MEETING OF THE

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

PLEASE NOTE DATE AND TIME
Thursday, May 7, 2015
11:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.

JW Marriott Desert Springs Resort & Spa
74855 Country Club Drive
Palm Desert, CA 92260
(760) 341-2211

If members of the public wish to review the attachments or have any questions on any of the agenda items, please contact Tess Rey-Chaput at (213) 236-1908 or via email at rey@scag.ca.gov. In addition, regular meetings of the Regional Council may be viewed live or on-demand at www.scag.ca.gov/scagtv

Agendas & Minutes for the Regional Council are also available at: www.scag.ca.gov/committees/rc.htm

SCAG, in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), will accommodate persons who require a modification of accommodation in order to participate in this meeting. SCAG is also committed to helping people with limited proficiency in the English language access the agency’s essential public information and services. You can request such assistance by calling (213) 236-1993. We request at least 72 hours (three days) notice to provide reasonable accommodations. We prefer more notice if possible. We will make every effort to arrange for assistance as soon as possible.
The General Assembly may consider and act upon any of the items listed on the agenda regardless of whether they are listed as Information or Action Items.

CALL TO ORDER & PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
(Hon. Carl Morehouse, President)

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD – Members of the public desiring to speak on items on the agenda, or items not on the agenda, but within the purview of the General Assembly, must fill out and present a Public Comment Card to the Assistant prior to speaking. Comments will be limited to three (3) minutes per speaker. The President has the discretion to reduce this time limit based upon the number of speakers. The President may limit the total time for all public comments to twenty (20) minutes.

REVIEW AND PRIORITIZE AGENDA ITEMS

ACTION ITEMS

1. Fiscal Year (FY) 2015-16 General Fund Budget and Membership Assessment Schedule

   **Recommended Action**: As recommended by the Regional Council, adopt the FY 2015-16 General Fund Budget and Membership Assessment Schedule. Authorize the Executive Director and the Chief Financial Officer to make adjustments that may be necessary after adoption of the budget (i.e. changes in membership assessment revenue, allocated fringe benefits and indirect cost allocations, etc.)

2. Proposed Amendments to the SCAG Bylaws

   **Recommended Action**: As recommended by the Regional Council, review and approve the proposed amendments to the SCAG Bylaws.

3. Proposed Resolution for Consideration by the General Assembly – Wildlife Corridor Across the Ventura Freeway (US 101) at Liberty Canyon

   **Recommended Action**: As recommended by the Regional Council, that the General Assembly review and adopt a proposed resolution regarding support for a wildlife corridor across the Ventura Freeway (US 101) at Liberty Canyon.
ACTION ITEMS – continued


**Recommended Action:** That the General Assembly review and consider both the resolution proposed by City of Irvine Mayor Steven Choi which supports a five-year moratorium on laws that negatively impact California businesses (“Resolution A”), and the revised resolution (“Resolution B”), which urges the California Legislature to understand and consider negative impacts upon California businesses prior to enactment of any legislation and whose adoption was recommended to the General Assembly in place of Resolution A by a majority vote of the Regional Council taken on March 5, 2015. It should be noted that since the time of the action taken by the Regional Council, Mayor Choi has indicated to SCAG staff his support for Resolution B. Because the SCAG Bylaws require that both resolutions be presented to the General Assembly, Resolution A and Resolution B are both attached with the staff report.

5. 2015-2016 SCAG Officers

**Recommended Action:** Ratify the 2015-2016 Regional SCAG Officer positions as reviewed and approved by the Regional Council.

6. Minutes of the May 1, 2014 General Assembly Meeting

**Recommended Action:** Approve.

INFORMATION ITEM

7. 2015 Local Profiles for SCAG Member Jurisdictions
   *(Hasan Ikhrata, Executive Director)*

   **Attachment** 66

8. Active Transportation Safety & Encouragement Campaign: Call for Proposals
   *(Hasan Ikhrata, Executive Director)*

   **Attachment** 114

CONSENT CALENDAR

**Receive and File**

9. 2015 Summary Report: Waiver of Member Dues

   **Attachment** 117
PRESIDENT’S REPORT

- Year in Review
- Recognition of Outgoing President
- Incoming President Remarks

ADJOURNMENT
DATE: May 7, 2015

TO: General Assembly (GA)

FROM: Regional Council (RC)

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year (FY) 2015-16 General Fund Budget and Membership Assessment Schedule

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S APPROVAL:

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
As recommended by Regional Council, adopt the FY 2015-16 General Fund Budget and Membership Assessment Schedule. Authorize the Executive Director and the Chief Financial Officer to make adjustments that may be necessary after adoption of the budget (i.e. changes in membership assessment revenue, allocated fringe benefits and indirect cost allocations, etc.)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
The General Fund (GF) is the designated financial resource for the operating budget of the Regional Council (RC). It is adopted annually by the General Assembly (GA) upon the recommendation of the RC. The FY 2015-16 General Fund Budget and Membership Assessment Schedule were approved by the RC at the March 5, 2015 meeting, and forwarded to the General Assembly for approval.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan, Goal 3: Enhance the Agency’s Long Term Financial Stability and Fiscal Management.

BACKGROUND:
The General Fund supports SCAG activities that are not funded by Federal and State grant funds, such as the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA). The General Fund also pays for lobbying costs, legal fees, and other costs which are otherwise not allowable charges to Federal and State grants.

The proposed General Fund budget of $2,889,182 is $81,821 more than the FY 2014-15 budget of $2,807,361. This is due to a new item to explore new project financing options for local jurisdictions ($75,000). The proposed Membership Assessment total of $1,922,576 is $9,824 more than the FY2014-15 total of $1,912,752.

FISCAL IMPACT:
Approval of the General Fund and Membership Assessment Schedule impacts all activities associated with the Regional Council for FY 2015-16.

ATTACHMENT:
FY 2015-16 General Fund Budget and Membership Assessment Schedule
Proposed FY 2015-16 General Fund Budget
## Revenue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY14 ACTUAL</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY16 PROPOSED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED TO FY16 PROPOSED INCOR (DECR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Membership Dues:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counties</td>
<td>293,146</td>
<td>292,356</td>
<td>293,957</td>
<td>1,601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cities</td>
<td>1,446,376</td>
<td>1,500,396</td>
<td>1,508,619</td>
<td>8,223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissions</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Corridor Agency</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Districts</td>
<td></td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-total</strong></td>
<td>$ 1,834,522</td>
<td>$ 1,912,752</td>
<td>$ 1,922,576</td>
<td>$ 9,824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interest</strong></td>
<td>53,149</td>
<td>48,000</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td>86,569</td>
<td>54,503</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>25,497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Assembly Sponsorships &amp; Registrations</td>
<td>292,090</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>284,500</td>
<td>34,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leasehold Improvements Reimbursement</td>
<td></td>
<td>542,106</td>
<td>542,106</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-total</strong></td>
<td>$ 431,808</td>
<td>$ 894,609</td>
<td>$ 966,606</td>
<td>$ 71,997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td>$ 2,266,330</td>
<td>$ 2,807,361</td>
<td>$ 2,889,182</td>
<td>$ 81,821</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Expenditures

### Regional Council

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task .01 Regional Council</th>
<th>FY14 ACTUAL</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY16 PROPOSED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED TO FY16 PROPOSED INCOR (DECR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staff Time</td>
<td>7,249</td>
<td>10,260</td>
<td>18,297</td>
<td>8,037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conferences</td>
<td>16,104</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>(20,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Services</td>
<td>65,404</td>
<td>6,227</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Meeting Expense</td>
<td>35,953</td>
<td>37,000</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAG Consultant</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stipends</td>
<td>177,570</td>
<td>211,440</td>
<td>230,000</td>
<td>18,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel - Outside</td>
<td>34,376</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel - Local</td>
<td>21,203</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel &gt; per diem</td>
<td>1,592</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>(1,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mileage - Local</td>
<td>19,535</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Task sub-total</strong></td>
<td>$ 390,213</td>
<td>$ 452,700</td>
<td>$ 494,297</td>
<td>$ 41,597</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### External Legislative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task .02 Legislative</th>
<th>FY14 ACTUAL</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY16 PROPOSED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED TO FY16 PROPOSED INCOR (DECR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staff Time</td>
<td>6,785</td>
<td>127,740</td>
<td>202,383</td>
<td>(61,617)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Lobbyist</td>
<td></td>
<td>264,000</td>
<td>203,833</td>
<td>(60,167)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Meeting Expense</td>
<td>10,604</td>
<td></td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Lobbyist</td>
<td>84,832</td>
<td>92,000</td>
<td>91,000</td>
<td>(1,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Task sub-total</strong></td>
<td>$ 102,221</td>
<td>$ 483,740</td>
<td>$ 319,418</td>
<td>(164,322)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RHNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task .03 RHNA</th>
<th>FY14 ACTUAL</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY16 PROPOSED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED TO FY16 PROPOSED INCOR (DECR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staff Time</td>
<td>79,212</td>
<td>66,032</td>
<td>31,568</td>
<td>(34,644)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Services</td>
<td>4,908</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAG Consultant</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Task sub-total</strong></td>
<td>$ 89,120</td>
<td>$ 66,032</td>
<td>$ 31,568</td>
<td>(34,644)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task .04 Other</td>
<td>FY14 ACTUAL</td>
<td>FY15 ADOPTED BUDGET</td>
<td>FY16 PROPOSED BUDGET</td>
<td>FY15 ADOPTED TO FY16 PROPOSED INCR (DECR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Time</td>
<td>10,696</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8,142</td>
<td>8,142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank Fees</td>
<td>12,770</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>(29,637)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic Workshop</td>
<td>13,051</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Summit</td>
<td>64,951</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>57,000</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor Summit</td>
<td>13,408</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>13,500</td>
<td>6,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Other</td>
<td>6,670</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Supplies</td>
<td>20,945</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Meeting Expense</td>
<td>16,151</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Memberships</td>
<td>11,572</td>
<td>12,719</td>
<td>13,700</td>
<td>981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAG Consultant</td>
<td>25,338</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAG Memberships</td>
<td>29,819</td>
<td>27,000</td>
<td>5,250</td>
<td>(21,750)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scholarships</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsorships</td>
<td>96,040</td>
<td>69,720</td>
<td>112,750</td>
<td>43,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>17,329</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel - Local</td>
<td>1,272</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mileage - Local</td>
<td>1,268</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task sub-total</td>
<td></td>
<td>325,641</td>
<td>240,939</td>
<td>338,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Assembly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>97,403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task .06 GA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Time</td>
<td>15,420</td>
<td>9,982</td>
<td>11,043</td>
<td>1,061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Assembly</td>
<td>339,882</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Other</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>(1,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel - Local</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mileage</td>
<td>2,525</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task sub-total</td>
<td></td>
<td>359,278</td>
<td>416,982</td>
<td>518,543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Assembly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>101,561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task .07 LHI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leasehold Improvements</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>542,106</td>
<td>542,106</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task sub-total</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 542,106</td>
<td>$ 542,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin of Sec 5337 &amp; 5339 FTA Grants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task .08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Time</td>
<td>18,797</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task sub-total</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 18,797</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task .09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability Data &amp; Analytical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task .09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Time</td>
<td>19,628</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>1,095</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mileage</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task sub-total</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 20,728</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**General Assembly**

**May 7, 2015**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task .10 Capital Outlay &gt; $5K</th>
<th>FY14 ACTUAL</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY16 PROPOSED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED TO FY16 PROPOSED INCR (DECR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staff Time</td>
<td>121,248</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Services</td>
<td>38,132</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAG Consultant</td>
<td>65,664</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Support</td>
<td>41,352</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel - Local</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mileage</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$ 266,650</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task .11 Public Records Administration</th>
<th>FY14 ACTUAL</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY16 PROPOSED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED TO FY16 PROPOSED INCR (DECR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Records Staff Time</td>
<td>23,946</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12,905</td>
<td>12,905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$ 23,946</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12,905</td>
<td>12,905</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task .13 Sustainability Project</th>
<th>FY14 ACTUAL</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY16 PROPOSED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED TO FY16 PROPOSED INCR (DECR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCAG Consultant</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>172,440</td>
<td>275,000</td>
<td>102,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 172,440</td>
<td>$ 275,000</td>
<td>$ 102,560</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task .14 International Collaboration</th>
<th>FY14 ACTUAL</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY16 PROPOSED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED TO FY16 PROPOSED INCR (DECR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staff Time</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33,071</td>
<td>33,071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Meeting Expense</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43,071</td>
<td>43,071</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task .15 Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District</th>
<th>FY14 ACTUAL</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY16 PROPOSED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED TO FY16 PROPOSED INCR (DECR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Other</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total for all tasks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY14 ACTUAL</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY16 PROPOSED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED TO FY16 PROPOSED INCR (DECR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 1,596,594</td>
<td>$ 2,374,939</td>
<td>$ 2,650,249</td>
<td>$ 275,310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Allocated Fringe Benefits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY14 ACTUAL</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY16 PROPOSED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED TO FY16 PROPOSED INCR (DECR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>216,633</td>
<td>155,788</td>
<td>89,195</td>
<td>(66,593)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Allocated Indirect Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY14 ACTUAL</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY16 PROPOSED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED TO FY16 PROPOSED INCR (DECR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>453,103</td>
<td>276,634</td>
<td>149,739</td>
<td>(126,895)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY14 ACTUAL</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY16 PROPOSED BUDGET</th>
<th>FY15 ADOPTED TO FY16 PROPOSED INCR (DECR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 2,266,330</td>
<td>$ 2,807,361</td>
<td>$ 2,889,182</td>
<td>$ 81,821</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Totals may not add due to rounding.
Proposed FY 2015-16 Membership Assessment
### SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
### PROPOSED MEMBERSHIP ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE
### FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2015 - 16
### as of February 4, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTIES (6)</th>
<th>UNINC POP</th>
<th>ASSESSMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COUNTIES/TOTAL</td>
<td>2015-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POP CITIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPERIAL</td>
<td>37,220</td>
<td>6,731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS ANGELES</td>
<td>1,046,557</td>
<td>125,851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>121,473</td>
<td>35,545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIVERSIDE</td>
<td>363,590</td>
<td>56,563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN BERNARDINO</td>
<td>297,425</td>
<td>50,819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VENTURA</td>
<td>97,313</td>
<td>18,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUB-TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,963,578</strong></td>
<td><strong>293,957</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITIES (187) &amp; TRIBES (4)</th>
<th>UNINC POP</th>
<th>ASSESSMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COUNTIES/TOTAL</td>
<td>2015-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POP CITIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADELANTO</td>
<td>32,511</td>
<td>3,322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGOURA HILLS</td>
<td>20,625</td>
<td>2,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALHAMBRA</td>
<td>84,697</td>
<td>7,852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALISO VIEJO</td>
<td>49,951</td>
<td>4,836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANAHEIM</td>
<td>348,305</td>
<td>30,986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPLE VALLEY</td>
<td>70,755</td>
<td>6,642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARCADIA</td>
<td>57,500</td>
<td>5,492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTESIA</td>
<td>16,776</td>
<td>1,706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZUSA</td>
<td>48,385</td>
<td>4,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALDWIN PARK</td>
<td>76,715</td>
<td>7,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BANNING</td>
<td>30,325</td>
<td>3,132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BARSTOW</td>
<td>23,292</td>
<td>2,272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEAUMONT</td>
<td>40,876</td>
<td>4,048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELL</td>
<td>35,972</td>
<td>3,623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELLFLOWER</td>
<td>77,741</td>
<td>7,249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELL GARDENS</td>
<td>42,667</td>
<td>4,204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEVERLY HILLS</td>
<td>34,677</td>
<td>3,510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIG BEAR LAKE</td>
<td>5,121</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLYTHE</td>
<td>18,992</td>
<td>1,899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRADBURY</td>
<td>1,082</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAWLEY</td>
<td>25,897</td>
<td>2,748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREA</td>
<td>42,397</td>
<td>4,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUENA PARK</td>
<td>82,344</td>
<td>7,648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BURBANK</td>
<td>105,543</td>
<td>9,912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALABASAS</td>
<td>23,943</td>
<td>2,328</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

#### PROPOSED MEMBERSHIP ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2015 - 16

as of February 4, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UNINC POP COUNTIES/TOTAL</th>
<th>ASSESSMENTS 2015-16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POP CITIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALEXICO</td>
<td>40,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALIMESA</td>
<td>8,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALIPATRIA</td>
<td>7,517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMARILLO</td>
<td>66,752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANYON LAKE</td>
<td>10,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARSON</td>
<td>92,636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATHEDRAL CITY</td>
<td>52,595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERRITOS</td>
<td>49,741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHINO</td>
<td>81,747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHINO HILLS</td>
<td>76,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLAREMONT</td>
<td>35,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COACHELLA</td>
<td>43,633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLTON</td>
<td>53,057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMERCE</td>
<td>13,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPTON</td>
<td>98,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORONA</td>
<td>159,132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COSTA MESA</td>
<td>111,846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVINA</td>
<td>48,619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUDAHY</td>
<td>24,142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CULVER CITY</td>
<td>39,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYPRESS</td>
<td>48,886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DANA POINT</td>
<td>34,037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESERT HOT SPRINGS</td>
<td>28,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIAMOND BAR</td>
<td>56,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOWNEY</td>
<td>113,363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUARTE</td>
<td>21,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EASTVALE</td>
<td>59,185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL CENTRO</td>
<td>44,311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL MONTE</td>
<td>115,064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL SEGUNDO</td>
<td>16,897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILLMORE</td>
<td>15,339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FONTANA</td>
<td>202,177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOUNTAIN VALLEY</td>
<td>56,702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FULLERTON</td>
<td>140,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GARDEN GROVE</td>
<td>173,953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GARDENA</td>
<td>60,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLENDALE</td>
<td>195,799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLENDO R A</td>
<td>51,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAND TERRACE</td>
<td>12,285</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Southern California Association of Governments
### Proposed Membership Assessment Schedule
#### For the Fiscal Year 2015 - 16
as of February 4, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County/Town</th>
<th>UNINC POP</th>
<th>ASPRICT POP</th>
<th>ASSESSMENTS 2015-16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hawaiian Gardens</td>
<td>14,456</td>
<td>1,505</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawthorne</td>
<td>86,644</td>
<td>8,022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemet</td>
<td>81,537</td>
<td>7,578</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hermosa Beach</td>
<td>19,750</td>
<td>1,964</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hesperia</td>
<td>91,506</td>
<td>8,444</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hidden Hills</td>
<td>1,901</td>
<td>265</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highland</td>
<td>54,033</td>
<td>5,191</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holtville</td>
<td>6,154</td>
<td>634</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington Beach</td>
<td>195,999</td>
<td>17,765</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington Park</td>
<td>59,033</td>
<td>5,625</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperial</td>
<td>16,708</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Wells</td>
<td>5,137</td>
<td>546</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indio</td>
<td>82,398</td>
<td>7,653</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inglewood</td>
<td>111,795</td>
<td>10,455</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irvine</td>
<td>242,651</td>
<td>21,814</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irwindale</td>
<td>1,466</td>
<td>227</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurupa Valley</td>
<td>97,774</td>
<td>8,988</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Canada Flintridge</td>
<td>20,535</td>
<td>2,033</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Habra</td>
<td>61,717</td>
<td>5,858</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Habra Heights</td>
<td>5,420</td>
<td>571</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Mirada</td>
<td>49,178</td>
<td>4,769</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Palma</td>
<td>15,896</td>
<td>1,630</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Puente</td>
<td>40,478</td>
<td>4,014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Quinta</td>
<td>39,032</td>
<td>3,888</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Verne</td>
<td>32,228</td>
<td>3,298</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laguna Beach</td>
<td>23,225</td>
<td>2,266</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laguna Hills</td>
<td>30,857</td>
<td>3,179</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laguna Niguel</td>
<td>64,460</td>
<td>6,096</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laguna Woods</td>
<td>16,581</td>
<td>1,689</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Elsinore</td>
<td>56,718</td>
<td>5,424</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Forest</td>
<td>79,139</td>
<td>7,370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakewood</td>
<td>81,224</td>
<td>7,551</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster</td>
<td>159,878</td>
<td>14,629</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawndale</td>
<td>33,228</td>
<td>3,385</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loma Linda</td>
<td>23,614</td>
<td>2,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lomita</td>
<td>20,630</td>
<td>2,041</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Beach</td>
<td>470,292</td>
<td>41,576</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Alamitos</td>
<td>11,729</td>
<td>1,268</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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## SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
### PROPOSED MEMBERSHIP ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE
#### FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2015 - 16
##### as of February 4, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>UNINC POP</th>
<th>COUNTIES/TOTAL</th>
<th>ASSESSMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOS ANGELES</td>
<td>3,904,657</td>
<td>340,211</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LYNWOOD</td>
<td>70,980</td>
<td>6,662</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALIBU</td>
<td>12,865</td>
<td>1,367</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANHATTAN BEACH</td>
<td>35,619</td>
<td>3,592</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MENIFEE</td>
<td>83,716</td>
<td>7,767</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISSION VIEJO</td>
<td>95,334</td>
<td>8,776</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONROVIA</td>
<td>37,162</td>
<td>3,726</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONTCLAIR</td>
<td>37,374</td>
<td>3,744</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONTEBELLO</td>
<td>63,527</td>
<td>6,015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONTEREY PARK</td>
<td>61,777</td>
<td>5,863</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOORPARK</td>
<td>35,172</td>
<td>3,553</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MORENO VALLEY</td>
<td>199,258</td>
<td>18,047</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MORONGO-MISSION INDIANS</td>
<td>1,109</td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MURRIETA</td>
<td>106,425</td>
<td>9,989</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEEDLES</td>
<td>4,908</td>
<td>526</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEWPORT BEACH</td>
<td>86,874</td>
<td>8,041</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORCO</td>
<td>26,582</td>
<td>2,808</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORWALK</td>
<td>106,630</td>
<td>10,006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OJAI</td>
<td>7,594</td>
<td>759</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONTARIO</td>
<td>167,382</td>
<td>15,280</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OXNARD</td>
<td>203,645</td>
<td>18,428</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PALM DESERT</td>
<td>50,417</td>
<td>4,877</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PALM SPRINGS</td>
<td>46,135</td>
<td>4,505</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PALMDALE</td>
<td>155,657</td>
<td>14,262</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PALOS VERDES ESTATES</td>
<td>13,665</td>
<td>1,436</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARAMOUNT</td>
<td>55,051</td>
<td>5,279</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASADENA</td>
<td>140,879</td>
<td>12,980</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERRIS</td>
<td>72,103</td>
<td>6,759</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PICO RIVERA</td>
<td>63,873</td>
<td>6,045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLACENTIA</td>
<td>52,094</td>
<td>5,022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POMONA</td>
<td>151,713</td>
<td>13,920</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PORT HUENEME</td>
<td>22,399</td>
<td>2,194</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANCHO CUCAMONGA</td>
<td>172,299</td>
<td>15,707</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANCHO MIRAGE</td>
<td>17,745</td>
<td>1,790</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANCHO PALOS VERDES</td>
<td>42,358</td>
<td>4,177</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PECHANGA BAND OF LUISENO INDIANS</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>169</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REDLANDS</td>
<td>69,882</td>
<td>6,566</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REDONDO BEACH</td>
<td>67,717</td>
<td>6,378</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIALTO</td>
<td>101,429</td>
<td>9,555</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Proposed Membership Assessment Schedule

For the Fiscal Year 2015 - 16

as of February 4, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>UNINC Pop</th>
<th>Cities</th>
<th>Total Assessments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RIVERSIDE</td>
<td>314,034</td>
<td>28,011</td>
<td>28,011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROLLING HILLS</td>
<td>1,895</td>
<td>265</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROLLING HILLS ESTATES</td>
<td>8,184</td>
<td>810</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROSEMEAD</td>
<td>54,762</td>
<td>5,254</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN BERNARDINO</td>
<td>212,721</td>
<td>19,216</td>
<td>19,216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN BUENAVENTURA</td>
<td>108,961</td>
<td>10,209</td>
<td>10,209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN CLEMENTE</td>
<td>64,874</td>
<td>6,132</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN DIMAS</td>
<td>34,072</td>
<td>3,458</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN FERNANDO</td>
<td>24,222</td>
<td>2,353</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN GABRIEL</td>
<td>40,313</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN JACINTO</td>
<td>45,563</td>
<td>4,555</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO</td>
<td>35,900</td>
<td>3,616</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN MARINO</td>
<td>13,341</td>
<td>1,408</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANTA ANA</td>
<td>331,953</td>
<td>29,567</td>
<td>29,567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANTA CLARITA</td>
<td>209,130</td>
<td>18,904</td>
<td>18,904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANTA FE SPRINGS</td>
<td>17,349</td>
<td>1,756</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANTA MONICA</td>
<td>92,185</td>
<td>8,503</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANTA PAULA</td>
<td>30,448</td>
<td>3,143</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEAL BEACH</td>
<td>24,591</td>
<td>2,385</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIERRA MADRE</td>
<td>11,094</td>
<td>963</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGNAL HILL</td>
<td>11,411</td>
<td>1,241</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMI VALLEY</td>
<td>126,305</td>
<td>11,714</td>
<td>11,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SODOBA BAND OF LUISENO INDIANS</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>143</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTH EL MONTE</td>
<td>20,426</td>
<td>2,023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTH GATE</td>
<td>96,057</td>
<td>8,839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTH PASADENA</td>
<td>26,011</td>
<td>2,758</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STANTON</td>
<td>38,963</td>
<td>3,882</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMECULA</td>
<td>106,289</td>
<td>9,977</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMPLE CITY</td>
<td>36,134</td>
<td>3,637</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOUSAND OAKS</td>
<td>129,039</td>
<td>11,952</td>
<td>11,952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TORRANCE</td>
<td>147,706</td>
<td>13,572</td>
<td>13,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TORRES MARTINEZ BAND OF CAHUILLA INDIANS</td>
<td>4,075</td>
<td>454</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tustin</td>
<td>78,360</td>
<td>7,302</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWENTYNINE PALMS</td>
<td>26,576</td>
<td>2,807</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPLAND</td>
<td>75,147</td>
<td>7,023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERNON</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTORVILLE</td>
<td>120,590</td>
<td>11,218</td>
<td>11,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILLA PARK</td>
<td>5,935</td>
<td>615</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WALNUT</td>
<td>30,112</td>
<td>3,114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Southern California Association of Governments

### Proposed Membership Assessment Schedule

**For the Fiscal Year 2015 - 16**

*as of February 4, 2015*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>UNINC POP COUNTRIES/TOTAL POP CITIES</th>
<th>ASSESSMENTS 2015-16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>West Covina</td>
<td>107,828</td>
<td>10,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Hollywood</td>
<td>35,072</td>
<td>3,545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westlake Village</td>
<td>8,386</td>
<td>828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westminster</td>
<td>91,652</td>
<td>8,456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westmoreland</td>
<td>2,301</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildomar</td>
<td>33,718</td>
<td>3,427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whittier</td>
<td>86,538</td>
<td>8,012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorba Linda</td>
<td>67,069</td>
<td>6,322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yucaipa</td>
<td>52,654</td>
<td>5,071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-total</strong></td>
<td><strong>16,368,268</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,508,619</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Grand Total- Assessments</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>18,331,846</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Commissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>UNINC POP COUNTRIES/TOTAL POP CITIES</th>
<th>ASSESSMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sanbag</td>
<td>2,085,669</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rctc</td>
<td>2,279,967</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vctc</td>
<td>842,967</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Corridor Agency</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octa</td>
<td>3,113,991</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Districts</td>
<td></td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-total</strong></td>
<td><strong>120,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Membership and Assessments**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1,922,576</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATE: May 7, 2015
TO: General Assembly (GA)
FROM: Regional Council (RC)
SUBJECT: Proposed Amendments to the SCAG Bylaws

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S APPROVAL: [Signature]

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
As recommended by the Regional Council, review and approve the proposed amendments to the SCAG Bylaws.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
Each year, in preparation for the annual General Assembly meeting, proposed resolutions and proposed amendments to the SCAG Bylaws are considered by both the Bylaws and Resolutions Committee (Committee) and the Regional Council, prior to submission to the General Assembly. This year, staff did not receive any proposed Bylaws amendments from any Official Representative of SCAG. Staff, however, proposed several amendments to the Bylaws to address matters that were raised during the course of the year. As part of separate meetings held on February 18, 2015 and March 5, 2016, respectively, the Bylaws Committee and the Regional Council reviewed the proposals as further detailed in this report. The Regional Council recommends the approval of these proposed amendments to the SCAG Bylaws by the General Assembly.

STRATEGIC PLAN: This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan Goal 1 (Improve Regional Decision Making by Providing Leadership and Consensus Building on Key Plans and Policies; Objective C (Provide practical solutions for moving new ideas forward).

BACKGROUND:
Every year, proposed amendments to the SCAG Bylaws may be presented by Official Representatives of SCAG, and these proposals are thereafter reviewed by the Committee and Regional Council before submittal to the General Assembly. In addition, staff may propose amendments to the Bylaws to address matters that were raised during the course of the year and these are also reviewed by the Committee and Regional Council.

This year, staff received no proposals for Bylaw amendments by any Official Representative of SCAG. Staff, however, proposed several changes to the Bylaws which were reviewed by the Committee on February 18, 2015 and by the Regional Council on March 5, 2015. The following table summarizes the proposed amendments which were reviewed and recommended for approval by the Committee and the Regional Council:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Staff-initiated Bylaws Amendment</th>
<th>Reference to pages in marked-up version of current Bylaws</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Making it permissive (not mandatory) that a member city’s Official Representative on the General Assembly be its respective Regional Council member and correcting a minor error in the definition of Official Representative.</td>
<td>See pages 2 and 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Addition of Public Transportation Representative to the Regional Council; process for rotation among the six counties; each appointment is for a two-year term; and no dues assessment required for the Public Transportation Representative.</td>
<td>See pages 5, 8, 9 and 22, respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Clarifying that if the composition of a District is revised after the District Evaluation process, the impacted District may retain current District representative or hold special election to elect a new District representative.</td>
<td>See page 6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Clarifying circumstances when SCAG President can declare vacancy of a District representative, including in response to a no confidence vote by a District.</td>
<td>See pages 8-9.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Modifying requirement for passage of the annual budget by the Regional Council to majority vote of a quorum of the RC.</td>
<td>See page 11.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Requiring a majority of the voting-eligible members of EAC when acting on the following matters on behalf of the RC: approval of annual budget; hiring or removal of the Executive Director; and approval of salary classifications for SCAG employees.</td>
<td>See page 12.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Clarifying authority of the Executive Director to appoint, direct, discipline, remove and set compensation and benefits of all SCAG employees.</td>
<td>See page 19.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Reducing annual membership assessment to have RC representative of the Air Districts from $25,000 to $10,000.</td>
<td>See page 22.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All of the proposed amendments are intended to address matters which were raised during the course of the year. For example, with respect to proposed amendment #2 regarding the addition of the Public Transportation Representative to the Regional Council, this matter was previously approved by the Regional Council in September 2014 whereby staff indicated that the addition would be subsequently formalized in the Bylaws. The addition of the Public Transportation Representative to the Regional Council is intended to comply with new requirements of the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (P.L. 112-141), or MAP-21.
Several of the proposals also seek to clarify certain matters in the Bylaws so that they are more consistent with current practices. For example, regarding proposed amendment #3, the Bylaws currently state that a special District election is required whenever the composition of a Regional Council District is revised as part of the District Evaluation process that occurs every five (5) years. This proposal would allow the impacted District the option to retain the current District Representative (consistent with current practice) or hold a special District election.

Finally, the proposed amendments also provide for processes to address certain situations that are not currently in the Bylaws. For example, regarding proposed amendment #4, this would establish a process for the SCAG President to declare a Regional Council District representative seat vacant when required by federal or state statutes or regulations, or if an action of no confidence is passed by all cities in the respective District. For each of the proposed amendments, staff can provide additional background information as requested.

As previously noted, the Regional Council on March 5, 2015 considered these proposed amendments to the SCAG Bylaws. By a majority vote, the Regional Council recommended their approval by the General Assembly as presented.

ATTACHMENT:
Marked-up version of the Proposed Bylaws Amendments, dated May 7, 2015
This Page Intentionally Left Blank
BYLAWS

THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

Preamble

The Southern California Association of Governments (hereinafter referred to as either the “Association” or “SCAG”) is an agency voluntarily established by its members pursuant to the Joint Exercise of Powers Act for the purpose of providing a forum for discussion, study and development of recommendations on regional challenges and opportunities of mutual interest and concern regarding the orderly physical development of the Southern California Region among units of local government.

ARTICLE I - FUNCTIONS

The functions of the Association are:

A. Exchange of objective planning information. Making available to members, plans and planning studies, completed or proposed by local governments, Tribal Governments, or those of State or Federal agencies, which would affect local governments.

B. Identification and study of challenges and opportunities requiring objective planning by jurisdictions in more than one (1) county in the Southern California area and the making of appropriate policy or action recommendations.

C. Review and/or develop governmental proposals. Review and/or develop proposals creating agencies of regional scope, and the making of appropriate policy or action recommendations concerning the need for such units or agencies.

D. Consider questions of common interest and concern to members of the Association in the region and may develop policy and action recommendations of an advisory nature only.

E. Act upon any matter to the extent and in the manner required, permitted or authorized by the joint powers agreements, State or Federal law, or the regulations adopted pursuant to any such law.

F. Assist local Association members in the acquisition of real and personal property convenient or necessary for the operation of members by entering into such financing agreements as are necessary to accomplish the pooling and common marketing of such agreements or certificates of participation in order to reduce the cost to members of the acquisition of such real or personal property.

G. Undertake transportation planning programs and activities in accordance with the Association’s responsibilities as a metropolitan planning organization as outlined in 23 U.S.C.A. § 134 et seq., and as may be amended from time to time.
ARTICLE II - DEFINITIONS

A. **Alternate**, as used in these Bylaws, means either the mayor or a member of the legislative body of a member city, or the chair of the Board of Supervisors or a member of the legislative body of a member county designated to serve in an official capacity at a meeting of the General Assembly only in the absence of the Official Representative of the member city or county.

B. **Association**, as used in these Bylaws, means the Southern California Association of Governments as established by that certain Southern California Association of Governments Agreement filed with the California Secretary of State on April 20, 1973, (said Agreement is hereinafter referred to as “Joint Powers Agreement”).

C. **Days**, as used in these Bylaws, means calendar days.

D. **General Assembly**, as used in these Bylaws, means a meeting of the Official Representatives of the members of the Association.

E. **Official Representative**, as used in these Bylaws, means (1) the mayor or a member of the legislative body of each member city, (2) the chair of the Board of Supervisors or a member of the legislative body of the member county as their Official Representative, or (3) the chair or member of the governing board of a member County Transportation Commission (CTC) within the counties of Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino and Ventura. Official Representatives may also be referred to as “Delegates” of the Association.

F. **Voting-Eligible** or **voting-eligible**, as used in these Bylaws describes those members of the Association who retain all voting rights as members and who have not been designated as Ex-Officio members without a right to vote in accordance with Article III A. (3).

ARTICLE III - MEMBERSHIP AND REPRESENTATION FOR THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

A. **Membership**

(1) All cities and all counties within the area of the counties of Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, Ventura and Imperial are eligible for membership in the Association. In addition, the CTCs from those counties are also eligible for membership in the Association.

(2) Each member county and each member city shall have one (1) Official Representative and one (1) Alternate in the General Assembly, except that the City of Los Angeles, if and while it is a member city, shall have three (3) Official Representatives and three (3) Alternates. Each CTC member shall have one (1) Official Representative in the General Assembly.
(3) Membership shall be contingent upon the execution of the Joint Powers Agreement and the payment by each county, city, or CTC of each annual dues assessment. In the event a county, city, or CTC has not paid the annual dues assessment by January 1 of the July 1-June 30 Fiscal Year, its Official Representative(s) shall, after a written notice of dues delinquency from the Executive Director and a subsequent affirmative vote by a majority of the Regional Council voting-eligible members with a quorum in attendance, serve in an Ex-Officio status, without the right to vote in any matter before the General Assembly.

(4) Any federally recognized Indian Nation within the SCAG region which is significantly involved in regional problems or the boundaries of which include territory in more than one (1) county, shall be eligible for advisory membership in the Association. The representatives of any such advisory member may be a member of the Regional Council and participate in the work of committees of the Association.

B. Representation in the General Assembly

(1) Only the Official Representative or Alternate present shall represent a member in the General Assembly; provided, however, that a member of the Board of Supervisors of a member county may participate in the discussion of the General Assembly.

(2) Except as described herein, the Official Representative of each city in the General Assembly shall be its respective Regional Council member; in the event that a city does not have a city council member as its respective Regional Council member, or if a city does not wish to have its Regional Council member be its Official Representative or Alternate, a city may designate as its Official Representative or Alternate a member of its city council who is however, in the event that the Official Representative of the city is not a Regional Council member, then the Official Representative or Alternate for such city shall be designated by the city council; provided that if and while the City of Los Angeles is a member city, the mayor of the City of Los Angeles shall be one of its Official Representatives.

(3) Names of Official Representatives and Alternates shall be communicated in writing to the Association by the appointing city or county forty-five (45) days before the annual meeting of the General Assembly.

(4) Official Representatives or Alternates shall serve at the General Assembly until a successor is appointed, except if an Official Representative or Alternate ceases to be a member of the appointing legislative body, then the seat shall be vacant until a successor is appointed.

ARTICLE IV - GENERAL ASSEMBLY

A. Powers and Functions
Subject to Article I, the powers of the General Assembly, shall include:

(1) The determination of policy matters for the Association.

(2) Any Official Representative may, at any meeting of the General Assembly, propose a subject(s) for study by the Association provided that the Official Representative has notified the President of the proposal forty-five (45) days in advance of any regular meeting of the General Assembly. The General Assembly may determine whether a study will be made of the subject(s) proposed, or may refer such subject(s) to the Regional Council.

(3) Any Official Representative may, at any regular meeting of the General Assembly, request review by the General Assembly of any action of the Regional Council which has been taken between meetings of the General Assembly.

(4) At its annual meeting, the General Assembly shall review the proposed General Fund budget and annual dues assessment schedule and shall adopt an annual General Fund budget and an annual dues assessment schedule.

(5) Any Official Representative who desires to propose any policy matter for action by the General Assembly shall submit the matter to the Regional Council in the form of a proposed resolution at least forty-five (45) days prior to any regular meeting of the General Assembly. The President shall appoint Regional Council members to serve on the Bylaws and Resolutions Committee to consider each such resolution, and the Bylaws and Resolutions Committee shall submit its recommendations for action to the Regional Council. Upon review of any proposed resolution, the Regional Council shall submit its recommendations to the General Assembly. A copy of each such proposed resolution together with the Regional Council’s recommendations thereon, shall be included in the agenda materials for each regular meeting of the General Assembly.

B. Meetings

(1) A regular meeting of the General Assembly shall be held once a year. Special meetings of the General Assembly may be called by the Regional Council upon the written request of the President and with the affirmative votes of a majority of the Regional Council voting-eligible members present at a meeting with a quorum in attendance. Ten (10) days’ written notice of a special meeting shall be given to the Official Representatives and Alternates of each member of the Association. An agenda specifying the subject of the special meeting shall accompany the notice.

(2) The time, date and location for meetings of the General Assembly shall be determined by the Regional Council.

(3) Notice of the regular meeting of the General Assembly shall be given to the Official Representatives and Alternates of each member of the Association at least thirty (30) days prior to each regular meeting. An agenda for the regular
meeting shall accompany the notice. Notice of any changes to the agenda shall be given at least ten (10) days prior to the regular meeting.

(4) The General Assembly may adopt rules for its own procedures.

C. **Voting in the General Assembly shall be conducted in the following manner:**

(1) A quorum of the General Assembly shall consist of one-third of the Official Representatives from voting-eligible members of the Association or their Alternates.

(2) Each Official Representative of a voting-eligible member of the Association shall have one (1) vote. In the absence of the Official Representative, the Alternate shall be entitled to vote. Unless otherwise identified in these Bylaws, an affirmative vote of a majority of the Official Representatives or Alternates of the General Assembly voting-eligible members present with a quorum in attendance shall be necessary for the approval or adoption of any matter presented for action to the General Assembly.

(3) Voting may be by voice, displaying voting cards, roll call vote or through the use of an electronic voting system. A roll call vote shall be conducted upon the demand of five (5) Official Representatives or their Alternates present, or at the discretion of the presiding officer.

**ARTICLE V - REGIONAL COUNCIL**

A. **Regional Council Organization:** There shall be a Regional Council of the Association which shall be organized as set forth below and which shall be responsible for such functions as are hereinafter set forth:

(1) **Membership:** The membership of the Regional Council shall be comprised of: one (1) representative from each member county Board of Supervisors, except for the County of Los Angeles which shall have two (2) representatives; one (1) representative from the Tribal Government Regional Planning Board, who shall be a locally elected Tribal Council member from a federally recognized Tribal Government within the SCAG region; one (1) representative from each District (as defined below); the Mayor of the City of Los Angeles serving as an at-large representative for the City; one (1) city council member or member of a County Board of Supervisors, from the governing boards of each of the six (6) County Transportation Commissions (“CTC”); one (1) local government elected representative from one of the five (5) Air Districts within SCAG to represent all five (5) Air Districts operating within the SCAG region; and one (1) local government elected representative from the Transportation Corridor Agencies (TCA); and one (1) local government elected representative serving as the Public Transportation Representative to represent the transit interests of all of the operators in the SCAG region.
(a) **Districts:** For purposes of representation on the Regional Council, Districts shall be organized and defined as follows:

1. A District shall be established by the Regional Council and be comprised of a group of cities that have a geographic community of interest and have approximately equal population. A District may be comprised of cities within different counties, but Districts established by subregions under Article V A.(1)(a)(5) shall include only cities within the boundaries of such subregions. Procedures for District Representative elections shall be set forth under the Regional Council Policy Manual (“Policy Manual”).

2. The Regional Council shall review, and if it deems necessary, modify or establish District boundaries based upon city population data as most recently available from the State Department of Finance. The Regional Council shall review the district boundaries in 2004 and thereafter in every year ending in 3 or 8.

3. Notwithstanding any other provisions of these Bylaws, in the event that upon review of the Districts by the Regional Council in 2004 or thereafter, District boundaries are changed, then those impacted Districts shall have the option to retain the current District representative or hold a special election, no later than two (2) months after final action by the Regional Council, to elect their District representative who shall serve for a term established by the Regional Council. Such elections shall be held in accordance with the procedures established in the Policy Manual A.(1).

4. If a new city within the Association’s region is incorporated after Districts have been established, the newly incorporated city shall be assigned by the Regional Council in consultation with the applicable subregional organization, to a District with other cities with which it has contiguous borders until such time as District boundaries are again reviewed by the Regional Council.

5. In any area where a subregional organization has either (1) been formally established under a joint powers agreement pursuant to California Government Code Sections 6500 et. seq. serving as the subregional planning agency for the general purpose local governments and is not a single-purpose joint powers authority or a special district entity, or (2) been recognized by action of the Regional Council; and is organized for general planning purposes such as for the purpose of conducting studies and projects designed to improve and coordinate the common governmental responsibilities and services on an area-wide and regional basis, exploring areas of intergovernmental cooperation and coordination of governmental programs and providing recommendations and
solutions to problems of common and general concern, such subregional organization shall make recommendations to establish a District (or Districts) within the boundaries of such subregional area. For purposes of establishing Districts, the subregional organization shall use the definition of District as set forth in Article V A, (1)(a)(1) above. The subregional organization shall have authority to make recommendations to the Regional Council to establish Districts in every year ending in 3 or 8 and shall use city populations as most recently determined by the State Department of Finance. If a new city within the subregional area is incorporated after Districts have been established, the newly incorporated city shall be assigned to a District in accordance with Article V A, (1)(a)(4) above until such time as Districts are again reviewed.

(6) The Regional Council shall establish a maximum of seventy (70) Districts.

(b) **Regional Council Representation**

(1) There shall be a minimum of one (1) District representative for all of the cities within each county in the Association.

(2) Any Regional Council member representing a member city in a single City District or county which has not yet paid its annual dues assessment by January 1 of the July 1 - June 30 Fiscal Year shall, after a written notice of dues delinquency from the Executive Director and a subsequent affirmative vote by a majority of the voting-eligible Regional Council members present with a quorum in attendance, shall be placed in an Ex-Officio member status, without the right to vote, on the Regional Council or any committee or subcommittee of the Association.

(3) Any Regional Council member from a member city in a District comprised of multiple cities which has not paid its annual dues assessment by January 1 of the July 1-June 30 Fiscal Year, and who represents a District comprised of more than one (1) city, shall, after a written notice of dues delinquency from the Executive Director and a subsequent affirmative vote by a majority of the voting-eligible Regional Council members present with a quorum in attendance, relinquish his/her position on the Regional Council and the seat shall be declared vacant by the President. Such vacancies shall be filled through the District Representative special election procedures set forth by the Regional Council.

(4) Any Regional Council member from a Native American Tribe which has not paid its annual dues assessment by January 1 of the
July 1-June 30 Fiscal Year, and who represents the Tribal Government Regional Planning Board, shall, after a written notice of dues delinquency from the Executive Director and a subsequent affirmative vote by a majority of the voting-eligible Regional Council members present with a quorum in attendance, relinquish his/her position on the Regional Council and the seat shall be declared vacant by the President. Such vacancies shall be filled by the Tribal Government Regional Planning Board.

(5) CTC, TCA and the Tribal Government Regional Planning Board representatives to the Regional Council shall be appointed by their respective governing boards, and the appointments shall be formally communicated in writing to the President. The Air District representative shall be determined by the five (5) Air Districts within SCAG, with such determination formally communicated in writing to the President.

(6) Any Regional Council member representing a CTC which has not paid its annual dues assessment by January 1 of the July 1-June 30 fiscal year shall, after a written notice of dues delinquency by the Executive Director and a subsequent affirmative vote by a majority of the voting-eligible Regional Council members present with a quorum in attendance, be granted Ex-Officio status without the right to vote, on the Regional Council.

(6)(7) The position of the Public Transportation Representative will rotate among the six counties without any SCAG dues requirement for the position, and the appropriate CTC will make the two-year appointment subject to the SCAG President’s official appointment. This position shall also be discussed with the Chief Executive Officers (CEOs) of the CTCs who may develop additional procedures for the selection process. A representative from the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority shall serve as the initial Public Transportation Representative on the Regional Council.

(2) **Terms of Office:**

(a) Membership on the Regional Council by District representatives shall be for two (2) years upon election. Terms of District representatives shall commence on the adjournment of the annual meeting of the General Assembly and expire at the conclusion of the second regular meeting of the General Assembly occurring after their election. If a District representative on the Regional Council resigns from his or her position as the District representative or officially ceases to be a locally elected official, his or her Regional Council shall be declared vacant by the President. Moreover, the President shall also declare vacant the Regional Council.
Council membership of a District Representative if so required by federal or state statutes or regulations, or in response to a no confidence vote by a District undertaken in accordance with the District Representative Election Procedures in the Regional Council Policy Manual. A no confidence vote shall only be undertaken in response to a resolution passed by all cities in the District that are not in arrears with regard to its annual dues assessment to SCAG. All such vacancies shall be filled through special election procedures as set forth by the Regional Council. In the case of District representatives elected pursuant to special elections to fill vacancies, the term shall be for such time as will fill out the remainder of the vacated term.

(b) The terms of District representatives who represent even-numbered Districts shall be two (2) years and shall expire in even-numbered years. Terms of District representatives who represent odd-numbered Districts shall be two (2) years and shall expire in odd-numbered years.

(c) Membership on the Regional Council by county representatives and representatives of the CTCs, Tribal Government Regional Planning Board, Air Districts, and TCA and the Public Transportation Representative shall be for two (2) year terms, commencing on the date of appointment and expire two (2) years thereafter. If any of the above-mentioned representatives officially cease to be a locally elected official, his or her Regional Council seat shall be declared vacant by the President. Vacancies on the Regional Council shall be filled by action of the respective county, CTC, the Tribal Government Regional Planning Board, TCA, or as determined by the five Air Districts in the SCAG region in the case of the Air District representative or as determined by the CTCs in the SCAG region with respect to the Public Transportation Representative.

(3) **Meetings:** Unless otherwise determined by the Regional Council, it shall generally meet at least once a month. The date, time and location of the Regional Council meetings shall be recommended by the Executive Director and ratified by the Regional Council. Meetings shall be held upon the call of the President or upon the call of a majority of the members of the Regional Council. The Regional Council may adopt any other meeting procedures as part of the Regional Council Policy Manual.

(4) **Duties**

(a) Subject to the policy established by the General Assembly, the Regional Council shall conduct the affairs of the Association and shall approve the Regional Council Policy Manual and any amendments thereto. The Executive Director or his designee shall have the authority to make administrative modifications to the Regional Council Policy Manual to reflect past Regional Council actions without the Regional Council’s approval of a formal amendment to the Regional Council Policy Manual.
(b) The Regional Council shall review and may amend the General Fund Budget as proposed by the Executive Director. The proposed General Fund Budget and the annual dues assessment schedule, as approved by the Regional Council, shall be submitted to members of the General Assembly at least thirty (30) days before the annual meeting. After adoption of the General Fund Budget and annual dues assessment schedule by the General Assembly, the Regional Council, in coordination with the Executive Director, shall control all Association expenditures in accordance with such General Fund Budget.

(1) The Regional Council shall have the power to make changes to or transfer funds within the General Fund Budget in order to meet unanticipated needs or changed situations. Any and all such actions shall be reported to the General Assembly at its next regular meeting.

(2) At each annual meeting of the General Assembly, the Regional Council shall report on all budget and financial transactions since the previous annual meeting.

(c) The Regional Council shall submit a report of its activities at each regular meeting of the General Assembly.

(d) The Regional Council shall have the authority to appoint, ratify the annual Performance Agreement of, fix the compensation and benefits of and remove an Executive Director of the Association, and shall also have the authority to fix the salary classification levels for employees of the Association.

(e) The Regional Council or Policy Committees shall have the power to establish committees or subcommittees to study specific problems, programs, and other matters which the Regional Council or General Assembly have approved for study.

(f) Recommendations from committees for policy decisions shall be made to the Regional Council. The Regional Council shall have the authority to act upon policy recommendations including policy recommendations from the committees, or it may submit such recommendations, together with its comments, to the General Assembly for action.

(g) The Regional Council shall be responsible for carrying out policy decisions made by the General Assembly.

(h) Members of the Regional Council may make informational inquiries of the Executive Director or Association executive staff consistent with the official Association duties of such members; however, members of the Regional Council shall refrain from any actions or contacts within the
Association that would interfere with with the powers and duties of the Executive Director.

(i) All Regional Council members shall comply with the SCAG Conflict of Interest Policy as adopted and amended from time to time by the Regional Council.

(5) **Voting:** In the Regional Council voting shall be conducted in the following manner:

(a) Only representatives of the membership as defined in Article V (A)(1) shall have the right to vote as a member of the Regional Council.

(b) One-third (1/3) of the voting-eligible members of the Regional Council shall constitute a quorum of the Regional Council.

(c) The affirmative votes of a majority of the voting-eligible Regional Council members present with a quorum in attendance are required for action by the Regional Council, except as set forth in subsections (d), (e) and (f) below.

(d) In order to recommend the annual budget to the General Assembly, the affirmative votes of **not less than** a majority of **a quorum of the** voting-eligible members of the Regional Council **voting-eligible membership** is required.

(e) In order to appoint or remove the Executive Director, the affirmative vote of **not less than** a majority of the Regional Council voting-eligible membership is required.

(f) Each official representative from a CTC, the Tribal Government Regional Planning Board, the Air Districts and TCA shall have the right to vote in the same manner as other voting-eligible members of the Regional Council.

(g) Voting-eligible Regional Council members are free to abstain from voting on any issue before the Regional Council. Any abstention does not count as a vote in favor or against a motion.

(6) **Expenses:** Members of the Regional Council shall serve with compensation and shall be reimbursed for the actual necessary expenses incurred by them in the performance of their duties for the Association, to the extent that such compensation and reimbursement are not otherwise provided to them by another public agency, a Tribal Government or the Tribal Government Regional Planning Board. The Regional Council shall determine the amount of such compensation and set forth other procedures for expenses under the Policy Manual.
B. **Permanent Committees:** For the purpose of developing policy recommendations to the Regional Council, the Regional Council shall establish as permanent committees the “Executive/Administration Committee,” the “Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee” and the three (3) policy committees known as the “Transportation Committee,” the “Energy and Environment Committee,” and the “Community, Economic and Human Development Committee” (the latter three committees collectively referred to herein as the “Policy Committees”.)

C. **Executive/Administration Committee**

1. **Membership:** The membership of the Executive/Administration Committee (EAC) shall be comprised of the President, First Vice-President, Second Vice-President and Immediate Past-President and the President shall serve as the chair. The EAC shall also include the respective Chairs and Vice Chairs of Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee and the three (3) Policy Committees, the representative from the Tribal Government Planning Board serving on the Regional Council, and an additional four (4) Regional Council members appointed by the President In making the appointments, the President shall ensure that the six (6) counties within SCAG have representation on the EAC. In addition, the President may appoint one (1) member from the private sector to serve on the EAC in an ex-officio, non-voting capacity. Appointment by the President of members to the EAC shall be for one (1) year terms, which such appointment to expire upon the adjournment of the regular meeting of the General Assembly.

2. **Meetings:** The EAC shall generally meet at least once a month and in accordance with the Regional Council Policy Manual, except that the EAC may decide not to meet upon the call of the EAC chair after consultation with the Executive Director or his designee.

3. **Duties:**

   a. Subject to any limitations that may be established by the General Assembly and/or the Regional Council, the EAC is authorized to make decisions and take actions that are binding upon the Association if the President or the Executive Director determine that such decisions or actions are necessary prior to the next regular meeting of the Regional Council, except that a majority of the voting-eligible members of the EAC is required to make decisions or take actions that are binding upon SCAG relating to the annual budget; the hiring, removal, compensation and benefits of the Executive Director; and the salary classification levels for employees of SCAG.

   b. Subject to the policies of the Regional Council, the EAC shall be responsible for: (1) developing policy recommendations to the Regional Council on administration, human resources, budgets, finance, operations, communications, or any other matter specifically referred by the Regional
Council; and (2) negotiating an annual Performance Agreement with the Executive Director, subject to ratification of the Regional Council. The Executive Director’s Performance Agreement shall be effective the first day of July of the calendar year. The EAC shall be responsible for performing the annual evaluation of the Executive Director’s performance of the prior year’s agreed-upon Performance Agreement. The EAC shall complete the Executive Director’s annual Performance Evaluation no later than the regularly scheduled meeting in June of the Regional Council.

(c) The powers and duties of the EAC shall include such other duties as the Regional Council may delegate.

(4) **Voting:** A quorum shall be one-third (1/3) of the voting-eligible members of the EAC. The affirmative vote of the majority of the voting-eligible EAC members present with a quorum in attendance is required for an action by the Executive/Administration Committee.

D. **Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee**

(1) **Membership:** Regional Council members may serve as members to the Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee (LCMC). Members to the LCMC shall be appointed by the President for one (1) year terms with such appointments to expire with the adjournment of the regular meeting of the General Assembly.

(2) **Meetings:** The LCMC shall generally meet once a month and in accordance with the Regional Council Policy Manual, except that the LCMC may decide not to meet upon the call of the LCMC chair after consultation with the Executive Director or his designee.

(3) **Duties:**

(a) The Legislative, Communications and Membership Committee shall be responsible for developing recommendations to the Regional Council regarding legislative and telecommunications matters; providing policy direction for the agency’s marketing communications strategy, outreach issues/materials and electronic communications systems; reviewing sponsorship opportunities whose cost will exceed $5,000; and promoting agency membership.

(b) The duties of the LCMC shall include such other duties as the Regional Council may delegate.

E. **Policy Committees**

(1) **Membership:**
(a) The Policy Committees may include as voting members the following: Regional Council members; one (1) representative from the California Department of Transportation; local government elected representatives from each of the general purpose subregional organizations as established under Article V(A)(1)(a)(5) of these Bylaws; and one (1) duly appointed board member, who is a general purpose local elected official from an agency with which the Association has a statutory or Memorandum of Understanding relationship.

(b) The Policy Committees may include ex-officio (non-voting) members who shall be representatives from regional and subregional single purpose public agencies and other members as approved by the Regional Council.

(c) Regional Council members representing the CTCs, the Tribal Government Regional Planning Board, TCA and the Air Districts shall have the right to vote as members of the Policy Committees and may be appointed Chair or Vice-Chair of a Policy Committee.

(2) **Appointments to Policy Committees:** The President with regard to each Policy Committee shall:

(a) Appoint all interested and available Regional Council members to one (1) of the Policy Committees for two (2) year terms. In making such appointments, the President shall to the extent practicable appoint an equal number of members to each policy committee taking into consideration regional representation, geographical balance, diversity of views and other factors deemed appropriate by the President.

(b) Appoint to the Policy Committees members representing public agencies which have a statutory or Memorandum of Understanding relationship with SCAG. The members shall be appointed for two-(2) year terms based on a written request from the agency’s governing board. Appointments shall be limited to one (1) representative from each public agency. In making such appointments, the President shall consider regional representation.

(c) Appoint Ex-Officio (non-voting) members to the Policy Committees representing the business sector, labor, higher education and community groups upon the recommendation of the respective Policy Committee and approval by the Regional Council. The term of each such Ex-Officio member shall be limited to the remainder of the Presidential year, and each such Ex-Officio member may be re-appointed by a future SCAG President. Ex-Officio members appointed to SCAG’s Policy Committees shall be required to file Statement of Economic Interests forms and comply with all SCAG policies that are applicable to Policy Committee members.
(d) In addition to the appointments to the Policy Committees of subregional organization elected representatives provided for above in subsection (1)(a) of this Section E, the President shall appoint to the Policy Committees for two (2) year terms additional local government elected representatives from each subregional organization which has at least four (4) Districts.

(e) The determination of the total number of additional representatives from each subregional organization to be appointed to the Policy Committees shall be as follows: One (1) additional local government elected representative for each District in excess of three (3) Districts shall be appointed by the President. The governing boards of each of the subregional organizations shall nominate the additional representatives provided for in this subsection (2)(e) to be appointed to the Policy Committees. In making the appointments, the President shall consider, among other things, regional representation.

(f) In addition to the appointment of the Regional Council member representing the Tribal Government Regional Planning Board to a Policy Committee, the President shall appoint with the consent of the Tribal Government Regional Planning Board additional members to each Policy Committee such that the Tribal Government Regional Planning Board shall have two (2) voting members on each Policy Committee. Such representative shall be locally elected Tribal Council members from the federally recognized Tribal Governments within the SCAG region.

(g) In order to facilitate participation by member cities of the Association, the President shall have the authority to make at-large appointments of local elected officials from cities that are not directly represented on a Policy Committee; provided, that the President shall only make such at-large appointment if there is no vacant subregional appointment to the Policy Committees. The term of the local elected official appointed by the President in this manner shall be limited to the remainder of the Presidential year, except that the local elected official may continue to serve on the Policy Committee if its respective city council approve his or her re-designation and a future SCAG President authorizes the re-appointment. Local elected officials serving in an at-large capacity on a Policy Committee are not eligible to serve as the Chair or Vice-Chair of the Policy Committee.

(3) Meetings: The Policy Committees shall generally meet at least once a month and in accordance with the Regional Council Policy Manual, except that the Policy Committees may decide not to meet upon the call of the respective Policy Committee chair after consultation with the Executive Director or his designee.

(4) Duties of the Transportation Committee (TC): The Transportation Committee shall study and provide policy recommendations to the Regional Council relative
to challenges and opportunities, programs and other matters, which pertain to the regional issues of mobility and accessibility, including, but not limited to all modes of surface transportation, transportation system preservation and system management, regional aviation, regional goods movement, transportation finance as well as transportation control measures.

(5) **Duties of the Energy and Environment Committee (EEC):** The Energy and Environment Committee shall study and provide policy recommendations to the Regional Council relative to challenges and opportunities, programs and other matters, which pertain to the regional issues of energy and the environment. EEC shall also be responsible for reviewing and providing policy recommendations to the Regional Council on matters pertaining to environmental compliance.

(6) **Duties of the Community, Economic and Human Development Committee (CEHD):** The Community, Economic and Human Development Committee shall study and provide policy recommendations to the Regional Council relative to challenges and opportunities, programs and other matters which pertain to the regional issues of community, economic and human development, housing and growth. CEHD shall also receive information regarding projects, plans and programs of regional significance for determinations of consistency and conformity with applicable regional plans.

(7) **Joint Meetings of the Policy Committees:** The duties of the Policy Committees are specified in subsections (4), (5) and (6) of this subsection. To the extent that there are matters which are within the scope of review of more than one Policy Committee, the respective Policy Committees shall meet in joint meetings to consider the matter and provide unified policy recommendations to the Regional Council, if applicable. The chairs of the respective Policy Committee shall decide amongst themselves who shall preside over the joint meeting.

F. **Appointments:** The President is authorized to appoint members of the Regional Council to SCAG committees, ad hoc committees, subcommittees, or task forces to study specific problems, programs, or other matters which the Regional Council or General Assembly have approved for study. The President is authorized to appoint new members or re-appoint prior members to any SCAG committee, ad hoc committee, subcommittee or task force. The President is also authorized to appoint Regional Council members to governing boards of other agencies, districts, commissions, and authorities as representatives of the Association. If no Regional Council members are available for such appointment, the President may appoint an elected official not on the Regional Council to represent the Association. Elected officials appointed to represent the Association who are not then members of the Regional Council shall be Ex-Officio members of the Regional Council without the right to vote. Terms of appointment of Regional Council members and other elected officials to governing boards of other agencies, districts, commissions, and authorities shall be consistent with the term of office of the appointing President.

**ARTICLE VI - OFFICERS, DUTIES, ELECTIONS AND VACANCIES**
A. Officers of the Association shall consist of a President, a First Vice-President, Second Vice-President, Immediate Past President and a Secretary-Treasurer. The Association’s President, First Vice-President, and Second Vice-President shall be elected annually by the Regional Council at its annual General Assembly meeting, from among its membership as set forth below. The Executive Director of the Association shall serve as the Secretary-Treasurer of the Association, but shall have no vote in the Association.

B. Officers of the Association, except the Secretary-Treasurer, shall be elected from a list of candidates for each office which shall be prepared by a Nominating Committee and submitted to the Regional Council for review and approval. The Nominating Committee shall be composed of six (6) Regional Council members who collectively represent the six (6) counties within the SCAG region, with at least two (2) members being County representatives. Members on the Nominating Committee shall serve for one (1) year terms with the term to expire with the adjournment of the regular meeting of the General Assembly. The Immediate Past President shall serve as the chair of the Nominating Committee for one (1) year. The Nominating Committee shall review the candidates based upon minimum eligibility requirements established by the Regional Council and as set forth below in Article VI (C).

In the event that prior to the annual General Assembly meeting, a candidate for President or First Vice-President who has been approved by the Nominating Committee or Regional Council officially loses his or her seat on its respective local elected office or respective Regional Council District representative seat, the candidate for First Vice-President shall be deemed the candidate for President and the candidate for Second Vice-President shall be deemed the candidate for First Vice-President, provided that the applicable candidates are agreeable to the change.

In the event that prior to the annual General Assembly meeting, a candidate for Second Vice-President who has been approved by the Nominating Committee or Regional Council officially loses his or her seat on its respective local elected office or respective Regional Council District representative seat, the Regional Council shall select the candidate from a list of candidates who meet the minimum eligibility requirements established by the Regional Council. The Nominating Committee may also meet to review the list of candidates and make a recommendation to the Regional Council regarding the new candidate for Second Vice-President if there is sufficient time before the General Assembly meeting.

C. The Nominating Committee shall review the candidates based upon the following eligibility requirements:

1. At the time of the application, the candidate must have completed at least one full term (i.e. two years) on the Regional Council.

2. Candidate must be actively involved with SCAG.

3. Candidate must be a local elected official from a SCAG member county, city or county transportation commission.
(4) At the time of the application, it is reasonable to conclude that the candidate will be in elected office during the term of the respective officer position (e.g. term limits do not prevent the candidate for serving the term of the respective officer position).

(5) If applicable, it is reasonable to conclude that the candidate shall maintain representation of his or her Regional Council District.

(6) Candidate may be self-nominated or nominated by a colleague on the Regional Council.

(7) Candidate must have submitted a completed nomination application to SCAG by the appropriate deadline.

D. The recommended candidates for each office shall be submitted to the Regional Council for consideration and approval by the Nominating Committee at least one (1) month prior to the annual General Assembly meeting. The Nominating Committee shall recommend one candidate to the Regional Council for each office (except Secretary-Treasurer). If the Nominating Committee cannot agree on one candidate to recommend to the Regional Council, all candidates for the respective office shall be presented to the Regional Council. The Regional Council may also consider and approve candidates for each office who are nominated directly at a Regional Council meeting as part of the election process. New Officers shall take office after the ratification of the General Assembly and commencing upon the adjournment of the General Assembly meeting.

E. A vacancy shall immediately occur in the office of the President, First Vice-President, Second Vice-President or Immediate Past President upon the resignation or death of the person holding such office, or upon the person holding such office ceasing to be a local elected official. Upon the occurrence of a vacancy in the office of President, First Vice-President, or Second Vice-President, a vacancy shall be filled for the balance of an unexpired term in order of succession by elevating the next remaining officer to such position, and the President shall call for a Special Election to fill the unexpired term of the office of Second Vice-President. Such second Vice-President shall be selected from a list of candidates which shall be prepared by a Nominating Committee structured in accordance with the provisions of Article VI, Section B. The nominee selected for the office of second Vice-President shall be submitted to the Regional Council by the Nominating Committee. The new second Vice-President shall take office upon adjournment of that meeting. Upon the occurrence of a vacancy in the position of the Immediate Past President, the next most immediate and available past President of SCAG still serving as a Regional Council member shall fill the position and serve for the balance of the unexpired term.

F. Notwithstanding any provision in these Bylaws to the contrary, a Regional Council member from the Tribal Government Regional Planning Board or the Air Districts shall not be eligible to be elected by the Regional Council as Officers of the Association. Regional Council members representing the CTCs are eligible to be elected by the Regional Council as Officers of the Association.
G. The President of the Association shall be the presiding officer of the Regional Council and of the General Assembly. The First Vice-President shall act as the presiding officer in his/her absence. The Second Vice-President, followed by the Immediate Past President, shall act as the presiding officer in the absence of both of the above officers.

H. The Secretary-Treasurer shall maintain a record of all Association proceedings, maintain custody of all Association funds, and otherwise perform the usual duties of such office.

**ARTICLE VII - EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

The Executive Director shall be the chief administrative officer of the Association. The powers and duties of the Executive Director are:

A. Subject to the authority of the General Assembly and the Regional Council, to administer the affairs of the Association including, but not limited to, oversight and approval of the Personnel Rules, Procurement Manual and Accounting Manual of the Association.

B. **Consistent with all applicable personnel policies, procedures and salary classifications, to appoint, direct, discipline, and remove and set the compensation and benefits of all other employees of the Association.**

C. Annually to prepare and present a proposed budget to the Regional Council and to control the approved budget.

D. To serve as Secretary-Treasurer of the Association.

E. To attend the meetings of the General Assembly and the Regional Council.

F. To perform such other duties as the General Assembly or the Regional Council or the Regional Council Policy Manual may require.

G. To ensure compliance with the Association’s responsibilities as a metropolitan planning organization as outlined in 23 U.S.C.A. § 134 et seq., and as may be amended from time to time.

**ARTICLE VIII - FINANCES**

A. **Fiscal Year**

The Fiscal year of the Association shall commence on July 1.

B. **Budget Submission and Adoption**

The Association budget shall be submitted by the Executive Director to the Regional Council. The Regional Council shall adopt an Association budget at least 30 days prior the Annual Meeting. The Association budget and assessment schedule shall be adopted
by the General Assembly at the Annual Meeting. Notwithstanding any provision of the agreement establishing the Association, any member that cannot pay its assessment therefore because of any applicable law or charter provision, or other lack of ability to appropriate or pay the same, may add such assessment to its assessment for the next full fiscal year. The budget for each year shall provide the necessary funds with which to obtain and maintain the requisite liability and worker’s compensation insurance to fully protect each of the signatory parties hereto, and such insurance shall be so obtained and maintained.

C. **Annual Membership Dues Assessment**

Each year, upon adoption of the General Fund Budget, the General Assembly shall fix an annual membership dues assessment for all members of the Association in amounts sufficient to provide the funds required by the budget and shall advise the legislative body of each member thereof on or before the first regularly scheduled Regional Council meeting within thirty (30) days of the date of the General Assembly meeting of such year. Absent any other decision regarding membership assessments by the General Assembly, the annual membership dues assessment will be adjusted by the most recent year to date change in the Consumer Price Index, with a minimum of one per cent (1%), for the Los Angeles -Riverside-Orange Counties area. The Regional Council, for not more than one (1) year at a time, may defer, waive, or reduce payment of the annual dues for any member. Similarly, the Executive Director may authorize reduced payment of the annual dues for any member by no more than ten (10%) for not more than one (1) year at a time based upon the member’s documented financial hardship. In taking any of the actions above, the Regional Council shall adjust the General Fund Budget to provide a balanced General Fund Budget reflecting any of the above actions. Any action of the Regional Council deferring, waiving, or reducing the payment of the annual dues shall be reported at the following General Assembly meeting. The amount of each member’s assessment shall be determined in accordance with the formula set out in Paragraph “D,” following.

In addition, at the time the Regional Council considers a waiver of the annual dues for any member, the Regional Council shall decide on a case-by-case basis whether the representative of the member can continue to vote on the Regional Council or any committee or subcommittee of the Association, serve in a leadership position, receive a stipend or have any other membership rights or privileges restricted during the period when the member’s annual dues have been waived.

D. **Methods of Assessment**

Each member county and each member city, based on its total population, shall pay, as part of its total annual assessment, the following fixed basic assessment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTIES</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>CITIES</th>
<th>Base</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td></td>
<td>Population</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to 249,999</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>Up to 9,999</td>
<td>$ 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250,000 – 1,099,999</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000 – 24,999</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For purposes of the annual assessment each federally recognized Tribal Government in the SCAG region shall be treated as a member city. The remainder of the total annual dues assessment to be borne by the member counties shall be charged to and paid by said member counties in proportion that the population of unincorporated portions of each bear to the total regional population. The remainder of the total annual assessment to be borne by the member cities shall be charged to and paid by said member cities in the proportion that the population of each bears to the total regional population. The computation of the shares of said total annual assessments as above provided shall be based upon the respective populations of the counties and cities as determined by the State Controller in making the most recent allocation to cities and counties pursuant to the Motor Vehicle License Fee Law, or based upon population data from the State Department of Finance in the event that the State Controller data is not available. For a member city newly incorporated pursuant to California Government Code Section 57176, the total annual assessment for the first five (5) years following incorporation shall be based upon such city’s actual population as defined under California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 11005.3(d).

If any county or city was not a member at the time the latest assessment was fixed and shall become a member of the Association thereafter, an assessment shall be payable by such county or city to the Association upon becoming a member in a sum based upon the current county or city per capita rate, as the case may be, prorated from the date of establishing membership until the July 1 following the next annual meeting of the General Assembly after such date. Notwithstanding the previous provisions of this Section, no rebates or adjustments shall be made among the existing member counties and/or cities if such additional assessments shall be received from new members. Notwithstanding the previous provisions of this Section, no regular dues assessment of any county or city shall exceed twenty percent (20%) of the total assessment for any annual assessment period.

**Regional Council Membership Assessment for CTCs:** Each CTC shall pay a fixed annual Regional Council membership assessment based on total population, using the following assessment table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Population</th>
<th>Annual Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Up to 249,000</td>
<td>$ 3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250,000-1,099,999</td>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,100,000-1,999,000</td>
<td>$ 15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,000,000-3,999,999</td>
<td>$ 25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 4,000,000</td>
<td>$ 35,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regional Council Membership Assessment for TCA, the Air Districts and the Public Transportation Representative: TCA shall pay a fixed annual Regional Council membership assessment of $10,000. The annual Regional Council membership assessment to have a representative from the Air Districts on the Regional Council shall also be $10,000 to be paid in a manner agreed upon by the five (5) Air Districts within the SCAG region. There is no required membership assessment for the Public Transportation Representative on the Regional Council.

E. Annual Audit

The Regional Council shall cause an annual external audit of the financial affairs of the Association to be made by a certified public accountant at the end of each fiscal year. The Regional Council shall employ a certified public accountant of its choosing. The Regional Council shall also establish an Audit Committee to provide oversight of the annual external audit. The members of Audit Committee shall be comprised of members of the Regional Council and serve for one (1) year terms. The First Vice-President shall be a member of the Audit Committee and the Second Vice-President shall serve as the chair of the Audit Committee for one (1) year. The audit report shall be made to Association member cities and counties.

F. Indemnification for Tort Liability

In contemplation of the provisions of Section 895.2 of the Government Code of the State of California imposing certain tort liability jointly upon public entities solely by reason of such entities being parties to an agreement as defined in Section 895 of said code, the parties hereto as between themselves, pursuant to the authorization contained in Sections 895.4 and 895.6 of said code, will each assume the full liability imposed upon it, or any of its officers, agents or employees by law for injury caused by a negligent or wrongful act or omission occurring in the performance of this agreement to the same extent that such liability would be imposed in the absence of Section 895.2 of said code. To achieve the above stated purpose each party indemnifies and holds harmless the other party for any loss, cost or expense that may be imposed upon such other party solely by virtue of said Section 895.2. The rules set forth in Civil Code Section 2778 are hereby made a part of these Bylaws.

G. Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 7 of said Joint Powers Agreement by which this Association is formed, no contract, employment, debt, liability or obligation of the Association shall be binding upon or obligate any member of this Association without the express written request or consent of such member and only to the extent so requested or consented to; nor shall the Association have the authority or the power to bind any member by contract, employment, debt, liability, or obligation made or incurred by it without the written request or consent of such member, and then only to such extent as so requested or consented to in writing.

H. Depositaries and Investments

In addition to the depositary and the disbursing officer as specified in Section 7 of the
Joint Powers Agreement, the Regional Council may authorize additional depositaries and those authorized to disburse the Association’s funds, and may specify the terms and conditions pertaining thereto.

**ARTICLE IX - STATUTORY AUTHORITY**

The Southern California Association of Governments shall be an agency established by a joint powers agreement among the members pursuant to Title 1, Division 7, Chapter 5, of the Government Code of the State of California and shall have the powers vested in the Association by State or Federal law, the joint powers agreement, or these bylaws. The Association shall not have the power of eminent domain, or the power to levy taxes.

**ARTICLE X - VOLUNTARY WITHDRAWAL**

Any member may, at any time, withdraw from the Association providing, however, that the intent to withdraw must be stated in the form of a resolution enacted by the legislative body of the agency wishing to withdraw. Such resolution of intent to withdraw from the Association must be given to the Executive Director by the withdrawing agency at least 30 days prior to the effective date of withdrawal. The withdrawing agency shall not be entitled to a refund of the annual assessment paid to the Association.

**ARTICLE XI - AMENDMENTS**

The Bylaws and any amendments thereto are subject to the approval of the General Assembly.

Amendments to these Bylaws may be proposed by an Official Representative, the Executive Director, the Bylaws and Resolutions Committee and the Regional Council.

If proposed by an Official Representative, the amendment shall be submitted to the Regional Council at least forty-five (45) days prior to a regular meeting of the General Assembly for assignment and consideration by the Bylaws and Resolutions Committee.

The Bylaws and Resolutions Committee shall be composed of two (2) Regional Council members per County, with at least two (2) members being County representatives. Members on the Bylaws and Resolutions Committee shall serve for one (1) year terms with the term to expire with the adjournment of the regular meeting of the General Assembly.

The Second Vice-President shall serve as the chair of the Bylaws and Resolutions Committee for one (1) year.

Except for amendments proposed by the Regional Council, all proposed amendments to the Bylaws shall be considered by the Bylaws and Resolutions Committee, and thereafter, by the Regional Council. The proposed amendments, along with the recommendations of the Regional Council, shall be forwarded to the Official Representative of each General Assembly member at least thirty (30) days prior to the General Assembly meeting at which such proposed amendments will be voted upon.

An affirmative vote of a majority of the General Assembly voting members present with a
quorum in attendance is, is required to adopt an amendment to these Bylaws. If, within sixty (60) days after the adoption of any amendment, one-third (1/3) or more of the Official Representatives protest such amendment by filing a written protest with the Executive Director, the adoption of such amendment shall be suspended until the next meeting of the General Assembly when the amendment shall again be taken up for consideration and vote.

Notwithstanding any provision of the agreement establishing the Association, Article V - A-4(b) and the Article VIII A, B, and E of said Bylaws shall not be changed except with the concurrence of the legislative body of each signatory party to said agreement which has not then withdrawn from the Association.

**ARTICLE XII - EFFECTIVE DATE**

These Bylaws shall go into effect immediately upon the effective date of the agreement establishing the Association.

**ARTICLE XIII - HIRING PROHIBITION**

No current or former elected official who is or was a Member of the SCAG Regional Council shall be eligible for a period of one (1) year after the last day of service as an elected official for appointment to any full-time, compensated employment with SCAG.

*****
Bylaws adopted by the Joint County-City SCAG Committee:

March 27, 1964

Bylaws amended by the SCAG General Assembly:

February 24, 1966
November 4, 1966
February 24, 1967
February 18, 1970
September 24, 1970
February 16, 1973
September 12, 1974
February 27, 1975
March, 8, 1977
October 6, 1977
March 3, 1978
October 6, 1978
March 16, 1979
October 2, 1980
April 29, 1982
April 26, 1984
January 29, 1987
March 21, 1989
March 22, 1990
April 21, 1991
February 27, 1992
March 12, 1993
March 4, 1994
March 3, 1995
July 3, 1996
October 9, 1997
April 16, 1998
September 3, 1998
April 8, 1999
April 6, 2000
May 1, 2003
September 4, 2003
May 4, 2004
May 5, 2005
May 4, 2006
May 3, 2007
May 8, 2008
May 7, 2009
May 6, 2010
May 5, 2011
April 5, 2012
June 7, 2012
May 2, 2013
May 1, 2014
DATE: May 7, 2015

TO: General Assembly (GA)

FROM: Regional Council (RC)

SUBJECT: Proposed Resolution for Consideration by the General Assembly – Wildlife Corridor Across the Ventura Freeway (US 101) at Liberty Canyon

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S APPROVAL: ________________________________

RECOMMENDED ACTION: As recommended by the Regional Council, that the General Assembly review and adopt a proposed resolution regarding support for a wildlife corridor across the Ventura Freeway (US 101) at Liberty Canyon.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: The SCAG Bylaws provide the opportunity for any Official Representative of SCAG to propose any policy matter for action by the General Assembly by way of submittal of a resolution. Ventura County Supervisor Linda Parks has proposed for the General Assembly’s consideration, a resolution in support of endorsing a plan to build a wildlife corridor across the Ventura Freeway at Liberty Canyon (“Wildlife Corridor”). Information regarding the proposed Wildlife Corridor was presented last October 2014 to SCAG’s Energy and Environment Committee. Several organizations and agencies, including the State of California Coastal Conservancy; the National Park Service; the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy; the Ventura County Board of Supervisors; and the cities of Thousand Oaks, Malibu, Agoura Hills and Calabasas have joined together in support of the Wildlife Corridor. On March 5, 2015, the Regional Council reviewed the proposed resolution and recommended its adoption by the General Assembly.

STRATEGIC PLAN: This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan Goal 1 (Improve Regional Decision Making by Providing Leadership and Consensus Building on Key Plans and Policies), Objective c (Provide practical solutions for moving new ideas forward).

BACKGROUND:
The SCAG Bylaws provides the opportunity for any Official Representatives of SCAG to propose any policy matter for action by the General Assembly by way of submittal of a resolution. Ventura County Supervisor Parks has proposed that the General Assembly approve a resolution in support of endorsing a plan to build a wildlife corridor across the Ventura Freeway (101 freeway) at Liberty Canyon. As background, last October, Paul Edelman, Chief of Natural Resources and Planning for the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy (SMMC), gave a presentation before SCAG’s Energy and Environment Committee describing the need for creating a wildlife bridge over the 101 freeway in Agoura Hills. The presentation covered the status and costs of the proposal and provided examples of similar bridges in the United States and around the world.

This proposed General Assembly resolution from Supervisor Park would be in support of the Wildlife Corridor, and seeks to address the 2012-2035 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Community Strategy (2012 RTP/SCS) directive to “ensure access to open space and habitat preservation despite
competing quality of life demands driven by growth, housing and employment needs, and traditional
development patterns.” Several organizations and agencies have joined together in support of the Wildlife
Corridor proposal. These include the following: the State of California Coastal Conservancy; the National
Park Service; the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy; the State of California Department of
Transportation (Caltrans); the Ventura Council of Governments; the Ventura County Board of Supervisors;
and the cities of Thousand Oaks, Malibu, Agoura Hills and Calabasas. Funding from bond proceeds for the
initial planning stages of the Wildlife Corridor has been provided by the California Coastal Conservancy,
the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy and the Wildlife Conservation Board.

On February 18, 2015, the Bylaws & Resolutions Committee reviewed the proposed resolution and by a
unanimous vote, formally recommended to the Regional Council that it recommend that the General
Assembly adopt the resolution. Similarly, the Regional Council reviewed the proposed resolution on March
5, 2015 and also formally recommended its adoption by the General Assembly.

ATTACHMENT:
Proposed General Assembly resolution in support of endorsing a plan to build a Wildlife Corridor across the
Ventura Freeway (US 101) at Liberty Canyon
RESOLUTION NO. GA 2015-1

A RESOLUTION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY
OF THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF
GOVERNMENTS
IN SUPPORT OF ENDORSING A PLAN TO BUILD A
WILDLIFE CORRIDOR
ACROSS THE VENTURA FREEWAY (US 101) AT LIBERTY
CANYON

WHEREAS, the Santa Monica Mountains are located within the counties of Los Angeles and Ventura, and protecting its parklands and ecosystem and encouraging recreational use is critical to maintaining the economic and social values of Southern California residents, and is consistent with the Southern California Association of Governments’ goals of conservation, public health, and sustainability overall;

WHEREAS, the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area attracts 33 million visitors a year, generating $26 million from visitors who come to enjoy the flora and fauna of these parklands that are also experiencing loss of wildlife due to urban encroachment, roads and highways;

WHEREAS, an alliance of regional and national organizations and agencies has come together to express support for building a wildlife corridor crossing US 101 at Liberty Canyon to enable wildlife to safely move from the Santa Monica Mountains to other natural areas, increase the genetic diversity of animals that would otherwise become extinct in this mountain range, and increase the safety of motorists by reducing vehicle collisions with animals;

WHEREAS, the growing alliance of organizations and agencies that has joined together to support building a wildlife corridor across US 101 at Liberty Canyon includes the State of California Coastal Conservancy, the National Park Service, Caltrans, the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy, the Ventura Council of Governments, the Ventura County Board of Supervisors, the Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority, the National Wildlife Foundation, the Wildlife Conservation Board, and the Resource Conservation District of the Santa Monica Mountains Fund, as well as the cities of Thousand Oaks, Malibu, Agoura Hills, and Calabasas;

WHEREAS, the State of California Coastal Conservancy, the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy and the Wildlife Conservation Board have provided funding through bond money for initial planning stages of the wildlife corridor;
WHEREAS, the 2012–2035 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) seeks to minimize transportation-related impacts on wildlife and better integrate transportation infrastructure into the environment; and

WHEREAS, the RTP/SCS includes as examples of resource mitigation measures for habitat enhancement such as improving/retaining habitat linkages, preserving wildlife corridors and wildlife crossings to minimize the impact of transportation projects on wildlife species and habitat fragmentation; and

WHEREAS, a wildlife corridor meets specific goals of the 2012 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy, including Goal 3: Ensure Travel Safety and Reliability for all People and Goods in the Region, and Goal 4: Preserve and Ensure a Sustainable Regional Transportation Program.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS DOES HEREBY RESOLVE to endorse a project to build a wildlife corridor across US 101 at Liberty Canyon in order to help preserve genetic diversity and in that way protect the Santa Monica Mountains’ parklands and ecosystem which are critical to maintaining economic and social values of California residents, and in order to promote roadway safety for motorists.

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED at the Annual Meeting of the General Assembly of the Southern California Association of Governments held on the 7th day of May, 2015.

__________________________________
Hon. Carl E. Morehouse
President, SCAG
Councilmember, City of San Buenaventura

Attested by:

__________________________________
Hasan Ikhrata, Executive Director

Approved as to Form:

__________________________________
Joann Africa, Chief Counsel
DATE:      May 7, 2015

TO:        General Assembly (GA)

FROM:      Regional Council (RC)

SUBJECT:   Proposed Resolution for Consideration by the General Assembly – Five-Year Moratorium on Laws That Negatively Impact California Businesses

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S APPROVAL: __________________________________________

RECOMMENDED ACTION: That the General Assembly review and consider both the resolution proposed by City of Irvine Mayor Steven Choi which supports a five-year moratorium on laws that negatively impact California businesses (“Resolution A”), and the revised resolution (“Resolution B”), which urges the California Legislature to understand and consider negative impacts upon California businesses prior to enactment of any legislation and whose adoption was recommended to the General assembly in place of Resolution A by a majority vote of the Regional Council taken on March 5, 2015. It should be noted that since the time of the action taken by the Regional Council, Mayor Choi has indicated to SCAG staff his support for Resolution B. Because the SCAG Bylaws require that both resolutions be presented to the General Assembly, Resolution A and Resolution B are both attached with this staff report.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: Each year, in preparation for the annual General Assembly meeting, proposed resolutions and proposed amendments to the SCAG Bylaws are considered by both the Bylaws and Resolutions Committee (Committee) and the Regional Council, prior to submission to the General Assembly. SCAG staff received a proposed resolution from City of Irvine Mayor Steven Choi which would support for a five-year moratorium on any new laws that would place additional financial burdens on California businesses. This original resolution was reviewed by the Committee on February 18, 2015, which recommended that the resolution be revised to be more in line with SCAG’s prior actions supporting the State’s economic recovery and encouraging business-friendly local practices. Thereafter, SCAG staff worked with Mayor Choi to prepare a final proposed resolution which is attached to this report as “Resolution A.” On March 2, 2015, the Committee reviewed Resolution A and, after much discussion and by a majority vote, recommended that the Regional Council not support it.

Resolution A was thereafter presented to the Regional Council on March 5, 2015. After much discussion, the Regional Council by a majority vote recommended that the General Assembly adopt a revised resolution, attached herein as denoted as “Resolution B,” that eliminated the language regarding the five-year moratorium and replaced it instead with language urging the Legislature to understand and consider the negative impacts upon businesses prior to the enactment of any State legislation.

Pursuant to the SCAG Bylaws, both Resolution A (the final proposed resolution from Mayor Choi) and Resolution B (the revised resolution recommended by the Regional Council) are presented herein for the General Assembly’s review, with the understanding that the Regional Council recommends to the General Assembly the adoption of Resolution B. Moreover, since the time of the action taken by the Regional Council, Mayor Choi has indicated to SCAG staff his support now of Resolution B.
STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan Goal 1 (Improve Regional Decision Making by Providing Leadership and Consensus Building on Key Plans and Policies), Objective c (Provide practical solutions for moving new ideas forward).

BACKGROUND:
The SCAG Bylaws provide the opportunity for any Official Representatives of SCAG to propose any policy matter for action by the General Assembly by way of submittal of a resolution. Irvine Mayor Choi originally submitted to staff a proposed General Assembly resolution supporting a five-year moratorium on new laws that would place any additional financial burdens on California businesses. The concept of the resolution was similar to what former California Governor Gray Davis discussed at SCAG’s 5th Annual Economic Summit in December 2014. Moreover, creating opportunities for economic development and business-friendly local practices across the State have been priorities of SCAG for several years.

This original resolution was reviewed by the Bylaws and Resolutions Committee (Committee) on February 18, 2014, whereupon the Committee recommended that it be revised to be more in line with SCAG’s prior actions supporting the State’s economic recovery and encouraging business-friendly local practices. Thereafter, staff worked with Mayor Choi to revise the original resolution by changing the title of the resolution and modifying the recitals. This revised resolution, attached herein and denoted as “Resolution A,” was presented to the Committee on March 2, 2015.

During its meeting on March 2, Committee members noted that, even as revised, the resolution which “supports a five-year moratorium on any new laws or regulatory rules that would have a negative impact on businesses and job creation” was very broad in scope. Committee members explained that there could be future laws or rules in the next five (5) years which could have important public benefits that nevertheless when implemented, could also have a negative impact on businesses and job creation. Some Committee members suggested that a better approach for SCAG instead of adopting the proposed resolution would be to work closely with the State Legislature to minimize the impacts of new laws upon businesses and job creation. Conversely, other Committee members found the proposed resolution, as written, to be acceptable, consistent with SCAG’s prior actions and reflected an important message to the State Legislature. Based upon this discussion, the Committee by a majority vote, took action to recommend that the Regional Council not support the proposed resolution by Mayor Choi.

Resolution A was thereafter presented to SCAG’s Regional Council on March 5. After a robust discussion during which Regional Council members debated the merits and concerns of the resolution, the Regional Council did not recommend approval of Resolution A. Instead, the Regional Council, by a majority vote, recommended that the General Assembly adopt a revised resolution, attached herein and denoted as “Resolution B,” which replaced the five-year moratorium language with language urging “the Legislature to understand and consider any negative impacts on businesses and job creation in the State of California” prior to the enactment of legislation.

The SCAG Bylaws in Article IV, Section A.5., provide that with respect to a General Assembly resolution proposed by an Official Representative, “…the Bylaws and Resolutions Committee shall submit its recommendations for action to the Regional Council. Upon review of any proposed resolution, the Regional Council shall submit its recommendations to the General Assembly. A copy of each proposed resolution
together with Regional Council’s recommendations thereon, shall be included in the agenda materials for each regular meeting of the General Assembly.”

The General Assembly is therefore asked to review and consider both Resolution A proposed by Mayor Choi which supports a five-year moratorium on laws that negatively impact California businesses, and Resolution B, which urges the California Legislature to consider negative impacts upon California businesses prior to enactment of any legislation and which was recommended to the General Assembly by the Regional Council in place of Resolution A. It should be noted that since the time of the action taken by the Regional Council, Mayor Choi has indicated to SCAG staff his support now for Resolution B. Because the SCAG Bylaws require that both resolutions be presented to the General Assembly, both Resolution A and Resolution B are attached with this staff report.

ATTACHMENTS:

(1) Resolution A: Proposed General Assembly Resolution from City of Irvine Mayor Steven Choi in support of a five-year moratorium on laws that negatively impact California businesses; and

(2) Resolution B: Proposed General Assembly Resolution recommended by the Regional Council urging California Legislature to understand and consider negative impacts upon California businesses prior to enactment of any legislation.
RESOLUTION A

GENERAL ASSEMBLY RESOLUTION NO. ______

A RESOLUTION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS IN SUPPORT OF A FIVE-YEAR MORATORIUM ON LAWS THAT NEGATIVELY IMPACT CALIFORNIA BUSINESSES

WHEREAS, the economy of State of California is in the midst of a recovery from the worst economic downturn since the Great Depression;

WHEREAS, California businesses have left the State to find relief from the unfavorable regulatory and operating environments associated with doing business in California;

WHEREAS, California has the highest corporate tax rate in the west, causing corporations looking to relocate, or even establish a business in California, to look at other opportunities;

WHEREAS, California has the second highest unemployment rate in the nation;

WHEREAS, over 3.2 million individuals out of the six-county SCAG region’s 18.5 million residents are living in poverty; and

WHEREAS, 170 cities and 6 counties in the Southern California have adopted “Business Friendly Principles,” showing a commitment to work together to keep businesses within California and remove impediments to economic growth.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:
SECTION 1. The General Assembly approves to support a five-year moratorium on any new laws or regulatory rules that would have a negative impact on businesses and job creation in the State of California.

SECTION 2. The General Assembly approves to support comprehensive business-friendly initiatives and funding opportunities that encourage California business to continue operating within the state or that will attract new businesses.

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED at the General Assembly Meeting of the Southern California Association of Governments at its meeting held on the 7th day of May, 2015.

Hon. Carl E. Morehouse
President, SCAG
Councilmember, City of San Buenaventura

Attested by:

Hasan Ikhrata
Executive Director

Approved as to Form:

Joann Africa
Chief Counsel
RESOLUTION B

GENERAL ASSEMBLY RESOLUTION NO._____

A RESOLUTION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENT
URGING THE LEGISLATURE TO UNDERSTAND AND CONSIDER
ANY NEGATIVE IMPACTS UPON CALIFORNIA BUSINESSES
PRIOR TO THE ENACTMENT OF ANY STATE LEGISLATION

WHEREAS, the economy of State of California is in the midst of a
recovery from the worst economic downturn since the Great Depression;

WHEREAS, California businesses have left the State to find relief from
the unfavorable regulatory and operating environments associated with doing
business in California;

WHEREAS, California has the highest corporate tax rate in the west,
causing corporations looking to relocate, or even establish a business in
California, to look at other opportunities;

WHEREAS, California has the second highest unemployment rate in the
nation;

WHEREAS, over 3.2 million individuals out of the six-county SCAG
region’s 18.5 million residents are living in poverty; and

WHEREAS, 170 cities and 6 counties in the Southern California have
adopted “Business Friendly Principles,” showing a commitment to work together
to keep businesses within California and remove impediments to economic
growth.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS DOES
HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:
SECTION 1. The General Assembly urges the California Legislature to understand and consider any negative impacts on businesses and job creation in the State of California prior to the enactment of any State legislation.

SECTION 2. The General Assembly approves to support comprehensive business-friendly initiatives and funding opportunities that encourage California business to continue operating within the State or that will attract new businesses.

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED at the General Assembly Meeting of the Southern California Association of Governments at its meeting held on the 7th day of May, 2015.

Hon. Carl E. Morehouse
President, SCAG
Councilmember, City of San Buenaventura

Attested by:

Hasan Ikhrata
Executive Director

Approved as to Form:

Joann Africa
Chief Counsel
DATE: May 7, 2015

TO: General Assembly (GA)

FROM: Regional Council (RC)

SUBJECT: 2015-2016 SCAG Officers

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S APPROVAL: ____________________________

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Ratify the Regional Council’s approval of the 2015-2016 SCAG Officers.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
On March 5, 2015, the Regional Council unanimously approved the following individuals to serve as the 2015-2016 SCAG Officers. The General Assembly is asked to ratify the Regional Council’s election of these individuals to serve as the 2015-2016 SCAG Officers.

For the position of 2015-2016 SCAG President:
Cheryl Viegas-Walker, El Centro, RC District 1

For the position of 2015-2016 SCAG First Vice-President:
Michele Martinez, Santa Ana, RC District 16

For the position of 2015-2016 SCAG Second Vice-President:
Margaret E. Finlay, Duarte, RC District 35

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan, Goal 5: Optimize Organizational Efficiency and Cultivate an Engaged Workforce; Objective d) Define the roles and responsibilities at all levels of the organization.

BACKGROUND:
On February 19, 2015, the Nominating Committee met to review the proposed seven (7) applicants for the 2015-2016 SCAG Board Officer positions of President, First Vice-President and Second Vice-President. While acknowledging that all of the seven (7) applicants are outstanding, the Nominating Committee unanimously nominated the above-named candidates to serve as the SCAG officers for 2015-2016.

On March 5, 2015 the Regional Council thereafter reviewed the nominated candidates and unanimously approved their election. Based upon the eligibility requirements for SCAG Officer Candidates as set forth in the SCAG Bylaws, all candidates satisfy the eligibility requirements. The Regional Council, therefore, recommends that the General Assembly ratify the Regional Council’s approval of these SCAG Officers for 2015-2016 whose terms of office shall commence upon the adjournment of today’s General Assembly meeting.

FISCAL IMPACT:
Work related to election of the 2015-2016 Officers is covered by SCAG’s General Fund Budget under Project Number 810.SCG0160.01.
THE FOLLOWING MINUTES ARE A SUMMARY OF ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY. A VIDEO RECORDING OF THE ACTUAL MEETING IS AVAILABLE ON THE SCAG WEBSITE: www.scag.ca.gov/scagtv

The General Assembly – Business Session, held its May 1, 2014 meeting at the Renaissance Esmeralda Indian Wells and Spa, 44-400 Indian Wells Lane, Indian Wells, CA 92210. There was a quorum.

Delegate and/or Alternate Representatives from the following Cities, Counties, and County Transportation Commissions were in attendance:

**Imperial County:** Brawley, Calexico, Calipatria, El Centro, Holtville and Imperial.

**Los Angeles County:** Alhambra, Baldwin Park, Bell, Beverly Hills, Carson, Cerritos, Claremont, Culver City, Diamond Bar, Duarte, Glendora, Hermosa Beach, Huntington Park, La Cañada/Flintridge, Lomita, Los Angeles, Maywood, Monrovia, Monterey Park, Palmdale, Paramount, Pomona, Rolling Hills Estates, Rosemead, San Fernando, San Gabriel, Santa Clarita, San Marino, Santa Monica, Sierra Madre, Signal Hill, South Gate, South Pasadena, Temple City, Vernon, West Covina, and Whittier.

**Orange County:** Aliso Viejo, Anaheim, Brea, Buena Park, Costa Mesa, Cypress, Fountain Valley, Irvine, La Habra, Laguna Niguel, Laguna Woods, Los Alamitos, Mission Viejo, Newport Beach, Placentia, San Clemente, Santa Ana, Stanton and Yorba Linda.

**Riverside County:** Banning, Beaumont, Blythe, Calimesa, Canyon Lake, Cathedral City, Coachella, Corona, Desert Hot Springs, Eastvale, Hemet, Indio, Jurupa Valley, La Quinta, Lake Elsinore, Norco, Palm Desert, Perris, Riverside, San Jacinto, Temecula and Wildomar.

**San Bernardino County:** Adelanto, Apple Valley, Barstow, Big Bear Lake, Chino Hills, Colton, Hesperia, Highland, Needles, Redlands, Rialto, San Bernardino, Twenty-nine Palms, Victorville and Yucca Valley.

**Ventura County:** Fillmore, Moorpark, Ojai, Oxnard, San Buenaventura and Simi Valley.

**County Transportation Commissions:** Orange County Transportation Authority, Transportation Corridor Agencies, Riverside County Transportation Commission, San Bernardino Associated Governments, and Ventura County Transportation Commission.
CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

President Greg Pettis called the meeting to order at approximately 11:08 a.m. Hon. Leroy Mills, Delegate, City of Cypress, led the Pledge of Allegiance.

President Pettis acknowledged former Regional Council member, Jim Morton, represented the City of Lynwood, for his service. Mr. Morton made remarks. On behalf of the Regional Council, President Pettis presented him with a Plaque of Appreciation.

In voting on agenda items, President Pettis asked the Delegates and Alternates to utilize their voting cards by raising the green card for a “Yes” vote; a red card for a “No” vote; and a white card for an “Abstention.” He asked that each member date and sign each of their individualized voting cards and instructed to leave them on the table as staff will collect all cards at the end of the meeting.

President Pettis introduced Hon. Cheryl Viegas-Walker, General Assembly Host Committee Chair and Mayor of El Centro. Mayor Viegas-Walker thanked the General Assembly Delegates and Alternates for their attendance and thanked the event sponsors for support. She announced that all of the names of the sponsors are listed at the back of the Program Agenda and encouraged the members to visit the sponsors’ booths.

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

President Pettis opened the Public Comment period.

Rye Baerg, Safe Routes to School National Partnership, commented on a draft letter, in coordination with regional partners, regarding “Jobs, Health and Quality of Life,” one of the preferred scenarios for the 2016 RTP/SCS, that supports active and public transportation. He also stated support for Item No. 4, relating to a proposed resolution to promote pedestrian and bicycle safety initiative.
Michele Hasson, Leadership Counsel for Justice and Accountability, echoed support for Item No. 4; emphasized the role of rural communities and its low-income agricultural workers who lack access to basic features of a healthy, sustainable community; and encouraged support for an expanded outreach to rural and unincorporated areas.

Ramona Felix and Maria Aguirre, Organización en California de Líderes Campesinas, Inc., commented [in Spanish which was translated in English by SCAG staff] regarding support for public transit service in the rural areas in the Coachella Valley.

Hassan Bencheqroun, MD, pulmonologist and medical volunteer at the American Lung Association in California, commented regarding the health effects of air quality in certain communities, especially in Riverside County. He encouraged the inclusion of public health and health outcomes in planning for urban growth.

Autumn Bernstein, ClimatePlan, commented regarding SCAG’s Active Transportation Program; Compass Blueprint; implementation of the Sustainability Communities Strategy (SCS) through several Memoranda of Understanding with the California Transportation Commissions (CTCs); requested to ensure monitoring of the benchmarks in meeting the goals of the SCS; and echoed support for the draft letter regarding “Jobs, Health and Quality of Life.”

Carla Blackmar, Public Health Alliance of Southern California, commented regarding partnership with cities, counties and the region to improve public health; encouraged the incorporation of quality of life and health outcomes in the RTP/SCS; and stated support for SCAG to achieve these goals.

Councilmember John Mirisch, City of Beverly Hills, commented regarding the governance structure of transit agencies and suggested creating a democratic and transparent agency.

John Longville, former SCAG President (1992-1993), commented regarding the Cap-and-Trade funding that presents an opportunity to help implement the RTP/SCS and encouraged the elected officials to start a dialogue with the legislators.

Denny Zane, Executive Director, MOVE L.A., commented regarding the incorporation of the Sustainability Communities Strategy in the Regional Transportation Plan and complimented SCAG for their effort that is recognized worldwide.

Frank Kopcinski, resident, City of Joshua Tree, commented regarding areas in the region lacking access to clean water and public transit.

President Pettis closed the Public Comment period.
REVIEW AND PRIORITIZE AGENDA ITEMS

There was no reprioritization of the agenda.

ACTION ITEMS

1. Fiscal Year (FY) 2014-15 General Fund Budget and Membership Assessment Schedule

President Pettis introduced the item.

A MOTION was made (Becerra) to adopt the FY 2014-15 General Fund Budget and Membership Assessment Schedule and authorize the Executive Director and the Chief Financial Officer to make adjustments that may be necessary after adoption of the budget (i.e. changes in membership assessment revenue, allocated fringe benefits and indirect cost allocations, etc.). Motion was SECONDED (Barrows) and passed by the following votes:

AYE/S: Baca (Baldwin Park); Bailey (Riverside); Ballin (San Fernando); Barrows (Cerritos); Barragan (Hermosa Beach); Bartel (San Jacinto); Bartlett (TCA); Becerra (Simi Valley); Benoit (Wildomar); Betts (Desert Hot Springs); Bonner (Canyon Lake); Brothers (Fountain Valley); A. Brown (Buena Park); T. Brown (San Clemente); Campbell (Brawley); Cho (Irvine); Clark (Rosemead); Daigle (Newport Beach); Daniels (Paramount); Davies (Laguna Niguel); Dear (Carson); DeConinck (Blythe); DeGrandpre (Eastvale); DeWitt (South Gate); Dvorak (Laguna Woods); Finlay (Duarte); Fitzgerald (Fullerton); Flores (Calipatria); Forester (Signal Hill); Francis (La Habra); Franklin (Banning); E. Garcia (Coachella); R. Garcia (Brea); Gazeley (Lomita); Genis (Costa Mesa); Graham (Chino Hills); Goodland (Jurupa Valley); Gran (Imperial); Harnik (Palm Desert); Harper (OCTA); Harris (Twentynine Palms); C. Hernandez (Barstow); O. Hernandez (Highland Park); Herrera (Diamond Bar); Higgins (Norco); Hodge (Calexico); Hofbauer (Palmdale); Huntington (Yucca Valley); Hyatt (Calimesa); Jahn (Big Bear Lake); Kelley (Mission Viejo); Knight (Beaumont); Krupa (Hemet); Kusumoto (Los Alamitos); LaBonge (Los Angeles); Lantz (Pomona); Lara (Ojai); Mahmud (South Pasadena); Manos (Lake Elsinore); Martin (Maywood); M. Martinez (Anaheim); McCallon (Highland); McEachron (Victorville); McIntire (Calimesa); McCormick (Vernon); McCullough (Lake Forest); Messina (Alhambra); Millhouse (VCTC); Mills (Cypress); Minjares (Fillmore); Mirisch (Beverly Hills); Mitchell (Rolling Hills Estates); Morehouse (San Buenaventura); Munzing (Aliso Viejo); Murabito (Glendora); Murray (Anaheim); Navarro (Colton); Nickel (San Bernardino); O’Connor (Santa Monica); Paget (Needles); L. Parks (Ventura County); Pedroza (Claremont); Pettis (Cathedral City); Ramirez (Oxnard); Ramos Watson (Indio); Real Sebastian (Monterey Park); Roberts (Temecula); Robertson (Rialto); Rodriguez (Perris); Sahli-Wells (Culver City); Saleh (Bell); Sawkins (San Gabriel); Schmidt (Hesperia); Shawver (Stanton); Shevlin (Monrovia); Spiegel (Corona); Stanton (Apple Valley); Sternquist (Temple City); Sun (San Marino); Sykes (West Covina); Terrazas (Imperial County);
Thomas (Adelanto); Viegas-Walker (El Centro); Voss (La Cañada/Flintridge); Wapner (San Bernardino County); Ward (Holtville); and Young (Yorba Linda).

**NOE/S:** Capoccia (Sierra Madre); and Wanke (Placentia).

**ABSTAIN:** Henderson (La Quinta).

2. **Proposed Bylaws Amendments**

President Pettis introduced the item.

A MOTION was made (LaBonge), as recommended by the Regional Council, to review and approve the proposed amendments to the SCAG Bylaws. Motion was SECONDED (Wilson) and passed by the following votes:

**AYE/S:** Baca (Baldwin Park); Bailey (Riverside); Ballin (San Fernando); Barrows (Cerritos); Bartel (San Jacinto); Bartlett (TCA); Becerra (Simi Valley); Benoit (Wildomar); Betts (Desert Hot Springs); Bonner (Canyon Lake); Brothers (Fountain Valley); A. Brown (Buena Park); T. Brown (San Clemente); Campbell (Brawley); Capoccia (Sierra Madre); Choi (Irvine); Clark (Rosemead); Daigle (Newport Beach); Daniels (Paramount); Davies (Laguna Niguel); Dear (Carson); DeConinck (Blythe); DeGrandpre (Eastvale); DeWitt (South Gate); Dvorak (Laguna Woods); Finlay (Duarte); Fitzgerald (Fullerton); Forester (Signal Hill); Francis (La Habra); Franklin (Banning); E. Garcia (Coachella); R. Garcia (Brea); Gazeley (Lomita), Genis (Costa Mesa); Graham (Chino Hills); Goodland (Jurupa Valley), Gran (Imperial); Harnik (Palm Desert); Harper (OCTA); Harris (Twentynine Palms); C. Hernandez (Barstow); O. Hernandez (Highland Park); Herrera (Diamond Bar); Higgins (Norco), Hodge (Calexico); Hofbauer (Palmdale); Huntington (Yucca Valley); Hyatt (Calimesa); Jahn (Big Bear Lake); Kelley (Mission Viejo); Knight (Beaumont); Krupa (Hemet); Kusumoto (Los Alamitos); LaBonge (Los Angeles); Lantz (Pomona); Lara (Ojai); Mahmud (South Pasadena); Manos (Lake Elsinore); Martin (Maywood), M. Martinez (Anaheim); McCallon (Highland); McEachron (Victorville); McIntire (Calimesa); McCormick (Vernon); McCullough (Lake Forest); Messina (Alhambra); Millhouse (VCTC); Mills (Cypress); Minjares (Fillmore); Mirisch (Beverly Hills); Mitchell (Rolling Hills Estates); Morehouse (San Buenaventura); Munzing (Aliso Viejo); Murabito (Glendora); Murray (Anaheim); Navarro (Colton); Nickel (San Bernardino); O’Connor (Santa Monica); Paget (Needles); L. Parks (Ventura County); Pedroza (Claremont); Pettis (Cathedral City); Ramirez (Oxnard); Ramos Watson (Indio); Real Sebastian (Monterey Park); Roberts (Temecula); Robertson (Rialto); Rodriguez (Perris); Sahli-Wells (Culver City); Saleh (Bell); Sawkins (San Gabriel); Schmidt (Hesperia); Shawver (Stanton); Shevlin (Monrovia); Spiegel (Corona); Stanton (Apple Valley); Sternquist (Temple City); Sykes (West Covina); Terrazas (Imperial County); Thomas (Adelanto); Viegas-Walker (El Centro); Voss (La Cañada/Flintridge); Wanke (Placentia); Wapner (San Bernardino County); Ward (Holtville); and Young (Yorba Linda).
NOE/S: [None]

ABSTAIN: Barragan (Hermosa Beach); Flores (Calipatria); Henderson (La Quinta); and Sun (San Marino).

3. Proposed Resolution for Consideration by the General Assembly – Salton Sea Initiative

President Pettis introduced the item and provided background information.

A MOTION was made (Viegas-Walker), as recommended by the Regional Council, that the General Assembly review and adopt a proposed resolution supporting the Salton Sea Restoration & Renewable Energy Initiative. Motion was SECONDED (M. Martinez) and passed by the following votes:

AYE/S: Bailey (Riverside); Ballin (San Fernando); Barrows (Cerritos); Barragan (Hermosa Beach); Bartel (San Jacinto); Bartlett (TCA); Becerra (Simi Valley); Benoit (Wildomar); Betts (Desert Hot Springs); Bonner (Canyon Lake); Brothers (Fountain Valley); A. Brown (Buena Park); Campbell (Brawley); Capoccia (Sierra Madre); Choi (Irvine); Clark (Rosemead); Daniels (Paramount); Davies (Laguna Niguel); Dear (Carson); DeGrandpre (Eastvale); DeWitt (South Gate); Dvorak (Laguna Woods); Finlay (Duarte); Fitzgerald (Fullerton); Flores (Calipatria); Forester (Signal Hill); Francis (La Habra); Franklin (Banning); E. Garcia (Coachella); R. Garcia (Brea); Gazeley (Lomita), Genis (Costa Mesa); Graham (Chino Hills); Goodland (Jurupa Valley), Gran (Imperial); Harnik (Palm Desert); Harper (OCTA); Harris (Twentynine Palms); C. Hernandez (Barstow); O. Hernandez (Highland Park); Herrera (Diamond Bar); Higgins (Norco); Hodge (Calexico); Hofbauer (Palmdale); Huntington (Yucca Valley); Hyatt (Calimesa); Jahn (Big Bear Lake); Kelley (Mission Viejo); Knight (Beaumont); Krupa (Hemet); Kusumoto (Los Alamitos); LaBonge (Los Angeles); Lantz (Pomona); Lara (Ojai); Mahmud (South Pasadena); Manos (Lake Elsinore); Martin (Maywood), M. Martinez (Anaheim), McCallon (Highland); McEachron (Victorville); McIntire (Calimesa); McCormick (Vernon); McCullough (Lake Forest); Messina (Alhambra); Millhouse (VCTC); Mills (Cypress); Mirisch (Beverly Hills); Mitchell (Rolling Hills Estates); Morehouse (San Buenaventura); Murabito (Glendora); Murray (Anaheim); Navarro (Colton); Nickel (San Bernardino); O’Connor (Santa Monica); Paget (Needles); Pedroza (Claremont); Pettis (Cathedral City); Ramirez (Oxnard); Ramos Watson (Indio); Real Sebastian (Montery Park); Roberts (Temecula); Robertson (Rialto); Rodriguez (Perris); Sahli-Wells (Culver City); Saleh (Bell); Sawkins (San Gabriel); Schmidt (Hesperia); Shevlin (Monrovia); Spiegel (Corona); Stanton (Apple Valley); Sternquist (Temple City); Sun (San Marino); Sykes (West Covina); Terrazas (Imperial County); Thomas (Adelanto); Viegas-Walker (El Centro); Voss (La Cañada/Flintridge); Wapner (San Bernardino County); Ward (Holtville); and Young (Yorba Linda).

NOE/S: Baca (Baldwin Park); Daigle (Newport Beach); Kusumoto (Los Alamitos); Munzing (Aliso Viejo); and Wanke (Placentia).
ABSTAIN: T. Brown (San Clemente); Henderson (La Quinta); Minjares (Fillmore); L. Parks (TCA); and Shawver (Stanton).

4. Proposed Resolution for Consideration by the General Assembly – Regional Effort to Promote Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety Initiative

President Pettis introduced the item and provided background information.

A MOTION was made (Finlay), as recommended by the Regional Council, that the General Assembly review and adopt a proposed resolution regarding regional pedestrian and bicycle safety. Motion was SECONDED (L. Parks) and passed by the following votes:

AYE/S: Baca (Baldwin Park); Bailey (Riverside); Ballin (San Fernando); Barrows (Cerritos); Barragan (Hermosa Beach); Bartel (San Jacinto); Bartlett (TCA); Becerra (Simi Valley); Benoit (Wildomar); Betts (Desert Hot Springs); Bonner (Canyon Lake); Brothers (Fountain Valley); A. Brown (Buena Park); T. Brown (San Clemente); Campbell (Brawley); Capoccia (Sierra Madre); Choi (Irvine); Clark (Rosemead); Daigle (Newport Beach); Daniels (Paramount); Davies (Laguna Niguel); Dear (Carson); DeConinck (Blythe); DeGrandpre (Eastvale); DeWitt (South Gate); Dvorak (Laguna Woods); Finlay (Duarte); Fitzgerald (Fullerton); Flores (Calipatria); Forester (Signal Hill); Francis (La Habra); Franklin (Banning); E. Garcia (Coachella); R. Garcia (Brea); Gazeley (Lomita); Genis (Costa Mesa); Graham (Chino Hills); Goodland (Jurupa Valley); Gran (Imperial); Harnik (Palm Desert); Harper (OCTA); Harris (Twentynine Palms); O. Hernandez (Highland Park); Herrera (Diamond Bar); Higgins (Norco); Hodge (Calexico); Hofbauer (Palmdale); Huntington (Yucca Valley); Hyatt (Calimesa); Jahn (Big Bear Lake); Kelley (Mission Viejo); Knight (Beaumont); Krupa (Hemet); Kusumoto (Los Alamitos); LaBonge (Los Angeles); Lantz (Pomona); Lara (Ojai); Mahmud (South Pasadena); Manos (Lake Elsinore); Martin (Maywood); M. Martinez (Anaheim); McCallon (Highland); McEachron (Victorville); McIntire (Calimesa); McCormick (Vernon); McCullough (Lake Forest); Messina (Alhambra); Millhouse (VCTC); Mills (Cypress); Minjares (Fillmore); Mirisch (Beverly Hills); Mitchell (Rolling Hills Estates); Morehouse (San Buenaventura); Murabito (Glendora); Murray (Anaheim); Navarro (Colton); Nickel (San Bernardino); O’Connor (Santa Monica); Paget (Needles); L. Parks (Ventura County); Pedroza (Claremont); Pettis (Cathedral City); Ramirez (Oxnard); Ramos Watson (Indio); Real Sebastian (Monterey Park); Roberts (Temecula); Robertson (Rialto); Rodriguez (Perris); Sahli-Well (Culver City); Saleh (Bell); Sawkins (San Gabriel); Schmidt (Hesperia); Shawver (Stanton); Shevlin (Monrovia); Spiegel (Corona); Stanton (Apple Valley); Sternquist (Temple City); Sun (San Marino); Sykes (West Covina); Terrazas (Imperial County); Thomas (Adelanto); Viegas-Walker (El Centro); Voss (La Cañada/Flintridge); Wanke (Placentia); Wapner (San Bernardino County); Ward (Holtville); and Young (Yorba Linda).

NOE/S: C. Hernandez (Barstow) and Munzing (Aliso Viejo).
ABSTAIN: Henderson (La Quinta).

5. **2014-2015 SCAG Officers**

President Pettis introduced the item and provided background information regarding the Nominating Committee’s recommendations to the Regional Council for the 2014-15 SCAG Officer positions, as follows: Hon. Carl Morehouse, for President; Hon. Cheryl Viegas-Walker, for First Vice President; and Hon. Michele Martinez, for Second Vice President.

A MOTION was made (McCallon), to ratify the 2014-2015 Regional SCAG Officer positions as reviewed and approved by the Regional Council. Motion was SECONDED (O’Connor) and passed by the following votes:

**AYE/S:** Baca (Baldwin Park); Bailey (Riverside); Ballin (San Fernando); Barrows (Cerritos); Barragan (Hermosa Beach); Bartel (San Jacinto); Bartlett (TCA); Becerra (Simi Valley); Benoit (Wildomar); Betts (Desert Hot Springs); Bonner (Canyon Lake); Brothers (Fountain Valley); A. Brown (Buena Park); T. Brown (San Clemente); Campbell (Brawley); Capoccia (Sierra Madre); Choi (Irvine); Clark (Rosemead); Daigle (Newport Beach); Daniels (Paramount); Davies (Laguna Niguel); Dear (Carson); DeConinck (Blythe); DeGrandpre (Eastvale); DeWitt (South Gate); Dvorak (Laguna Woods); Finlay (Duarte); Fitzgerald (Fullerton); Flores (Calipatria); Forester (Signal Hill); Francis (La Habra); Franklin (Banning); E. Garcia (Coachella); R. Garcia (Brea); Gazeley (Lomita), Genis (Costa Mesa); Graham (Chino Hills); Goodland (Jurupa Valley), Gran (Imperial); Harnik (Palm Desert); Harper (OCTA); Harris (Twentynine Palms); Henderson (La Quinta); C. Hernandez (Barstow); O. Hernandez (Highland Park); Herrara (Diamond Bar); Higgins (Norco), Hodge (Calexico); Hofbauer (Palmdale); Huntington (Yucca Valley); Hyatt (Calimesa); Jahn (Big Bear Lake); Kelley (Mission Viejo); Knight (Beaumont); Krupa (Hemet); Kusumoto (Los Alamitos); LaBonge (Los Angeles); Lantz (Pomona); Lara (Ojai); Mahmud (South Pasadena); Manos (Lake Elsinore); Martin (Maywood), M. Martinez (Anaheim); McCallon (Highland); McEachron (Victorville); McIntire (Calimesa); McCormick (Vernon); McCullough (Lake Forest); Messina (Alhambra); Millhouse (VCTC); Mills (Cypress); Minjares (Fillmore); Mirisch (Beverly Hills); Mitchell (Rolling Hills Estates); Morehouse (San Buenaventura); Munzing (Aliso Viejo); Murabito (Glendora); Murray (Anaheim); Navarro (Colton); Nickell (San Bernardino); O’Connor (Santa Monica); Paget (Needles); L. Parks (Ventura County); Pedroza (Claremont); Pettis (Cathedral City); Ramirez (Oxnard); Ramos Watson (Indio); Real Sebastian (Monterey Park); Roberts (Temecula); Robertson (Rialto); Rodriguez (Perris); Sahli-Wells (Culver City); Saleh (Bell); Sawkins (San Gabriel); Schmidt (Hesperia); Shawver (Stanton); Shevlin (Monrovia); Spiegel (Corona); Stanton (Apple Valley); Sternquist (Temple City); Sun (San Marino); Sykes (West Covina); Terrazas (Imperial County); Thomas (Adelanto); Viegas-Walker (El Centro); Voss (La Cañada/Flintridge); Wapner (San Bernardino County); Ward (Holtville); Wanke (Placentia); and Young (Yorba Linda).
NOE/S: [None]

ABSTAIN: [None]

CONSENT CALENDAR

6. Minutes of the May 2, 2013 General Assembly Meeting

President Pettis requested an amendment to Item No. 6, in the attendance page, as follows: 1) the cities of Malibu and Redondo Beach must be listed under Los Angeles County; and 2) the City Delegate representatives from Los Alamitos and Sierra Madre should be noted in attendance.

A MOTION was made (C. Hernandez), to approve the Minutes of the May 2, 2013 General Assembly meeting, as amended. Motion was SECONDED (Mahmud) and passed by the following votes:

AYE/S: Baca (Baldwin Park); Bailey (Riverside); Ballin (San Fernando); Barrows (Cerritos); Barragan (Hermosa Beach); Bartel (San Jacinto); Bartlett (TCA); Becerra (Simi Valley); Benoit (Wildomar); Betts (Desert Hot Springs); Bonner (Canyon Lake); A. Brown (Buena Park); T. Brown (San Clemente); Campbell (Brawley); Capoccia (Sierra Madre); Choi (Irvine); Clark (Rosemead); Daigle (Newport Beach); Daniels (Paramount); Davies (Laguna Niguel); Dear (Carson); DeConinck (Blythe); DeGrandpre (Eastvale); Dvorak (Laguna Woods); Finlay (Duarte); Fitzgerald (Fullerton); Flores (Calipatria); Forester (Signal Hill); Francis (La Habra); Franklin (Banning); E. Garcia (Coachella); R. Garcia (Brea); Gazeley (Lomita); Genis (Costa Mesa); Graham (Chino Hills); Goodland (Jurupa Valley); Gran (Imperial); Harnik (Palm Desert); Harper (OCTA); Harris (Twentynine Palms); Henderson (La Quinta); C. Hernandez (Barstow); Herrera (Diamond Bar); Higgins (Norco); Hodge (Calexico); Hofbauer (Palmdale); Huntington (Yucca Valley); Hyatt (Calimesa); Jahn (Big Bear Lake); Kelley (Mission Viejo); Knight (Beaumont); Krupa (Hemet); Kusumoto (Los Alamitos); LaBonge (Los Angeles); Lantz (Pomona); Lara (Ojai); Manos (Lake Elsinore); Martin (Maywood); M. Martinez (Anaheim); McCallon (Highland); McEachron (Victorville); McIntire (Calimesa); McCullough (Lake Forest); Messina (Alhambra); Millhouse (VCTC); Mills (Cypress); Mirisch (Beverly Hills); Mitchell (Rolling Hills Estates); Morehouse (San Buenaventura); Munzing (Aliso Viejo); Murabito (Glendora); Murray (Anaheim); Navarro (Colton); Nickel (San Bernardino); O’Connor (Santa Monica); Paget (Needles); L. Parks (Ventura County); Pedroza (Claremont); Pettis (Cathedral City); Ramirez (Oxnard); Ramos Watson (Indio); Real Sebastian (Monterey Park); Roberts (Temecula); Robertson (Rialto); Rodriguez (Perris); Sahli-Wells (Culver City); Saleh (Bell); Sawkins (San Gabriel); Shevlin (Monrovia); Spiegel (Corona); Stanton (Apple Valley); Sternquist (Temple City); Sun (San Marino); Sykes (West Covina); Terrazas (Imperial County); Thomas (Adelanto); Viegas-Walker (El Centro); Voss (La Cañada/Flintridge); Wapner (San Bernardino County); and Ward (Holtville).
NOE/S: [None]

ABSTAIN: Brothers (Fountain Valley); DeWitt (South Gate); O. Hernandez (Highland Park); Mahmud (South Pasadena); McCormick (Vernon); Minjares (Fillmore); Schmidt (Hesperia); Shawver (Stanton); Wanke (Placentia); and Young (Yorba Linda).

7. 2014 Summary Report: Waiver of Member Dues

A MOTION was made (C. Hernandez), to receive and file the 2014 Summary Report: Waiver of Member Dues. Motion was SECONDED (Mahmud) and passed by the following votes:

AYE/S: Baca (Baldwin Park); Bailey (Riverside); Ballin (San Fernando); Barrows (Cerritos); Bartel (San Jacinto); Bartlett (TCA); Becerra (Simi Valley); Benoit (Wildomar); Betts (Desert Hot Springs); Bonner (Canyon Lake); A. Brown (Buena Park); T. Brown (San Clemente); Campbell (Brawley); Capoccia (Sierra Madre); Choi (Irvine); Clark (Rosemead); Daigle (Newport Beach); Daniels (Paramount); Davies (Laguna Niguel); Dear (Carson); DeConinck (Blythe); DeGrandpre (Eastvale); Dvorak (Laguna Woods); Finlay (Duarte); Fitzgerald (Fullerton); Flores (Calipatria); Forester (Signal Hill); Francis (La Habra); Franklin (Banning); E. Garcia (Coachella); R. Garcia (Brea); Gazeley (Lomita); Genis (Costa Mesa); Graham (Chino Hills); Goodland (Jurupa Valley); Gran (Imperial); Harnik (Palm Desert); Harris (Twenty-nine Palms); Henderson (La Quinta); O. Hernandez (Highland Park); Herrera (Diamond Bar); Higgin (La Habra); Franklin (Banning); Hofbauer (Palmdale); Huntington (Yuca Valley); Hyatt (Calimesa); Jahn (Big Bear Lake); Kelley (Mission Viejo); Knight (Beaumont); Krupa (Hemet); Kusumoto (Los Alamitos); LaBonge (Los Angeles); Lantz (Pomona); Lara (Ojai); Mahmud (South Pasadena); Manos (Lake Elsinore); Martin (Maywood); M. Martinez (Anaheim); McCallon (Highland); McEachron (Victorville); McIntire (Calimesa); McCormick (Vernon); McCullough (Lake Forest); Messina (Alhambra); Millhouse (VCTC); Mills (Cypress); Minjares (Fillmore); Mirisch (Beverly Hills); Mitchell (Rolling Hills Estates); Morehouse (San Buenaventura); Munzing (Aliso Viejo); Murabito (Glendora); Murray (Anaheim); Navarro (Colton); Nickel (San Bernardino); O’Connor (Santa Monica); Paget (Needles); L. Parks (Ventura County); Pedroza (Claremont); Pettis (Cathedral City); Ramirez (Oxnard); Ramos Watson (Indio); Real Sebastian (Monterey Park); Robertson (Rialto); Rodriguez (Perris); Sahli-Wellis (Culver City); Saleh (Bell); Sawkins (San Gabriel); Schmidt (Hesperia); Shawver (Stanton); Shevlin (Monrovia); Spiegel (Corona); Stanton (Apple Valley); Sternquist (Temple City); Sun (San Marino); Sykes (West Covina); Terrazas (Imperial County); Thomas (Adelanto); Viegas-Walker (El Centro); Voss (La Cañada/Flintridge); Wapner (San Bernardino County); and Ward (Holtville).

NOE/S: Harper (OCTA).

ABSTAIN: Roberts (Temecula).
**PRESIDENT’S REPORT**

President Pettis introduced Randall Lewis, Lewis Group of Companies, business representative to the Regional Council. Mr. Lewis presented a check to SCAG, in the amount of $13,000.00, as an incentive to assist the agency in obtaining its goal to promote public health and healthy living.

**Year in Review**

Hasan Ikhrata, Executive Director, thanked outgoing President Greg Pettis for his tenure as SCAG President. Mr. Ikhrata introduced a video of SCAG’s accomplishments under President Pettis’ leadership in the past year, entitled “2013 Year in Review.”

**Recognition of Outgoing President**

Outgoing President Pettis made remarks and summarized the accomplishments in the past year, as follows: the addition of three (3) County Transportation Commissions to the Sustainability Joint Work Program; approved a fully funded sustainability program; completed sustainability demonstration projects; held planning workshops; continued work regarding the RHNA housing element; strengthened partnership with universities; expanded discussions on climate change and water resource; hosted planning professionals; expanded international collaboration with delegations from China, South Korea and Saudi Arabia; 4th Annual Economic Summit and labor leadership summits; SCAG membership to 191 cities; expanded GIS support to member cities; and the opportunity to visit each county in the SCAG region.

On behalf of the Regional Council, the SCAG Board Officers presented outgoing President Pettis with a token of appreciation.

**Incoming President Remarks**

Outgoing President Pettis introduced incoming President Carl Morehouse. President Morehouse made remarks and thanked outgoing President Pettis for his efforts toward inclusion and balance in creating various SCAG committees. He also thanked the cities of Fillmore, Ojai, San Buenaventura and Santa Paula as he is the representative for these cities in the Regional Council District 47. He also thanked the many sponsors from Ventura County who supported this event. During his tenure, President Morehouse stated he plans to balance the economic, environment and social equity into a sustainability tool; address interconnectivity to achieve better quality of life; continue the bottom-up approach on issues and collaboration in the RHNA processes. He thanked his wife, Janna Minsk; First Vice President Cheryl Viegas-Walker, Chair of the General Assembly Host Committee; and SCAG staff.

**Recognition of Past & Outgoing Members**

Plaques of Recognition were presented to the following for their dedication and service as members of the Regional Council and Policy Committees in the SCAG region: Hon. Frank Gurule, City of Cudahy, District 27; Hon. Jon Harrison, City of Redland, District 6; Hon. Paula
Lantz, City of Pomona, District 38; Hon. Jim Morton, City of Lynwood, District 26; Hon. Donald Voss, City of La Canada Flintridge, District 36; Hon. Bob Botts, City of Banning, RCTC; Hon. Steve Diels, City of Redondo Beach; Hon. Brian Brennan, Ventura County; Hon. Jeff Duclos, City of Hermosa Beach; Hon. Jeffrey Prang, City of West Hollywood; Hon. James Butts; City of Inglewood; Hon. Mike Leonard, City of Hesperia; Hon. Laura Ohlasso, City of La Cañada/Flintridge; and Hon. Bob Ring, City of Laguna Woods.

ADJOURNMENT

With no further business, President Morehouse adjourned the General Assembly at 12:20 p.m. The next General Assembly meeting is scheduled for May 7 – 8, 2015, at the JW Marriott Hotel & Spa, 74-855 Country Club Drive, Palm Desert, CA 92260.
DATE:        May 7, 2015

TO:          General Assembly (GA)

FROM:        Hasan Ikhrata, Executive Director, Ikhrata@scag.ca.gov, (213) 236-1944

SUBJECT:     2015 Local Profiles for SCAG Member Jurisdictions

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S APPROVAL: 

RECOMMENDED ACTION:  
For Receive and File – No Action Required

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:  
Since 2009, SCAG has been preparing individual Local Profiles as a member benefit to member cities and counties to support local planning efforts. The Local Profiles is updated every two (2) years and were last distributed in May 2013. For each cycle, staff prepares approximately 200 Local Profile Reports. During March 2015, staff completed the draft 2015 Local Profiles which were provided to local planning directors, city managers, and staff for review and comment. A sample draft 2015 Local Profile was also provided to Regional Council and Policy Committees at their March 5, 2015 meeting. The final 2015 Local Profiles, incorporating local review comments, are scheduled for release at the SCAG General Assembly on May 7, 2015. A sample draft 2015 Local Profile is attached for illustrative purpose only.

STRATEGIC PLAN:  
This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan, Goal 4: Develop, Maintain and Promote the Utilization of State of the Art Models, Information Systems and Communication Technologies and Objective b: Develop, maintain and enhance data and information to support planning and decision making in a timely and effective manner.

BACKGROUND:  
In 2008, SCAG initiated the Local Profiles Project as a part of a larger initiative to provide a variety of services to its member cities and counties. Local Profiles provide current information and data on population, housing, transportation, employment, retail sales, and education which can be utilized by member jurisdictions to make informed planning decisions. Each Local Profile provides a depiction of the condition of a member jurisdiction and its changes since 2000. Through extensive input from member jurisdictions, the inaugural Local Profiles Reports were released at the SCAG General Assembly in May 2009. The Local Profiles has since been updated every two (2) years and were last distributed in May 2013. Staff prepares approximately 200 Local Profile Reports for each release cycle.

Following each release at the SCAG General Assembly, the Local Profiles are then posted on the SCAG website. In addition, printed reports have been provided to member jurisdictions and state and federal legislative delegates from the region. Local Profiles have been utilized by local jurisdictions and interested parties for a variety of purposes including, but not limited to, the following:
- Data and communication resources for elected officials, businesses, and residents
- Community planning and outreach
- Economic development
- Visioning initiatives
- Grant application support
- Performance monitoring

The 2015 Local Profiles provides updates based on the data categories from the previous 2013 version. During March 2015, the draft 2015 Local Profiles were provided to local planning directors, city managers, and staff for review and comment. The final 2015 Local Profiles, incorporating local review comments, are scheduled for release at the SCAG General Assembly on May 7, 2015. A sample draft 2015 Local Profile is attached.

FISCAL IMPACT:
Work associated with this item is included in the current FY2014-15 Overall Work Program (15-080.SC00153.05: Data Compilation and Circulation)

ATTACHMENT:
Sample Draft 2015 Local Profile (City of Anaheim for illustrative purpose only)
This profile report was prepared by the Southern California Association of Governments and shared with the City of Anaheim. SCAG provides local governments with a variety of benefits and services including, for example, data and information, GIS training, planning and technical assistance, and sustainability planning grants.
Source: 2012 SCAG city boundary data is provided by the county Local Agency Formation Commissions
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I. Introduction

The purpose of this report is to provide current information and data for the City of Anaheim for planning and outreach efforts. Information on population, housing, transportation, employment, retail sales, and education can be utilized by the city to make informed planning decisions. The profile provides a portrait of the city and its changes since 2000, using average figures for Orange County as a comparative baseline. In addition, the most current data available for the region is also included in the Statistical Summary (page 3). This profile demonstrates the current trends occurring in the City of Anaheim.

The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) is the largest Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) in the nation. The SCAG region includes six counties (Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, and Ventura) and 191 cities. As the designated MPO, SCAG is mandated by federal law to research and develop a Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), which incorporates a Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) per California state law. SCAG is currently undertaking a variety of planning and policy initiatives to foster a more sustainable Southern California.

In 2008, SCAG initiated the Local Profiles Project as a part of a larger initiative to provide a variety of services to its member cities and counties. Through extensive input from member jurisdictions, the inaugural Local Profiles Reports were released at the General Assembly in May 2009. The Profiles have been updated every two years.

Local Profiles provide basic information about each member jurisdiction including, but not limited to, the following:
- How much growth in population has taken place since 2000?
- Has the local jurisdiction been growing faster or slower than the county or regional average?
- Have there been more or fewer school-age children?
- Have homeownership rates been increasing or decreasing?
- How and where do residents travel to work?
- How has the local economy been changing in terms of employment share by sectors?
- Have the local retail sales revenues recovered to pre-recession levels?

Answers to questions such as these provide a snapshot of the dynamic changes affecting each local jurisdiction.

Factors Affecting Local Changes Reflected in the 2015 Report

Overall, member jurisdictions since 2000 were impacted by a variety of factors at the national, regional, and local levels. For example, the vast majority of member jurisdictions included in the 2015 Local Profiles reflect the national demographic trends toward an older and a more diverse population. Evidence of the slow process towards economic recovery is also apparent through gradual increases in employment, retail sales, building permits, and home prices. Work destinations and commute times correlate with regional development patterns and the geographical location of local jurisdictions, particularly in relation to the regional transportation system.
**Uses of the Local Profiles**

Following release at the SCAG General Assembly, the Local Profiles are posted on the SCAG website and used by interested parties for a variety of purposes including, but not limited to, the following:

- Data and communication resources for elected officials, businesses, and residents
- Community planning and outreach
- Economic development
- Visioning initiatives
- Grant application support
- Performance monitoring

The primary user groups of the Profiles include member jurisdictions and state and federal legislative delegates of Southern California. This profile report is a SCAG member benefit and the use of the data contained within this report is voluntary.

**Report Organization**

This profile report has three sections. The first section presents a Statistical Summary for the City of Anaheim. The second section provides detailed information organized by subject areas and includes brief highlights on the impacts of the recent economic recession and recovery at the regional level. The third section, Methodology, describes technical considerations related to data definitions, measurement, and data sources.
## 2014 STATISTICAL SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Anaheim</th>
<th>Orange County</th>
<th>Anaheim relative to Orange County*</th>
<th>SCAG Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014 Total Population</strong></td>
<td>348,305</td>
<td>3,113,991</td>
<td>[11.2%]</td>
<td>18,645,437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014 Median Age (Years)</strong></td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014 Hispanic</strong></td>
<td>55.2%</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>46.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014 Non-Hispanic White</strong></td>
<td>24.6%</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
<td>-17.1%</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014 Non-Hispanic Asian</strong></td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
<td>-3.3%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014 Non-Hispanic Black</strong></td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014 Non-Hispanic American Indian</strong></td>
<td>.2%</td>
<td>.2%</td>
<td>.0%</td>
<td>.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014 All Other Non-Hispanic</strong></td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>-.7%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014 Number of Households</strong></td>
<td>102,970</td>
<td>1,035,363</td>
<td>[9.9%]</td>
<td>6,029,326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014 Average Household Size</strong></td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014 Median Household Income ($)</strong></td>
<td>59,272</td>
<td>72,262</td>
<td>-12,990</td>
<td>56,737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014 Number of Housing Units</strong></td>
<td>105,987</td>
<td>1,062,966</td>
<td>[10%]</td>
<td>6,524,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014 Homeownership Rate</strong></td>
<td>49.2%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>-5.3%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014 Median Existing Home Sales Price ($)</strong></td>
<td>445,000</td>
<td>581,000</td>
<td>-136,000</td>
<td>426,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2013 - 2014 Median Home Sales Price Change</strong></td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>-0.65%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014 Drive Alone to Work</strong></td>
<td>77.9%</td>
<td>82.2%</td>
<td>-4.3%</td>
<td>78.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014 Mean Travel Time to Work (minutes)</strong></td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2013 Number of Jobs</strong></td>
<td>183,144</td>
<td>1,570,830</td>
<td>[11.7%]</td>
<td>7,660,489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2012 - 2013 Total Jobs Change</strong></td>
<td>5,272</td>
<td>44,603</td>
<td>[11.8%]</td>
<td>231,953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2013 Average Salary per Job ($)</strong></td>
<td>43,167</td>
<td>52,479</td>
<td>-9,312</td>
<td>48,213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014 K-12 Public School Student Enrollment</strong></td>
<td>60,630</td>
<td>499,555</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>3,058,957</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 2014; Nielsen Co.; California Department of Finance E-5, May 2014; MDA Data Quick; California Department of Education; and SCAG

* Numbers with [ ] represent Anaheim’s share of Orange County. The other numbers represent the difference between Anaheim and Orange County.

Mapped jurisdictional boundaries are as of July 1, 2012 and are for visual purposes only. Report data, however, are updated according to their respective sources.
II. Population

Population Growth

- Between 2000 and 2014, the total population of the City of Anaheim increased by 20,291 to 348,305 in 2014.

- During this 14-year period, the city’s population growth rate of 6.2 percent was lower than the Orange County rate of 9.4 percent.

- In Orange County 11.2% of the total population is in the City of Anaheim.

Source: California Department of Finance, E-5, 2014
**Population by Age**


Between 2000 and 2014, the age group 55-64 experienced the largest increase in share, growing from 6.7 to 10.2 percent.

The age group that experienced the greatest decline, by share, was the age group 21-34, decreasing from 24 to 21.3 percent.

The age group 55-64 added the most population, with an increase of 14,054 people between 2000 and 2014.


Sources: 2000 and 2010 U.S. Decennial Census; Nielsen Co., 2014
Population by Race/Ethnicity


- Between 2000 and 2014, the share of Hispanic population in the city increased from 46.8 percent to 55.2 percent.


- Between 2000 and 2014, the share of Non-Hispanic White population in the city decreased from 35.9 percent to 24.6 percent.
Between 2000 and 2014, the share of Non-Hispanic Asian population in the city increased from 11.9 percent to 15.1 percent.


Between 2000 and 2014, the share of Non-Hispanic Black population in the city increased from 2.4 percent to 2.7 percent.
Between 2000 and 2014, the share of Non-Hispanic American Indian population in the city decreased from 0.3 percent to 0.2 percent.

Between 2000 and 2014, the share of All Other Non-Hispanic population group in the city decreased from 2.8 percent to 2.0 percent.

Please refer to the Methodology section for definitions of the racial/ethnic categories.
### III. Households

**Number of Households (Occupied Housing Units)**

#### Number of Households: 2000 - 2014

- Between 2000 and 2014, the total number of households in the City of Anaheim increased by 6,001 units, or 6.2 percent.
- During this 14-year period, the city’s household growth rate of 6.2 percent was lower than the county growth rate of 10.7 percent.
- 9.9 percent of Orange County’s total number of households is in the City of Anaheim.
- In 2014, the city’s average household size was 3.4, higher than the county average of 3.0.

#### Average Household Size: 2000 - 2014

Source: California Department of Finance, E-5, 2014

Sources: 2000 and 2010 U.S. Decennial Census; California Department of Finance, E-5, 2014
**Households by Size**

**Percent of Households by Household Size: 2014**

- In 2014, 58.5 percent of all city households had 3 people or fewer.
- About 17.6 percent of the households were single-person households.
- Approximately 25.1 percent of all households in the city had 5 people or more.

Source: Nielsen Co., 2014

**Households by Income**

**Percent of Households by Household Income: 2014**

- In 2014, 43 percent of households earned less than $50,000 annually.
- Approximately 25 percent of households earned more than $100,000.

Source: Nielsen Co., 2014
**Household Income**


- From 2000 to 2014, median household income increased by $12,355.
- Note: Dollars are not constant.

![Median Household Income Chart](chart.png)

*Source: Nielsen Co., 2014*

**Renters and Homeowners**

**Percentage of Renters and Homeowners: 2000, 2010, & 2014**

- Between 2000 and 2014, homeownership rates decreased and the share of renters increased.

*Sources: 2000 and 2010 U.S. Decennial Census; Nielsen Co., 2014*
IV. Housing

Total Housing Production

Total Permits Issued for all Residential Units: 2000 - 2014

- Between 2000 and 2014, permits were issued for 6,190 new residential units.

Permits Issued per 1,000 Residents: 2000 - 2014

- In 2000, the City of Anaheim had 1 permit per 1,000 residents compared to the overall county figure of 4.5 permits per 1,000 residents.

- For the city in 2014, the number of permits per 1,000 residents increased to 1.7 permits. For the county overall, it decreased to 1.9 permits per 1,000 residents.
Between 2000 and 2014, permits were issued for 1,213 new single family homes.

18.1 percent of these were issued in the last 3 years.

In 2000, the City of Anaheim issued 0.3 permits per 1,000 residents compared to the overall county figure of 2.4 permits per 1,000 residents.

For the city in 2014, the number of permits issued per 1,000 residents decreased to 0.1 permits. For the county overall, it decreased to 0.8 permits per 1,000 residents.
**Multi-Family Housing Production**

Multi-Family Permits Issued: 2000 - 2014

- Between 2000 and 2014, there were permits issued for 4,977 new multi-family residential units.

**Multi-Family Permits Issued per 1,000 Residents: 2000 - 2014**

- For the city in 2014, the number of permits per 1,000 residents increased to 1.6 permits. For the county overall, it decreased to 1.1 permits per 1,000 residents.

Source: Construction Industry Research Board, 2000-2014
Home Sales Prices

Median Home Sales Price for Existing Homes: 2000 - 2014 (in $ thousands)

- Between 2000 and 2014, the median home sales price increased 107 percent from $215,000 to $445,000.
- Median home sales price increased by 27.1 percent between 2010 and 2014.
- In 2014, the median home sales price in the city was $445,000, $136,000 lower than that in the county overall.
- Note: Median home sales price reflects resale of existing homes and provides guidance on the market values of homes sold.
- Between 2000 and 2014, the largest single year increase was 28.6 percent.

Source: MDA Data Quick, 2014
**Housing Units by Housing Type: 2014**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Type</th>
<th>Number of Units</th>
<th>Percent of Total Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Family Detached</td>
<td>44,941</td>
<td>42.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Family Attached</td>
<td>8,902</td>
<td>8.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-family: 2 to 4 units</td>
<td>11,390</td>
<td>10.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-family: 5 units plus</td>
<td>36,069</td>
<td>34 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MobileHome</td>
<td>4,685</td>
<td>4.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>105,987</strong></td>
<td><strong>100 %</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: California Department of Finance, E-5, 2014

- The most common housing type is Single Family Detached.
- Approximately 50.8 percent were single family homes and 44.8 percent were multi-family homes.

**Age of Housing Stock**

- 47.0 percent of the housing stock was built before 1970.
- 53.0 percent of the housing stock was built after 1970.

Source: Nielsen Co., 2014
There were a total of 65 foreclosures in 2014.

Between 2007 and 2014, there were a total of 5,698 foreclosures.

Source: MDA Data Quick, 2014
V. Transportation

Journey to Work for Residents


- Between 2000 and 2014, the greatest change occurred in the percentage of individuals who traveled to work by driving; this share increased by 5.1 percentage points.

![Transportation Mode Choice Graph]

Sources: 2000 U.S. Decennial Census; U.S. Census American Community Survey; Nielsen Co., 2014

Average Travel Time (minutes): 2000, 2010, & 2014

- Between 2000 and 2014, the average travel time to work decreased by approximately 1 minute.

![Average Travel Time Graph]

Sources: 2000 U.S. Decennial Census; U.S. Census American Community Survey; Nielsen Co., 2014
## VI. Employment

### Top 10 Places Where Residents Commute to Work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Number of Commuters</th>
<th>Percent of Total Commuters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Anaheim</td>
<td>22,901</td>
<td>17.46 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Los Angeles</td>
<td>9,926</td>
<td>7.57 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Santa Ana</td>
<td>9,638</td>
<td>7.35 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Irvine</td>
<td>8,571</td>
<td>6.53 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Orange</td>
<td>6,930</td>
<td>5.28 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Fullerton</td>
<td>4,437</td>
<td>3.38 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Garden Grove</td>
<td>3,343</td>
<td>2.55 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Costa Mesa</td>
<td>3,121</td>
<td>2.38 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Long Beach</td>
<td>2,833</td>
<td>2.16 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Buena Park</td>
<td>2,572</td>
<td>1.96 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other Destinations</td>
<td>56,898</td>
<td>43.38 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, LODES Data; Longitudinal-Employer Household Dynamics Program, 2011

- This table identifies the top 10 locations where residents from the City of Anaheim commute to work.
- 17.5% work in the city where they live, while 82.5% commute to other places.
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Total Jobs: 2007 - 2013

- Total jobs include wage and salary jobs and jobs held by business owners and self-employed persons. The total job count does not include unpaid volunteers or family workers, and private household workers.

- In 2013, total jobs in the City of Anaheim numbered 183,144, a decrease of 6.7 percent from 2007.

Jobs in Manufacturing: 2007 - 2013

- Manufacturing jobs include those employed in various sectors including food, apparel, metal, petroleum and coal, machinery, computer and electronic products, and transportation equipment.

- Between 2007 and 2013, the number of manufacturing jobs in the city decreased by 15.8 percent.
Jobs in Construction: 2007 - 2013

- Construction jobs include those engaged in both residential and non-residential construction.
- Between 2007 and 2013, construction jobs in the city decreased by 29.4 percent.

Jobs in Retail Trade: 2007 - 2013

- Retail trade jobs include those at various retailers including motor vehicle and parts dealers, furniture, electronics and appliances, building materials, food and beverage, clothing, sporting goods, books, and office supplies.
- Between 2007 and 2013, the number of retail trade jobs in the city decreased by 13.9 percent.
Jobs in the professional and management sector include those employed in professional and technical services, management of companies, and administration and support.

Between 2007 and 2013, the number of professional and management jobs in the city increased by 3.8 percent.
From 2007 to 2013, the share of Leisure jobs increased from 14 percent to 16.2 percent while the share of Construction jobs declined from 10.6 percent to 7.5 percent.

In 2013, the Professional sector was the largest job sector, accounting for 18.6 percent of total jobs in the city.

Other large sectors included Education (16.2 percent), Leisure (16.2 percent), and Manufacturing (12 percent).

See Methodology Section for industry sector definitions.
Average Salaries


- Average salaries for jobs located in the city increased from $38,072 in 2003 to $43,167 in 2013, a 13.4 percent change.

- Note: Dollars are not constant.

Average Annual Salary by Sector: 2013 ($ thousands)

- In 2013, the employment sector providing the highest salary per job in the city was Public Administration ($87,194).

- The Leisure-Hospitality sector provided the lowest annual salary per job ($23,141).


Source: California Employment Development Department, 2013
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VII. Retail Sales

Real Retail Sales: 2001 - 2013 (in 2013 $ millions)

- Retail sales (inflation adjusted) in the City of Anaheim increased by 28.3 percent between 2001 and 2005.
- Retail sales decreased by 16.4 percent between 2005 and 2013.

Real Retail Sales per Person: 2001 - 2013 (in 2013 $ thousands)

- Between 2001 and 2013, retail sales per person for the city increased from $9,337 to $9,482.

Source: California Board of Equalization, 2001-2013
VIII. Education

**K-12 Public School Student Enrollment: 2000 - 2014**

- Between 2000 and 2014, total K-12 public school enrollment for schools within the City of Anaheim decreased by 2,741 students, or about 4.3 percent.

**K-6 Public School Student Enrollment: 2000 - 2014**

- Between 2000 and 2014, total public elementary school enrollment decreased by 3,522 students or 9.9 percent.
Grades 7-9 Public School Student Enrollment: 2000 - 2014

- Between 2000 and 2014, total public school enrollment for grades 7-9 decreased by 426 students or 3 percent.

Grades 10-12 Public School Student Enrollment: 2000 - 2014

- Between 2000 and 2014, total public school enrollment for grades 10-12 increased by 1,207 students, about 8.8 percent.
In 2014, 74.7 percent of the population 25 years and over completed high school or higher, which is higher than 2000 level.

In 2014, 24.2 percent of the population 25 years and over completed a Bachelor’s degree or higher, which is higher than 2000.
After reaching its peak in 2007, the median sales price for existing homes in the SCAG region dropped by almost half in 2011 from its 2007 level and rebounded in 2014.

Median home sales price was calculated based on total existing home sales in the SCAG region.

Retail sales tend to follow closely with trends in personal income, employment rates, and consumer confidence.

Between 2001 and 2005, real retail sales increased steadily by 19 percent but then dropped between 2005 and 2009 by $52 billion, or 25 percent.

In 2013, total real retail sales were three percent higher than the 2000 level.
X. Data Sources

California Department of Education
California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit
California Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division
California State Board of Equalization
Construction Industry Research Board
InfoGroup
MDA Data Quick
Nielsen Company
U.S. Census Bureau
XI. Methodology

SCAG’s Local Profiles utilizes the most up-to-date information from a number of publically available sources, including the U.S. Census Bureau, California Department of Finance, and the California Department of Education. In the event that public information is not available or is not the most recent, SCAG contracts with a number of private entities to obtain regional data. The following sections describe how each data source was compiled to produce the information displayed in this report.

Statistical Summary Table

In the Statistical Summary Table (page 3), the values in the field “Jurisdiction Relative to County/Region” represent the difference between the jurisdiction’s value and the county/region value, except for the following categories which represent the jurisdiction’s value as a share of the county (or in the case of an entire county as a share of the region): Population, Number of Households, Number of Housing Units, Number of Jobs, Total Jobs Change, and K-12 Student Enrollment.

Median Age, Homeownership Rate, and Median Household Income are based on Nielsen Company data. Number of Housing Units is based on the 2010 Census and estimates from the California Department of Finance. Data for all other categories are referenced throughout the report.

Population Section

Where referenced, data from 2000 to 2014 was taken from the California Department of Finance’s (DOF) E-5 estimates, which were published in May 2014. This dataset was benchmarked to population figures from the 2000 and 2010 U.S. Decennial Censuses. Data relating to population by age group and by race/ethnicity was derived from the 2000 and 2010 U.S. Decennial Censuses, and Nielsen Co. The 2000 figure was based on U.S. Decennial Census figures for April 1, 2000 and the 2010 figure was based on U.S. Decennial Census figures for April 1, 2010.

Below are definitions for race and ethnicity, taken from the U.S. Census Bureau.

The Hispanic or Latino origin category is:
- A person of Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, South or Central American, or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race.

The race categories are:
- American Indian or Alaska Native – A person having origins in any of the original peoples of North and South America (including Central America), and who maintains tribal affiliation or community attachment.
- Asian – A person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, or the Indian subcontinent including, for example, Cambodia, China, India, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Pakistan, the Philippine Islands, Thailand, and Vietnam.
- Black or African American – A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa, including those who consider themselves to be "Haitian."
• White – A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, North Africa, or the Middle East.

• Some other race – This category includes Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (a person having origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa, or other Pacific Islands) and all other responses not included in the "American Indian or Alaska Native," "Asian," "Black or African American," and "White" race categories described above.


Households Section

The 2000 figure was based on U.S. Decennial Census figures for April 1, 2000 and the 2010 figure was based on U.S. Decennial Census figures for April 1, 2010. Information for 2014 was supplied by the Nielsen Company. Average household size was developed using information from the California Department of Finance (DOF). Households by Size was calculated based on Nielsen Company data. Households refer to the number of occupied housing units.

Housing Section

Housing units are the total number of both vacant and occupied units. Housing units by housing type information was developed using data from the California Department of Finance (DOF). Age of housing stock information is from the Nielsen Company.

The number of residential units with permits issued was obtained using Construction Industry Research Board data, which are collected by counties and are self-reported by individual jurisdictions. It represents both single family and multifamily housing units that were permitted to be built, along with building permits that were issued for improvements to existing residential structures (e.g., re-roofs, remodels). Please note that SCAG opted to report the annual number of permits issued by each jurisdiction which may be different than the number of housing units completed or constructed annually. This was done using a single data source which provides consistent data for all jurisdictions.

The median home sales price, compiled from MDA Data Quick, was calculated based on total resales of existing homes in the jurisdiction, including single family units and condominiums. The median price does not reflect the entire universe of housing in the jurisdiction, only those that were sold within the calendar year.

Transportation Section

The journey to work data for the year 2000 was obtained by using the 2000 U.S. Decennial Census Summary File 3. Data for 2010 is based on the U.S. Census American Community Survey. Information for 2014 was provided by the Nielsen Company.
Employment Section

Data sources for estimating jurisdiction employment and wage information include the U.S. Census Bureau Local Employment Dynamics Survey, and information from the California Employment Development Department, InfoGroup, and SCAG for years 2007-2014. In many instances, employment totals from individual businesses were geocoded and aggregated to the jurisdictional level.

Employment information by industry type is defined by the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). Although the NAICS provides a great level of detail on industry definitions for all types of businesses in North America, for the purposes of this report, this list of industries has been summarized into the following major areas: agriculture, construction, manufacturing, wholesale, retail, information, finance/insurance/real estate, professional/management, education/health, leisure/hospitality, public administration, other services, and non-classified industries.

A brief description of each major industry area is provided below:

- **Agriculture** – This industry includes crop production, animal production and aquaculture, forestry and logging, fishing hunting and trapping, and support activities for agriculture and forestry.
- **Construction** – Industries under this umbrella involve the construction of buildings, heavy and civil engineering construction, and specialty trade contractors.
- **Manufacturing** – This group includes the processing of raw material into products for trade, such as food manufacturing, apparel manufacturing, wood product manufacturing, petroleum and coal products manufacturing, chemical manufacturing, plastics and rubber products manufacturing, nonmetallic mineral product manufacturing and primary metal manufacturing.
- **Wholesale** – Wholesale industries do business in the trade of raw materials and durable goods.
- **Retail** – Retail industries engage in the sale of durable goods directly to consumers.
- **Information** – Businesses in this industry specialize in the distribution of content through a means of sources, including newspaper, periodicals, books, software, motion pictures, sound recording, radio and television broadcasting, cable or subscription programming, telecommunications, data processing/hosting, and other information mediums.
- **Finance/Insurance/Real Estate** – This sector includes businesses associated with banking, consumer lending, credit intermediation, securities brokerage, commodities exchanges, health/life/medical/title/property/casualty insurance agencies and brokerages, and real estate rental/leasing/sales.
- **Professional Management** – This industry involves businesses that specialize in professional/scientific/technical services, management of companies and enterprises, and administrative and support services. Types of establishments that would fall under this category range from law offices, accounting services, architectural/engineering firms, specialized design services, computer systems design and related services, management consulting firms, scientific research and
development services, advertising firms, office administrative services, facilities support services, among many others.

- Education/Health – Organizations include elementary and secondary schools, junior colleges, universities, professional schools, technical and trade schools, medical offices, dental offices, outpatient care centers, medical and diagnostic laboratories, hospitals, nursing and residential care facilities, social assistance services, emergency relief services, vocational rehabilitation services, and child day care services.

- Leisure/Hospitality – These industries include organizations in the performing arts, spectator sports, museums, amusement/recreation industries, traveler accommodations, and food and drink services.

- Public Administration – This classification includes public sector organizations, including legislative bodies, public finance institutions, executive and legislative offices, courts, police protection, parole offices, fire protection, correctional institutions, administration of governmental programs, space research and technology, and national security.

- Other Services – Groups in this group include, for example, automotive repair and maintenance, personal and household goods repair and maintenance, personal laundry services, dry-cleaning and laundry services, religious services, social advocacy organizations, professional organizations, and private households.

- Non-Classified – Non-classified organizations involve work activities that are not included in the North American Industry Classification System.

**Retail Sales Section**

Retail sales data is obtained from the California Board of Equalization, which does not publish individual point-of-sale data. All data is adjusted for inflation.

**Education Section**

Student enrollment data is based on public school campuses that are located within each jurisdiction’s respective boundary. Enrollment numbers by grade within a given jurisdiction are tabulated based upon data obtained from the California Department of Education. Enrollment year is based on the end date of the school year; for example, enrollment data for the year 2000 refers to the 1999-2000 school year. City boundaries used in the dataset for all years is based on data provided by the Local Agency Formation Commission for each county in the region.

**Regional Highlights**

Information for this section was developed through data from MDA Data Quick and the California Board of Equalization.
Data Sources Section

In choosing the data sources used for this report, the following factors were considered:

- Availability for all jurisdictions in the SCAG region,
- The most recognized source on the subject,
- Data sources within the public domain, and
- Data available on an annual basis.

The same data sources are used for all Local Profiles (except where noted) to maintain overall reporting consistency. The jurisdictions are not constrained from using other data sources for their planning activities.
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Carolyn Hart, Lead Graphics Designer
Gurpreet Kaur, Programmer Analyst
Cheol-Ho Lee, Senior Regional Planner
JiSu Lee, SCAG Intern
Weining Liang, SCAG Intern
Jeff Liu, Manager, Media and Public Affairs
Jonathan Nadler, Manager, Compliance & Performance Monitoring
Frank Wen, Manager, Research & Analysis
Ying Zhou, Program Manager II
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Hon.</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41.</td>
<td>Rex Richardson</td>
<td>District 29</td>
<td>Long Beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.</td>
<td>Lena Gonzalez</td>
<td>District 30</td>
<td>Long Beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.</td>
<td>Steve De Ruse</td>
<td>District 31</td>
<td>La Mirada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.</td>
<td>Margaret Clark</td>
<td>District 32</td>
<td>Rosemead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.</td>
<td>Gene Murabito</td>
<td>District 33</td>
<td>Glendora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.</td>
<td>Barbara Messina</td>
<td>District 34</td>
<td>Alhambra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.</td>
<td>Margaret E. Finlay</td>
<td>District 35</td>
<td>Duarte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.</td>
<td>Carol Herrera</td>
<td>District 37</td>
<td>Diamond Bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.</td>
<td>Sam Pedroza</td>
<td>District 38</td>
<td>Claremont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.</td>
<td>James Gazeley</td>
<td>District 39</td>
<td>Lomita</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.</td>
<td>Judy Mitchell</td>
<td>District 40</td>
<td>Rolling Hills Estates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.</td>
<td>Pam O’Connor</td>
<td>District 41</td>
<td>Santa Monica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.</td>
<td>Jess Talamantes</td>
<td>District 42</td>
<td>Burbank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.</td>
<td>Steven Hofbauer</td>
<td>District 43</td>
<td>Palmdale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.</td>
<td>John Sibert</td>
<td>District 44</td>
<td>Malibu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.</td>
<td>Carmen Ramirez</td>
<td>District 45</td>
<td>Oxnard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.</td>
<td>Glen Becerra</td>
<td>District 46</td>
<td>Simi Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.</td>
<td>Gilbert Cedillo</td>
<td>District 48</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.</td>
<td>Paul Krekorian</td>
<td>District 49</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.</td>
<td>Bob Blumenfield</td>
<td>District 50</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.</td>
<td>Tom LaBonge</td>
<td>District 51</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63.</td>
<td>Paul Koretz</td>
<td>District 52</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.</td>
<td>Nury Martinez</td>
<td>District 53</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.</td>
<td>Felipe Fuentes</td>
<td>District 54</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66.</td>
<td>Bernard C. Parks</td>
<td>District 55</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.</td>
<td>Curren D. Price, Jr.</td>
<td>District 56</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68.</td>
<td>Herb J. Wesson, Jr.</td>
<td>District 57</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69.</td>
<td>Mike Bonin</td>
<td>District 58</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.</td>
<td>Mitchell Englelader</td>
<td>District 59</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.</td>
<td>Mitch O’Farrell</td>
<td>District 60</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72.</td>
<td>José Huizar</td>
<td>District 61</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.</td>
<td>Joe Buscaino</td>
<td>District 62</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74.</td>
<td>Karen Spiegel</td>
<td>District 63</td>
<td>Corona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.</td>
<td>Jim Katapodis</td>
<td>District 64</td>
<td>Huntington Beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76.</td>
<td>Ryan McEachron</td>
<td>District 65</td>
<td>Victorville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.</td>
<td>Michael Wilson</td>
<td>District 66</td>
<td>Indio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78.</td>
<td>Dante Acosta</td>
<td>District 67</td>
<td>Santa Clarita</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79.</td>
<td>Rusty Bailey</td>
<td>District 68</td>
<td>Riverside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.</td>
<td>Julio Rodriguez</td>
<td>District 69</td>
<td>Perris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Hon. Ross Chun</td>
<td>Aliso Viejo</td>
<td>TCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Hon. Andrew Masiel, Sr.</td>
<td>Pechanga Band of Luiseño Indians</td>
<td>Tribal Government Representative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Mr. Randall Lewis</td>
<td>Lewis Group of Companies (Ex-Officio)</td>
<td>Lewis Group of Companies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Hon. Eric Garcetti</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>(At-Large)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATE: May 7, 2015

TO: General Assembly (GA)

FROM: Hasan Ikhrata, Executive Director, ikhrata@scag.ca.gov, 213-236-1944

SUBJECT: Active Transportation Safety & Encouragement Campaign: Call for Proposals

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S APPROVAL: [Signature]

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
For Information Only: No Action Required.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
Today, SCAG issued a Call for Proposals to local jurisdictions that are interested in hosting an active transportation event or temporary demonstration project, as part of SCAG’s Regional Active Transportation Safety and Encouragement Campaign. The Call for Proposals aims to assess local interest in the types of events or temporary demonstrations that local agencies would like to pursue in partnership with SCAG. It will also serve as a starting point for identifying six cities that will receive technical assistance to plan and produce the events in May 2016. Preliminary proposals are due to SCAG by June 30, 2015.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan Goal 1: Improve Regional Decision Making by Providing Leadership and Consensus Building on Key Plans and Policies; Objective 2: Develop external communications and media strategy to promote partnerships, build consensus and foster inclusiveness in the decision making process.

BACKGROUND:
SCAG has been awarded a Caltrans Active Transportation Program (ATP) grant of 2.3 million to implement a comprehensive Regional Active Transportation Safety and Encouragement Campaign. The goal of the Campaign is to reduce vehicle versus pedestrian and bicycle collisions, while increasing levels of walking and biking in Southern California. The overall Campaign includes three (3) core components.

- **Advertising Campaign:** A major marketing and advertising effort targeting 10 million impressions region-wide with simple encouragement and safety messages. The Campaign will run for 90 days between (September-November 2015).
- **Events and Temporary Demonstrations:** Implementation of at least six (6) events in six (6) selected cities throughout the region during May 2016, in coordination with Bike Month and Bike to Work Week activities. The events will aim to attract between 100,000-500,000 people to open street events and other temporary urban interventions or “pop-ups” that bring greater awareness to the use of streets for people, not just cars.
- **Targeted Training and Toolkits**: Active transportation trainings and training toolkits for four (4) target audiences to create and empower local champions in key sectors to lead education and encouragement programs in their communities.

SCAG’s Regional Safety and Encouragement Campaign is one of over 60 projects that will be implemented in the SCAG region with funding from the California Active Transportation Program over the next few years. The majority of the grants will fund design and construction of new pedestrian and bike facilities. The Campaign is intended to provide education, safety and encouragement messaging that will complement these capital investments.

SCAG has established a Campaign Steering Committee to provide insight, guidance and support for the implementation of all three components of the Campaign. Members include planners, public health professionals, and media representatives from each of the county transportation commissions and health departments that serve the SCAG region. In order to support the Steering Committee in identifying cities to participate in the Events and Temporary Demonstrations component of the campaign, SCAG has issued a Call for Proposals. The Call for Proposals aims to assess interest in the program overall, as well as the type of events a city may be interested in pursuing. Preliminary proposals are due to SCAG by June 30, 2015. Site visits and interviews will be completed to further assess the proposals that are determined by the Steering Committee to be most closely aligned with the goals of the Campaign. A final set of cities will be invited to enter into an agreement with SCAG to serve as a host site(s) for event(s), following the interview and site visit process.

Successful proposals will include events and temporary demonstrations that allow residents to temporarily (one-day to one-month) experience roadways that are designed for people and not just cars. The events should be designed to:

- Provide opportunities where residents can experience walking and biking in a safe, low-stress and festive environment,
- Engage communities and build support for the development and/or implementation of bike and pedestrian plans,
- Educate participants on the benefits and strategies for incorporating active transportation into daily activities, and
- Generate media coverage through social and traditional media outlets to educate the broader community on the benefits of active transportation and inspire a vision for a more walkable/bikable region.

Proposals will only be considered for events that can be implemented during Bike Month (May 2016). Similar types of events have occurred in several communities across Southern California. “Open Streets” are the most common type of event that would be supported by this effort. Open Streets are initiatives that temporarily close streets to automobile traffic, so that people may use them for walking, bicycling, dancing, playing, and socializing. The general idea is to provide an experience that illustrates how the street can be a tool to build a safer and more vibrant community. Open Streets events have been hosted in several communities over the past several years including CicLAvia in Los Angeles County, Sunday On Main in Santa Ana and Reimagine in Garden Grove.
Proposals are also encouraged for temporary demonstrations of active transportation services and facilities through innovative “pop-up” events. The pop-up events should include temporary streetscape installations that demonstrate how reallocation of the public right of way can improve street safety and encourage more walking and biking. SCAG is particularly interested in supporting pop-up events in locations where larger active transportation planning efforts are underway or capital projects are being considered. The pop-up on Broadway in Downtown Los Angeles is an example of how a pop-up can introduce a concept that helps shape and build momentum for a permanent fixture.

The Call for Proposals, including a simple web-based application, has been posted on SCAG’s website at [www.scag.ca.gov/activetransportation](http://www.scag.ca.gov/activetransportation). All applications are due June 30, 2015. SCAG staff in partnership with the Campaign Steering Committee will be reaching out to eligible applicants over the next few weeks to provide more information on the Call for Proposals and help communities develop event concepts and proposals.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**
Work associated with this item is included in the current FY2014/15 Overall Work Program (050.SCG00169.01: Regional Active Transportation Strategy) and FY2015/1016 Overall Work Program (050.SCG00169.01: Regional Active Transportation Strategy; 225.SCG03564.01: So. Calif. Active Transportation Safety & Encouragement Campaign).

**ATTACHMENT:**
None
DATE: May 7, 2015

TO: General Assembly (GA)

FROM: Hasan Ikhrata, Executive Director, Ikhrata@acag.ca.gov, 213-236-1944

SUBJECT: 2015 Summary Report: Waiver of Member Dues

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S APPROVAL:

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Receive and File

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
The SCAG Bylaws require a year-end update to the General Assembly for any member dues waived by the Regional Council. Accordingly, the following report summarizes Regional Council actions for FY 2014-15. The Regional Council (RC) waived the dues for two (2) cities due to their financial hardships:

- On February 5, 2015, the RC waived $19,160 in FY 2014-15 dues for the City of San Bernardino.
- On February 5, 2015, the RC waived $8,919 in FY 2014-15 dues for the City of Jurupa Valley.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan, Goal 3 – Enhance the Agency’s Long Term Financial Stability and Fiscal Management.

BACKGROUND:
SCAG’s Bylaws state that: "The Regional Council, for not more than one (1) year at a time, may defer, waive, or reduce payment of the annual dues for any member... Any action of the Regional Council deferring, waiving, or reducing the payment of the annual dues shall be reported at the following General Assembly Meeting."

This report serves to inform the General Assembly of the waivers described above.

FISCAL IMPACT:
The General Fund budget was amended to reflect $28,079 reduction in dues revenue.

ATTACHMENT:
None
This Page Intentionally Left Blank
DATE: May 7, 2015

TO: General Assembly (GA)

FROM: Hon. Carl Morehouse, President

SUBJECT: Year in Review

In accordance with the SCAG’s Bylaws, and on behalf of the Regional Council, I am submitting to the General Assembly this report regarding activities that have taken place since the General Assembly last met in Indian Wells on May 1, 2014. I am pleased to transmit to the General Assembly the following SCAG accomplishments:

**Implement all state and federal requirements of a Metropolitan Planning Agency**

- Completed four-year federal re-certification process with final approval by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) in August 2014 with no corrective actions.
- Adopted 2015 Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) with approval and conformity determination issued by FTA and FHWA in December 2014.
- Adopted Amendment No. 2 to the 2012 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) with conformity determination issued by FHWA/FTA in December 2014.
- Completed 2014 Update of SCAG’s Title VI Program with final approval issued by FTA in December 2014.
- Facilitated interagency consultation for regional and project-level conformity through monthly Transportation Conformity Working Group (TCWG) meetings and process PM hot spot analyses for numerous transportation projects.
- Completed and received approval for three Administrative Modifications of the 2013 FTIP and four amendments and three Administrative Modifications to the 2015 FTIP.
- Negotiated and executed updated Master Fund Transfer Agreement with State Department of Transportation (Caltrans) effective January 2015.
- Worked with air districts and Air Resources Board to develop new transportation conformity budgets included as part of 2013 Imperial PM2.5 SIP and the updates to 1997 8-hour ozone SIPs for Coachella and Western Mojave.
- Completed, and for the first time, programmed funds as part of the 2014 Southern California Regional Active Transportation Program approved by the California Transportation Commission in November 2014.
- Conducted Regional leadership Summit with state, federal, local officials regarding successful implementation of federal “Buy America” regulations and impacts to myriad of multibillion Southern California transportation projects already in procurement or construction.
- Conducted several Summit workshops with state officials regarding equitable methodology and data collection for determining “low income” communities potentially eligible for state Cap and Trade funds.
Work with Stakeholders to implement the approved 2012-2035 RTP/SCS

- Worked with state agencies and MPOs to secure regional fair share and appropriate funding levels through SB 535 – designation of Disadvantaged Communities and Cap & Trade AHSC funding guidelines.
- Continued funding and implementation of over 70 (total 73) Sustainability Program projects.
- Worked with the California Transportation Commission and County Transportation Commissions to develop draft guidelines to expedite delivery of state and federal Active Transportation Program funds.
- Established a CEO’s Sustainability Working Group to coordinate implementation of sustainability measures, policies and programs.
- Utilized Civic Spark Fellows to assist with Green Region Initiative, and Randall Lewis Public Health Fellows provided by the Partners for Better Health to assist with Public Health and Active Transportation.
- Continued implementation of SCAG’s Open Space work plan by convening a new Open Space Conservation Working group and by refining methodology for conserving regional open space resources.
- Established joint sustainability work programs with Metro, SANBAG, ICTC, RCTC, and OCTA to develop plans and policies related to first last miles, complete streets, safe routes to school, and active transportation funding.
- Awarded $2.3 million Active Transportation Program grant to conduct a Regional Active Transportation Safety & Encouragement Campaign in partnership with the six county health departments and county transportation commissions.
- Received one of four awards nationwide from FHWA to advance methods of analyzing greenhouse gas emissions generated from multimodal transit trips, including first-last mile access and egress from stations.
- Conducted a 2012-2035 RTP/SCS implementation survey from local jurisdictions on efforts related to general plan updates, specific plans, bike/pedestrian plans and climate action plans.
- Prepared Local Profile Report for each of the over 190 member jurisdictions to track the changing conditions.
- Released Chinese language edition of SCAG’s full 2012-2035 RTP/SCS.
- Promoted global research and planning collaborations with various international research, planning, educational, and government organizations. SCAG signed Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) with organizations from South Korea and China, including the Jiangsu Transportation Institute in China and Incheon National University in South Korea.
- Implemented SCAG’s GIS Services Program, providing technical assistance to local jurisdictions for better enhancement of their GIS and data capabilities for land use and other planning required for implementation of RTP/SCS.
- Presented state-of-the-practice RTP/SCS planning results and techniques at various international academic and professional conferences, including the Transportation Research Board (TRB), the Annual Meeting of the American Public Health Association (APHA), the American Planning Association, and ESRI International User Conference.
- Increased media awareness through Op Ed pieces, press releases and media events on need to fund approved 2012 RTP/SCS and economic/environmental impacts to local jurisdictions if not funded.
- Continued work with the State on California’s Road User Charge Pilot initiative with the passage of Senate Bill 1077.
- Held Road User Charge workshop with Caltrans and the Mileage Based User Fee Alliance.
Continued to work with the County Transportation Commissions and other transportation stakeholders on the region’s Value Pricing Pilot Program grant initiatives.

Actively participated in and provide research support to the California Freight Advisory Committee and the National Freight Advisory Committee.

**Implement the Regional Council Priorities for 2014-2015**

- Held Executive/Administration Committee Retreat and Board Officer joint agency meetings to increase communication with Kern County, SANDAG and Santa Barbara.
- Held New Member Orientation with 17 newly-elected Regional Council and Policy Committee members.
- Organized SCAG’s 50th Anniversary Regional Conference and General Assembly which is expected to surpass all fundraising and attendance records and developed new 50th Anniversary logo and targeted media plan to celebrate and commemorate SCAG’s 50th Anniversary.
- Implemented legislative program, with Governor signing 7 of 11 bills SCAG took a position on in addition to the Cap-and-Trade funding provisions that SCAG supported were wrapped into an omnibus budget trailer bill.
- Organized State and Federal Legislative Receptions to increase SCAG’s effectiveness in Sacramento and Washington D.C.
- Developed recommendations to improve and expand SCAG Scholarship Program.
- Convened and completed policy review by the Regional Housing Needs Assessment and Housing Element Reform Subcommittee.

**Continue Process for Developing the 2016 RTP/SCS**

- Completed the development of demographic, scenario planning, transportation, and emission tools and models for use in the 2016 RTP/SCS plan development and analysis.
- Coordinated with other large MPOs to plan for the next Household Travel Survey.
- Provided technical assistance and modeling services to regional and sub-regional agencies in support of their modeling program and to promote model consistency.
- Convened panel of experts and other stakeholders to develop the updated regional/county growth forecasts for the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS.
- Completed the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS growth forecasting local jurisdictions/sub-regional review and input process.
- Published SCAG Data/Map Books for each jurisdiction detailing the various land use, socioeconomic and environmental datasets for use in the development of the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS.
- Met individually with 195 local jurisdictions to go over land use and growth forecasts for 2016-2040 RTP/SCS.
- Engaged stakeholders and consultant team to develop land use and transportation planning scenarios, including public health, conservation and active transportation participants.
- Kicked off and completed the SB 375 required regional workshops throughout the region.
- Enhanced key internal systems to support FTIP and RTP/SCS efforts, with leading edge modeling servers, databases, collaboration and storage solutions.
Hold Workshops and Summits as Needed to Implement the Above Approved Work Plan

- Held successful 5th Annual Southern California Economic Recovery and Job Creation Summit with increased media exposure and attendance.
- Held successful 50 Years into the War on Poverty Summit and developed recommendations to address issues raised in the Poverty Action Plan.
- Established working groups focused on Active Transportation and Public Health to facilitate regional collaboration on the development of the 2016 RTP/SCS as well as share best practices on an on-going basis.
- Facilitated Toolbox Tuesdays Training Series to build local capacity in innovative and integrated transportation and planning tools.
- Recognized 14 outstanding examples of integrated transportation and land use planning that are implementing the 2012 RTP/SCS at the local level through SCAG Sustainability Awards.
- Continued to build research collaborations with Southern California’s universities, co-hosted with USC the 26th Annual Demographic Workshop and conducted joint seminars and research projects with Cal Poly Pomona and USC to improve SCAG’s planning capabilities.
- Provided over 30 GIS training sessions, modeling assistance, and completed over 200 data requests in support of local jurisdictions planning programs.
- Through the Modeling Task Force and other outreach activities, promoted interagency consultation and coordinated modeling activities with the County Transportation Commissions, Caltrans, ARB, air districts and State/Federal agencies.
- Participated in several regionally significant planning studies including: the SR-710 North EIR/EIS Study, Metrolink’s Strategic Plan, the Coachella Valley Rail Feasibility Study, and a rail operational study for SANBAG.

Effectively manage the affairs and staff of SCAG and address all unexpected issues that arise in the course of the fiscal year.

- Despite significant federal funding cuts to SCAG operating budget, Board adopted balanced budget with a work plan implementing adopted FY 2014/15 agency wide goals.
- Engaged in and completed individual coaching and Executive Team coaching to improve management of the agency.
- Retained 189 of 191 city members and all six county members.
- Continued updating the website as a component of SCAG’s ongoing efforts to provide valuable services to member jurisdictions and enhance communication with member agencies, partners, stakeholders and the general public.
- As part of on-going succession planning, filled three management positions. SCAG continues to provide management/leadership coaches dedicated to further cultivating management team leadership skills.

Oversee and direct all administrative functions of the agency

- Awarded the Government Finance Officers Association’s Certificate in Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting (CAFR) – 11th consecutive year we have earned recognition.
- Received a clean audit from external Independent Auditors on the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for FY 14/15.
- Awarded a 2014 Risk Management Award: Best Overall Performance – Liability Program by the California Joint Powers Insurance Authority.
- Improved SCAG sustainability with successful rollout of mobile tablets, new web technologies, and enterprise print and copy management to reduce environmental impact, increase staff productivity and achieve cost savings for print/copy management.