums #1 and #2
program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List, contained in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, would not result in any new significant impacts, or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts to tribal cultural resources beyond those programmatically addressed in the Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums.

3.19 UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS

The proposed changes to the Project List, identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, are not expected to result in any new or a substantial increase in the severity of significant impacts to utilities and service systems beyond those already identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR and PEIR Addendums #1 and #2. The Connect SoCal PEIR identified potential significant impacts with respect to generating solid waste in excess of state or local standards or infrastructure capacity; nonattainment of solid waste reduction goals, or federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations; result in new or expanded wastewater treatment or storm drainage facilities or water facilities, which could cause significant environmental effects; and inadequate wastewater or water supply capacity. Incorporation of mitigation measures identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR would alleviate significant impacts associated with utilities and service systems (see Connect SoCal PEIR pp. 3.19.1-12 – 3.19.3-25). The previous addendums to the Connect SoCal PEIR determined that changes to Connect SoCal would not result in new or substantially increased impacts with respect to utilities and service systems. Similarly, utilities and service systems impacts from the proposed projects included in this Addendum #3 would be expected to fall within the range of impacts previously identified in the Connect SoCal PEIR and addendums.

As indicated by TABLE 3-14, 2045 Plan Lane Miles by County (PM Peak Network) - Amendment #2 minimal changes to lane miles would occur as a result of the proposed changes to the Project List identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2. These changes are minor and would not substantially increase impervious surfaces.

### TABLE 3-13 2045 Plan Lane Miles by County (PM Peak Network) - Amendment #2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Freeway (Mixed-Flow)</th>
<th>Toll*</th>
<th>Truck</th>
<th>Expressway / Parkway</th>
<th>Principal Arterial</th>
<th>Minor Arterial</th>
<th>Collector</th>
<th>Freeway (HOV)</th>
<th>Ramp</th>
<th>Total (All Facilities)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imperial</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>2,464</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>4,801</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8,467</td>
<td>9,066</td>
<td>6,957</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>31,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>1,424</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3,844</td>
<td>3,104</td>
<td>1,088</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>10,666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>1,872</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1,509</td>
<td>3,594</td>
<td>5,726</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>13,511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>2,604</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>2,075</td>
<td>4,665</td>
<td>6,796</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>17,217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>1,007</td>
<td>1,059</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>3,677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (Amendment #2)</td>
<td>11,685</td>
<td>1,467</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>17,071</td>
<td>22,030</td>
<td>24,090</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>2,198</td>
<td>80,354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Total (PEIR)*</td>
<td>11,684</td>
<td>1,467</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>17,066</td>
<td>22,033</td>
<td>24,086</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>2,197</td>
<td>80,346</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* PEIR calculations include the original Final PEIR and the PEIR Addendums #1 and #2

**SOURCE:** SCAG Transportation Modeling, 2020 and 2021. **NOTE:** Calculations may be rounded.
As noted in the PEIR, detailed project-level analysis, including project level mitigation measures, will be conducted by the implementing agency of each project. The analysis in the certified Connect SoCal PEIR Utilities and Service Systems Section and previous addendums, adequately addresses the range of utility impacts that could result from Connect SoCal Amendment #2 at the program level. Thus, incorporation of the proposed changes to the Project List, contained in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2, would not result in any new significant impacts, or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts to utilities and service systems beyond those programmatically addressed in the Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums.

3.21 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

The proposed changes to the Project List identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2 would not significantly change the scope of the discussion presented in the Cumulative Impacts Chapter of the Connect SoCal PEIR, which includes an assessment of programmatic level unavoidable cumulative impacts (see Connect SoCal PEIR pp. 3.21-1 – 3.21-14). Cumulative impacts from inclusion of the proposed changes to the Project List identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2 are reasonably covered by the cumulatively impacts previously discussed in the certified Connect SoCal PEIR.

At the programmatic level, any region-wide cumulative impacts from the proposed projects (as revised by the Connect SoCal Amendment #2) are expected to be approximately equivalent to those previously disclosed in the Connect SoCal PEIR. Overall, the proposed changes to the Project List presented in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2 are within the scope of the broad, programmatic-level region-wide impacts identified and disclosed in the Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums. Thus, the Connect SoCal Amendment #2 would not be expected to result in any new cumulative impacts that have not been analyzed in the previous Connect SoCal PEIR and addendums, or cumulative impacts that are considerably different from or inconsistent with those already analyzed in the previous Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums.

4.0 COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES

The proposed changes to the Project List identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2 would not significantly change the comparison of alternatives in the Connect SoCal PEIR. Potential impacts from the proposed changes to the Project List are anticipated to be within the scope of the programmatic-level...
6.0 FINDINGS

After completing a programmatic environmental assessment of the proposed changes described herein to the Project List and when compared to the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR and PEIR Addendums #1 and #2, SCAG finds that the proposed changes identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2 would not result in either new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of any previously identified significant effect. The proposed changes are not substantial changes on a regional level as those have already been adequately and appropriately analyzed in the Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums. The proposed changes to the Project List do not require revisions to the programmatic, region-wide analysis presented in the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR and addendums.

Further, SCAG finds that the proposed changes to the Project List identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2 does not require any new mitigation measures or alternatives previously unidentified in the Connect SoCal PEIR, or significantly affect mitigation measures or alternatives already disclosed in the Connect SoCal PEIR. As such, SCAG has assessed the proposed changes to the Project List included in Connect SoCal Amendment #2 at the programmatic level and finds that inclusion of the proposed changes would be within the range of, and consistent with the findings of impacts analysis, mitigation measures, and alternatives contained in the Connect SoCal PEIR, as well as the Findings of Fact and Statement of Overriding Considerations made in connection with the Connect SoCal. Therefore, a Subsequent or Supplemental EIR is not required, and SCAG concludes that this Addendum to the previously certified Connect SoCal PEIR fulfills the requirements of CEQA.

5.0 OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS

The proposed changes to the Project List identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2 would not significantly change the scope of the discussion presented in the Other CEQA Considerations Chapter of the Connect SoCal PEIR, which includes an assessment of growth inducing impacts, programmatic level unavoidable impacts, and irreversible impacts (see Connect SoCal PEIR pp. 5.0-1 – 5.0-12). Unavoidable and irreversible impacts from inclusion of the proposed changes to the Project List identified in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2 are reasonably covered by the unavoidable and irreversible impacts previously discussed in the certified Connect SoCal PEIR.

At the programmatic level, any region-wide growth inducing impacts from the proposed projects (as revised by the Connect SoCal Amendment #2) are expected to be approximately equivalent to those previously disclosed in the Connect SoCal PEIR. Overall, the proposed changes to the Project List presented in the Connect SoCal Amendment #2 are within the scope of the broad, programmatic-level region-wide impacts identified and disclosed in the Connect SoCal PEIR and previous PEIR Addendums #1 and #2. Thus, the Connect SoCal Amendment #2 would not be expected to result in any new CEQA impacts that have not been analyzed in the previous Connect SoCal PEIR and addendums, or any long-term impacts that are considerably different from or inconsistent with those already analyzed in the previous Connect SoCal PEIR and previous addendums.
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RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR RC:
Adopt the transportation control measure (TCM) substitution by the Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) and direct staff to forward it to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the California Air Resources Board (ARB) for concurrence.

RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR EEC:
Receive and File

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
The Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) is requesting a Transportation Control Measure (TCM) substitution to replace two transit expansion TCM projects within the Orange County. SCAG staff has determined that the proposed TCM substitution meets all Federal Clean Air Act TCM substitution requirements. At its meeting on June 2, 2022, the EEC recommended that the RC adopt the OCTA TCM substitution and direct staff to forward it to the U.S. EPA and the ARB for concurrence.

BACKGROUND:
TCMs are defined as transportation projects or programs that adjust trip patterns or otherwise modify vehicle use in ways that reduce air pollutant emissions, and which are specifically identified and committed to in the most recently approved Air Quality Management Plan/State Implementation Plan (AQMP/SIP). TCMs are included in an AQMP/SIP as part of the overall control strategy to demonstrate a region’s ability to attain the National Ambient Air Quality Standards.
In the SCAG region, TCM-type projects are considered committed once they have funds programmed for right-of-way or construction in an approved SCAG Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP). When a committed TCM cannot be delivered or will be significantly delayed, the substitution of the TCM is required and follows the process specified under the Clean Air Act §176(c).

The Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) has requested that SCAG substitute its Paratransit Vehicle Expansion Project (FTIP ID: ORA130099) and its Placentia Transit Station Project (FTIP ID: ORA030612) with a new 10-mile Main Street Bravo! express bus service in Orange County. For further details about the proposed TCM substitution, please refer to the Attachment.

The Draft TCM Substitution Report was released for a 15-day public review which concluded on May 21, 2022. No comments were received. As documented in the Attachment, the proposed substitution is consistent with all federal TCM substitution requirements. At its meeting on June 2, 2022, the EEC approved staff recommendation that the RC adopt the OCTA TCM substitution and direct staff to forward it to the U.S. EPA and the ARB for concurrence at its meeting on July 7, 2022.

The TCM substitution does not require a new conformity determination or a formal SIP revision. The SCAG region maintains transportation conformity after the substitution. SCAG’s adoption of the TCM substitution with concurrence of EPA and ARB will rescind the committed TCM status of the original TCM projects and the new TCM projects will become effective.

FISCAL IMPACT:
Work associated with this item is included in the current FY 2021-22 Overall Work Program (025.0164.01: Air Quality Planning and Conformity).

ATTACHMENT(S):
1. OCTA TCM Substitution Report 070722
I. Introduction

Transportation Control Measures (TCMs) are defined as transportation projects or programs that adjust trip patterns or otherwise modify vehicle use in ways that reduce air pollutant emissions. TCMs are included in the most recently approved applicable Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP)/State Implementation plan (SIP) as part of the overall control strategy to demonstrate a region’s ability to come into attainment with the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). In the SCAG region, only two ozone nonattainment areas include TCMs in their AQMPs/SIPs: the South Coast Air Basin and the Ventura County portion of the South Central Coast Air Basin. TCM-type projects in these nonattainment areas are considered committed once they have funds programmed for right-of-way or construction in the first two years of an approved SCAG Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP). When a committed TCM project cannot be delivered or will be significantly delayed, the substitution of the TCM project follows the process specified in the Federal Clean Air Act (CAA) Section 176(c)(8).

The Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) has requested that SCAG substitute its Paratransit Vehicle Expansion Project (FTIP ID: ORA130099) and its Placentia Transit Station Project (FTIP ID: ORA030612) with a new 10-mile Main Street Bravo! express bus service in the Orange County. As documented herein, the proposed TCM substitution is consistent with all federal requirements, including the Fixing America’s Surface Transportation Act or FAST Act planning requirements and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) Transportation Conformity Regulations.

II. TCM Substitution Process

The substitution process set forth in the FAST Act and the Transportation Conformity Regulations is included in the 2016 AQMP for the South Coast Air Basin and described in SCAG’s 2021 FTIP Guidelines.

The County Transportation Commissions (CTCs) and/or project sponsors notify SCAG when a TCM project cannot be delivered or will be significantly delayed. SCAG and the CTCs then identify and evaluate possible replacement measures for individual substitutions in consultation with SCAG’s Transportation Conformity Working Group (TCWG), which includes members from all affected jurisdictions, federal, state and local air quality agencies and transportation agencies.

Substitution of individual TCMs is provided for by the CAA Section 176(c)(8), under the following conditions:

"(i) if the substitute measures achieve equivalent or greater emissions reductions than the control measure to be replaced, as demonstrated with an emissions impact analysis that is
consistent with the current methodology used for evaluating the replaced control measure in the implementation plan;
"(ii) if the substitute control measures are implemented-
"(I) in accordance with a schedule that is consistent with the schedule provided for control measures in the implementation plan; or
"(II) if the implementation plan date for implementation of the control measure to be replaced has passed, as soon as practicable after the implementation plan date but not later than the date on which emission reductions are necessary to achieve the purpose of the implementation plan;
"(iii) if the substitute and additional control measures are accompanied with evidence of adequate personnel and funding and authority under State or local law to implement, monitor, and enforce the control measures;
"(iv) if the substitute and additional control measures were developed through a collaborative process that included--
"(I) participation by representatives of all affected jurisdictions (including local air pollution control agencies, the State air pollution control agency, and State and local transportation agencies);
"(II) consultation with the Administrator; and
"(III) reasonable public notice and opportunity for comment; and
"(v) if the metropolitan planning organization, State air pollution control agency, and the Administrator concur with the equivalency of the substitute or additional control measures.”

In addition to the conditions above, the 2021 FTIP Guidelines specifies that the substitute project shall be in the same air basin, preferably located in the same geographic area and serving the same demographic subpopulation as the TCM being replaced.

A TCM substitution does not require a new conformity determination or a formal SIP revision. SCAG adoption of the new TCM with concurrence of the U.S. EPA and the California Air Resources Board (ARB) rescinds the original TCM and the substitution becomes effective.

III. Project Description

1. Two Committed TCM Projects to Be Substituted

The following two transit expansion projects were previously committed by OCTA and continue as committed TCMs in SCAG's current 2021 FTIP.

1) The Paratransit Vehicle Expansion Project is to expand OCTA’s existing paratransit fleet and service through the purchase of 15 gas/diesel vans. For details of the project, see its 2021 FTIP project sheet on the next page:
2) The Placentia Transit Station is to add a new transit station in the City of Placentia, near the intersection of Crowther Avenue and Melrose Street. For details of the project, see its 2021 FTIP project sheet below:
These two committed TCM projects are scheduled to be completed by December 31, 2022. However, consistent with the OCTA Bus Fleet Plan, the expansion vans are no longer needed in the near term due to the impact of the coronavirus pandemic which has resulted in a reduction in the use of paratransit service; The Placentia Transit Station has been delayed significantly due to protracted negotiations with the BNSF Railway which owns the tracks that will serve the station. As a result, the OCTA has initiated the TCM substitution process.

2. Proposed Substitute Project

The proposed 10-mile Main Street Bravo! express bus service project will implement a new express bus service along Main Street between the Anaheim Regional Transportation Intermodal Center and the South Coast Metro district in the City of Costa Mesa. The project will improve transit access along a corridor with high existing transit demand. The proposed project is a new TCM-type project that is not in either SCAG’s 2020 RTP/SCS or 2021 FTIP, and therefore is eligible as a TCM substitution project. Upon successful completion of the proposed TCM substitution, the substitute project will be processed into SCAG’s 2020 RTP/SCS and 2021 FTIP as a committed TCM. Full funding will be available from Measure M2 (Project P) to implement this project. The project will be completed by December 31, 2022.

3. Map of Existing TCMs and Proposed Substitute Project

The map on the next page shows the location of the two existing committed TCM projects and the proposed substitute project.

IV. Compliance with TCM Substitution Requirements

As documented in detail below, the proposed TCM substitution demonstrates meeting all TCM substitution requirements.

1. Interagency Consultation

The proposed TCM substitution was presented by OCTA staff at SCAG’s publicly noticed Transportation Conformity Working Group (TCWG) meeting for initial interagency consultation on June 22, 2021. A revised TCM substitution analysis was presented to TCWG on February 22, 2022. A further refined TCM substitution analysis was presented by OCTA staff to TCWG again on April 26, 2022. This TCM substitution report was released for a 15-day public review period from May 6 through May 21, 2022. No comments were received. A status update was provided to TCWG on May 24 and June 28, 2022, respectively.

2. Equivalent Emissions Reduction

OCTA staff has analyzed and compared the emissions reduction benefits of the two TCM projects and the proposed TCM substitute project, and concluded that the replacement project provides equal or greater emission reductions (see Appendix). SCAG staff has reviewed and concurred with both the methodology and the results of the analysis.
3. Similar Geographic Area.

The two committed TCM projects and the one substitute TCM project are all located within the Orange County portion of the South Coast Air Basin.

4. Full Funding.

Full funding has been identified by OCTA and will come from Measure M2 for the proposed substitute TCM project. In addition, full funding will be programmed and committed for the proposed substitute project when the project is processed into SCAG’s 2020 RTP/SCS and 2021 FTIP upon completion of the TCM substitution process.

5. Similar Time Frame.

The proposed substitute TCM project is scheduled to be completed by December 31, 2022, consistent with the schedule of the two TCM projects.

6. Timely Implementation.

The proposed substitution is the means by which the obstacle to the implementation of the two TCMs is being overcome. The replacement project will be monitored through subsequent TCM Timely Implementation Reports that SCAG releases for public review and submits for federal approval.

7. Legal Authority.

The OCTA has the legal authority and personnel to implement and operate the substitute project.

8. Agency Review and Adoption.

At its meeting on June 2, 2022, SCAG’s Energy and Environment Committee (EEC) approved SCAG staff recommendation that the Regional Council adopt the TCM substitution and direct staff to forward it to the U.S. EPA and ARB for concurrence on July 7, 2022. Upon adoption by the Regional Council, the TCM substitution will be forwarded to ARB and U.S. EPA for concurrence. Adoption by the Regional Council and concurrence from U.S. EPA and ARB will rescind the original TCM projects and the new measures will become effective.

9. Programming of the Substitute TCMs.

After conclusion of the TCM substitution process including adoption by SCAG’s Regional Council and concurrence of ARB and EPA, the substitute TCM will be processed as a committed TCM into the conforming FTIP.
Appendix

OCTA TCM Substitution Request
Proposed Transportation Control Measure Substitution of Transit Projects (FTIP Project IDs: ORA130099 and ORA030612) with New Main Street Bravo! Express Bus Service

Introduction

The Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) previously committed to two capital improvement projects within Orange County: Paratransit Vehicle Expansion (FTIP Project ID: ORA130099) and the Placentia Transit Station (FTIP Project ID: ORA030612). These projects are included as committed TCM’s in SCAG’s 2020 RTP/SCS (Connect SoCal), 2021 FTIP, and SCAQMD’s 2016 South Coast AQMP/Ozone SIPs. Below are the summary project descriptions of these two committed TCMs. Their 2021 FTIP project sheets including detailed project information are included in Attachment A:

- The Paratransit Vehicle Expansion involved the purchase of fifteen gas/diesel buses for use in paratransit service. These vans would have expanded OCTA’s existing paratransit fleet. However, consistent with the OCTA Bus Fleet Plan, these expansion vehicles are no longer needed in the near term. This is particularly true following the impacts of the coronavirus pandemic which has resulted in a reduction in the use of paratransit service. The vehicles were planned to be purchased by December 2022.

- The Placentia Transit Station will add a new transit station in the City of Placentia, near the intersection of Crowther Avenue and Melrose Street. It will be served by Metrolink’s existing 91 Line. Completion of this TCM project has been delayed beyond the committed completion date due to protracted negotiations with the BNSF Railway, which owns the tracks that will serve the station. Construction was originally planned to be complete by December 2022.

These two committed TCMs will be delayed beyond the scheduled completion dates. One substitute TCM project involving a new 10-mile express bus line is now proposed as a replacement TCM to the previously committed projects.

Description of Proposed Substitute TCM Project

OCTA is proposing a substitute project as a replacement to these previously committed TCMs. The recommended substitute project consists of a new 10-mile Main Street Bravo! express bus service that will follow Main Street between the Anaheim Regional Transportation Intermodal Center and the South Coast Metro district in the City of Costa Mesa. The project will improve transit access along a corridor with high existing transit demand. Traffic signal operations are also expected to be improved along the corridor, which will benefit transit as well as other vehicles.
The substitute project will be implemented by December 2022. Current funding, as part of Measure M2 (Project P), will be used for this project. Project descriptions are listed below and a map of the locations of both the committed and substitute projects is in Attachment B. Note that this proposed project is not in the SCAG’s 2021 FTIP yet but will be amended into the FTIP upon completion of the TCM substitution.

Compliance with TCM Substitution Requirements

- Equivalent Emissions Reduction: OCTA has analyzed the countywide emissions of the substitute TCM project relative to those of previously committed TCM projects. The replacement TCM project will provide equivalent emission reductions. OCTA used the Orange County Transportation Analysis Model 5.0 (OCTAM) for the analysis of the alternatives. The following three sections document the OCTAM Model Information, the Emissions Analysis Methodology, and the Emissions Analysis Findings.

- Similar Geographic Area: The substitute TCM project and the previously committed TCM projects are both located in the Orange County portion of the South Coast Air Basin.

- Full Funding: Current funding is available for the replacement TCM project as documented under the previous section Description of Proposed Substitute TCM Project.

- Similar Time Frame: The proposed substitute TCM project will be operational by December 2022, equivalent to the schedule of the previously committed TCM projects.

- Timely Implementation: The proposed substitution is the means by which the obstacles to implementation of previously committed TCM projects is being overcome.

- Legal Authority: OCTA has legal authority and personnel to implement and operate the substitute TCM project.

OCTAM Model Information

OCTAM is a four-step (trip generation, trip distribution, mode choice, and trip assignment), trip-based travel demand model built on the TransCAD platform. The current model version 5.0 uses 2010 Census data and the SCAG household travel survey to help calibrate the model. The assumptions used in the current model for future forecasting are based on demographic projections from Orange County Projections 2018 and the SCAG RTP.
OCTAM forecasts travel demand with a base year of 2016 and a future forecast year of 2045. It is consistent with SCAG’s regional travel demand model as it incorporates the most recent approved socio-economic data for Orange County and the surrounding region at the time it was developed.

Emissions Analysis Methodology

The emissions were calculated for the future no project, previously committed TCM projects, and the proposed substitute projects. A multi-step approach was used that combined OCTAM and EMFAC. The following process was used:

Step 1: Obtain daily vehicle miles traveled (VMT) and speed data for freeways and arterials from OCTAM. Three alternatives for forecast year 2045 were run using OCTAM as part of this study. The coding of all alternatives was consistent with OCTAM modeling practices and used the 2020 RTP/SCS network. Attachment C includes additional modeling details and summary of modeling files. Attachment D includes 2045 OCTAM model output summary statistics for Orange County.

The previously committed TCM projects as described earlier were modeled with OCTAM in an alternative referred to as the “Original Committed Projects” analysis.

The “With Proposed Substitute” analysis includes the addition of the substitute TCM project and the removal of the previously committed TCM projects. The substitute TCM project is expected to improve transit service along a corridor with high existing demand.

For comparison purposes, a third alternative was modeled that assumed the removal of the previously committed TCM projects with no substitute added. This is referred to as the “With No Projects” analysis.

All three alternatives were modeled separately using OCTAM and post-processed using the National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) 255 process. This process provides a standard methodology to refine forecasted volumes on links based on a combination of base year traffic counts, base year model estimates, and forecasted model estimates using incremental adjustments. The output of the travel demand model and post-processing includes travel information for all three alternatives. Loaded link information, intrazonal travel speeds, and intrazonal travel volumes were extracted for all time periods for all alternatives.

Step 2: The Emission Factors (EMFAC2017) model was developed by the California Air Resources Board and is used throughout California to calculate emission from motor vehicles, such as passenger cars and heavy-duty trucks, operating on freeways and local roads for typical summer, winter, and annual conditions. EMFAC model outputs include total emissions for all criteria pollutants for all Orange County.
A spreadsheet tool has been created to modify EMFAC input data to reflect the results of OCTAM runs. The tool was run for the base year and forecast year 2045 using the extracted information from Step 1 as input to update the VMT and vehicle speed data needed by EMFAC. This process was performed multiple times for each modeled alternative in order to analyze conditions for summer, winter, and averaged annual timeframes.

Step 3: Compare the emissions output from Steps 2 and 3 between the alternatives to identify the emissions-related improvements from the proposed substitute TCM projects.

Note that interpolation of travel activity data between base year 2016 and forecast year 2045 (horizon year) results were used to estimate the emissions for interim year 2022 (completion year) and 2037 (2015 8-hour ozone standard attainment year).

**Emissions Analysis Findings**

The projected emission from the “Originally Committed Projects” were compared with those of the “With Proposed Substitute” projects using the methodology described in the previous section. The results demonstrate that the proposed substitute TCM will yield less than or equivalent amounts of emissions compared with the previously committed TCM for all criteria pollutants for all milestone years. Emissions of all applicable criteria pollutants (Ozone – ROG & NOx, CO, PM2.5, and PM10) for the three forecast years (2022, 2037, and 2045) are summarized in the tables below.
Year 2022

Emission Reductions (Summer) - Ozone (kg/day)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original Committed Projects</th>
<th>With Proposed Substitute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROG</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>- 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>- 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Emission Reductions (Winter) - Carbon Monoxide, Nitrogen Dioxide (kg/day)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original Committed Projects</th>
<th>With Proposed Substitute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>- 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>- 1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Emission Reductions (Annual) - PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$ (kg/day)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original Committed Projects</th>
<th>With Proposed Substitute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROG</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>- 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>- 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>- 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Year 2037

Emission Reductions (Summer) - Ozone (kg/day)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original Committed Projects</th>
<th>With Proposed Substitute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROG</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>- 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>- 0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Emission Reductions (Winter) - Carbon Monoxide, Nitrogen Dioxide (kg/day)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original Committed Projects</th>
<th>With Proposed Substitute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>- 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>- 0.1</td>
<td>- 4.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Emission Reductions (Annual) - PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$ (kg/day)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original Committed Projects</th>
<th>With Proposed Substitute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROG</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>- 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>- 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>- 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>- 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Year 2045

Emission Reductions (Summer) - Ozone (kg/day)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Committed Projects</th>
<th>With Proposed Substitute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROG</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Emission Reductions (Winter) - Carbon Monoxide, Nitrogen Dioxide (kg/day)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Committed Projects</th>
<th>With Proposed Substitute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>- 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Emission Reductions (Annual) - PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$ (kg/day)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Committed Projects</th>
<th>With Proposed Substitute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROG</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In summary, the modeling results demonstrate that the proposed substitute TCM will yield an equivalent or better amount of emissions compared with the previously committed TCM for all criteria pollutants for all milestone years.

Attachments

A. 2021 FTIP Project Sheets of the Three Committed TCM Projects (ORA130099 and ORA030612)
B. Map of the Two Committed TCM Projects ORA130099 and ORA030612) and the Proposed Substitute TCM Project
C. Additional Modeling Details and Summary of Modeling Files
D. 2045 OCTAM Model Output Summary Statistics for Orange County
Additional Modeling Details and Summary of Modeling Files

OCTAM was used to model the two project alternatives to develop future 2045 forecasts of VMT by speed bin. The following provides details on the modeled alternatives:

- **TCMBase - No project alternative 2045**
  - With the two committed TCM projects (ORA130099 and ORA030612)
  - Without the proposed substitute Bravo! express bus project

- **TCMOCTARRep - With project alternative 2045**
  - Without the two committed TCM projects (ORA130099 and ORA030612)
  - With the proposed substitute Bravo! express bus project

The highway and transit networks for each scenario includes the input scenario assumptions. The four fixed-format binary files in the asn-LVOL subdirectories contain the post-processed forecast outputs.

Key data fields in the TransCAD output:
- **AB_LN/BA_LN**: Number of lanes in the AB/BA directions
- **PK_Headway/OP_headway**: Transit headways in minutes for the peak and off-peak time periods.
- **AB_LVOL/BA_LVOL**: Post-processed forecast volumes in the AB/BA directions

The modeling output files are attached to this correspondence. Each scenario is packaged in a separate zip file:

- **TCMBase.zip** - No project alternative
- **TCMOCTARRep.zip** - With project alternative

The forecast outputs were post-processed per the NCHRP-255 approach. EMFAC2017 was then used to forecast emissions using VMT by speed bin from the two OCTAM runs.
## 2045 OCTAM Model Output Summary Statistics for Orange County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original Committed Projects</th>
<th>With Proposed Substitute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td>3,534,600</td>
<td>3,534,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Population</td>
<td>3,488,500</td>
<td>3,488,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dwelling Units</td>
<td>1,154,400</td>
<td>1,154,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>1,980,400</td>
<td>1,980,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Transit Trips</td>
<td>152,000</td>
<td>153,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Vehicle Hours of Delay</td>
<td>464,900</td>
<td>464,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Vehicle Hours Traveled</td>
<td>2,511,300</td>
<td>2,510,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled</td>
<td>83,735,700</td>
<td>83,726,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Peak Vehicle Hours Traveled</td>
<td>1,620,200</td>
<td>1,619,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Peak Vehicle Miles Traveled</td>
<td>47,065,600</td>
<td>47,054,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Person Hours of Delay</td>
<td>634,000</td>
<td>633,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Person Hours Traveled</td>
<td>3,424,600</td>
<td>3,423,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Person Miles Traveled</td>
<td>114,188,100</td>
<td>114,174,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Peak Person Hours Traveled</td>
<td>2,180,100</td>
<td>2,179,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Peak Person Miles Traveled</td>
<td>63,330,600</td>
<td>63,316,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Average Speed (mph)</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Spd. - Freeways Peak</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Spd. - Freeway AM Pk Period</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>38.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Spd. - Freeway PM Pk Period</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Spd. - Arterials Peak</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Spd. - Arterial AM Pk Period</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Spd. - Arterial PM Pk Period</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Spd. - All Facilities Peak</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Spd. - All Facilities - AM Pk Period</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Spd. - All Facilities PM Pk Period</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>32.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Receive and File

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
On January 7, 2021, the Regional Council (RC) adopted Resolution 21-628-1 affirming a climate change crisis in Southern California and called on SCAG and other local and regional partners to join together to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, improve regional resilience, and reduce hazards from a changing climate (the “Resolution”). The RC’s action will help to promote climate adaptation, mitigation and resilience in support of the 197 jurisdictions in Southern California and the 19 million residents who call the SCAG region home. This report provides an update on the agency’s progress in implementing the Resolution. Quarterly updates will continue to be provided to the Energy and Environment Committee on the agency’s progress in addressing Resolution 21-628-1 action steps.

BACKGROUND:
Resolution 21-628-1 calls on SCAG to pursue a number of activities to address climate change in order to strengthen regional resilience. These actions emphasize both adaptation to emerging climate-related hazards, as well as mitigation of GHG emissions to reduce the impacts to the region from a changing climate. Importantly, the RC’s direction underscores the need to strengthen partnerships amongst local governments with resources, technical assistance and other support for jurisdictions’ climate-related planning initiatives. It also advances several goals, policies, and key connections from Connect SoCal.
Over the last year, SCAG has been working to:

- Develop a **regional resilience framework** to help the region plan and prepare for a changing climate and other potential near- and long-term disruptions to Southern California;
- Initiate a **regional climate planning network** that will provide technical assistance for local climate adaptation and mitigation initiatives;
- Provide **resource support and technical assistance for local jurisdictions** to integrate climate planning in their local planning activities;
- Initiate a **Regional Advanced Mitigation Program (RAMP)** as described in the Connect SoCal Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR);
- Develop a work plan to advance the **Accelerated Electrification strategy** envisioned in Connect SoCal;
- Evaluate the **economic and job creation benefits of climate adaptation and mitigation** practices for inclusion in regional planning efforts; and
- Develop **climate adaptation and mitigation analysis and strategies for the 2024 Regional Transportation Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS)**.

Additionally, SCAG will continue to develop programs and outreach strategies to support near-term adaptation to address regionally significant vulnerabilities and long-term regional resilience planning.

**Recent Initiatives**

**Regional Resilience:** As discussed at the March 2022 Regional Council Meeting, SCAG proposed establishing a new Resilience and Conservation Subcommittee to dive deeper into policy considerations for Connect SoCal, and advance the direction set in the Resolution on Climate Change Action. This committee will consider opportunities for enhanced conservation and how Connect SoCal can support our communities in adapting to changing conditions or mitigating risks to become more resilient.

Following the Regional Council’s adoption of the Policy Development Framework for Connect SoCal 2024, SCAG will be circulating a survey to all Regional Council members to assess interest in serving on the Resilience and Conservation Subcommittee. The Resilience and Conservation Subcommittee will prepare and make recommendations to SCAG Policy Committees on how to address emerging issues within Connect SoCal 2024.

On June 15, 2022, SCAG kicked off the Regional Resilience Framework project to explore pressing issues and potential near- and long-term disruptions to Southern California, such as extreme weather, drought, wildfires, pandemics, earthquakes and economic shocks. The Regional Resilience Framework will consider the potential degree of disruption to the region that could result from land based, atmospheric, public health and geologic natural hazards. The project is anticipated to
continue through 2023. The foundation for this work is rooted in a cross-departmental Resilience Policy Lab which developed a preliminary working definition of “regional resilience” and assessed how resilience is currently or may potentially be factored into SCAG’s work programs. To further inform the framework, SCAG completed a baseline Regional Resilience Landscape Analysis to identify the status of resilience planning at the local level, including best practices that can serve as touchpoints for SCAG and local jurisdictions’ future work in resilience. Initial findings from the Landscape Analysis and ongoing work on the Regional Resilience Framework will support the new Resilience and Conservation Subcommittee and inform resilience policies and strategies in the 2024 Regional Transportation Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy (“Connect SoCal”).

**Climate Planning Network:** SCAG staff have been engaging with local jurisdictions, regional climate collaboratives, and other stakeholders to initiate a regional climate planning network that will complement existing regional collaboratives and provide technical assistance for local jurisdictions’ climate planning initiatives – including consensus building exercises and an information hub featuring effective mitigation strategies for cities and counties to use in climate action plans (CAPs) as well as a library of model policies that collectively foster climate change mitigation, adaptation, and resilience. Moving this work forward, SCAG has been conducting outreach to inform stakeholders from the region, state, and greater nation, and internationally of the recently completed Southern California Regional Climate Adaptation Framework to support local jurisdictions’ climate planning work, including a library of model policies and a matrix of climate adaptation strategies and actions that can be utilized by jurisdictions with flexibility to address the specific hazards and potentially impacted infrastructure and community assets in their locales.

Additionally, SCAG launched a new resource to help jurisdictions update general plan safety elements and local hazard mitigation plans to address climate adaptation and resilience strategies in compliance with SB 379 (Jackson, 2015). SCAG’s SB 379 Compliance Curriculum for Local Jurisdictions was developed with feedback from the Governor’s Office of Planning and Research (OPR), and links resources available from local, regional, and state efforts to specific requirements under SB 379. The objective of this guidebook is to reduce the cost and staffing needed for local jurisdictions to be in compliance with the law and effectively plan to reduce the hazards to residents stemming from a changing climate.

To roll out these resources, in June 2022 SCAG partnered with the Los Angeles Regional Collaborative (LARC), Climate Resolve, Southern California Edison, the Los Angeles Chapter of the American Planning Association, and the Malibu Foundation to host a workshop on local climate adaptation planning that provided information, best practices, and other tools for jurisdictions to address climate adaptation and resilience strategies in compliance with SB 379. This event was attended by over 100 stakeholders, including staff from local jurisdictions, utilities, universities, community-based organizations, and industry professionals.
Since the adoption of the Climate Change Action Resolution, SCAG has been connecting and learning from existing climate networks, such as LARC, Central Coast Climate Collaborative (4C), and other regional climate planning networks across the Southern California region. SCAG will continue to engage and partner with climate planning networks into fiscal year 2022-2023.

**SB379 Technical Assistance Program:** To engage with jurisdictions on a one-on-one basis, SCAG launched the General Plan SB 379 Technical Assistance Program to walk local staff through available resources and provide hands-on training on available data tools leading up to the January 1, 2022 deadline for SB 379 compliance. SCAG reached out to jurisdictions that expressed interest in receiving SB 379 technical assistance during the June Toolbox Tuesday training, as well as jurisdictions with recently updated Local Hazard Mitigation Plans. From this initial outreach, SCAG held multiple trainings during 2021 for the City of Westminster, City of West Hollywood, City of Camarillo, and City of Fillmore. SCAG has continued to provide technical assistance trainings on a rolling basis beyond the January 1, 2022 deadline.

To further support jurisdictions with SB 379 safety element updates SCAG developed the Climate Risk and Vulnerability Assessment Tool (CRVAT). The CRVAT consolidates climate hazard data and allows users to more easily preview the types of climate hazards a jurisdiction is and will face. The tool accompanies the SB 379 Compliance Curriculum for Local Jurisdictions and outlines the SB 379 compliance curriculum steps to conduct a vulnerability assessment, providing publicly available data on climate impacts and vulnerabilities across the SCAG region, and making relevant resources easily accessible, all in a central platform. The SB 379 Technical Assistance Program is part of SCAG’s Local Information Services Team (LIST), an ongoing program which provides tailored training to assist with General Plan Housing, Safety and Environmental Justice Elements. The LIST program provides one-on-one assistance, helping local jurisdictions leverage SCAG’s comprehensive parcel-level land use database and Esri software to advance long range planning.

In these multiple engagements, local jurisdictions have emphasized the need for resources to support climate adaptation and mitigation activities, including identifying funding resources for climate action plans, general plan safety element updates, local hazard mitigation infrastructure financing plans, electric vehicle permitting, urban heat mitigation plans, organic waste reduction plans, wildlife corridor restoration plans, greenway connectivity master plans, among other efforts. SCAG staff have been monitoring emerging regional, state, and federal opportunities to this end and have been working to advance the allocation, distribution, and expenditure of resources to meet the region’s needs. SCAG also provided feedback to the Strategic Growth Council on the implementation of SB 1072’s Regional Climate Collaboratives Program.

**Regional Advance Mitigation:** As the conservation and management of natural and farm lands serves as an important strategy to mitigate climate change-inducing GHG emissions, options for the establishment of Regional Advance Mitigation Program (RAMP) are being explored. Advance
mitigation is a science-based approach to identify mitigation opportunities early in the planning process ahead of the design and permitting phases to more effectively address impacts for projects. A RAMP can support long-term management and stewardship of mitigated properties. To support establishment of a RAMP, Connect SoCal’s adopted Programmatic Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) includes mitigation measures that call for the establishment of a regional Greenprint, which can serve as a strategic web-based conservation tool that provides the best available scientific data and scenario visualizations to help cities, counties and transportation agencies make better land use and transportation infrastructure decisions and conserve natural and farm lands. This type of data-rich tool can help SCAG and other stakeholders to identify priority conservation areas and develop advanced mitigation programs or include them in future transportation measures. To guide development of a Greenprint tool and RAMP, SCAG staff completed stakeholder interviews with each of the region’s six county transportation commissions, as well as organizations in the region that have established habitat conservation plans, natural community conservation plans, and multiple species habitat conservation plans. Overall, the RAMP can establish and/or supplement regional conservation and mitigation banks to offset impacts of transportation and other development projects.

On October 7, 2021 SCAG’s Regional Council provided further direction for SCAG staff to develop a white paper and work with a five-member advisory task group (ATG) of the Regional Council on establishing a policy framework for advance mitigation in the region to ensure the Greenprint tool is aligned with policy objectives. The ATG has convened five times since forming in December 2021 at the direction of the Regional Council with additional meetings anticipated later this summer for the ATG to consider recommending approval of the RAMP Policy Framework. Additional information is available online at: https://scag.ca.gov/ramp-atg.

**Accelerated Electrification:** Looking further into opportunities for climate mitigation, staff have been working to advance the Accelerated Electrification strategy adopted in Connect SoCal to provide a holistic and coordinated approach to decarbonizing or electrifying passenger vehicles, transit, and goods movement vehicles to go beyond benefits achieved through state mandates alone. Staff developed a draft work plan and sought feedback from the EEC and the Transportation Policy Committee in April 2021.

As part of SCAG’s Electric Vehicle Charging Station Study, staff and the consultant team have held listening sessions with local jurisdictions to address barriers and opportunities for electric vehicle charging infrastructure, are working to complete a regionwide electric vehicle site suitability analysis, and began to identify potential locations for electric vehicle charging station site evaluations across the study area. Upon identification, SCAG and the consultant team will develop a web atlas toolkit to assist the public. Staff will also provide coordination and outreach support on a project that was awarded a Department of Energy grant and led by researchers at UC Irvine to operate a fleet of electric vehicles and study vehicle-to-vehicle communication, vehicle-to-
infrastructure communication, traffic flows, and multimodal interactions. Work on the study is anticipated to begin in Summer of 2022.

On the medium- and heavy-duty vehicles side, staff is launching a new SCAG-led regional freight and passenger rail electrification analysis for the SCAG region. Staff is also continuing to work on the Last Mile Freight Program to fund commercial deployment projects targeting zero and near-zero emissions for last mile delivery. Commercial deployment projects are anticipated to begin implementation this Summer. Additionally, staff will be conducting a Supporting Infrastructure for Medium and Heavy Duty Zero Emission Trucks Study, anticipated to kick-off in Summer of 2022. To support this work, SCAG received a California Energy Commission (CEC) Research Hub for Electric Technologies in Truck Applications (RHETTA) grant as a sub-recipient to the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI). This grant was accepted by the Regional Council on Jan 6, 2022, under Resolution # 22-639-1. More information on the study and resolution can be found online at: https://scag.iqm2.com/Citizens/FileOpen.aspx?Type=1&ID=2298&Inline=True#page=74.

To further advance transportation electrification and coordinate with partner agencies, staff continues to participate in a Technical Advisory Group to provide input for the Santa Barbara County Association of Governments’ Central Coast Zero Emission Vehicle Strategy.

**Inclusive Economic Recovery Strategy:** The Inclusive Economic Recovery Strategy (IERS) Work Plan was structured around the three work phases of listen, convene, and catalyze. It builds on listening sessions and data collection produced between July and December 2020, and the Racial Equity Baseline Indicators generated by the SCAG Equity Working Group based on the National Equity Atlas. The post-pandemic economic recovery will be one that respects the region’s workforce and respects our natural resources. As such, the IERS focuses on economic development investments that prepare our region for the worsening impacts of climate change such as drought, wildfires, flash floods, and extreme. The IERS makes recommendations for strategies that harness the net economic benefits from climate adaptation and mitigation, both in terms of job creation and for workforce development in transitioning to a greener economy. The IERS also includes recommendations for SCAG to pursue funding resources for infrastructure investments that will better prepare the region to be climate-ready and meet its future resource and safety needs.

With support from Senator Susan Rubio, SCAG was awarded $3.5 M in one-time grant funding through AB 129 (“Inclusive Economic Growth Grant”). The funding is to implement several core recommendations developed in (IERS), which was adopted by the RC on July 1, 2021. The grant funding is being implemented through an agreement with the California Workforce Development Board and the period of performance is 2022 - 2024. This project has five study areas and deliverables: (1) Supporting expansion of the number of, and access to, middle wage jobs, (2) strengthening supply chains and access to contracting opportunities, (3) construction apprenticeships and training, (4) providing regional data, and (5) addressing human capital needs.
Staff kicked-off work on the Inclusive Economic Growth Grant in April 2022. SCAG recruited a grant-funded Manager of Inclusive Economic Growth for this project and work plan development for this project is well underway. Staff expects to complete work for this project in 2024.

Looking forward, SCAG staff will continue to provide quarterly updates to the Energy and Environment Committee on the agency’s progress in fulfilling the direction of the RC on the completion of activities in Resolution No. 21-628-1.

FISCAL IMPACT:
Work for this effort is funded in SCAG’s Fiscal Year 2021-2022 Overall Work Program (OWP) under project 065-4092.01 (Adaptation Analysis).
RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR EEC:
Recommend Regional Council approve the Connect SoCal SCP Call 4 Guidelines and authorize staff to release the Call for Applications.

RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR CEHD & TC:
Receive and File.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 4: Provide innovative information and value-added services to enhance member agencies’ planning and operations and promote regional collaboration.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
The 2020 Connect SoCal Sustainable Communities Program (SCP) Call for Applications, ongoing since summer 2020, comprised of multiple funding Calls, aims to provide resources and direct technical assistance to local jurisdictions. The previous three Calls for Applications in this SCP cycle focused on active transportation and safety, housing and sustainable development, and smart cities and mobility innovations. Given the timing and availability of additional funding sources, the fourth Call for Applications (Call 4) prioritizes civic engagement, equity, and environmental justice and aligns with the Regional Early Action Planning Grants (REAP 2.0) goals and objectives which include addressing coronavirus economic recovery, affirmatively furthering fair housing, increasing infill development and reducing vehicle miles traveled. Call 4 application development and evaluation are anticipated to occur from September 2022 to Winter 2023.

This staff report and corresponding presentation will provide a preview of the draft guidelines that prioritize traditionally disadvantaged, underserved, underrepresented and under-resourced communities and are aligned with the draft REAP 2.0 guidelines. The Connect SoCal SCP Call 4
Guidelines are subject to change, pending approval by HCD, upon release of the REAP 2.0 Final Guidelines in summer 2022.

BACKGROUND:
Sustainable Communities Program
Since its inception, SCAG’s Sustainable Communities Program has provided resources and direct technical assistance to jurisdictions to complete important local planning efforts to enable the implementation of SCAG’s Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) or Connect SoCal. The SCP allows SCAG to strengthen partnerships with local agencies who are responsible for land use and transportation decisions and provides local jurisdictions with multiple opportunities to seek funding and resources to meet the needs of their communities, address recovery and resiliency strategies considering COVID-19, and support regional goals. The previous three Calls for Applications in this SCP cycle focused on active transportation and safety, housing and sustainable development, and smart cities and mobility innovations. This fourth and final Call for Applications focuses on civic engagement, equity, and environmental justice. To strengthen the implementation link between this Call for Applications and the adopted Connect SoCal, the name of the program has changed to Connect SoCal SCP Call 4.

Regional Early Action Planning Grants of 2021 (REAP 2.0)
The state’s Regional Early Action Planning Grants of 2021 (REAP 2.0) supports accelerating housing production, reducing greenhouse gas emissions, and aiding historically underserved communities and areas of concentrated poverty with immediate response and help for long-term unmet needs exacerbated by the pandemic. REAP 2.0 was established by AB 140 (July 2021) in the mid-year budget revise for the State’s FY 21-22 budget. Approximately $600 million is available statewide, of which approximately $500 million is from the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds (SLFRF). The balance is State General Funds. The REAP 2.0 grant is available to regional entities, primarily metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs), through a combination of formula and competitive-based programs. The SCAG region’s formula share is $246,024,084. The California Housing and Community Development Department (HCD) is the lead for the program and will work collaboratively with the Strategic Growth Council (SGC), Governor’s Office of Planning and Research (OPR), and State Air Resources Board (CARB), to develop detailed guidelines for implementation. All REAP 2021 funds are to be obligated by June 30, 2024 and expended with a final closeout report due by June 30, 2026.

On June 1, 2022, the Executive/Administration Committee recommended approval of SCAG’s REAP 2021 Program Development Framework, which outlines the core objectives, guiding principles, programmatic areas, major milestones, and schedule for allocation funds availed to SCAG through the REAP 2.0. Within the Framework, Connect SoCal SCP Call 4 was identified as one of several existing programs to be expanded with REAP 2.0 funding to accelerate REAP 2.0 implementation. These Early Program Initiatives are being developed to comprise no more than 15 percent of SCAG’s
funding request and include expansion of SCAG’s Go Human Program, the Sub-Regional Partnership Program, the Regional Data Platform along with the SCP. In addition to the Early Program Initiatives, the Framework identifies two other programmatic areas to be supported by REAP 2.0: the County Transportation Commission (CTC) Partnership Program and the Housing Supportive Infrastructure Program (HSIP). SCAG staff is concurrently conducting stakeholder engagement on the HSIP and CTC Partnership Programs and other elements of the Early Program Initiatives to inform guideline development in each program area and will bring guidelines forward as they are developed.

SCP CALL 4: GOALS AND OBJECTIVES
As a result of the social justice movement during the summer of 2020 and the COVID-19 pandemic that brought to light disparities and inequities at many levels, the SCAG Regional Council adopted a resolution affirming the agency’s commitment to advancing justice, equity, diversity, and inclusion throughout Southern California. Call 4 specifically aims to (1) advance the goals in SCAG’s Racial Equity Early Action Plan, by prioritizing efforts that directly benefit Communities of Concern and SB 535 Disadvantaged Communities by encouraging racial equity in local planning practices; (2) support a wide range of eligible land use and transportation planning activities included in SCAG’s Environmental Justice Toolbox as well as housing supportive infrastructure projects; and (3) support the development of plans to close the racial equity gap. SCAG is committed to working in partnership with others to close the gap of racial injustice and better serve historically disinvested communities.

Call 4, with an anticipated budget of $5 million, is funded by the Regional Early Action Planning Grants of 2021 (REAP 2.0) which supports accelerating housing production, reducing greenhouse gas emissions, and aiding historically underserved communities and areas of concentrated poverty with immediate response and help for long-term unmet needs exacerbated by the pandemic. The Connect SoCal SCP Call 4 Guidelines have been developed with consideration of the draft REAP 2.0 guidelines and Call 4 goals of prioritizing civic engagement, equity, and environmental justice and with input received from stakeholders at the SCP Listening Sessions held in July 2021 and Information Sessions held in June 2022. SCP Call 4 Guidelines are subject to change, pending approval by HCD, upon release of the REAP 2.0 Final Guidelines in summer 2022.

APPLICANT ELIGIBILITY
Eligible applicants can apply for the Connect SoCal SCP Call 4 using two structures: an agency applicant structure where an eligible agency applicant can apply alone or through a co-applicant structure where up to two (2) co-applicants can apply in partnership with an agency applicant. This Call for Applications encourages co-applicant partnerships to support local engagement and collaboration. Eligible agency applicants include but are not limited to, local or regional agencies, transit agencies or districts, county transportation agencies, natural resources or public land agencies, public academic institutions, county public health agencies, housing authorities, special districts, school districts, and tribal governments. Eligible co-applicants include Community Based
Organizations (CBOs), non-profits, regional housing trust funds, academic institutions, and social enterprises that fulfill a social or public service mission. Agencies eligible to be primary applicants may apply as a co-applicant with another agency if appropriate.

Under an agency and co-applicant partnership, awarded co-applicants can be compensated to lead key elements of the project. Upon award, co-applicants listed on the application may enter a joint Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) agreement with SCAG and the local agency applicant to outline roles, responsibilities, the scope of work, and payment structure for each co-applicant.

**PROJECT ELIGIBILITY**

This Call for Applications will prioritize funding in areas that have been traditionally disadvantaged, underserved, underrepresented, and under resourced, as aligned by the REAP 2.0 Draft Guidelines. In addition to geographical prioritization, Call 4 will also include a variety of eligible projects focused across multiple categories that meet the REAP 2.0 Draft Guidelines. To meet the requirements of the program funding, all projects must directly address coronavirus (COVID-19) economic recovery by considering the impact of COVID-19 on their communities when designing programs and directly address at least one of the following three objectives:

- Accelerating infill development that facilitates housing supply, choice, and affordability
- Affirmatively furthering fair housing (AFFH) by means of taking meaningful actions, in addition to combating discrimination, that overcome patterns of segregation and foster inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity based on protected characteristics, when considering housing
- Reducing vehicle miles traveled

Proposed projects must be innovative, impactful, and transformative. Applicants may apply to one or more of the following SCP project categories:

- Land Use and Transportation Strategies that increase accessibility, mobility, resiliency, and safety
- Housing Supportive Infrastructure Plans and Programs
- Planning Convenings and Community Engagement Strategies that support inclusionary land use and transportation planning

**EVALUATION CRITERIA**
The evaluation criteria will focus on four main categories:

- Local Partnerships
- Project Need and Priority Population Benefits

---

1 Pursuant to Government Code section 899.50, AFFH means taking meaningful actions that, taken together, address significant disparities in housing needs and in access to opportunity, replacing segregated living patterns with truly integrated and balanced living patterns, transforming racially and ethnically concentrated areas of poverty into areas of opportunity, and fostering and maintaining compliance with civil rights and fair housing laws.
• Project Design and Outcome
• Inclusive and Equitable Engagement

Each application will be scored out of 100 points and shall demonstrate innovative and impactful approaches that produce transformative results for traditionally disadvantaged, underserved, underrepresented, and under-resourced communities.

**TIMELINE**
Pending approval of the Guidelines, the proposed schedule for Connect SoCal SCP Call 4 is provided in Table 1, Connect SoCal SCP Call 4 Draft Timeline. Staff anticipate presenting to the Regional Council in September 2022, after the release of the REAP 2.0 Final Guidelines and approval from HCD, for approval to release the Call for Applications. Application development and evaluation will occur from September 2022 to January 2023. Staff will return to SCAG’s Policy Committees and Regional Council in March and April of 2023. All awarded projects must be completed by June 30, 2025.

Table 1: Connect SoCal SCP Call 4 Draft Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Connect SoCal SCP Call 4: Civic Engagement, Equity &amp; Environmental Justice</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Call for Applications Opens</td>
<td>September 5, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Workshops</td>
<td>September and October 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call for Applications Submission Deadline</td>
<td>November 18, 2022, at 5:00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal Review and Scoring</td>
<td>November 2022 – January 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAG Policy Committee and Regional Council Approval of the SCP Call 4 Rankings</td>
<td>March and April 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Work and Invoices Submitted</td>
<td>June 30, 2025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FISCAL IMPACT:**
Work associated with this item will be included in the FY22-23 OWP, once funding is received, with no fiscal impact to the existing budget.

**ATTACHMENT(S):**
1. Connect SoCal SCP Call 4 Draft Guidelines
PowerPoint Presentation - Connect SoCal SCP Call 4 Presentation
Sustainable Communities Program (SCP) Overview
Since 2005, SCAG’s various sustainability planning grant programs (Compass Blueprint, Sustainability Planning Grants, Sustainable Communities Program) have provided resources and direct technical assistance to jurisdictions to complete important local planning efforts to enable implementation of the Regional Transportation Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS), which today is called Connect SoCal.

The SCP allows SCAG to strengthen partnerships with local agencies who are responsible for land use and transportation decisions. The SCP provides local jurisdictions with multiple opportunities to seek funding and resources to meet the needs of their communities, address recovery and resiliency strategies considering COVID-19, and support regional goals. To strengthen the implementation link between this Call for Applications and the adopted Connect SoCal, the name of the program has changed to Connect SoCal SCP Call 4.

Connect SoCal SCP Call 4: Civic Engagement, Equity and Environmental Justice (CEEEJ)
The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) announces the Connect SoCal SCP Call 4 Call for Applications focused on Civic Engagement, Equity, and Environmental Justice.

On July 2, 2020, the SCAG Regional Council adopted a resolution reaffirming the agency’s commitment to advancing justice, equity, diversity, and inclusion throughout Southern California. SCAG is committed to work in partnership with others to close the gap of racial injustice and better serve historically disinvested communities. The SCP aims to prioritize resources where there is a demonstrated need, guided by the Connect SoCal Goal, “to support healthy and equitable communities.” For more information on SCAG’s equity efforts, please review the Racial Equity Early Action Plan and visit SCAG’s IDEA webpage.

SCP Call 4 CEEEJ specifically aims to:
- Advance the goals in SCAG’s Racial Equity Early Action Plan, by prioritizing efforts that directly benefit Communities of Concern and SB 535 Disadvantaged Communities by encouraging racial equity in local planning practices.
- Support a wide range of eligible land use and transportation planning activities included in SCAG’s Environmental Justice Toolbox as well as housing supportive infrastructure projects.
- Support the development of plans to close the racial equity gap.

SCP Call 4 CEEEJ has an anticipated budget of $5 million. The program is funded by Regional Early Action Planning Grants of 2021 (REAP 2.0) which supports the State’s commitment to a future where all residents have the option to live closer to their jobs, services, and daily destinations. REAP 2.0 supports accelerating housing production, reducing greenhouse gas emissions, and aiding historically underserved communities and areas of concentrated poverty with immediate response and help for long-term unmet needs exacerbated by the pandemic. SCP Call 4 Guidelines are subject to change, pending approval by HCD, upon release of the REAP 2.0 Final Guideline in summer 2022.

Applicant Eligibility
Eligible agency applicants include but are not limited to, local or regional agencies, transit agencies or districts, county transportation agencies, public academic institutions, natural resource or public land agencies, county public health agencies, housing authorities, special districts, school districts, and tribal governments.
This Call for Applications includes a co-applicant structure. Community Based Organizations (CBOs), non-profits, regional housing trust funds, and social enterprises that fulfill a social or public service mission are eligible to apply as co-applicants in partnership with an agency applicant. An organization that utilizes a fiscal sponsor is eligible to apply. Multiple co-applicants, up to two (2), may apply alongside an agency applicant. Agencies eligible to be primary applicants may apply as a co-applicant with another agency if appropriate.

Partnership Structure
This Call for Applications allows agency applicants to apply via a partnership with co-applicants. Local agencies may apply with Community Based Organizations as a co-applicant partnership. Under an agency and co-applicant partnership, co-applicants would be compensated to lead key elements of the project. In addition, a consultant team would be procured to perform identified work on behalf of the agency and co-applicant partnership. Further details on the co-applicant partnership are included in Attachment 1.

Prioritization
SCAG, as aligned with REAP 2.0, prioritizes funding in areas that have been traditionally disadvantaged, underserved, underrepresented, and under resourced. The REAP 2.0 Guidelines have clearly defined areas that are in most need of support and recovery efforts to address impacts from COVID-19. These targeted areas include:

- SB535 Disadvantaged Communities (CalEnviroScreen 4.0)
- Low scoring communities identified in the Health Places Index
- Disadvantaged communities identified using CEQ Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool
- SCAG Communities of Concern
- HUD Qualified Census Tracts (Low-income Housing Tax Credit Qualified Census Tracts)
- TCAC/HCD Opportunity Areas (High segregation and poverty, low resource and moderate resource communities)

For more information, please review the Draft REAP 2.0 Guidelines.

Project Eligibility
This Call for Applications includes a variety of eligible projects focused across multiple categories. To meet the requirements of the program funding, all projects must directly address coronavirus (COVID-19) economic recovery by considering the impact of COVID-19 on their communities when designing programs to respond to the direct and immediate need of the pandemic and its negative economic impacts. The proposed project/program must explain how it meaningfully responds in a proportionate way to a negative economic impact of the pandemic and show that the program or project serves “Impacted Households”¹. In addition to addressing COVID-19 economic recovery, applicable projects must also, at minimum, directly address at least one of the following objectives:

- Accelerating infill development that facilitates housing supply, choice and affordability
- Affirmatively furthering fair housing (AFFH) by means of taking meaningful actions, in addition to combating discrimination, that overcome patterns of segregation and foster inclusive

¹ The Coronavirus Economic Recovery requirement is included pursuant to the State and Local Fiscal Recovery Fund (SLFRF) Final Rule 32 CFR 35.1-12.
communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity based on protected characteristics, when considering housing²

- Reducing vehicle miles traveled (VMT)

Proposed projects must be innovative, impactful and transformative. Applicants shall strive for innovative and impactful approaches that produce transformative, visible, and measurable results on the ground. The program seeks activities that are demonstrably exemplary, and applicants can demonstrate the funds will lead to changes that support program goals and objectives, stated above (e.g. housing production, VMT reduction, AFFH, Advancing/Implementing the SCS).

Applicants may apply to one or more of the following SCP project categories, and some projects may qualify under more than one category:

- **Land Use and Transportation Strategies.** Plans and projects should increase accessibility, mobility, resiliency, and safety, including and not limited to the following examples:
  - Park and Greenway Connectivity Plans
  - Highways to Boulevards and Freeway Cap Plans – Improvements for Non-Motorized Vehicles and Pedestrian Traffic (Motorized Vehicle Infrastructure improvements are ineligible)
  - Integration of Environmental Justice Goals and/or Policies into General Plan Updates
  - Integrating Infill Housing into General Plan Updates
  - Affordable Housing Plans & Ordinances
  - Accessibility and Safety Demonstration Projects

- **Housing Supportive Infrastructure Plans & Programs,** including and not limited to the following example projects:
  - Infrastructure & Utility Financing Plans (not including fossil fuel and natural gas)
  - Community E-Bike Rebates and E-Bike Share Plans & Pilots
  - Public Art in Infrastructure Programs

- **Planning Convenings & Community Engagement Strategies.** Plans and programs should support inclusionary land use and transportation planning, including and not limited to the following examples:
  - Youth Leadership Development & Cohort-Based Engagement Programs
  - Community Advocacy & Storytelling
  - Community Resiliency Playbooks & Toolkits

**Evaluation Criteria**

Each application includes the following scoring criterion and approach to improve housing equity and livability:

- Local Partnerships
- Project Need and Priority Population Benefits
- Project Design and Outcomes

² Pursuant to Government Code section 899.50, AFFH means taking meaningful actions that, taken together, address significant disparities in housing needs and in access to opportunity, replacing segregated living patterns with truly integrated and balanced living patterns, transforming racially and ethnically concentrated areas of poverty into areas of opportunity, and fostering and maintaining compliance with civil rights and fair housing laws.
Inclusive and Equitable Engagement

Further detail regarding how points are determined will be provided in the project application, available on the SCP project website.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scoring Criteria</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Partnerships</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationships, Roles &amp; Responsibilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance &amp; Decision-making</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equitable, Respectful &amp; Reciprocal Partnership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letters of Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Need &amp; Priority Population Benefits</strong></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID-19 Economic Recovery*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REAP 2 Objectives</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addressing and Repairing Historic/Current Inequities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority Populations Benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Design &amp; Outcomes</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scope of Work &amp; Project Outcomes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) Implementation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Feasibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inclusive &amp; Equitable Engagement</strong></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority Populations Engagement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusive, Diverse &amp; Equitable Engagement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Required for all projects

Schedule
The following schedule outlines important dates for the Program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCP Civic Engagement, Equity &amp; Environmental Justice</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Call for Applications Opens</td>
<td>September 5, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Workshops</td>
<td>September and October 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call for Applications Submission Deadline</td>
<td>November 18, 2022 at 5:00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal Review and Scoring</td>
<td>November 2022 – January 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAG Policy Committee and Regional Council Approval of the SCP Call 4 Rankings</td>
<td>March and April 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Work and Invoices Submitted</td>
<td>June 30, 2025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Contact Information**

Anita Au  
Senior Regional Planner  
Telephone: (213) 236-1874  
Email: au@scag.ca.gov

**Attachment 1**

This Call for Applications encourages co-applicant partnerships to support local engagement and collaboration. Upon award, co-applicants listed on the application will enter a joint Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) agreement with SCAG and the local agency applicant to outline roles, responsibilities, the scope of work, and payment structure for each co-applicant. SCAG will evaluate the risks to the program posed by each co-applicant to assess a co-applicant's ability to manage federal funds pursuant to the requirements prescribed in the Title 2 Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, “Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards.” In some circumstances, special grant conditions may be imposed to mitigate anticipated risks. Each applicant will be required to furnish documentation to SCAG for this evaluation. The proposed payment method is based on a milestone payment, subject to the approval of the State. SCAG will perform cost analysis of the proposed budget and will pay the co-applicant(s) based on a milestone payment schedule as outlined in the MOU agreement. The total budget request for each co-applicant shall be no more than $200,000 in the application and may not exceed $250,000 in total compensation throughout the duration of the project.
Connect SoCal SCP Call #4: Civic Engagement, Equity & Environmental Justice

Anita Au & Hannah Brunelle
Planning Strategy Department

Agenda

1. Sustainable Communities Program (SCP) & Call for Applications Overview
2. Call for Applications Goals & Objectives
3. Applicant & Project Eligibility
4. Call Structure, Process, & Partnership Opportunities
5. Proposed Scoring Criteria
6. Timeline
Sustainable Communities Program Overview

• Since 2005, the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) has provided resources and direct technical assistance to local jurisdictions via the Sustainable Communities Program (SCP).

• The 2020/21 SCP provided local agencies with three opportunities to access funding and resources to meet the needs of their communities, address recovery and resiliency strategies considering COVID-19, and support regional goals.

• SCAG will release a fourth Call for Applications for programs and projects prioritizing Civic Engagement, Equity & Environmental Justice in September 2022.

Sustainable Communities Program Goals

- Provide needed planning resources
- Promote, address and ensure equity
- Encourage strategies to reduce VMT and GHG emissions
- Implement strategies in Connect SoCal
- Support Connect SoCal Key Connections
- Support regional resiliency
- Increase funding to the region

Learn more about the SCP on SCAG’s website!
Regional Early Action Planning (REAP) 2021 Grant

$246 million = SCAG region's formula share

Obligated by June 2024 Expended by June 2026

“Transformative Planning and Implementation Activities"

- Accelerating infill housing development AND
- Coronavirus Economic Recovery AND
- Reduce Vehicle Miles Travelled AND
- Affirmatively Further Fair Housing

Early Action Initiatives

- Sustainable Communities Program (SCP)
- Local Information Services / Regional Data Platform
- Subregional Partnership Program 2.0 (Housing Element Support)
- Go Human

CTC Partnership Program

- Transit and other multi-modal services that will be critical in supporting VMT reduction
- Fund the development of plans, programs, and pilot projects

Housing Supportive Infrastructure Program

- Infrastructure and utilities to support housing development
- Preservation
- Housing Trust Funds
- Technical Assistance

SCP & SCAGs Racial Equity Action Plan

The Racial Equity Early Action Plan (REEAP), approved by the Regional Council in May 2021, established goals and a policy direction to advance racial equity through SCAG's programs.

SCP Call 4 directly supports the policy direction and Goal 3 of the Plan "Encourage Racial Equity in Local Planning”

- Provide resources for CBO engagement in Local Planning
- Refine equity goals and evaluation criteria used in the Sustainable Communities Program
- Provide resources through the Sustainable Communities Program to promote Environmental Justice
SCP Call for Applications #4 Goals and Objectives

Goals

• Center and prioritize racial and social equity
• Address the pervasive and deep inequities experienced in historically disinvested communities
• Include a wide range of eligible project types
• Support the development of plans to close the gap of racial inequities
• Support the goals in SCAG’s Racial Equity Early Action Plan, Connect SoCal, and SCAG’s Public Participation Plan

Objectives

• Focus support in SCAG’s Communities of Concern, SB 535 Disadvantaged Communities and Regional Early Action Planning Grants (REAP) 2.0 priority areas
• Support local planning efforts focused on eliminating barriers to civic engagement
• Build community capacity, trust, and sustainable relationships with stakeholders
• Prioritize community identified and implemented projects

SCP Call 4 Objectives

Support the development of plans to close the racial equity gap.

Support a range of eligible land use and transportation activities.

Prioritize efforts that benefit Communities of Concern and SB 535 Disadvantaged Communities.
This program is funded by the Regional Early Action Planning Grants of 2021 (REAP 2.0).

COVID-19 economic recovery

- Affirmatively furthering fair housing
- Accelerating infill housing
- Reducing vehicle miles traveled

Applicants may apply to one or more of the following SCP project categories:

1. Land Use & Transportation Strategies
   - Greenway Connectivity Plans
   - Highways to Boulevard Plans

2. Housing Supportive Infrastructure Plans & Programs

3. Planning Convenings & Community Engagement Strategies
   - Community Advocacy and Storytelling
   - Resiliency Toolkits

4. Infrastructure & Utility Financing Plans
5. Public Art in Infrastructure Programs
**Applicant Eligibility**

**Agency Applicant**
- Cities and Counties
- County Transportation Agencies
- Natural Resources or Public Land Agencies
- Public academic institutions
- Transit Agencies
- School Districts
- Tribal Entities
- Special District

**Co-Applicant(s)**
- Community Based Organizations (CBOs)
- Non-profits
- Social enterprises that fulfill a social or public service mission
- Regional housing trust funds

---

**Call for Applications Co-Applicant Partnership Structure**

Meeting community needs through local partnerships

- Local agency could apply with up to two CBO co-applicant(s).
- CBOs would be compensated to lead key elements of the project.
- A Consultant team could be procured to support the local agency and perform identified work.
Geographic Prioritization

Targeted Areas based on REAP 2.0 Guidelines

- Areas of High Segregation and Poverty
- Disadvantaged and Historically Underserved Communities
- Disproportionately Impacted Households
- Impacted Households
- Low-Income Households

Proposed Application & Evaluation Process

- SCAG develops guidelines with feedback from regional partners
- Applicants submit proposals
- SCAG holds office hours and coaching to support applicants
- Proposals are evaluated and awarded based on pre-determined criteria
Proposed Scoring Criteria

Applicants are encouraged to apply by completing an application via an online form.

While one application is recommended, applicants may submit **multiple** applications.

SCP Call 4 Timeline*

- **April-June 2022**: Initial Approach and Strategy
- **June 2022**: Info Sessions and Begin 1:1 Meetings
- **July 2022**: Draft Guidelines to Policy Committees. Continue 1:1 Meetings
- **September 2022 – Winter 2023**: Regional Council Approval and Call Opens. Application Coaching and Continue 1:1 Meetings
- **Spring 2023**: Application Development, Coaching, and Evaluation
- **September 2022**: Project Recommendations and Awards

*subject to change upon feedback
THANK YOU!

For more information, please visit:

https://scag.ca.gov/scp

Anita Au
au@scag.ca.gov
(213) 236-1874

Hannah Brunelle
brunelle@scag.ca.gov
(213) 236-1907
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Information Only – No Action Required

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
Metropolitan Water District (MWD) Resource Specialist, Elise Goldman, will speak on the current drought conditions, and regulations recently adopted by the State of California in response to the current drought. She will also cover Metropolitan’s current conservation efforts and assistance. Orange County Water District’s Executive Director of Engineering and Local Resources, John Kennedy, will speak on the Groundwater Replenishment System that supplies 30 percent of the water that refills the Orange County Groundwater Basin.

BACKGROUND:
Climate change continues to impact the SCAG region’s health, safety and economic welfare as extended dry heat days and persistent aridity worsen severe drought in California. Water years 2020 and 2021 (Oct. 1 through Sept. 30 of the following year) were the driest consecutive years on record for rainfall in California. In August 2021, the state’s primary State Water Project (SWP) reservoir, Lake Oroville, reached its lowest level since it was first filled in the 1970s. These conditions culminated in December 2021 when the California Department of Water Resources announced that the initial SWP allocation for 2022 would only be for human health and safety needs. If drought conditions continue, communities that are largely dependent on the SWP for their water supplies may only receive a very limited amount of water from the system. On the Colorado River, significantly low runoff in the Upper Basin led to the first-ever shortage declaration in the
Colorado River system for 2022, with the increased likelihood of shortages to California over the next five years.¹

Although most Southern California water systems and planning do not yet account for persistent aridity, a shift towards greater local water reliance and efficiency can help mitigate and adapt to changing water supply and climate. Local water solutions include building upon underutilized resources, such as rainwater, grey water, stormwater, and water reuse and efficiency.

MWD, its member agencies and partners have responded with operational drought actions to limit the use of and stretch SWP supplies. This is in addition to the introduction of new programs and outreach measures, agreements, conservation programs and a concerted effort to collaborate. After Gov. Gavin Newsom expanded his Executive Order declaring a statewide drought emergency to include all of California, Metropolitan’s board declared a Drought Emergency and called for increased efforts to maximize conservation, especially in communities dependent on SWP deliveries that face the greatest challenges.² As part of MWD’s water conservation efforts, the website https://BeWaterWise.com offers resources to help residents and businesses save water, including rebates for water-efficient appliances, irrigation and landscaping, as well as trainings and water-saving tips. Metropolitan also is making immediate and long-term investments to help make Southern California more resilient to drought and climate change, including investing in local supplies, conservation, storage and system flexibility, and seeking state and federal support for these investments.

In Orange County, local water reliance and efficiency has been improved with the establishment of the Groundwater Replenishment System (GRWS) in 2008, which was spearheaded by the Orange County Water District and Orange County Sanitation District. The GWRS takes highly treated wastewater that would have normally been discharged into the Pacific Ocean and purifies it using a three-step advanced treatment process consisting of microfiltration, reverse osmosis and ultraviolet light with hydrogen peroxide. The GWRS produces up to 100 million gallons (379,000 cubic meters) per day of high-quality water that exceeds all state and federal drinking water standards for nearly 850,000 residents in north and central Orange County. Presently, expansion of the GWRS is underway and will be completed by 2023, with funding from a variety of local, state, and federal sources. Once complete, the GWRS will produce an additional 30 million gallons per day of drought-proof water to replenish the Orange County Groundwater Basin. The expansion will bring total GWRS production to 130 million gallons per day (492,000 cubic meters); enough water for one million people.

² Ibid.
The Orange County Groundwater Basin underlies north and central Orange County, and provides approximately 77 percent of the potable water supply for 2.5 million people. Although the basin is vast, the average annual withdrawals must be balanced by recharge from a variety of sources. The primary sources of supply for the basin include flows from the Santa Ana River, rainfall from local wintertime storms, reused water, and excess imported water from distant sources: the Sacramento - San Joaquin River Delta and the Colorado River. About 30 percent of the water that refills the basin comes from the GWRS\(^3\). The GWRS offers many benefits, including conservation, as it protects the environment by reusing a precious resource, reduces the amount of wastewater discharged to the Pacific Ocean, improves water quality in the basin, reduces the volume of treated wastewater discharged into the ocean and puts it to beneficial use, and reduces the region’s need for imported water\(^4\).

MWD Resource Specialist, Elise Goldman, will speak on regulations recently adopted by the State of California in response to the current drought, and MWD’s conservation efforts. Orange County Water District’s Executive Director of Engineering and Local Resources, John Kennedy, will speak on the Groundwater Replenishment System.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**
None – this is not SCAG funded work.

---


AGENDA ITEM 8
REPORT

Southern California Association of Governments
Hybrid (In-Person and Remote Participation)
900 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1700 - Policy A Meeting Room
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Thursday, July 7, 2022

To: Community Economic & Human Development Committee (CEHD)
   Energy & Environment Committee (EEC)
   Transportation Committee (TC)
   Regional Council (RC)

From: Courtney Aguirre, Program Manager II
       (213) 236-1990, aguirre@scag.ca.gov

Subject: Connect SoCal 2024 – Draft Vision, Goals, and Performance Measures

RECOMMENDED ACTION CEHD, EEC AND TC:
Information Only – No Action Required

RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR RC:
Receive and File

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
In preparation for SCAG’s next Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS), Connect SoCal 2024, staff have developed a draft vision, goals, and performance measures. These elements are grounded in the currently adopted plan, Connect SoCal 2020, but have been updated to reflect changes within the region as well as emerging planning priorities (e.g., equity, resilience, etc.). The draft language of the vision, goals, and performance measures reflects input from multiple stakeholder groups, including the Technical Working Group and the Regional Planning Working Groups. Staff will continue to seek feedback from a broader audience of stakeholders over the ensuing months and report back to this Committee with updates, if any.

BACKGROUND:
Every four years, SCAG, as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the six-county region of Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura and Imperial, is required by federal law (23 USCA §134 et seq.) to prepare and update a long-range (minimum of 20 years) Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) that provides for the development and integrated management and operation of transportation systems and facilities that will function as an intermodal transportation network for the SCAG metropolitan planning area. The process for development of the plan takes
into account all modes of transportation and is accomplished by a “continuing, cooperative and comprehensive” (the 3 C’s) planning approach, which is also performance-driven and outcome-based. In addition, because the SCAG region is designated as nonattainment for ozone or carbon monoxide under the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. §7401 et seq.), the plan must conform to applicable air quality standards.

The passage of California Senate Bill 375 (SB 375) in 2008 requires that SCAG prepare and adopt a Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) that sets forth a forecasted regional development pattern which, when integrated with the transportation network, measures, and policies, will reduce greenhouse gas emissions from automobiles and light duty trucks (Govt. Code §65080(b)(2)(B)). The SCS outlines certain land use development strategies that provide for more integrated land use and transportation planning and maximize transportation investments.

With each new plan cycle, SCAG re-evaluates the region’s planning priorities for the next 20 to 25 years and develops an updated vision and goals to guide development of the next plan. These elements of the plan are regarded as foundational and that is why feedback is critical. In support of Connect SoCal 2024, staff have developed a revised vision and goals that align with four enduring regional priorities—improving mobility, communities, the environment, and the economy. Staff, with direction from SCAG Policy Committees and the Regional Council, are developing a plan that is centered on these regional priorities and rooted in resilience and equity. For each of the plan goals, staff has identified performance measures that will help in evaluating the effectiveness of the plan’s investments and strategies and support ongoing monitoring of the region’s progress in achieving its goals.

**Draft Vision and Goals**

Staff developed an overarching vision for this plan cycle to encapsulate an image of what the region can become by 2050. The purpose of the vision is to bring together the key themes of the plan goals and the policy direction set by recent actions of the Regional Council regarding equity and resilience. The vision underscores the core purpose and responsibility of the long-range plan in supporting balanced growth of the region’s natural and built environments to meet the needs of people in the years to come. The vision was crafted to be simple, straightforward, and easy to remember so that it remains top of mind in the intervening years as policy decisions are being considered.

**Draft Vision**

A healthy, accessible, and connected region for a more resilient and equitable future.

Staff consolidated the prior plan goals and reshaped them to center around the aforementioned regional priorities—improving mobility, communities, the environment, and the economy. Each goal is then supported by sub-goals that further define and elaborate the intention behind the main
goals. Integrated within the goals are the thematic throughlines of equity and resilience, both emerging issues prioritized by the Regional Council through policy direction adopted since the Connect SoCal 2020 approval. The full text for the selected draft goals and sub-goals is provided in Attachment 1. These goals were also crafted to be simple, straightforward, and easy to remember so that they may remain top of mind.

**Draft Goals**

Build and maintain a robust transportation network. (Mobility)

Develop, connect, and sustain communities that are livable and thriving. (Communities)

Create a healthy region for the people of today and tomorrow. (Environment)

Support a sustainable, efficient, and productive regional economic environment that provides opportunities for all. (Economy)

**Outreach and Engagement**

Starting in January 2022, SCAG staff sought early input on the draft goals and vision statement from key stakeholder groups by presenting to the Regional Planning Working Groups (RPWGs), the Technical Working Group (TWG), and Regional Transit Technical Advisory Committee, and reaching out through various communication outlets. Staff requested specific feedback on how to better integrate equity and resilience, while also noting for stakeholders whether there were opportunities to expand the goals. Staff received stakeholder comments and feedback through April 30, 2022. Stakeholders shared their preferred vision, suggested edits, requests for further elaboration through the sub-goals on how main goals may be achieved, and recommendations for clarification. Staff also received other comments that fell beyond the scope of SCAG’s regional role and responsibilities but were noted for future consideration, where applicable, during plan development. Based on this feedback, the draft vision and goals for Connect SoCal 2024 were selected. The vision and goals will be shared with a broader base of stakeholders for additional input as Connect SoCal 2024 moves forward. Staff will report back to this Committee with updates, if any.

**Draft Plan Performance**

Each of the plan goals is supported by a set of performance measures, which are categorized to align with the enduring regional priorities of improving mobility, communities, the environment, and the economy. Evaluation of the region’s efforts occurs through two specific processes, performance measurement and performance monitoring.

**Performance Measurement**

---

1 SCAG staff are currently assessing whether the performance measures can be evaluated for all households and households with low incomes as a proxy for evaluating equity. This would be separate from the plan’s equity analysis.
The plan’s performance measures evaluate the performance of investments and strategies that will be implemented at the local, regional, or state levels. The plan’s performance is largely evaluated using a combination of modeling tools, with results that can help inform policy decisions across the regional priorities. The modeling results provide the basis for interpreting the anticipated outcomes of the plan’s investments and strategies. These performance measures are used in the forecasting process as SCAG anticipates what the future could look like. They help us respond to some key questions, including:

**Key Questions**
- Will our region become more connected and accessible? (focus: Mobility)
- Will we grow in ways that encourage livability? (focus: Communities)
- Will people and our environments become healthier? (focus: Environment)
- Will our economy function well for all? (focus: Economy)

Among the twenty-five draft performance measures proposed for inclusion in Connect SoCal 2024, most (18) are being carried over from the last plan, five have been revised from the last plan, and two are proposed new measures. The revised measures include average travel time, major destination and park accessibility, and share of regional households and employment growth located in Priority Development Areas (PDAs). In the past, SCAG analyzed travel time only for work trips, but now non-work trips will also be evaluated. The major destination and park accessibility measures previously assessed only evening peak period trips, but will now also include morning and mid-day periods. Finally, the share of regional employment and household growth measures, which previously were based on location within a High-Quality Transit Area (HQTA), will now be assessed by location within a Priority Growth Area (PDA). The two new measures include access to jobs, which is the share of employment centers accessible during peak travel periods; and transit seat utilization, which assesses seat capacity utilization during the peak demand period for all transit types. For a detailed list of the draft performance measures, please review Attachment 2. Additional examples of draft performance measures sorted by category include:

**Mobility**
- Average Trip Distance
- Average Travel Time

**Communities**
- Percent of Trips Less than 3 Miles

**Environment**
- Vehicle Miles Traveled per Capita
- Greenhouse Gas Emissions
- Criteria Air Pollutant Emissions
Economy
New Jobs Added Due to Improved Regional Economic Competitiveness
Transportation System Investment Benefit/Cost Ratio

On-going Performance Monitoring
Once the plan is adopted, on-going monitoring of the plan’s performance helps ensure that the region is making progress towards achieving the established regional goals, and in some cases, interim targets allow for more near-term performance evaluation. Performance monitoring is key to understanding which investments and strategies are proving successful in meeting specific regional goals and which ones may require modification or reconsideration. Progress towards achieving SCAG’s regional goals is made primarily through implementation at the local level.

Among the thirty-four draft on-going performance monitoring measures proposed for inclusion in Connect SoCal 2024, most (22) are being carried over from the last plan, one measure has been revised, and eleven are new measures for Connect SoCal 2024. The revised measure is average travel time, which is also a performance measure used to evaluate the plan. As noted above, SCAG intends to analyze travel time to work as well as travel time for non-work trips. The new measures address critical regional issues, including housing, climate change, and the economy. The feasibility of evaluating some of these proposed new measures using new methodologies is still being assessed and may result in the elimination or revision of one or more of the new metrics due to data or modeling capacity constraints. For a detailed list of draft on-going performance monitoring measures, please review Attachment 3. Examples of draft performance monitoring measures sorted by category include:

- **Mobility**
  - Commute Travel Mode Share
  - Collision-related Fatalities & Serious Injuries
  - Transit Boardings Per Capita

- **Communities**
  - Housing Cost Burden
  - Housing Vulnerable to Environmental Impacts
  - Percent of Population in Climate Risk Areas

- **Environment**
  - Number of Park Acres per 1,000 Residents
  - Households Located Near High-Volume Roadways

- **Economy**
  - Unemployment Rate
  - Employment
Additional Regulatory Compliance
Connect SoCal 2024 will take into full account the following federal and state performance requirements.

Performance-Driven Programming
Through recent Congressional transportation authorization legislation (Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21), Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, and the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act of 2021 (IIJA), performance and outcome-based planning and programming has become an explicit national, state, and regional priority. Through MAP-21, national performance goals were established, including: 1) transportation system safety, 2) infrastructure condition, 3) congestion reduction, 4) system reliability, 5) freight movement and economic vitality, 6) environmental sustainability, and 7) reduced project delivery delays. The FAST Act and IIJA carried forward these performance-based planning requirements. To provide a quantitative basis for evaluating progress toward achieving the goals, MAP-21 requires federal transportation agencies to develop performance measures and targets that correspond to each goal. In turn, states and MPOs such as SCAG as well as state and public transportation providers are required to establish targets and report on progress towards meeting targets in planning and programming documents (i.e., Connect SoCal and the FTIP).

SCAG’s most recently adopted plan, Connect SoCal 2020, established targets addressing the seven national performance goals. The next plan, Connect SoCal 2024, will continue to do so. Furthermore, SCAG’s FTIP has also included and will continue to include a description of the anticipated effect of the FTIP toward achieving the targets identified in the plan, linking investment priorities to those performance targets. To further fulfill its reporting requirements, SCAG has developed a Performance Measures module in the FTIP database for county transportation commissions, local agencies, and transit operators to provide performance measures information at the project level. These performance-based approaches to transportation programming are intended to promote transparency in decision-making and help agencies prioritize investments that support target achievement and maximize return on investment.

Environmental Justice
SCAG’s long-range plan has long included an Environmental Justice (EJ) analysis that evaluates current conditions, and the consequences of the region’s transportation projects on people of color, low-income households, and other vulnerable populations. Connect SoCal 2024 will include a more robust and broadened equity analysis. The evolved approach will include revisiting the populations and communities analyzed in previous EJ analyses and developing a new community referred to as “Prioritized Equity Populations and Areas” (PEPA). Staff have developed a separate list of
performance measures to assess the performance of Connect SoCal 2024 relative to regional equity concerns. A separate dedicated equity analysis staff report and presentation to be shared at the July 7 meeting will provide details on this component of the plan.

Transportation Air Quality Conformity
The plan evaluation process includes measures specific to the federally mandated regional transportation conformity analysis to ensure that the plan is consistent with, or conforms to, the goals and objectives of the applicable air quality implementation plans for meeting National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) in the region. These air quality measures are used to demonstrate that implementation of the plan will not create any new violations of federal air quality standards, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of federal air quality standards.

Regional Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emission Reduction Targets
SCAG is also required to meet state requirements for regional GHG emission reduction targets. Specifically, SCAG is required to demonstrate that the adopted plan, once implemented, would achieve the 2035 regional GHG emission reduction target established by the California Air Resources Board (CARB). For the SCAG region, CARB set a target of a 19 percent reduction in per capita GHG emissions by 2035, relative to GHG emission levels recorded in 2005.

Outreach and Engagement
Similar to the vision and goals effort, starting in January 2022, SCAG staff sought early input on the draft performance measures from key stakeholder groups by presenting to the Regional Planning Working Groups (RPWGs), the Technical Working Group (TWG), and Regional Transit Technical Advisory Committee. Staff requested specific feedback on how to better integrate equity and resilience as well as other regional priorities (e.g., housing). Staff received stakeholder comments and feedback through April 30, 2022. Stakeholders shared their suggested edits and requests for further elaboration, and recommendations for clarification. To the extent that it was feasible, SCAG integrated feedback shared. More detail on feedback shared and SCAG responses can be reviewed in Attachment 4. Based on this feedback, the draft performance measures were refined and selected. The performance measures will be shared with a broader base of stakeholders for additional input as Connect SoCal 2024 moves forward. Staff will report back to this Committee with updates, if any.

Next Steps
The proposed vision, goals, and performance measures for the plan are being presented in draft form in this report, and staff are seeking the input, feedback, and counsel of this Committee on these foundational components of the plan. In the ensuing months, staff will continue to seek input from external stakeholders to ensure the draft vision, goals, and performance measures reflect the
region’s priorities, and staff will continue to return to the Committee to provide updates should any of these pieces evolve.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**
Work associated with this item is included in the Fiscal Year 22/23 Overall Work Program (150.4095.01: RTP/SCS Performance Monitoring).

**ATTACHMENT(S):**
1. PowerPoint Presentation - Connect SoCal_VisionGoalsPerf_rev2
2. 2024 Draft Vision, Goals, and Performance Measures
Connect SoCal 2024: Overview

Vision Statement Purpose:

- What do we want the region to become?
- Where do we want to go?

(4) Simplified Goals:

- Mobility, Communities, Environment, & Economy
- Further defined through sub-goals

Through Lines:

- Equity
- Resilience
Connect SoCal 2024: Draft Vision Statement

What kind of region do we want to be in 2050?

A healthy, accessible, and connected region for a more resilient and equitable future.

Connect SoCal 2024 Draft Goals: Mobility

Build and maintain a robust transportation network.

• Support investments and programs that are well-maintained and operated, coordinated, and resilient, and result in improved safety and air quality.

• Ensure reliable, accessible, affordable, and quality travel options while striving to enhance equity in transportation resources offered in underserved communities.

• Plan for people of all ages, abilities, and backgrounds.
**Connect SoCal 2024 Draft Goals: Communities**

**Develop, connect, and sustain communities that are livable and thriving.**

- Reinforce vibrant, human-centered communities in urban, suburban, and rural settings to increase mobility options and reduce travel distances.
- Produce and preserve a diversity of housing types to improve affordability, accessibility, and choices for all.
- Foster inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity, and actively seek to reduce racial and economic disparities.

**Connect SoCal 2024 Draft Goals: Environment**

**Create a healthy region for the people of today & tomorrow.**

- Develop communities that are resilient and can mitigate, adapt to, and respond to chronic and acute stressors and disruptions, such as climate change.
- Integrate the region’s development pattern and transportation network to improve air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.
- Conserve and restore the region’s natural and agricultural resources.
Connect SoCal 2024 Draft Goals: Economy

_Support a sustainable, efficient, and productive regional economic environment that provides opportunities for all._

- Provide physical and digital infrastructure to improve access to education, vocational training, jobs, financial systems, and to foster the growth of small businesses in underserved communities.

- Advance a resilient and efficient goods movement system that supports the economic vitality of the region, attainment of clean air, and quality of life for our communities.

- Improve regional multimodal transportation system infrastructure and efficiency to enhance the region’s global economic competitiveness.

Connect SoCal 2024 Draft Goals: Overview

1. Build & maintain a robust transportation network (Mobility)

2. Develop, connect, & sustain communities that are livable & thriving (Communities)

3. Create a healthy region for the people of today & tomorrow (Environment)

4. Support a sustainable, efficient, & productive regional economic environment that provides opportunities for all (Economy)
Connect SoCal 2024: Draft Performance Measures

- Evaluate the Plan's investments & strategies relative to regional goals
- Address state GHG reduction targets & federal conformity requirements
- Address federal performance reporting requirements, including Environmental Justice
- Support on-going monitoring of progress toward achieving regional goals & targets

Connect SoCal 2024: Required Performance Measures

- State
  - Greenhouse gas emissions
- Federal
  - Transportation & transit safety
  - Infrastructure condition
  - Congestion reduction
  - System reliability
  - Freight movement and economic vitality
  - Environmental sustainability
  - Reduced project delivery delays
  - Air quality conformity
Indicators, Performance Measures, & Monitoring Measures

What’s the difference?

Baseline Indicators
Existing Conditions
Today

Performance Measures
Plan Evaluation
2050

Monitoring Measures
On-going Progress
Tomorrow

Connect SoCal 2024: Plan Performance Measures

**Mobility**
- Trip distance
- Mode share
- Person hours of delay by facility type
- Person-delay per capita
- Truck delay by facility type
- Average travel time
- Travel time by mode
- Major destination accessibility
  - Access to jobs
  - Transit seat utilization

**Communities**
- Share of trips less than 3 miles
- Share of regional households located in PDAs
- Truck delay by facility type
- Average travel time
- Travel time by mode
- Major destination accessibility

**Environment**
- VMT per capita
- GHG per capita
- Total square miles of greenfield & rural lands converted to urban use
- Criteria air pollutants
- Energy & water consumption per capita
- Park/open space accessibility

**Economy**
- New jobs added due to transportation system investments & improved regional economic competitiveness
- Share of employment growth in PDAs
- Transportation system investment benefit/cost ratio
Connect SoCal 2024: Plan Performance Measures

1. Will our region become more connected & accessible?
2. Will we grow in ways that encourage livability?
3. Will people & our natural environment become healthier?
4. Will our economy function effectively for everyone?

Connect SoCal 2024: On-going Monitoring Measures

**Mobility**
- Average travel time
- Commute mode share
- % reliable person-miles traveled on NHS
- Peak hour excessive delay
- NHS bridge, NHS road, & local roads condition
- Fatalities/serious injuries (incl. transit)
- Transit system reliability, equip. condition
- Transit boardings
- Transit seat utilization
- Managed lanes utilization

**Communities**
- Housing cost burden
- Asthma incidence & exacerbation
- % of residents within 1/2 mile walk to open space
- ADU development
- Housing vulnerable to environmental impacts

**Environment**
- VMT per capita
- Air quality by basin
- Number of acres of parks for every 1,000 residents
- % of households near high-volume roadways
- % of population in climate risk areas
- Nature adaptation investments
- Urban heat island reduction strategies
- Williamson Act contract acreage impacted

**Economy**
- Percent of Interstate system mileage providing reliable truck travel time
- Unemployment rate
- Employment
Connect SoCal 2024 Performance Measures: Engagement

Feedback sought from:
• Regional Planning Working Groups
• Technical Working Group
• Regional Transit Technical Advisory Committee

Requested feedback on:
• How to better integrate equity & resilience
• Considering other areas?

Examples of feedback:
• Expansion of the proposed new ‘Managed Lane Utilization’ metric to include both HOT & HOV lanes
• Clarification that the ‘Parks/Open Space Access’ metric includes both public & private parks
• Expansion of proposed new ‘ADU Potential’ metric to include all areas (not just PDAs)

Timeline

Summer Onward:
• Continue to assess feasibility of revised & proposed new measures
• Seek feedback from broader base of stakeholders
• Refine measures as necessary
• Share updates with stakeholders
THANK YOU!

For more information, please visit:

https://scag.ca.gov/connect-socal

Vision/Goals | Sarah Dominguez, dominguezs@scag.ca.gov
Performance Measures | Courtney Aguirre, aguirre@scag.ca.gov
Performance Measures | Michael Gainor, gainor@scag.ca.gov
## Connect SoCal 2024

**Draft Performance Measures: Plan Evaluation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Connect SoCal Goal</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Change From Last Plan</th>
<th>Equity Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>Major Destination Accessibility</td>
<td>Share of major destinations accessible within 30 minutes by automobile or 45 minutes by transit.</td>
<td>Revised: Assess AM peak, PM peak, &amp; mid-day</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>Average Trip Distance</td>
<td>Average distance traveled for work &amp; for all trips, including trip lengths 10 miles or less &amp; 25 miles or less.</td>
<td>Continuing measure from 2020</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>Travel Mode Share</td>
<td>Share of total work trips &amp; all trips by travel mode: auto, transit, non-motorized, &amp; other.</td>
<td>Continuing measure from 2020</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>Person Hours of Delay by Facility Type</td>
<td>Excess travel time resulting from the difference between a reference speed &amp; actual speed (mixed flow, HOV, &amp; arterials).</td>
<td>Continuing measure from 2020</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>Person-Delay per Capita</td>
<td>Daily amount of delay experienced per capita due to traffic congestion.</td>
<td>Continuing measure from 2020</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>Truck Delay by Facility Type</td>
<td>Excess heavy duty truck travel time based on difference between reference speed &amp; actual speed (highways/arterials).</td>
<td>Continuing measure from 2020</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>Average Travel Time</td>
<td>Average travel time (work &amp; non-work trips) by travel mode: single occupancy vehicle (SOV), high-occupancy vehicle (HOV), walk, bike, &amp; transit.</td>
<td>Revised: Addition of non-work trips</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>Travel Time Distribution by Mode</td>
<td>Travel time distribution by mode: single occupancy vehicle (SOV), high-occupancy vehicle (HOV), &amp; transit.</td>
<td>Continuing measure from 2020</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>Transit Seat Utilization</td>
<td>Transit seat capacity utilization during peak demand hour (available seats for all transit types).</td>
<td>New measure for 2024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Communities</td>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>Percent of Trips Less than 3 Miles</td>
<td>Share of work &amp; non-work trips less than 3 miles in length.</td>
<td>Continuing measure from 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Communities</td>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>Share of Regional Households Located in Priority Development Areas (PDAs)</td>
<td>Percent of total regional households located within PDAs.</td>
<td>Revised: Geography changed from HQTA to PDA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Connect SoCal Goal</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Performance Measure</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Change From Last Plan</td>
<td>Equity Measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Communities</td>
<td>Public Health</td>
<td>Physical Activity-Related Public Health Incidence &amp; Costs</td>
<td>Public health incidences &amp; costs related to lack of physical activity.</td>
<td>Continuing measure from 2020</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Communities</td>
<td>Public Health</td>
<td>Air Pollution-Related Public Health Incidence &amp; Costs</td>
<td>Public health incidences &amp; costs related to air pollution.</td>
<td>Continuing measure from 2020</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Climate Resiliency</td>
<td>Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) per Capita</td>
<td>Daily vehicle miles traveled (VMT) per capita.</td>
<td>Continuing measure from 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Climate Resiliency</td>
<td>Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions</td>
<td>Percent reduction in GHG emissions per capita (from 2005 levels).</td>
<td>Continuing measure from 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Conservation</td>
<td>Land Conversion to Urban Purposes</td>
<td>Total square miles of greenfield &amp; rural lands converted to urban use.</td>
<td>Continuing measure from 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Public Health</td>
<td>Criterria Air Pollutant Emissions</td>
<td>ROG, CO, NOx, PM10, &amp; PM2.5 emissions (tons per day).</td>
<td>Continuing measure from 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Public Health</td>
<td>Park Accessibility</td>
<td>Share of park acreage reachable within 30 minutes by automobile or 45 minutes by transit.</td>
<td>Revised: Assess AM peak, PM peak, &amp; mid-day</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Resource Efficiency</td>
<td>Energy Consumption</td>
<td>Energy (electricity, natural gas, vehicle fuel) consumption per capita.</td>
<td>Continuing measure from 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Resource Efficiency</td>
<td>Water Consumption</td>
<td>Urban water consumption per capita.</td>
<td>Continuing measure from 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Economy</td>
<td>Jobs</td>
<td>New Jobs Added Due to Transportation System Investments</td>
<td>Number of new jobs added to regional economy directly related to plan transportation system investments.</td>
<td>Continuing measure from 2020</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Economy</td>
<td>Jobs</td>
<td>Share of Employment Growth Occurring in Priority Development Areas (PDAs)</td>
<td>Percent of total regional employment growth occurring within PDAs.</td>
<td>Revised: Geography changed from HQTA to PDA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Economy</td>
<td>Competitiveness</td>
<td>New Jobs Added Due to Improved Regional Economic Competitiveness</td>
<td>Number of new jobs added to the regional economy as a result of improved transportation system conditions.</td>
<td>Continuing measure from 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Connect SoCal Goal</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Performance Measure</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Change From Last Plan</td>
<td>Equity Measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Economy</td>
<td>Competitiveness</td>
<td>Transportation System Investment Benefit/Cost Ratio</td>
<td>Ratio of monetized user &amp; social benefits to transportation system investment costs.</td>
<td>Continuing measure from 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR EEC:
For Information Only – No Action Required

RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR CEHD, TC, and RC:
Receive and File

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
In July 2020, SCAG’s Regional Council adopted Resolution 20-623-2, affirming its commitment to advancing justice, equity, diversity, and inclusion throughout Southern California and subsequently adopted the Racial Equity Early Action Plan (EAP) in May 2021, outlining goals, strategies, and actions to advance equity. Prior to the adoption of the EAP, SCAG’s equity efforts were concentrated in its Environmental Justice (EJ) Program, which has long focused on public outreach, engagement, early and meaningful participation of EJ communities in the decision-making process, and equal and fair access to a healthy environment. SCAG’s EJ Program addresses both state and federal requirements by aiming to protect people of color and low-income communities from incurring disproportionately adverse environmental impacts. The Energy and Environment Committee (EEC) provides policy direction for this work, which aligns with the primary goals of the aforementioned EAP, which are to: 1) center racial equity in regional policy and planning and bring equity into SCAG’s regional planning functions, and 2) encourage racial equity in local planning practices by promoting racial equity in efforts involving local elected officials and planning professionals.
Following staff’s April 7, 2022 presentation to EEC on SCAG’s approach for Connect SoCal 2024’s Equity Analysis (formerly Environmental Justice Analysis), this staff report and the corresponding presentation will provide additional details on SCAG’s proposed updates to the Equity Analysis performance measures. These performance measures will help SCAG evaluate how future changes in the region will impact the most vulnerable people and communities. The proposed updates to the performance measures have been developed based on extensive discussions with internal subject matter experts and external stakeholder input. The proposed approach for the Equity Analysis is grounded in best practices and aims to meaningfully evaluate inequities in the region and propose strategies for addressing them.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan; Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy; Objective D: Promote and engage partners in a cooperative regional approach to problem-solving.

BACKGROUND:
In July 2020, SCAG’s Regional Council adopted Resolution 20-623-2, affirming its commitment to advancing justice, equity, diversity, and inclusion throughout Southern California and subsequently adopted the Racial Equity Early Action Plan (EAP) in May 2021, outlining goals, strategies, and actions to advance its commitments. Prior to the adoption of the EAP, SCAG’s equity efforts were concentrated in its Environmental Justice (EJ) Program, which is guided by the policy direction of the Energy and Environment Committee, and plays a central role in advancing two of the primary goals of the EAP which are to: 1) center racial equity in regional policy and planning and bring equity into SCAG’s regional planning functions, and 2) encourage racial equity in local planning practices by promoting racial equity in efforts involving local elected officials and planning professionals.

SCAG’s EJ Program focuses on public outreach, engagement, early and meaningful participation of EJ communities in the decision-making process, and equal and fair access to a healthy environment with the goal of protecting people of color and low-income communities from incurring disproportionately adverse environmental impacts and share benefits of regional investment appropriately. By way of background, the consideration of EJ in the transportation process stems from Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964,¹ and was further enhanced by Executive Order 12898² (1994) which established the need for transportation agencies to disclose to the general public the benefits and burdens of proposed projects on people of color and low-income populations. Executive Order 12898 amplified Title VI by providing protections based on income in addition to

¹ Title VI states that “No person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.”
race and ordered all federal agencies to consider environmental justice during the planning and decision-making process for all federally funded projects. As a Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) that receives federal funding, SCAG is required to conduct early and meaningful outreach to EJ communities and develop an EJ analysis for its regional transportation plans. In addition to federal requirements, SCAG must also comply with California Government Code Section 11135, which mandates fair treatment of all individuals for all state-funded programs and activities.

In an effort to further improve upon the next EJ analysis for Connect SoCal 2024, staff conducted a literature review of EJ methodologies from MPOs throughout the nation. Methodologies were reviewed and analyzed for potentially relevant performance metrics and innovative approaches. In addition to evaluating peer agency EJ methodologies, staff are also coordinating and communicating with stakeholders at the federal and state levels to ensure equity efforts are aligned. Because these federal and state tools may be used for future funding programs to prioritize projects in underserved communities, staff want to ensure the region’s approach is properly aligned.

More specifically, staff are evaluating the following tools:

- **Council on Environmental Quality’s Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool**[^4] supports federal agencies in identifying communities that are marginalized, underserved, and overburdened by pollution. The current version is still undergoing refinement, but provides socioeconomic, environmental, and climate information to inform decisions that may affect these communities.

- **Environmental Protection Agency’s Environmental Justice Screening and Mapping Tool**[^5] provides a nationally consistent dataset and approach for combining environmental and demographic indicators that highlight areas where vulnerable populations may be disproportionately impacted by pollution.

- **Caltrans Transportation Equity Index**[^6] is a forthcoming tool that staff anticipate reviewing later this year. The index aims to identify communities that are underserved and/or burdened by transportation using environmental, accessibility, and socioeconomic indicators.

[^3]: California Government Code Section 11135 states “no person in the State of California shall, on the basis of race, national origin, ethnic group identification, religion, age, sex, sexual orientation, color, or disability, be unlawfully denied full and equal access to the benefits of, or be unlawfully subjected to discrimination under, any program or activity that is conducted, operated, or administered by the state or by any state agency that is funded directly by the state, or receives any financial assistance from the state.”


[^5]: EJScreen 2.0: [https://ejscreen.epa.gov/mapper/](https://ejscreen.epa.gov/mapper/)

[^6]: Caltrans Transportation Equity Index: [https://dot.ca.gov/programs/planning-modal/race-equity/eqi](https://dot.ca.gov/programs/planning-modal/race-equity/eqi)
• **Public Health Alliance of Southern California’s Healthy Places Index (HPI)** explores community conditions that impact life expectancy in California, such as access to healthcare, housing, education, and more. More than 100 government agencies, health care institutions, and community groups have used the HPI to make more equitable decisions around transportation planning, climate vulnerability, philanthropic grantmaking, and health care needs assessments.

• **California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment’s CalEnviroScreen** is a mapping tool that helps identify SB 535 Disadvantaged Communities (DAC), which are census tracts receiving the highest 25 percent of overall scores based on pollution burdens and socioeconomic disadvantages. SCAG’s prior EJ Analysis already considered DACs.

• **California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC)/Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) Opportunity Map** identifies areas in every region of the state whose characteristics have been shown by research to support positive economic, educational, and health outcomes for low-income families—particularly long-term outcomes for children. TCAC adopted this map into its regulations to support policies related to increasing access to the Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program, and HCD uses it to inform their Multifamily Housing Program and the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee’s regulations for 4% LIHTCs.

To the extent that it is possible, SCAG’s approach for Connect SoCal 2024 will be aligned with these tools as well as best practices from extensive research and continued communication with many stakeholders.

At the April 7, 2022 EEC meeting, staff provided a preview of the proposed Connect SoCal 2024 Equity Analysis, a refined approach for developing a more robust equity analysis. The evolved approach includes revisiting the populations and communities analyzed in previous EJ analyses, developing a new community referred to as “Prioritized Equity Populations and Areas” (PEPA). PEPAs include 10 categories and utilize two methodologies to determine eligibility to capture vulnerable communities and incorporate equity more fully into the analysis. Statutory requirements would continue to be addressed with this shift.

**REFINED APPROACH:**
SCAG’s long-range plan has long included an EJ analysis that evaluates current conditions and the consequences of the region’s transportation projects on people of color, low-income households, and other vulnerable populations, like older adults, young children, households without vehicles, people with disabilities, people with limited English proficiency, and more. A set of performance measures help SCAG evaluate how future changes in the region will impact the most vulnerable populations.

---

7 Healthy Places Index 3.0: [https://map.healthyplacesindex.org/](https://map.healthyplacesindex.org/)
8 SB 535 Disadvantaged Communities: [https://oehha.ca.gov/calenviroscreen/sb535](https://oehha.ca.gov/calenviroscreen/sb535)
9 TCAC/HCD Opportunity Area Maps: [https://www.treasurer.ca.gov/ctcac/opportunity.asp](https://www.treasurer.ca.gov/ctcac/opportunity.asp)
people and communities. These performance measures help SCAG respond to some key questions, including:

- Will our economy function well for all, particularly people of color and low-income households? (focus: economy)
- Will we grow in ways that encourage livability among prioritized equity populations? (focus: communities)
- Will our region become more connected and accessible for everyone, regardless of race/ethnicity, age, gender, disability, income, etc.? (focus: mobility)
- Will people and our environments, particularly areas that have historic and current public health risks, become healthier? (focus: environment)

The EJ analysis has helped SCAG focus answers to these questions on specific populations and areas. Each iteration of SCAG’s EJ analysis has included more enhancements to the approach, including new or improved performance measures that are responsive to the evolving vision and goals of each long-range plan. These enhancements contribute to a very comprehensive, yet lengthy report. With the increased availability of online data resources, including SCAG’s Regional Data Platform,¹⁰ staff is recommending streamlining and consolidating the performance measures to make the report easier to understand and navigate, while maintaining the robust and comprehensive analysis. Furthermore, as the Environmental Justice Analysis evolves into an Equity Analysis, the performance evaluation will be adapted by adding more racial/ethnic disaggregated data, when it is available; and incorporating more existing conditions analyses, similar to that included in SCAG’s Racial Equity: Baseline Conditions Report.¹¹

The proposed refinements to the performance measures are described in more detail below. As previously stated, it is important to note that the statutory requirements would continue to be addressed with the proposed enhancements and updates.

**Reorganizing Equity Performance Measures**

To start, staff is recommending a reorganization of performance measures under the four core categories of Connect SoCal goals, which include economy, communities, mobility, and environment. The 2020 EJ Technical Report included 18 performance measures organized under four EJ focused questions related to impacts on quality of life, transportation costs, health and safety, and commute. By revisiting the organization of the performance measures, staff can directly reference the Connect SoCal goals, relate these measures to other metrics within the plan, and streamline the introduction of each focus area. Figure 1 provides the proposed organization of equity performance measures under the four core categories of Connect SoCal goals.

---

¹⁰ SCAG Regional Data Platform: [https://hub.scag.ca.gov/](https://hub.scag.ca.gov/)
Proposed Changes for the Equity Performance Measures

To improve and further incorporate equity into the analysis, SCAG staff recommends several changes, both enhancements and consolidations, to the performance measures. In addition to the ideas that emerged from staff’s literature review of EJ methodologies from MPOs from across the nation, SCAG subject matter experts and external members of the Technical and Equity Working Groups contributed valuable input that shaped the following recommendations. Attachment 1 (Proposed Equity Performance Measures) includes a list of the performance measures with definitions and the proposed changes in detail.

In summary, overall enhancements for all equity performance measures include incorporating data from the most recently available data sources and adding existing conditions analyses for all applicable measures. Furthermore, public health impacts will be considered throughout the analysis instead of having a dedicated measure. In addition to updating data where applicable, staff recommends the following enhancements for these specific equity performance measures:

- Add racial/ethnic disaggregation in performance measures that do not currently include a discussion of race/ethnicity, including Impacts from Mileage-Based User Fee, Revenue Sources in Terms of Tax Burdens, Jobs-Housing Imbalance, and Neighborhood Change and Displacement.
- Coordinate with Housing Department staff to enhance housing-related performance measures like exploring racial/ethnic changes in Neighborhood Change and Displacement.

* = indicator with notable data or methodology modifications
• Add “railyards” as areas that could impact surrounding communities to Rail-Related Impacts.
• Expand on collision data for the Active Transportation Hazards performance measure to report the number and rate of collision-related fatalities and serious injuries, including active transportation modes, and add overlays with the regional High Injury Network and bicycle infrastructure.
• Add extreme heat and tree canopy analyses to Resilience and Climate Vulnerabilities.
• Update and include new datasets in Resilience and Climate Vulnerabilities.

Staff recommends the following consolidations for the equity performance measures:
• Explore cross-referencing other technical reports with housing-related analysis for Jobs-Housing Imbalance and Neighborhood Change and Displacement.
• Combine and rename previous accessibility performance measures (‘Accessibility to Employment & Services” and “Accessibility to Parks & Education Facilities”) into Accessibility to Employment, Services & Parks.
• Rename the previous “Climate Vulnerability” performance measure to Resilience and Climate Vulnerabilities.
• Consolidate the previous “Emissions Impacts Along Freeways and Highly Traveled Corridors” analysis under a single Emissions Impact Analysis performance measure.
• Combine the previous “Aviation Noise Impacts” and “Roadway Noise Impact” analyses under Noise Impacts.
• Align technical analysis of Noise Impacts with analysis included in the Aviation Technical Report and the Program Environmental Impact Report.
• Remove the quantitative analysis of roadway portion of Noise Impacts and shift to a qualitative analysis that describes the long-range plan changes in roadway noise impacts.
• Remove a dedicated “Public Health Impacts” performance measure to eliminate repetitive discussion of CalEnviroScreen, which will occur in multiple places of the report.

As a result of the changes developed through internal and external input, staff is proposing the 14 equity performance measures included in Table 1. And as previously stated, the proposed changes are further defined in Attachment 1 (Proposed Equity Performance Measures).

Next Steps
Staff is seeking input from the Energy and Environment Committee on this evolved approach and the shift to a more robust equity analysis. Staff has conducted extensive outreach to internal subject matter experts and will continue to seek input from external stakeholders to ensure the proposed methodology is inclusive of EJ and equity concerns and accurately reflects SCAG initiatives. Staff will continue to return to the Committee to provide updates on the Connect SoCal 2024 Equity Analysis as part of the Connect SoCal 2024 development process.
FISCAL IMPACT:
Work associated with this item is included in the Fiscal Year 2022-2023 Overall Work Program (020.0161.06: Environmental Justice Outreach and Policy Coordination).

ATTACHMENT(S):
1. Proposed Equity Performance Measures
2. PowerPoint Presentation - Equity Analysis Performance Measures
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Subcategory**</th>
<th>Performance Measures</th>
<th>Plan Evaluation Measure</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>New, Existing, Revised, Removed</th>
<th>Changes/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economy</td>
<td>Revenue Sources In Terms of Tax Burdens</td>
<td>Proportion of Connect SoCal revenue sources</td>
<td>Proportion of Connect SoCal revenue sources (taxable sales, income, and gasoline taxes) generated from low income households and people of color</td>
<td>Revised Adding racial/ethnic disaggregation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economy</td>
<td>Investments vs. Benefits</td>
<td>Transportation system investment benefit/cost ratio</td>
<td>Analysis of Connect SoCal investments by income quintile and race/ethnicity</td>
<td>Existing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Continue to 2024.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economy</td>
<td>Geographic Distribution of Transportation Investments</td>
<td>Geographic distribution of transportation investments by mode</td>
<td>Evaluation of Connect SoCal transit, roadway, and active transportation infrastructure investments in various communities throughout the region</td>
<td>Existing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Continue to 2024.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economy</td>
<td>Impacts from Mileage-Based User (MBU) Fee</td>
<td>MBU fee impacts</td>
<td>Examination of potential impacts from implementation of a mileage-based user fee on low income households and people of color in the region</td>
<td>Reviewed Adding racial/ethnic disaggregation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communities</td>
<td>Jobs-Housing Imbalance</td>
<td>Jobs-house balance</td>
<td>Comparison of median earnings for intra-county vs intercounty commuters for each county, analysis of relative housing affordability and jobs throughout the region</td>
<td>Revised Adding racial/ethnic disaggregation; Improvements pending internal discussion; potentially shifting analysis to housing-related analysis (if applicable)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communities</td>
<td>Neighborhood Change and Displacement</td>
<td>Neighborhood change trends</td>
<td>Examination of historical and projected demographic and housing trends for areas surrounding rail transit stations</td>
<td>Revised Adding railyards as a rail-related entity; potentially renaming indicator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communities</td>
<td>Rail-Related Impacts</td>
<td>Proximity to rail corridors</td>
<td>Breakdown of population by demographic group for areas in close proximity to rail corridors</td>
<td>Revised Adding railyards as a rail-related entity; potentially renaming indicator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communities</td>
<td>Rail-Related Impacts</td>
<td>Proximity to planned grade separations</td>
<td>Breakdown of population by demographic group for areas in close proximity to planned grade separations</td>
<td>Revised Adding rail-related indicators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communities</td>
<td>Rail-Related Impacts</td>
<td>Proximity to railyards</td>
<td>Breakdown of population by demographic group for areas in close proximity to railyards</td>
<td>Revised Adding rail-related indicators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Accessibility to Employment, Services, and Parks</td>
<td>Job access</td>
<td>Share of employment reachable within 30 minutes by automobile or 45 minutes by transit during evening peak period (5 - 7 P.M.)</td>
<td>Revised Combining and renaming from “Accessibility to Employment and Services” and “Accessibility to Parks and Education Facilities”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Accessibility to Employment, Services, and Parks</td>
<td>Shopping access</td>
<td>Share of shopping centers reachable within 30 minutes by automobile or 45 minutes by transit during evening peak period (5 - 7 P.M.)</td>
<td>Revised Combining and renaming from “Accessibility to Employment and Services” and “Accessibility to Parks and Education Facilities”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Accessibility to Employment, Services, and Parks</td>
<td>Parks access</td>
<td>Share of park acreage reachable within 30 minutes by automobile or 45 minutes by transit during mid-day period (9 a.m. - 3 p.m.)</td>
<td>Revised Combining and renaming from “Accessibility to Employment and Services” and “Accessibility to Parks and Education Facilities”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Active Transportation Hazards</td>
<td>Bike and pedestrian collisions</td>
<td>Analysis of population by demographic group for areas that experience highest rates of bicycle and pedestrian collisions</td>
<td>Revised Updating with collision data; overlays with Injury Network and bicycle infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Travel Time and Travel Distance Savings</td>
<td>Distribution of travel time</td>
<td>Assessment of comparative benefits received as a result of Connect SoCal investments by demographic group in terms of travel time for 30 minutes auto and 45 minute transit</td>
<td>Existing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Continue to 2024.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Travel Time and Travel Distance Savings</td>
<td>Distribution of travel distance</td>
<td>Assessment of comparative benefits received as a result of Connect SoCal investments by demographic group in terms of travel distance savings for 30 minutes auto and 45 minute transit</td>
<td>Existing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Continue to 2024.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Share of Transportation System Usage</td>
<td>Mode share</td>
<td>Comparison of transportation system usage by mode for low income and minority households relative to each group's regional population share</td>
<td>Revised Combining and renaming from “Climate Vulnerability” indicator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Resilience and Climate Vulnerabilities</td>
<td>Percentage of population with substandard housing</td>
<td>Population analysis by demographic group for areas potentially impacted by substandard housing (housing without plumbing)</td>
<td>Revised Updating datasets, adding extreme heat and tree canopy analysis, and renaming from “Climate Vulnerability” indicator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Resilience and Climate Vulnerabilities</td>
<td>Percentage of population in climate risk areas</td>
<td>Population analysis by demographic group for areas potentially impacted by sea level rise, wildfire risk, flood hazard risk, or extreme heat effects related to climate change</td>
<td>Revised Updating datasets, adding extreme heat and tree canopy analysis, and renaming from “Climate Vulnerability” indicator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Emissions Impacts Analysis</td>
<td>Emissions impacts (CO and PM2.5)</td>
<td>Comparison of Plan and baseline scenarios; identification of areas that are lower performing as a result of the Plan</td>
<td>Revised Combining from “Emissions Impact Analysis” and “Emissions Impacts Along Freeways and Highly Travelled Corridors” indicators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Emissions Impacts Analysis</td>
<td>Proximity to freeways and highly traveled corridors</td>
<td>Comparison of Plan and baseline scenarios; identification of communities in close proximity to freeways and highly traveled corridors</td>
<td>Revised Combining from “Emissions Impact Analysis” and “Emissions Impacts Along Freeways and Highly Travelled Corridors” indicators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Noise Impacts</td>
<td>Percentage of population impacted by roadway noise</td>
<td>Comparison of Plan and baseline scenarios; identification of areas that are low performing due to Connect SoCal investments; breakdown of population for impacted areas by ethnicity and income</td>
<td>Revised Combining and renaming from “Aviation Noise Impacts” and “Roadway Noise Impacts”; shifting to qualitative analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Noise Impacts</td>
<td>Percentage of population impacted by aviation noise</td>
<td>Comparison of Plan and baseline scenarios; identification of areas that are low performing due to Connect SoCal investments; breakdown of population for impacted areas by ethnicity and income</td>
<td>Revised Combining and renaming from “Aviation Noise Impacts” and “Roadway Noise Impacts”; shifting to qualitative analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Public Health</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Summary of historical emissions and health data for areas with high concentrations of minority and low income population</td>
<td>Removed Public health is addressed in DACI and incorporated throughout the Equity Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Statutory Requirements

**Federal**

*Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964*

- “race, color or national origin...”

*Executive Order 12898 (1994)*

- “minority populations and low-income populations...”

**State**

*California Government Code Section 11135*

- “…race, national origin, ethnic group identification, religion, age, sex, sexual orientation, color, or disability...”
Conducted extensive research
• Reviewed 20 MPO EJ Methodologies

Grounded in best practices

Aligned with Federal and State Equity Efforts

- Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool, Council on Environmental Quality
- EJScreen, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- CalEnviroScreen Disadvantaged Communities, OEHHA/Cal EPA
- Healthy Places Index, Public Health Alliance of Southern California
- Transportation Equity Index, Caltrans (forthcoming)
- California TCAC/HCD Opportunity Map
2024 Equity Analysis

Environmental Justice Analysis  →  Equity Analysis

- Incorporate Equity in Analysis
- Enhance and Consolidate Performance Measures

EQUITY ANALYSIS PERFORMANCE MEASURES
### 2020 Environmental Justice Performance Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transportation Costs</th>
<th>Quality of Life</th>
<th>Commute</th>
<th>Health &amp; Safety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Share of Transportation System Usage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Revenue Sources In Terms of Tax Burdens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Investments vs. Benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Geographic Distribution of Transportation Investments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Impacts from Mileage-Based User Fee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Jobs-Housing Imbalance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Neighborhood Change and Displacement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Accessibility to Employment and Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Accessibility to Parks and Educational Facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Travel Time and Travel Distance Savings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Rail-Related Impacts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Active Transportation Hazards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Climate Vulnerability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Public Health Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Aviation Noise Impacts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Roadway Noise Impacts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Emissions Impacts Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Emissions Impacts Along Freeways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Revisiting Equity Performance Measures

**CONNECT SOCAL GOALS**

- Economy
- Communities
-Mobility
- Environment

14 Equity Performance Measures
### 2024 Proposed Equity Performance Measures

#### Economy
- Revenue Sources In Terms of Tax Burdens *
- Investments vs. Benefits
- Geographic Distribution of Transportation Investments
- Impacts from Mileage-Based User Fee *

#### Communities
- Jobs-Housing Imbalance *
- Neighborhood Change and Displacement *
- Rail-Related Impacts *

#### Mobility
- Accessibility to Employment, Services, and Parks *
- Active Transportation Hazards *
- Travel Time and Travel Distance Savings
- Share of Transportation System Usage

#### Environment
- Resilience and Climate Vulnerabilities *
- Emissions Impacts Analysis *
- Noise Impacts *
- Public Health Impacts

* = indicator with notable data or methodology modifications

---

### Proposed Changes - Economy

#### Economy
- Revenue Sources In Terms of Tax Burdens
- Investments vs. Benefits
- Geographic Distribution of Transportation Investments
- Impacts from Mileage-Based User Fee

#### Enhancements
- Adding racial/ethnic disaggregation for Impacts from Mileage-Based User Fee and Revenue Sources In Terms of Tax Burdens
Proposed Changes - Communities

**Economy**

- Jobs-Housing Imbalance
- Neighborhood Change and Displacement
- Rail-Related Impacts

**Enhancements**

- Adding racial/ethnic disaggregation for Jobs-Housing Imbalance and Neighborhood Change and Displacement
- Incorporating greater focus on racial/ethnic changes for Neighborhood Change and Displacement
- Adding railyards as areas that could impact surrounding communities for Rail-Related Impacts

**Consolidation**

- Cross-reference other technical reports with housing-related analyses for Jobs-Housing Imbalance and Neighborhood Change and Displacement
Proposed Changes - Mobility

Consolidation

• Combining and renaming from “Accessibility to Employment & Services” and “Accessibility to Parks & Education Facilities” to “Accessibility to Employment, Services & Parks”

Enhancements

• Adding number and rate of collision-related fatalities & serious injuries, including active transportation modes, for Active Transportation Hazards

• Adding overlays with High Injury Network and bicycle infrastructure for Active Transportation Hazards
Proposed Changes - Environment

**Environment**

- Resilience and Climate Vulnerabilities
- Emissions Impacts Analysis
- Noise Impacts
- Public Health Impacts

**Enhancements**

- Adding extreme heat and tree canopy analyses to *Resilience and Climate Vulnerabilities*
- Updating and adding new datasets for *Resilience and Climate Vulnerabilities*

**Consolidation**

- Combining *Emissions Impact Analysis* and *Emissions Impacts Along Freeways and Highly Traveled Corridors* analyses
- Combining *Aviation Noise Impacts* and *Roadway Noise Impact* analyses
- Removing dedicated *Public Health Impacts* measure
2024 Proposed Equity Performance Measures

**Economy**
- Revenue Sources In Terms of Tax Burdens *
- Investments vs. Benefits
- Geographic Distribution of Transportation Investments
- Impacts from Mileage-Based User Fee *

**Communities**
- Jobs-Housing Imbalance *
- Neighborhood Change and Displacement *
- Rail-Related Impacts *

**Mobility**
- Accessibility to Employment, Services, and Parks *
- Active Transportation Hazards *
- Travel Time and Travel Distance Savings
- Share of Transportation System Usage

**Environment**
- Resilience and Climate Vulnerabilities *
- Emissions Impacts Analysis *
- Noise Impacts *
- Public Health Impacts

* = indicator with notable data or methodology modifications

---

**Next Steps**

- **September 2022**
  - Racial Equity Baseline Conditions Update
- **November 2022**
  - Equity Analysis Update
- **April/June 2023**
  - Equity In Action Update
- **October 2023**
  - Equity Analysis Update: Report Release

- **October 2022**
  - Equity In Action Presentation
- **March 2023**
  - Equity Analysis Update
- **July/August 2023**
  - Equity Analysis Update
THANK YOU!

For more information, please visit:

https://scag.ca.gov/environmental-justice

Anita Au
au@scag.ca.gov
(213) 236-1874

Annaleigh Ekman
ekman@scag.ca.gov
(213) 630-1427
Southern California Association of Governments
Hybrid (In-Person and Remote Participation)
900 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1700 - Policy A Meeting Room
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Thursday, July 7, 2022

To: Community Economic & Human Development Committee (CEHD)
Energy & Environment Committee (EEC)
Transportation Committee (TC)
Energy and Environment Committee (EEC)

From: Rongsheng Luo, Program Manager II
(213) 236-1994, luo@scag.ca.gov

Subject: Status Update on Transportation Conformity Challenges in SCAG Region

---

RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR EEC:
Information Only – No Action Required.

RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR CEHD, TC, AND RC:
Receive and File.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: SCAG develops the Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) every four years, the Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) every two years, and their amendments from time to time. The RTP/SCS, FTIP, and their amendments are required to demonstrated transportation conformity.

As part of the conformity determination, SCAG is required to use the vehicle emissions model (EMFAC) developed by the California Air Resources Board (ARB) and approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Due to significant changes to the currently approved EMFAC model (EMFAC2017), SCAG can no longer demonstrate transportation conformity because EMFAC2017 has been required for analyzing new conformity determinations starting August 16, 2021. As a result, SCAG region is under what is known as a “conformity lockdown.” Staff will present a status update on the conformity lockdown including its causes and implications, staff’s efforts to address the challenge and progress made to date, staff’s proactive actions to reduce its impact, the estimated current impact on transportation projects, the remaining obstacles and needed additional actions to fully resolve the conformity lockdown.

As a separate issue, the South Coast Air Quality Management District (AQMD) and Earthjustice (a non-profit environmental law organization) filed two separate notices of intent (NOIs) to sue EPA,
on April 15 and June 10, 2022, respectively, for failing to act on the AQMD’s 2019 Contingency Measure State Implementation Plan (SIP) for attainment of the federal 1997 8-hour ozone standard in the South Coast region. These NOIs seek to have the EPA take action, and are a precursor to one or both of these entities potentially bringing litigation against the EPA. The likelihood and impact of potential litigation on the region is not clear at this time, but there is the potential that one result of litigation could be to compel EPA’s disapproval of the SIP and, thus, have the potentially impact the RTP, the FTIP, and transportation projects in the SCAG region. The potential SIP disapproval was identified as a significant regional challenge in the adopted 2020 RTP/SCS (Connect SoCal). However, the NOIs also have the potential to motivate or force the federal government to develop a regulatory strategy and/or provide sufficient funding to reduce emissions from federal sources to meet the ozone standard in the South Coast region. Staff will present on the implications of the NOIs and a status update on staff’s current effort to address the potential SIP disapproval.

BACKGROUND:

I. Introduction to Transportation Conformity

Transportation conformity is required by the Federal Clean Air Act (CAA) to ensure that regional transportation plans, programs, and projects are consistent with or “conform” to an air quality state implementation plan (SIP) for meeting the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). Specifically, transportation conformity means that the regional transportation plans, programs, and projects will not cause new violations of the national air quality standards, worsen the existing violations, or delay the timely attainment of the standards.

Under the EPA’s Transportation Conformity Regulations, the RTP and FTIP are required to pass the following conformity tests:

- Consistency with the adopted RTP: The FTIP project listing must be consistent with the policies, programs, and projects of the adopted RTP.
- Regional emission analysis: The RTP and FTIP regional emissions must not exceed the motor vehicle emissions budgets/caps in the applicable SIPs. Where there are no applicable budgets, the build scenario’s emission must not exceed the no-build scenario’s emissions and/or the build scenario’s emission must not exceed the base year emissions.
- Timely implementation of transportation control measures (TCMs): The RTP and FTIP must demonstrate that the TCM project categories listed in the applicable SIPs have been given funding priority, implemented on schedule, and, in the case of any delays, any obstacles to implementation have been overcome.
- Financial constraint: The RTP and FTIP must be financially constrained, in other words, the RTP and FTIP must be based on reasonable estimates about future revenues. In addition, in the first two years of the FTIP, projects must be limited to those for which funds are known to be available and committed.
Interagency consultation and public involvement: The SCAG’s Transportation Conformity Working Group (TCWG) must serve as the forum for interagency consultation. The RTP also must go through an extensive and on-going public outreach effort throughout the RTP and FTIP development process including public workshops, release for public review, public hearings, and adoption by the Regional Council. All public comments must be documented and responded to.

Many public agencies are involved in the transportation conformity process. At the federal level, EPA is responsible for the NAAQS, conformity regulations, adequacy finding or approval of motor vehicle emissions budgets, and SIP approval. EPA concurrence is also required for TCM substitution upon adoption by SCAG Regional Council. FHWA/FTA is responsible for approving the final conformity determination in consultation with EPA.

At the state level, ARB is responsible for developing the EMFAC model, setting motor vehicle emissions budgets, and submitting the SIP to EPA. ARB concurrence is also required for TCM substitution upon adoption by SCAG Regional Council. Caltrans is responsible for reviewing and approving financial constraint of the FTIP.

At the regional and local level, SCAG staff performs the conformity analysis and the Regional Council adopts the initial conformity determination. SCAG staff also prepares final TCM substitution report in collaboration with project lead county transportation commissions (CTCs). TCM substitution also requires adoption by SCAG Regional Council. The five local air districts in the SCAG region develop and adopt their respective air quality management plans (AQMPs)/SIPs. There are six CTCs in the SCAG region and the CTCs submit transportation projects for the RTP and FTIP. Five of the six CTCs are also responsible for preparing initial needed TCM substitution analysis.

A regional transportation conformity failure can cause serious consequences. A transportation “conformity lockdown” occurs when the transportation conformity determinations of the current RTP/SCS and FTIP are still valid, but no new transportation conformity determination may be made. Under a conformity lockdown, only projects in the current conforming RTP/FTIP can move forward. No new RTP/FTIP amendment is allowed except for exempt projects. A conformity lockdown will lead to a conformity lapse grace period if not resolved before the current conformity determination expires.

A conformity lapse grace period is triggered when a conformity determination is not made according to the required frequency or expires. Under the one-year conformity grace period, only projects in the current conforming RTP/FTIP or the most recent conforming RTP/FTIP can move forward. No new RTP/FTIP amendment is allowed except for exempt projects.

SIP deficiencies or failures can also trigger a transportation conformity failure. A transportation conformity freeze occurs upon some SIP disapprovals without a protective finding by EPA. The applicable SIPs include the 15 percent rate of progress SIPs and attainment SIPs. The conformity freeze starts on the effective date of the SIP disapproval. During a conformity freeze, all projects in the regional transportation plan or program can still receive federal approval; however, no new
RTP, no new FTIP, and no new projects may be added and no changes may be made to the projects in the transportation plan or program.

If not corrected within the one-year grace period or two years after SIP deficiencies or failures including non-submittal by statutory deadline, incompleteness, or disapproval, a conformity lapse is imposed. A conformity lapse impacts non-exempt projects (mainly mixed-flow capacity expansion projects) as well as TCM projects (HOV/Express Lane, transit and non-motorized modes, and intelligent transportation system projects) not in an approved air plan unless these projects have received federal authorization prior to the lapse. Specifically, these impacted projects can neither receive federal funding or federal approval, nor be amended into the RTP/FTIP.

II. Current Transportation Conformity Lockdown

Under the transportation conformity lockdown, the current conformity approval remains valid for the 2020 Connect SoCal and the 2021 FTIP. However, no conformity determination may be made for new RTPs, new FTIPs, or new RTP/FTIP amendments.

1. Causes and Implications of the Transportation Conformity Lockdown

SCAG develops the RTP/SCS every four years, the FTIP every two years, and their amendments from time to time. The RTP/SCS, FTIP, and their amendments are required to demonstrated transportation conformity.

As part of the conformity determination, SCAG is required to use the EMFAC model developed by ARB and approved by EPA to calculate emissions from SCAG’s RTP/SCS or FTIP; In addition, the emissions from the RTP/SCS or FTIP are required to not exceed the applicable motor vehicle emissions budgets established by ARB and found adequate or approved by EPA.

ARB updates its EMFAC model about every three years to reflect the latest planning assumptions and adopted air quality regulations. EMFAC2017, developed by CARB and approved by EPA in 2019, is required to be used for new transportation conformity determinations after August 15, 2021. Significant changes were made from the previous EMFAC2014 to EMFAC2017. As a result, calculated emissions increase substantially in many areas even though the underlying travel activity projections remain the same.

In collaboration with local air districts and using current EMFAC model approved by EPA, ARB is also responsible for establishing motor vehicle emissions budgets as part of the SIP or SIP revision to address the applicable NAAQS. Upon approval or adequacy finding by EPA, new emissions budgets are required to be used for new transportation conformity determinations. Most of the existing emissions budgets that SCAG’s RTP/SCS and FTIP are required to meet were established using the previous EMFAC2014.

Due to the significant changes to EMFAC2017 that produce substantially higher calculated emissions, SCAG can no longer demonstrate transportation conformity after EMFAC2017 was required to be used for new conformity determinations, that is, starting August 16, 2021. As a result, SCAG region is under a conformity lockdown.
During a conformity lockdown, transportation conformity determinations of the current RTP/SCS and FTIP are still valid, but no new transportation conformity determinations may be made. As a result, only projects in the current conforming RTP/FTIP can move forward. No new RTP/FTIP amendment is allowed except for exempt projects. The conformity lockdown would lead to a one-year conformity lapse grace period if not resolved before the current conformity determination expires on June 5, 2024. Furthermore, if not corrected within the one-year grace period, a conformity lapse would be triggered.

2. Efforts to Address the Conformity Lockdown and Progress to Date

Staff at all levels has been making every effort to address the conformity lockdown and some progress has been made.

Due to the nature and magnitude of the underlying issues, critical actions are required from ARB, EPA, and South Coast AQMD to address the conformity lockdown. Staff has been actively identifying and seeking the critical actions by these involved agencies. SCAG Executive Director, Chief Operating Officer, Planning Division Director, and/or Chief Counsel have met with their AQMD, ARB, and EPA counterparts multiple time since August 2021. As a result of these active engagements, some progress has been made in two critical areas to resolve the conformity lockdown.

ARB had accelerated the development and submittal of the new EMFAC2021 model to EPA. EPA has been prioritizing the review and approval of the new model. Once approved by EPA (anticipated in July 2022), EMFAC2021 can significantly, but not fully, address the conformity lockdown. ARB also has adopted a major Heavy-duty Truck Inspection and Maintenance (I&M) Regulation that can further help address the conformity lockdown.

At SCAG’s request, AQMD and ARB also have been undertaking a needed Coachella ozone SIP update with replacement emissions budgets to fully address the conformity lockdown. However, due to concerns expressed by the EPA, AQMD, ARB, and SCAG have been working with EPA to address these concerns and to explore viable and timely alternative approaches.

In addition, SCAG modeling and conformity staff has been performing comprehensive internal and interagency testing, evaluation, and consultation to support the above efforts.

3. Proactive Actions to Reduce Impact of the Conformity Lockdown

In addition to the effort to address the conformity lockdown, staff has been taking proactive actions to reduce its impact.

SCAG conformity staff actively participated in proactive state-wide interagency coordination led by Caltrans Headquarters staff early last year to address anticipated impact on transportation conformity before EMFAC2017 was required to be used for conformity determination.

In collaboration with the six CTCs in SCAG region, SCAG staff proactively initiated in June 2021 a concurrent 2023 FTIP and 2020 RTP/SCS Consistency Amendment #2 to allow urgent new projects
and changes to existing projects to avoid conformity lockdown. The regional transportation and emission modeling have both been completed. Draft 2023 FTIP and 2020 RTP/SCS Amendment #2 are scheduled to be released for public review in July 2022, with RC adoption in October 2022. Federal approval is anticipated in December 2022.

SCAG staff has also been undertaking a second proactive concurrent 2023 FTIP Modeling Amendment and 2020 RTP/SCS Consistency Amendment #3 since March 2022 to process additional urgent new projects and changes to existing projects to reduce impact of conformity lockdown. The draft amendments are scheduled to be released for public review in November 2022, with RC adoption anticipated in January/February 2023. Federal approval is anticipated in March/April 2023 pending EPA approval of both EMFAC2021 and Coachella ozone replacement emissions budgets.

4. Estimated Current Impact on Transportation Projects

Based on a tally of all the projects submitted by the six CTCs to SCAG for the second proactive concurrent 2023 FTIP Modeling Amendment and 2020 RTP/SCS Consistency Amendment #3, over $26 billion worth of transportation projects are being impacted because SCAG can not add new projects or amend current projects due to the conformity lockdown. More transportation projects are expected to be impacted over time.

5. Remaining Challenges and Needed Additional Actions to Fully Resolve the Conformity Lockdown

EMFAC2021 can substantially address the conformity lockdown issue, so its approval is critical. Staff will continue to work closely with EPA and ARB staff to ensure its timely approval, anticipated later June 2022.

However, there are still substantial near-term ozone budget shortfalls in Coachella even after using EMFAC2021 and accounting for the anticipated emissions reduction from ARB’s heavy-duty truck I&M regulation. The region-wide conformity lockdown will continue if the Coachella issue is not fully resolved. Note that there are also relatively small budget shortfalls in the South Coast Air Basin and the Western Mojave; however, staff has been working closely with ARB staff and expect to be able to fully address those small shortfalls.

The remaining large near-term Coachella ozone budgets shortfalls after using EMFAC2021 can only be fully addressed by a Coachella ozone SIP update with adequate replacement budgets based on EMFAC2021.

It is important to note that the Coachella ozone budgets shortfalls are a result of change in ARB’s EMFAC models, not due to relaxation in regulations, policies, measures, programs, or projects of ARB, South Coast AQMD, and SCAG.

To ensure federal approval of the second proactive concurrent 2023 FTIP Modeling Amendment and 2020 RTP/SCS Consistency Amendment #3 by March/April 2023, staff will continue to work closely and proactively with staff of ARB, AQMD, and EPA to ensure that the Coachella Ozone SIP update be developed and approved as soon as possible.
III. Notices of Intent (NOIs) to Sue EPA by the South Coast AQMD and Earthjustice

On April 15, 2022, AQMD filed a 60-day NOI with the Administrator of the U.S. EPA to sue the EPA for failing to act on the AQMD’s contingency measure ozone SIP submitted to EPA on December 31, 2019 (see Attachment 1).

Subsequently, on June 10, 2022, Earthjustice also filed a separate 60-day NOI to sue the EPA for failing to act on the same SIP.

1. Background - AQMD’s 2019 Contingency Measure Ozone SIP

The South Coast Air Basin (comprised of the urbanized areas of Los Angeles, Riverside, and San Bernardino Counties and the entire Orange County) is required to meet the 2023 statutory deadline of attaining the 1997 federal ozone standard. Pursuant to the CAA, a Contingency Measure Plan was developed jointly by AQMD and ARB and subsequently submitted to EPA on December 31, 2019. The 2019 Contingency Measure Plan highlights the critical need for federal regulatory actions and/or funding to address emission sources under federal jurisdiction including aircraft, ships, trains and out-of-state trucks in order to meet the air quality standard. Furthermore, the Plan assumed/assigned 68 of the needed 108 tons per day of NOx reduction from federal measures or funding by 2023. This is in addition to regulatory actions, programs and incentive funding that AQMD and ARB have developed to achieve emission reductions.

As acknowledged in ARB staff presentation to the ARB Board for adoption of the Plan, although the assumed federal actions are reasonable, timely action is problematic.

2. Summary of the NOIs

To motivate EPA to develop a plan with AQMD to address EPA’s role in regulating mobile sources, the AQMD’s NOI: (i) explains why the South Coast region cannot attain the 1997 ozone standard without massive emission reductions from federally regulated sources (i.e., sources that only the federal government has regulatory purview over); (ii) documents a brief federal legislative history to demonstrate that the Congress intended EPA to regulate federal sources as needed and (iii) provides extensive case information to demand that EPA approve the 2019 contingency measures SIP. The AQMD NOI also explains that disapproval of the SIP would lead to sanctions that the Congress did not intend; violates several constitutional principles; and would result in impossible and/or absurd results. Finally, the NOI includes an offer to negotiate with EPA during the 60-day notice period.

On behalf of two environmental justice organizations and Sierra Club, Earthjustice filed its NOI to compel EPA to perform its statutory duty to review and act upon the same AQMD’s 2019 SIP. The NOI also asks the EPA Administrator to direct EPA Region 9 to take immediate action to address the air quality problems in the South Coast region.

3. Implication of the NOIs
Although the goal of the NOI filings are to motivate EPA to develop a plan with AQMD to address their role in regulating mobile sources, the filings have serious implications. These NOIs seek to have the EPA take action, and are a precursor to one or both of these entities potentially bringing litigation against the EPA. The likelihood and impact of potential litigation on the region is not clear at this time, but the NOIs have the potential to compel the EPA to disapprove the contingency measure ozone SIP, even though the AQMD’s NOI includes extensive case information to demand that EPA approve the SIP. Such an occurrence would immediately trigger two sanction clocks in the South Coast Air Basin: an 18-month stationary sanction clock and a 24-month highway sanction clock. The sanction clocks will not be turned off until ARB submits, and EPA approves the SIP revisions that corrects the deficiency identified in EPA’s disapproval.

While the implications above are significant, the NOIs also have the potential to motivate or force the federal government to develop a regulatory strategy and/or provide sufficient funding to reduce emissions from federal sources to meet the ozone standard in the South Coast region. According to AQMD, the South Coast region needs about 68 tpd of NOx reductions from federal sources and it would be impossible to attain the standard without the required reductions from these federal sources. The goal of the NOI filing is to motivate EPA to develop a plan with AQMD to address their role in regulating mobile sources.

The NOIs are not expected to have any impact on the anticipated EPA approval of EMFAC2021. Although not directly related at this time, the NOIs may complicate the development and review of the Coachella Ozone SIP Update currently underway, and thus may impact timely resolution of the current conformity lockdown.

Next Steps

Staff will continue to proactively address the conformity lockdown and the potential SIP disapproval from the NOIs. Staff will provide EEC with timely status update on the two transportation conformity challenges in the future as appropriate.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Work associated with this item is included in the current FY 2021-22 Overall Work Program (025.0164.01: Air Quality Planning and Conformity).

ATTACHMENT(S):

1. SCAQMD Notice of Intent to Sue US EPA
2. Earthjustice Notice of Intent to Sue US EPA
April 15, 2022

The Honorable Michael S. Regan, Administrator
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (Mail Code 1101A)
Washington, D.C. 20460
Sent via certified mail, return receipt requested

RE: Notice of Intent to Sue Pursuant to Section 304(b)(2) of the Clean Air Act; State Implementation Plan Submissions from California; South Coast Air Quality Management District

Dear Administrator Regan:

I am writing on behalf of South Coast Air Quality Management District (South Coast AQMD) to notify you of ongoing violations of the federal Clean Air Act by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for failing to timely act on a State Implementation Plan (SIP) submittal on contingency measures submitted by the South Coast AQMD on December 31, 2019. EPA action on this SIP submittal is due according to the mandatory deadlines assigned by Section 110(k)(2) of the Clean Air Act (CAA), 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2). More specifically, EPA has failed to timely act on a contingency measures plan adopted December 6, 2019 that was submitted through the California Air Resources Board (CARB) on December 31, 2019 for EPA approval in addressing the provisions of CAA Section 182(e)(5). EPA was required to act on the plan by June 30, 2021. Section 110(k)(2) directs action in accordance with Section 110(k)(3) on “Full and partial approval and disapproval,” but in this case, EPA must under Section 110(k)(3) only approve, and not disapprove, this SIP submittal. Congress intended for EPA to regulate federal sources\(^1\) as necessary to allow all areas, and in particular the South Coast Air Basin, to attain the air quality standards. Any action to disapprove the SIP on the basis that it relies on the federal government to take actions would be subject to challenge because the South Coast region simply cannot attain without massive reductions from federal sources. Accordingly, we submit

\(^1\) Federal sources, as used in this notice, refers to federally regulated sources for which neither South Coast AQMD nor the State (i.e., CARB) can set emission standards. EPA has previously employed this terminology, for example, in recognizing EPA’s need to deliver “fair share reductions of federal sources” to South Coast. See, e.g., 64 Fed. Reg. 39923, 39924 (July 23, 1999).
Michael S. Regan, Administrator
United States Environmental Protection Agency
April 15, 2022

that the SIP must be approved, and EPA must develop a regulatory strategy and find sufficient funding to reduce federal emissions to meet the health-based National Ambient Air Quality Standards.

The South Coast AQMD intends to file a lawsuit seeking to address EPA’s failure to timely act as required by 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) and (3), 60 days from the date of this letter under CAA Section 304, 42 U.S.C. § 7604. This notice is submitted in accordance with 40 C.F.R Section 54.3. The following case information supports our position.

I. The South Coast Air Basin Cannot Attain the 1997 Eight-Hour Ozone Standard Without Massive Emission Reductions From Federally Regulated Sources

The South Coast Air Basin cannot attain the 1997 8-hour ozone standard without massive emission reductions from federal sources. Even considering only emissions from ships, locomotives, and aircraft, the region needs an additional 46 tons per day (tpd) of NOx reductions by 2023 to attain the standard in a timely manner. When also considering the emissions from on-road heavy-duty trucks that are subject to federal authority, the region needs a total of 67-69 tpd of NOx reductions from federal sources.

Unfortunately, the federal government does not currently have plans to secure these reductions as specific commitments and a regulatory agenda were noticeably absent in the Fiscal Year 2022-2026 EPA Strategic Plan released on March 28, 2022. While total NOx emissions in the South Coast Air Basin will have been reduced by almost 50% between 2012 and 2023, almost all these reductions will come from sources under CARB or South Coast AQMD authority. For example, over this time, NOx emissions from light duty vehicles will have been reduced by over 70%. CARB and the South Coast AQMD are doing our part. In contrast, NOx emissions from aircraft, locomotives, and ocean-going vessels will increase by almost 10% over the same period.

It would be impossible to attain the standard without the required reductions from these federal sources. Reaching attainment solely with emission reductions from South Coast AQMD and CARB regulated sources would require eliminating all emissions from virtually all such sources. According to the CARB 2018 updates to the California SIP, baseline emissions of NOx in 2023 in the South Coast Air Basin will total 269 tpd. See Summary Table for 2023 NOx Emissions, appended to this letter. To attain the 1997 ozone standard, these emissions must be reduced to a

---

4 Final Contingency Measure Plan, December 2019, p. 58.
carrying capacity of 141 tons per day by 2023. Thus, the region must reduce expected 2023 emissions by 128 tpd (the difference between the baseline of 269 tpd and the carrying capacity of 141 tpd). If no further reductions come from federal sources, all 128 tons of reductions would need to come from state and locally regulated sources. This would mean, for example, completely eliminating all emissions from stationary and area sources (49 tpd), all emissions from California-regulated on-road vehicles (69 tpd), and 10 tpd of California-regulated off-road sources such as larger farm and construction equipment (about 20% of the total of off road sources).

It is not yet possible to completely eliminate all emissions from on-road, stationary, and area sources of NOx in the South Coast Air Basin. Nor is it realistic to expect that all such sources would be entirely zero-emissions in the near future. Therefore, it is imperative that significant emission reductions come from federal sources. And it would be manifestly unfair to penalize the South Coast AQMD and the State by disapproving the Contingency Measure Plan and triggering sanctions based on emissions under federal control.

II. The Legislative History Demonstrates that Congress Intended EPA to Regulate Federal Sources as Needed to Enable All Areas of the Nation to Attain the National Ambient Air Quality Standards

In the 1990 Amendments to the CAA, Congress preempted the states from establishing emission standards for locomotives, farm and construction equipment, and other nonroad engines, which includes marine vessels. CAA Section 209(e).\(^6\) And for decades, states have been preempted from regulating new motor vehicles, with California allowed to adopt its own standards with a waiver from EPA. CAA Section 209(a) and (b); 42 U.S.C. §§ 7543(a), (b).

As Congress debated the 1990 Amendments, Members of Congress from California stated that unless EPA regulates these sources, the South Coast region would be prevented from attaining the ozone standards. Representative Carlos Moorhead (R-CA) stated that it will be impossible for Los Angeles to attain the NAAQS if EPA fails to regulate federal sources.\(^7\) Senator Pete Wilson (R-CA) also explained that if federal sources are not controlled, California will not be able to comply.\(^8\) In response to these concerns, Senator John Chafee (R-RI), the lead co-sponsor of the Senate Bill, assured the California delegation that Congress intended that EPA would regulate federal sources as necessary so that all areas could attain the standards. In response to a question from Senator Wilson regarding the Amendments, Senator Chafee explained that “EPA has the obligation…to adopt control measure[s] for sources which it exclusively controls when these

---

\(^5\) Final Contingency Measure Plan, December 2019, p. 2.
\(^6\) 42 U.S.C. § 7453(e). The CAA also preempts state and local governments from setting emission standards for aircraft. CAA Section 233; 42 U.S.C. § 7573.
controls are necessary to attain national [ambient air quality] standards." Finally, when Congress enacted section 213 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7547, which obligated EPA to regulate nonroad sources, it stated in the Conference Report: “We expect EPA to carry out this mandate in a fashion which assures that states which are preempted will not suffer any additional [e]missions beyond what they themselves would have allowed.” This Conference Report reflects the views of the Members from both the House and Senate. Thus, Congress intended for EPA to regulate federal sources as necessary to allow all areas to attain the standards.

III. EPA Has Previously Recognized the Need for Significant Reductions From Federal Sources and Approved the 1994 South Coast Ozone SIP Which Relied on Such Reductions and EPA Must Do So Again

As demonstrated above, under the CAA, EPA has the responsibility to regulate federal sources where necessary to allow all areas to attain the standards. EPA itself has recognized that responsibility in the past. In approving the 1994 1-hour ozone SIP for the South Coast Air Basin, EPA recognized that “massive further reductions are needed for attainment in the South Coast and that attainment may be either very costly and disruptive or impossible if further reductions are not achieved from national or international sources.” While EPA noted it did not think states have authority to assign responsibilities to the Federal Government under the Clean Air Act, it also said it believed EPA should help speed cleaning the air in California and nationally. Accordingly, EPA made an “enforceable commitment” to adopt federal measures that it determined were EPA’s responsibility. On this basis, EPA was able to approve a SIP submittal that relied on federal measures. Therefore, EPA has established precedent of doing the right thing and approving a plan that relies on federal measures, recognizing the federal responsibility to regulate where necessary to allow the region to attain the standard. EPA must take a similar approach to acting on the 2019 Contingency Measure Plan, since as discussed below, a disapproval, which inevitably triggers sanctions, would be unlawful.

IV. Disapproval of the Contingency Measure Plan Would Lead to Sanctions that Congress Did Not Intend

If EPA were to disapprove the contingency measure plan on the basis that it relies on federal measures, such disapproval would trigger sanctions. The sanctions include greatly increasing the cost and difficulty of issuing permits as well as cutting off federal highway funds. CAA Section 179; 42 U.S.C. Section 7509. Sanctions can be avoided if the basis for the disapproval is corrected. Id. However, in this case it is not possible to eliminate the plan’s reliance on federal

---

9 Leg. History, p. 1127.
10 Leg. History, p. 1021
14 See 40 CFR § 52.238 (“Commitment to undertake rulemaking”).
measures, because CARB and South Coast AQMD lack adequate authority to obtain necessary emission reductions from federal sources. Therefore, the region has no ability to avoid sanctions. But Congress did not intend sanctions to be imposed where the area being sanctioned does not have adequate authority to correct the alleged deficiency.

The legislative history of the 1990 Amendments to the Clean Air Act shows that Congress did not intend sanctions to be imposed where the state and local governments lack sufficient authority to remedy the deficiency, which in this case is because the CAA preempts state and local governments from setting emission standards for federal sources. On May 23, 1990, during the House debate on the CAA, Representative Norm Mineta (D-CA) stated that “Under the sanctions provisions, the EPA Administrator is required to establish criteria for exercising his or her authority to impose sanctions on political subdivisions that have adequate authority to correct an air quality deficiency.” In this case, the South Coast AQMD does not have adequate authority to correct the supposed deficiency, since it is impossible to devise a plan that does not rely on emission reductions from federal sources for which EPA has the authority to set emission standards. This principle was repeated during the House debate on the Conference Report on October 26, 1990. Representative Glenn Anderson (D-CA) stated: “This provision will ensure that available sanctions are applied to the geographical areas under the control of the government agency principally responsible for failure to comply with the Clean Air Act and with the authority to remedy the deficiency.” While this discussion pertains directly to CAA Section 110(m), which prohibits statewide sanctions for 24 months if the failure is primarily due to a political subdivision, it clearly shows that Congress did not intend for sanctions to be imposed on an area that may be unable to correct the deficiency.

Moreover, Congress did not intend for a state to be penalized where an inability to demonstrate attainment is due to emissions from federal sources. The Clean Air Act recognizes that such a result would be highly unfair. Section 179B of the CAA [42 U.S.C. § 7509a] requires EPA to approve an attainment demonstration where the state shows it would attain the standard “but for emissions emanating from outside of the United States.” The legislative history of this section makes it clear that it was adopted precisely because it would be unfair to hold a state responsible for emissions over which it has no control. The amendment was sponsored by Senator Phil Gramm (R-TX), who explained: “it is unfair to hold El Paso accountable for pollution that is generated in a foreign country that they have no control over.” Senator Max Baucus (D-MT), the sponsor of the Senate bill, spoke in support of the provision, noting that border areas “do not have control of their own destiny themselves.” Thus, Congress did not intend to penalize areas that have no control over the sources causing nonattainment. By the same token, Congress would not have intended to penalize areas where nonattainment is due to federal sources. Congress did not see a need to specifically discuss this possibility because it had already made it clear that

---

16 Leg. History, p. 1200.
18 Leg. History, p. 5742.
Michael S. Regan, Administrator
United States Environmental Protection Agency
April 15, 2022

EPA was expected to regulate federal sources as needed to allow all areas, and specifically the South Coast Air Basin, to attain the standards, as discussed in Part III above. Therefore, Congress did not anticipate that areas would fail to attain due to emissions from federal sources.

V. EPA Action to Disapprove the South Coast 2019 Contingency Measure Plan Would Violate the Doctrines of Impossibility and Absurd Results

As discussed in Part I above, it is impossible for the South Coast Air Basin to attain the 1997 8-hour ozone standard without massive further emissions reductions from federal sources. Therefore, if EPA were to disapprove the 2019 Contingency Measure plan because it relies on federal action, it would be impossible for the South Coast AQMD to submit a plan that eliminated that reliance. Thus, the South Coast AQMD would never be able to correct the alleged deficiency in the plan and would be subject to sanctions which it has no ability to avoid. These sanctions would likely lead to the South Coast AQMD being unable to issue permits for new or modified major stationary sources, because the 2-to-1 offset ratio would require offsets that simply are not available in the region. Moreover, the sanction of withholding transportation funds would likely affect billions of dollars in economic activity as infrastructure projects are waylaid creating ramifications for the largest container Ports complex in the nation with no way to ever correct the deficiency and have the transportation sanctions lifted. Since disapproval of the 2019 Contingency Measure Plan would lead to a requirement that the South Coast AQMD do the impossible, it would be unlawful. “The law does not require impossibilities of any person, natural or artificial…” Dist. of Columbia v. Woodbury, 136 U.S. 450, 464 (1890). And as stated in California Civil Code Section 3531, “[t]he law never requires impossibilities.” So EPA cannot by a disapproval require the South Coast and California to do the impossible.

In addition, the doctrine of “absurd results” prevents EPA from disapproving the Plan. Any action which would impose sanctions on a region for a failure caused by sources over which it has no control would create absurd results. The Supreme Court has long held that when the literal language of a statute “has led to absurd or futile results…this Court has looked beyond the words to the purpose of the act. Frequently, however, even when the plain meaning did not produce absurd results but merely an unreasonable one plainly at variance with the policy of the legislation as a whole this Court has followed that purpose rather than the literal words.” U.S. v. American Trucking Ass’ns., 310 U.S. 534, 543 (1940) (cleaned up). The Supreme Court reiterated this language in Perry v. Commerce Loan Co., 383 U.S. 392, 400 (1966). Penalizing the South Coast with an action that causes sanctions because of emissions over which the state and local agencies lack the ability to set emission standards creates absurd results and is plainly at variance with the purpose of the statute as a whole, which is not to penalize states for sources outside their control.

VI. Imposing Sanctions on An Area that Cannot Attain the Standard Because of Emissions from Federal Sources Would Violate the 10th Amendment and Principles of the Spending Clause
Michael S. Regan, Administrator  
United States Environmental Protection Agency  
April 15, 2022

In 2012, the U.S. Supreme Court struck down provisions of the Affordable Care Act on the
ground that the conditions placed on the receipt of federal funds were so coercive as to violate
519 (2012). Since the 1990 Amendments, certain states have challenged the CAA as violating
the 10th Amendment and the Spending Clause of the U.S. Constitution. These cases have been
unsuccessful, based on the conclusion that the CAA sanctions were not so coercive that the state
had no choice but to comply with the Act’s demands. Mississippi Commission on Environmental
Quality v. EPA, 790 F. 3d. 138 (D.C. Cir. 2015); Com. of Virginia v. Browner, 80 F. 3d 869 (4th
Cir. 1996). However, in the present case, an action that results in sanctions would violate the 10th
Amendment and the Spending Clause, because the state and local government have no choice,
and no ability, to avoid sanctions.

The principles under which the Supreme Court has upheld exercises of the Spending Power
depends on the element of choice. Congress may “offer States the choice of regulating the
activity according to federal standards or having state law preempted by federal regulation.” New
requires that the state have a choice whether to regulate as the federal law directs or to lose
federal funding. See New York, 505 U.S. at 173. Here, the state and South Coast AQMD have no
choice whether to lose federal funding or suffer other sanctions because they lack the ability to
set emission standards for federal sources, and thus no ability to comply with what would be
required if EPA disapproves the Plan. Thus, an action to disapprove the Plan, which triggers
sanctions the region has no ability to avoid, would violate the 10th Amendment and the Spending
Clause.

VII. Notice of Intent to Sue

A. Failure to Perform Nondiscretionary Duties

The contingency measure plan submitted to meet CAA Section 182(e)(5) is subject to the SIP
processing requirements of CAA Section 110. See 42 U.S.C. §§ 7410, 7511a(e)(5). The Clean
Air Act further requires the Administrator to fully or partially approve or disapprove a plan
submission within twelve (12) months after such submission has been deemed complete, either
by the Administrator or as a matter of law. See 42 U.S.C. Section 7410(k)(2). If the EPA does
not make a completeness finding, plan submissions are deemed complete by operation of law six
(6) months after submission. See 42 U.S.C. Section 7410(k)(1)(B). Therefore, at most, EPA had
eighteen (18) months within which to take final action to approve, disapprove, or partially
approve the plan submission. As of the date of this letter, EPA has failed to fully or partially
approve or disapprove the SIP submittal. As explained, in this case, the only lawful exercise of
the Administrator’s duties would be to approve the SIP submittal in acting under 42 U.S.C. §
7410(k)(3). Because EPA has failed to take required action by the statutory deadline, EPA is
now in violation of CAA Section 110(k)(2) and (3); 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) and (3). After the
expiration of sixty (60) days from the date of this notice of intent to sue, South Coast AQMD
intends to file suit against EPA in federal court for the failure to act in accordance with, or fulfill,
the duties described in this letter.
B. Identity of Persons Giving Notice and Their Counsel

As required by 40 C.F.R Section 54.3, the name and address of South Coast AQMD, the noticing party, is as follows:

South Coast Air Quality Management District
21865 Copley Drive
Diamond Bar, CA  91765
Tel:  909-396-3535

Legal contacts and counsel representing South Coast AQMD on this matter will include the following:

Bayron T. Gilchrist, General Counsel
Barbara Baird, Chief Deputy Counsel
Brian Tomasovic, Principal Deputy District Counsel
Tel:  909.396.3400
Fax: 909.396.2961
Email: bgilchrist@aqmd.gov; bbaird@aqmd.gov; btomasovic@aqmd.gov

C. Offer to Negotiate

During the sixty (60) day notice period, South Coast AQMD is willing to discuss effective measures to correct EPA’s failure to comply with nondiscretionary duties and to discuss any information bearing upon this notice. We sincerely hope that we can engage in productive and meaningful discussions with EPA that results in a regulatory strategy and finds sufficient funding to reduce federal emissions to meet the health-based National Ambient Air Quality Standards. We do not, however, intend to delay the filing of a complaint in federal court if the discussions fail to resolve these matters within the sixty (60) day notice period, and intend to seek all appropriate relief, including injunctive relief and all costs of litigation, including, but not limited to, attorneys fees, expert witness fees, and other costs. We believe this notice provides information sufficient for EPA to determine the mandatory duty we allege it has failed to perform. If, however, there are any questions, please feel free to contact us for clarification.

We look forward to working with you on this important issue.

Sincerely,

Bayron T. Gilchrist
General Counsel

BTG/lal
Appendix.
Summary Table for 2023 NOx Emissions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Category</th>
<th>2023 NOx Emissions</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA Vehicles (on-road)</td>
<td>68.5 tpd</td>
<td>2018 SIP Update <a href="https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/planning/sip/2018sipupdate/2018update.pdf?_ga=2.203433616.1202062696.1609860434-773042855.1578434161">link</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Emission Projection Analysis Model (CEPAM) Version 1.05 <a href="https://www.arb.ca.gov/app/emsinv/fcemssumcat/fcemssumcat2016.php">link</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMFAC 2014 <a href="https://arb.ca.gov/emfac/2014/">link</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Emission Projection Analysis Model (CEPAM) Version 1.05 <a href="https://www.arb.ca.gov/app/emsinv/fcemssumcat/fcemssumcat2016.php">link</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>269 tpd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
June 10, 2022

Via Certified and Electronic Mail
Return Receipt Requested

Mr. Michael S. Regan
Administrator
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of the Administrator: Mail Code 1101A
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, D.C. 20460
Email: Regan.Michael@epa.gov

Re: 60-Day Notice of Intent to File Clean Air Act Citizen Suit

Dear Administrator Regan:

This letter is submitted on behalf of People’s Collective for Environmental Justice¹, East Yard Communities for Environmental Justice², and Sierra Club³ to notify you, under section 304(b) of the Clean Air Act (“CAA” or “Act”) (42 U.S.C. § 7604(b)(2)), that these organizations intend to sue the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) for its failure to perform a nondiscretionary duty under section 110(k) of the Act (42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B),(k)(2)). More specifically, these organizations seek to compel EPA to perform its duty to review and act upon California’s State Implementation Plan (SIP) submittal on contingency measures, approved by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) and the California Air Resources Board (CARB), and submitted for EPA approval on December 31, 2019.⁴ To date, EPA has taken no action on this plan. While this plan has been pending at EPA, the South Coast Air Basin has

¹ People’s Collective for Environmental Justice, 2241 Barton Rd., #296, Grand Terrace, CA 92313
² East Yard Communities for Environmental Justice, 2317 S. Atlantic Blvd., Commerce, CA 90040.
³ Sierra Club, 617 W. 7th Street, Suite 702, Los Angeles, CA 90017.
Michael S. Regan, Administrator  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
June 10, 2022

had one of the worst ozone seasons in close to three decades, in addition to hundreds of dirty air days. We need our regulators, including EPA, to comply with our clean air laws as written.

To make matters worse, regulators from both the SCAQMD and CARB have conceded that the South Coast Air Basin will fail to meet the 1997 8-hour ozone standard. In fact, this failure is the result of a lack of follow through on the “black box” emissions reduction commitments CARB and SCAQMD made when the original plan to meet the 1997 ozone standard was submitted to EPA more than a decade ago. The “black box” allows plans to get approved for extreme ozone areas without effective control measures being fully developed. In the meantime, ports, airports, refineries, and other large polluting facilities have expanded and added pollution to the most ozone-polluted region of the country. The “black box” approach only works if agencies fulfill their promises to develop concrete strategies to reduce emissions sufficient to meet the ozone standards. The chronic problem in the South Coast Air Basin is that agencies use the “black box” as a strategy for getting plans approved, but never ultimately follow through on closing the “black box.” The losers in this approach are the millions of breathers in the South Coast Air Basin.

These regulatory failures have consequences. For example, ozone is a dangerous form of air pollution linked to many health impacts, particularly in the South Coast Air Basin. Ozone reacts with internal body tissues, causing lung damage, making them more susceptible to infection and reducing their capacity — while also exacerbating asthma, increasing respiratory-related hospital admissions, and even leading to premature death. The health impacts of this pollution are disproportionately felt by the most vulnerable, including children, persons with lung diseases, outdoor workers, and the elderly. A recent report by the American Lung Association ranked the Los Angeles region as the worst in the nation for ozone pollution, a slot the region has maintained for all but one of the 23 years tracked by the “State of the Air” report. People of color make up roughly 70% of the population for this heavily impacted region, making it difficult to ignore the environmental justice implications of continued agency inaction in addressing the perennial gaps in air pollution reduction. Having air plans based on the fantasy that the “black box” will finally solve our air pollution crisis will continue to mean that millions of the region’s residents are harmed by dangerous ozone.

7 Ibid.
9 Id. at p. 38 (Table 2c).
EPA’s failure to act follows a distressing pattern in EPA Region 9 where statutory deadlines are not being met for plans affecting several million residents living in the most polluted areas in the country. The South Coast Air Basin has failed to meet every ozone standard—even the 1-hour ozone standard from 1979. Air planning in the region is broken because some agencies make promises they don’t keep while others, including the EPA, routinely violate the Clean Air Act by failing to meet important deadlines.

Local air regulators have been incapable, or unwilling, to fully address the gaps left in air planning due to repeated use of “black box” measures. PC4EJ, EYCEJ, and Sierra Club, whose members are detrimentally affected by this regulatory stalemate, believe that it is up to citizens to demand enforcement of the Act and ensure proper planning is taking place to meet clean air standards on time. These organizations ask you to direct Region 9 to take immediate action required under the Act and move quickly to address South Coast’s air quality problems.

Because EPA has not taken any action and therefore failed to complete its nondiscretionary duty related to the Section 182(e)(5) contingency plan for the South Coast, it has been in violation of section 110(k)(2) of the Act since June 30, 2021. Unless this deficiency is promptly addressed through a final action, PC4EJ, EYCEJ, and Sierra Club intend to file suit in the U.S. District Court of the Central District of California sixty days after your receipt of this letter. Please feel free to contact me at the number listed below to further discuss the basis for this claim, or to explore possible options for resolving this claim short of litigation.

Sincerely,

Fernando Gaytan
Earthjustice
T: 415-217-2025
E: fgaytan@earthjustice.org

Counsel for East Yard Communities for Environmental Justice, People’s Collective for Environmental Justice, and Sierra Club.

Cc via email:

Martha Guzman, Regional Administrator, EPA Region 9 (Guzman.Martha@epa.gov; r9.info@epa.gov)
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Information Only – No Action Required

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
Green Region Resource Areas (GRRAs) depict the region’s natural assets, risks from climate change, and highlight areas where future growth could result in negative environmental impacts if left unaddressed. GRRAs are an important element for the development of the 2024 Connect SoCal Plan and help to fulfill statutory requirements related to the Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS). Staff will review how these layers were selected, and how they factor into the Local Data Exchange for jurisdictions and the development of the preliminary growth forecast.

BACKGROUND:
As the region faces unprecedented challenges in balancing housing and employment growth with resource conservation, it is important to coordinate regional land use and transportation strategies and seize opportunities to improve resilience, protect the SCAG region’s natural assets, and reduce future risks from climate change.

The Green Region Resource Areas (GRRAs), derive from SB 375 statute and Connect SoCal 2020 strategies, depict the region’s natural assets, risks from climate change, and highlight areas where future growth could result in negative environmental impacts if left unaddressed. GRRAs consist of ten (10) topic areas, including flood areas; coastal inundation (sea level rise); wildfire risk; open space and parks; endangered species and plants; sensitive habitat areas; natural community and habitat conservation plans; tribal lands; military installations; and farmlands.
Green Region Resource Areas (GRRA) Topics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Green Region Resource Areas (GRRA) Topics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Flood Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Coastal Inundation (Sea Level Rise)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Wildfire Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Open Space and Parks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Endangered Species and Plants*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sensitive Habitat Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Natural Community and Habitat Conservation Plans*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Tribal Lands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Military Installations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Farmlands</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Layers are included for reference to help inform local feedback on the Preliminary Growth Forecast

GRRAs factor into the preliminary growth forecast for Connect SoCal 2024, which integrates land use strategies from prior plans as well as local plans under development associated with the 6th cycle housing element update. As an essential element of SCAG’s Local Data Exchange, which provides local jurisdictions an opportunity to review and refine the growth forecast and underlying local data for the 2024 Connect SoCal plan, the preliminary household forecast at the jurisdiction and Transportation Analysis Zone level (TAZ) explicitly seeks to (i) reflect capacity changes following the 6th cycle of RHNA, (ii) emphasize growth in four types of Priority Development Areas (PDAs) that show the highest potential for reduction of vehicle miles traveled (VMT) for current and future residents, and (iii) minimize growth in a selection of GRRAs. Overall, the objective is to strengthen the connection between regional goals and local policies that are reasonably foreseeable during the Connect SoCal 2024 horizon. For considerations of local growth, data layers from eight of the ten topics of GRRAs have factored into the preliminary growth forecast. Layers not directly factored in are included as maps for reference in local jurisdictions’ review materials for the Local Data Exchange.

Importantly, future growth in GRRAs has not been eliminated; rather, areas most sensitive to growth have been identified utilizing datasets in these topic areas and projected growth has been de-emphasized and prioritized elsewhere in the region where feasible. To determine areas most sensitive to growth, SCAG staff identified where in the region these layers overlap and the overall intensity of these convergences. For instance, areas at risk for both flood and wildfire would be deemed more sensitive to growth than areas with only wildfire risk. Since mitigation is often required for projects that fall within GRRAs, areas with multiple convergences of GRRA topic areas will likely be more costly to develop due to more intense mitigation needs. Therefore, SCAG’s approach of de-emphasizing growth in areas with the highest number of convergences is sensitive to market considerations. Further, the preservation and restoration of GRRAs can reduce risks from climate change and promote future resilience in the region.
To help facilitate local review of these data elements and growth prioritization approach, SCAG included maps showing GRRA topic areas individually for reference and created a series of consolidated maps that show convergences of these layers for purposes related to development of the preliminary growth forecast. Consolidated maps, referred to as “Multi-Benefit Asset Maps,” were grouped into three categories: 1) Resilience, 2) Open Space/Habitat, and 3) Administrative/Farm Lands. SCAG also included a map that combines each of the layers from these Multi-Benefit Asset Maps for local review.

**Resilience:**
The Resilience category highlights areas within the region at risk due to climate change, such as flooding, coastal inundation (sea level rise), and wildfire risk. Information on the underlying datasets comprising the Resilience Multi-Benefit Asset Map can be found in the table below and are described subsequently:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resilience Multi-Benefit Asset Map Layers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Flood Areas</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMA Effective: 100-Year Floodplains, 2017, FEMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Coastal Inundation (Sea Level Rise)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Storm Modeling System (CoSMoS) for Southern California, v3.0, Phase 2, 2018, USGS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Wildfire Risk</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildland Urban Interface &amp; Intermix, 2020, CAL FIRE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Flood Areas** - The Flood Area data was obtained from the Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM), obtained from Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in August 2017. The DFIRM Database is a digital version of the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM) that is designed for use with digital mapping and analysis software. The FIRM is created by FEMA for the purpose of floodplain management, mitigation, and insurance activities for the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). FEMA prepares the flood maps to show the extent of flood hazard in a flood prone community by conducting engineering studies called ‘Flood Insurance Studies (FISs).’ From the study, FEMA delineate Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs), which are subject to inundation by a flood that has a 1 percent or greater chance of being equaled or exceeded during any given year. This type of flood is commonly referred to as the 100-year flood or base flood, and is utilized for the Local Data Exchange. The 100-year flood has a 26 percent chance of occurring during a 30 year period, the length of many mortgages.

- **Coastal Inundation (Sea Level Rise)** - The Coastal Inundation data was obtained from the Coastal Storm Modeling System (CoSMoS) for Southern California (v3.0, Phase 2). CoSMoS is an online mapping viewer that makes detailed predictions over large geographic scales of storm-induced
coastal flooding and erosion for both current sea level rise (SLR) scenarios. The data included in this book depicts the potential inundation of coastal areas resulting from a projected 3 feet rise in sea level above current Mean Higher High Water (MHHW) conditions. CoSMoS v3.0 for Southern California shows projections for future climate scenarios (sea level rise and storms) to provide emergency responders and coastal planners with critical storm-hazards information that can be used to increase public safety, mitigate physical damages, and more effectively manage and allocate resources within complex coastal settings.

- **Wildfire Risk** – The Wildfire Risk topic depicts areas at risk of wildfires resulting in disastrous property loss. Wildfire Risk consists of the following datasets:
  - **CAL FIRE Fire and Resource Assessment Program (FRAP) Wildland-Urban Interface (WUI) and Wildland-Urban Intermix** - Wildfires resulting in disastrous property loss are referred to as “Wildland-Urban Interface” fires, or “interface fires.” These fires may start as small vegetation fires or be part of large brush and forest fires. The Wildland-Urban Interface is distinct from areas of “Wildland-Urban Intermix” zones in which areas of human habitation are mixed with areas of flammable wildland vegetation. Intermix areas may extend from the edge of developed private land into Federal, private, and State jurisdictions. These data describe relative risk to areas of significant population density from wildfire by intersecting residential housing unit density with proximate fire threat to give a relative measure of potential loss of structures and threats to public safety from wildfire.
  - **CAL FIRE Fire Hazard Severity Zones: Local and State Responsibility Areas Maps** – State law requires CAL FIRE to designate areas, or make recommendations for local agency designation of areas, that are at risk from significant fire hazards based on fuels, terrain, weather, and other relevant factors. These areas at risk of interface fire losses are referred to by law as "Fire Hazard Severity Zones" (FHSZ). The Fire Hazard Severity Zone maps are developed using a science-based and field-tested model that assigns a hazard score based on the factors that influence fire likelihood and fire behavior. Many factors are considered such as fire history, existing and potential fuel (natural vegetation), predicted flame length, blowing embers, terrain, and typical fire weather for the area. There are three levels of hazard in the Local and State Responsibility Areas: moderate, high and very high. Data utilized for the Local Data Exchange includes only high and very high levels of hazards.

**Open Space/Habitat:**
The Open Space/Habitat category highlights topic areas related to open space, parks and habitat areas sensitive to development, such as wildlife corridors. Information on the underlying datasets comprising the Habitat Multi-Benefit Asset Map are provided in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Open Space/Habitat Multi-Benefit Asset Map Layers</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Open Space and Parks - As prescribed in SB 375, all publicly owned open space must be considered as part of Connect SoCal 2024. The Open Space and Parks topic area includes:

- **County of Ventura Save Our Agricultural Resources (SOAR)** - SOAR is a series of voter initiatives that require a majority vote of the people before agricultural land or open space areas can be rezoned for development. The eight voter-approved SOAR initiatives passed by the cities of Camarillo, Fillmore, Moorpark, Oxnard, Santa Paula, Simi Valley, Thousand Oaks and Ventura require voter approval for urban development beyond a City Urban Restriction Boundary (CURB), or, in the case of the City of Ventura, before rezoning agricultural land within the city’s sphere of influence.

- **California Conservation Easement Database (CCED)** - The CCED contains lands protected under conservation easements, which are voluntary agreements with nonprofit land trusts and/or government agencies that allow landowners to limit the type or amount of development on their property while retaining private ownership of the land. CCED is maintained and published by GreenInfo Network with data updates published twice annually. The data utilized for the Local Data Exchange reflects data published in August 2021.

- **California Protected Areas Database (CPAD)** - The CPAD is a GIS inventory of all publicly owned protected open space lands in the State of California through fee ownership. CPAD is maintained and published by GreenInfo Network and consists of aggregated open space data from state, local, and other agencies.

Sensitive Habitat Areas – The Sensitive Habitat Areas data depicts areas with a high concentration of animals and plant life that are sensitive to growth, such as wetlands, habitat connectivity areas, and areas rich with natural resources to support various species. Sensitive Habitat Areas consists of the following datasets:

- **US Fish and Wildlife Services National Wetlands Inventory Data** – This dataset is sourced from the US Fish and Wildlife Services Wetlands Inventory (NWI), a publicly available resource that provides detailed information on the abundance, characteristics, and distribution of US wetlands.

- **California Department of Fish and Wildlife Areas of Conservation Emphasis** - Data on habitat quality consists of data from the CA Department of Fish and Wildlife, recording
Areas of Conservation Emphasis (ACEIv2). ACEIv2 includes a statewide analysis of biological richness by 2.5 square mile hexagons to represent areas with high species richness, high levels of rarity and irreplaceability, and/or sensitive habitats.

- Conservation Biology Institute South Coast Missing Linkages (SCML) Wildlife Corridors - Data on habitat connectivity consists of layers identifying wildlife corridors, as well as movement barrier locations. The South Coast Missing Linkages dataset represents barriers to terrestrial wildlife movement in California that are a high priority for remediation, as identified by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) in March 2020. CDFW divides the state into six administrative Regions. CDFW staff in each Region identified linear segments of infrastructure that currently present barriers to wildlife populations in their jurisdiction. In doing so, the Regions used all available empirical information in their possession, including existing connectivity and road crossing studies, collared-animal movement data, roadkill observations, and professional expertise. The dataset represents the ten highest priority barriers identified in each region.

- California Department of Fish and Wildlife Habitat Connectivity Project - Data on habitat connectivity corridors was derived from California Essential Habitat Connectivity Project, as developed by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife, which identifies large blocks of intact habitat or natural landscapes with connectivity corridors essential for local wildlife. This dataset benefits from feedback from a selection of federal, state, local, tribal, and non-governmental organizations throughout California, and was made publicly available in 2010. The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) and California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) commissioned the California Essential Habitat Connectivity Project because a functional network of connected wildlands is essential to the continued support of California's diverse natural communities in the face of human development and climate change. The Essential Connectivity Map depicts large, relatively natural habitat blocks that support native biodiversity (Natural Landscape Blocks) and areas essential for ecological connectivity between them (Essential Connectivity Areas). This coarse-scale map was based primarily on the concept of ecological integrity, rather than the needs of particular species.

Administrative/Working Lands:
The Administrative/Working Lands category highlights areas with limited to no development allowed, such as tribal, military, and farm lands. Information on the underlying datasets comprising the Administrative/Working Lands Multi-Benefit Asset Map can be found in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Administrative/Farm Lands Multi-Benefit Asset Map Layers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Tribal Lands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian Reservations / Federally Recognized Tribal Entities, 2021, CalOES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Military Installations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA Department of Defense Lands, 2018, US Department of Defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Farmlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Important Farmland Farmland Mapping &amp; Monitoring Program (FMMP), 2018, CA Department of Conservation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Tribal Lands** - The Tribal Lands dataset depicts feature location, selected demographics and other associated data for the 561 Federally Recognized Tribal entities in the contiguous U.S. and Alaska. The American Indian Reservations / Federally Recognized Tribal Entities dataset depicts feature location, selected demographics and other associated data for the 561 Federally Recognized Tribal entities in the contiguous U.S. and Alaska. Categories included are: American Indian Reservations (AIR), Federally Recognized Tribal Entities (FRTE) and Alaska Native Villages (ANV). This dataset is used to identify tribal lands in the SCAG region. The data was obtained from the California Governor’s Office of Emergency Services (CalOES) and depicts data as of September 2021.

- **Military Installations** - In the United States, the federal government manages lands in significant parts of the country. These lands include 193 million acres managed by the US Forest Service in the nation’s 154 National Forests and 20 National Grasslands, Bureau of Land Management lands that cover 247 million acres in Alaska and the Western United States, 150 million acres managed for wildlife conservation by the US Fish and Wildlife Service, 84 million acres of National Parks and other lands managed by the National Park Service and over 30 million acres managed by the Department of Defense. The Military Installations dataset displays military lands managed by the US Department of Defense.

- **Farmlands** - Farmland information was obtained from the Farmland Mapping & Monitoring Program (FMMP) in the Division of Land Resource Protection in the California Department of Conservation. Established in 1982, the FMMP is to provide consistent and impartial data and analysis of agricultural land use and land use changes throughout the State of California. For SCAG’s purposes, data from year 2016 (and 2014 in areas where 2016 data was unavailable) underwent review and refinement by local jurisdictions through the Bottom-Up Local Input and Envisioning Process for the 2020 Connect SoCal Plan. The Farmlands dataset was obtained from the Farmland Mapping & Monitoring Program (FMMP) in the Division of Land Resource Protection in the California Department of Conservation. Established in 1982, the FMMP is to provide consistent and impartial data and analysis of agricultural land use and land use changes throughout the State of California.

  For more information on the datasets used to produce the GRRRA map and asset values, please refer to your local [Data/Map Book](https://scag.ca.gov/local-data-exchange).

**FISCAL IMPACT:**
Work for this item is covered under OWP item 310.4874.01, Connect SoCal Development.