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The Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee may consider and act upon any of the items on the agenda regardless of whether they are listed as Information or Action items.

**CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**
*(The Honorable Clint Lorimore, Chair)*

**PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD**
Members of the public desiring to speak on items on the agenda, or items not on the agenda, but within the purview of the Committee, must fill out and present a Public Comment Card to the Assistant prior to speaking. Comments will be limited to three (3) minutes per speaker. The Chair has the discretion to reduce the time limit based upon the number of speakers and may limit the total time for all public comments to twenty (20) minutes.

**REVIEW AND PRIORITIZE AGENDA ITEMS**

**CONSENT CALENDAR**

**Approval Items**
1. Minutes of the July 16, 2019 Meeting  
2. SCAG Memberships and Sponsorships  

**Receive and File**
3. Legislative Tracking Report  
4. Communications Update  

**ACTION ITEM**
5. SB 664 (Allen) – Electronic Toll and Transit Fare Collection Systems  
   *(Estee Sepulveda, Legislative Analyst)*  
   
   **RECOMMENDED ACTION:**  
   Support  

**INFORMATION ITEMS**
6. Federal Surface Transportation Reauthorization Update  
   *(Estee Sepulveda, Legislative Analyst)*  
7. Federal Appropriations and Budget Update  
   *(Melvin Sanchez, Legislative Analyst)*
POLICY AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS DIVISION UPDATE

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

ANNOUNCEMENTS

ADJOURNMENT
The Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee held its July 16, 2019 meeting at SCAG’s offices at 900 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90017.

MEMBERS PRESENT

**Imperial County**
Cheryl Viegas-Walker          *District 1*

**Los Angeles County**
Margaret Clark (VICE CHAIR)   *District 32*
Steve Hofbauer                *District 43*
Judy Mitchell                 *District 40*
Ali Saleh                     *District 27*

**Orange County**
Stacy L. Berry                *District 18*

**Riverside County**
Jan Harnik                     *Riverside County Transportation Commission*
Randon Lane                   *District 5*
Clint Lorimore (CHAIR)        *District 4*
Steve Manos                   *District 63*

**San Bernardino County**
Curt Hagman                   *San Bernardino County*
Ray Marquez                   *District 10*
Larry McCallon                *District 7*
L. Dennis Michael             *District 9*
Frank J. Navarro              *District 6*
Alan Wapner                   *San Bernardino County Transportation Authority*

**Ventura County**
David Pollock                 *District 46*
Carmen Ramirez                *District 45*

CALL TO ORDER
The meeting was called to order by the Chair, Hon. Clint Lorimore, at 8:30 a.m. A quorum was confirmed and roll-call was taken.
PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD
There were no public comments presented.

REVIEW AND PRIORITIZE AGENDA ITEMS
Art Yoon, Director of Policy and Public Affairs, requested that agenda item #10 be reprioritized to be heard as the first informational item, before item #6 on the agenda.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Approval Items

1. Minutes of the May 21, 2019 Meeting
2. SCAG Memberships and Sponsorships

Receive and File

3. Legislative Tracking Report

A MOTION was made (Marquez) to APPROVE the Consent Calendar.

The MOTION was SECONDED (Michael) and APPROVED by a majority vote. A roll-call vote was taken and recorded as follows:

AYES: Berry (Item #2 and #3), Clark, Harnik (Item #2 and #3), Hofbauer, Lorimore, Manos, Marquez, McCallon, Michael, Mitchell, Navarro, Pollock, Saleh, Viegas-Walker, Wapner (15).

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: Berry (Item #1 only), Harnik (Item #1 only) (2).

ACTION ITEMS

4. SB 592 (Wiener) – Housing Accountability Act
   Kevin Gilhooley, Legislation Manager, provided the Committee with a report on Senate Bill (SB) 592, authored by Senator Scott Wiener. This bill would make the Housing Accountability Act, an existing statute that was passed in the early 1980’s, applicable to any land use decision by a
local agency including, but not limited to, a ministerial or use by right decision or a discretionary approval. The bill would also expand the definition of a housing development project to include additional types of housing products.

Hon. Margaret Clark inquired whether cities meeting their RHNA obligations meant that cities had adequately zoned for those obligations or whether cities had actually built housing units. Mr. Gilhooley responded by stating that RHNA is considered a planning document requiring cities to accommodate RHNA obligations through zoning and not a building production mandate on local governments.

Hon. Steve Hofbauer asked how incentives were being defined under staff’s proposed recommendation to provide jurisdictions with a positive funding linkage for those jurisdictions that have met their RHNA obligation. Mr. Gilhooley confirmed they would be defined as financial in nature, such as provided by general fund resources from the state. Such financial incentives could allow cities to be more creative in tackling the housing affordability crisis while maintaining control over the future of their communities.

Chair Lorimore shared his own comments, mentioning that although he does not support most of Senator Weiner’s policies, he recognized the Senator’s focus on proposing solutions to the state’s housing crisis. Chair Lorimore also discussed Governor Newsom’s campaign promise to build 3.5 million homes and spoke about the housing crisis generally. Chair Lorimore concluded his remarks by voicing his support for a fair playing field for developers who seek to invest in communities. Hon. Carmen Ramirez voiced her support for Chair Lorimore’s comments.

Hon. Steve Manos provided comments on why he believes the state is enduring a housing affordability crisis, including stripping away affordable housing money from people, neglecting formerly incarcerated individuals who do not have the ability to buy or rent homes, reducing mental health funding across the state, and increased energy efficiency requirements from the state on new housing, such as solar panels and interior fire sprinkling systems. Hon. Steve Manos also used his city, the City of Lake Elsinore, as an example of a jurisdiction that was ready to build thousands of homes but the lack of jobs and job centers in the area posed an obstacle to attracting housing projects. Hon. Steve Manos concluded his remarks by stating that the housing affordability crisis was not about local elected officials denying housing projects and reaffirmed that local jurisdictions should be allowed to shape the future of their communities. Hon. Steve Manos also indicated that the state’s clean energy initiatives come at a human cost. Hon. Steve Hofbauer and Hon. Jan Harnik voiced their support for Hon. Steve Manos’ comments.

Hon. Jan Harnik also provided comments by discussing the Governor’s goal to build more housing while also making it difficult to build through costly state mandates. Hon. Jan Harnik also stated that legislation such as SB 592 represented overreach from the state and impacts a
city’s general plan. Hon. Jan Harnik concluded her remarks by stating she could not support this bill without an amendment to exempt cities that met their RHNA obligations.

Hon. L. Dennis Michael stated that his city, the City of Rancho Cucamonga, opposes any state legislation that attempts to erode local decision making unless an important overriding factor is provided.

Hon. Judy Mitchell asked whether the League of California Cities had taken a position on SB 592 and whether SCAG’s amendments were similar to those being proposed by the League. Hon. Steve Hofbauer confirmed that the League was opposed unless amended on this bill, while Hon. L. Dennis Michael stated that the amendments were likely similar.

Hon. Judy Mitchell went on to ask whether the Committee was considering adopting an oppose position on SB 592 rather than an oppose unless amended position. Hon. Steve Hofbauer stated that providing feedback on legislation through amendments could be a better approach rather than opposing outright. Hon. Judy Mitchell also voiced her concerns with SB 592, noting that it strips discretionary decision making from cities on housing projects.

Hon. Curt Hagman provided comments by noting that the amendments being proposed by staff may change, depending on whether the author’s staff engages with SCAG.

Chair Lorimore called the question after a spirited discussion.

A MOTION was made (Hagman) to adopt an OPPOSE UNLESS AMENDED position on SB 592.

The MOTION was SECONDED (Hofbauer) and APPROVED by a majority vote. A roll-call vote was taken and recorded as follows:

AYES: Berry, Clark, Hagman, Harnik, Hofbauer, Lane, Marquez, Michael, Mitchell, Navarro, Pollock, Ramirez, Viegas-Walker, Wapner (14).

NOES: Lorimore, Manos (2).

ABSTAIN: None

After the vote, Hon. Curt Hagman provided further comments on this issue, suggesting that SCAG or the California Building Industry Association (BIA) indicate the costs, rules, and regulations that add to the cost of building housing and communicate those findings to state legislators.

Chair Lorimore thanked the Committee for having a constructive dialogue on SB 592.
5. **SCAG Event Sponsorship Policy and Procedures**

Houston Laney, Public Affairs Specialist, provided the committee with a report on the Corrective Action Plan for the Incurred Cost Audit issued by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). Caltrans stated that SCAG lacked a documented process over the Sponsorship Program to ensure a conflict of interest does not occur, as well as policies and procedures over the administration and management of the Sponsorship Program. Due to those findings, SCAG has developed a draft of both an Event Sponsorship Policy and Event Sponsorship Procedures (page 65 through 68 of the LCMC agenda packet) for the Committee to review before presenting it to the Regional Council for their review and adoption.

Hon. David Pollock inquired whether SCAG received approval to delay a written response to Caltrans regarding the findings of the Incurred Cost Audit given that Caltrans asked for a response by June 25, 2019. Joann Africa, Chief Counsel/Director of Legal Services, responded that SCAG had received an extension to respond by August 1, 2019.

Hon. Alan Wapner expressed concern with the Conflict of Interest form, noting that he believed solicitations on behalf of SCAG does not represent a conflict of interest, and that state law already requires a form be filled out whenever money is solicited. Hon. Alan Wapner concluded by stating that SCAG’s Conflict of Interest form may be redundant with existing state forms. Ms. Africa stated that the issue raised by Hon. Alan Wapner was discussed with Caltrans, but that Caltrans reiterated that SCAG must adopt a Conflict of Interest form through the Corrective Action Plan. Hon. Alan Wapner suggested that the Conflict of Interest form include monetary thresholds for when the form must be filled out, noting that tracking every monetary contribution would increase staff workload. Ms. Africa noted that SCAG would clarify this issue with the Caltrans auditors.

Hon. Judy Mitchell asked how many prospective sponsors for the SCAG General Assembly are also consultants for SCAG. Ms. Africa stated that she did not have the exact number in front of her, but recalled that approximately 25% of all sponsorships for the most recent SCAG General Assembly came from SCAG consultants. Hon. Judy Mitchell followed up by asking if SCAG was barred from using sponsorships from consultants. Ms. Africa stated that funds would be segregated from consultants and not be used for activities that could be construed as a personal benefit for elected officials, in order to prevent the appearance of a public of interest. Mr. Laney also added that SCAG would not actively solicit sponsorships from consultants, but would engage with them if consultants made the first contact with SCAG.

Hon. Jan Harnik commented that consultants sponsoring the General Assembly are attempting to target an audience far greater than SCAG alone. Hon. Jan Harnik also suggested that staff
include information on how many individuals in the most recent General Assembly would have to file the Conflict of Interest certification form.

Hon. Steve Hofbauer asked how the term personal benefit was being defined and explained the nuances of sponsorship policies that assist with executing an event. Ms. Africa responded that SCAG was defining personal benefit as expenses related to travel, meals, lodging, and stipends. Hon. Steve Hofbauer sought further clarification on whether sponsorship money could be used for executing the event, which Ms. Africa responded that it could.

Hon. Cheryl Viegas-Walker voiced her support for Hon. Alan Wapner’s earlier point on seeking a monetary threshold from Caltrans for when having to fill out the Conflict of Interest form. Hon. Cheryl Viegas-Walker also sought clarification on the new procedure regarding SCAG solicitation of sponsorships from consultants and asked whether SCAG was allowed to send a general email to consultants detailing the dates and location of the General Assembly and whether that was allowable under the new procedures. Hon. Randon Lane provided comments indicating that an email with general event information was still allowable under the new procedures. Ms. Africa also confirmed that consultants would still receive general information about the General Assembly, but not direct sponsorship solicitations.

Hon. David Pollock inquired on the timeline of SCAG’s response to Caltrans on the findings of the Incurred Cost Audit and how SCAG would respond given that the Regional Council would still have to adopt this item at its August 1 meeting. Ms. Africa noted that August 1 was the deadline for SCAG to provide SCAG’s Corrective Action Plans to Caltrans, showing how SCAG is addressing the findings in the Incurred Cost Audit and the progress of implementation. Ms. Africa also stated that any changes to the draft Sponsorship Policy made by the Regional Council at its August 1 meeting could still be sent to Caltrans as a follow up.

A MOTION was made (Lane) to APPROVE the SCAG Event Sponsorship Policy and Procedures.

The MOTION was SECONDED (Navarro) and APPROVED by a majority vote. A roll-call vote was taken and recorded as follows:

**AYES:** Berry, Clark, Hagman, Harnik, Hofbauer, Lane, Lorimore, Manos, McCallon, Michael, Mitchell, Navarro, Pollock, Ramirez, Saleh, Viegas-Walker, Wapner (17).

**NOES:** None

**ABSTAIN:** None

**INFORMATION ITEMS**
10. Connect SoCal Workshops and Outreach
Javiera Cartagena, Manager of Regional Services, provided an update on the Connect SoCal workshops and outreach. Ms. Cartagena noted that SCAG provided a number of different outreach opportunities to the public to generate input for the draft Connect SoCal. This outreach included a total of 28 workshops, a Tele-town hall led by Executive Director Kome Ajise that sustained between 200-700 callers, an online survey soliciting input from Southern California residents, which generated over 4,000 survey responses, and local community partnerships and street teams who had approximately 3,000 personal interactions with our residents receiving nearly 1,400 survey responses. Paid advertising on sites such as Google and Facebook produced nearly 27.8 million impressions and over 145,000 through the Connect SoCal website. Money was also spent on marketing, including ads on bus shelters and radio, generating an additional 21.3 million impressions.

Hon. Carmen Ramirez commended Ms. Cartagena and her team for generating the number of responses outlined in the report.

6. SB 277 (Beall) Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program: Local Partnership Program
Kevin Gilhooley, Legislation Manager, provided the committee with a report on SB 277 authored by Senator Beall and co-authored by Assemblymember Frazier. SB 277 would change how funds are allocated under the Local Partnership Program and would require that the California Transportation Commission establish two new subaccounts for the program. SB 277 would require 85 percent of the funds to be deposited in the Local Partnership Formula Subaccount to be annually apportioned via formula to each self-help jurisdiction in the state, and the remaining 15 percent to small agencies through a grant program. Mr. Gilhooley concluded his remarks by noting that staff put forth SB 277 as an informational item and that staff was monitoring the bill as it progressed through the legislative process. Mr. Gilhooley added that not all of SCAG’s transportation stakeholders were in agreement on this bill, noting that the Orange County Transportation Authority, Riverside County Transportation Commission, and the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority were in support of the bill but the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority expressed strong concerns on this bill, and that SCAG should remain in a neutral position at this time.

Hon. Jan Harnik inquired on why the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority expressed skepticism on this bill. Mr. Gilhooley responded by stating that when state legislation arises that alters certain funding programs that affect county transportation commissions and their ability to build projects, SCAG seeks feedback from those county transportation commissions on how new legislation would impact their programming abilities. The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority is concerned about SB 277’s reduction of the competitive funding pot of the Local Partnership Program from 50 percent to 15 percent and
their inability to apply for the 15 percent funding pot because they are not a small self-help county. Conversely, the county transportation commissions in support of the bill feel that SB 277 may increase their chances of receiving increased funding. Hon. David Pollock and Hon. Carmen Ramirez stated that Ventura County had tried to become a self-help county but could not reach the 2/3 vote requirement.

7. **Transportation/Housing and Urban Development (THUD) Appropriations Update**
   Melvin Sanchez, Legislative Analyst, provided the Committee with an update on the Transportation/Housing and Urban Development (THUD) appropriations bill, as well as the other 11 appropriation bills. Mr. Sanchez stated that the federal government must have a budget or continuing resolution in place by October 1, 2019 in order to prevent a federal government shutdown. Mr. Sanchez concluded by noting that page 74 of the LCMC agenda packet included the status of all 12 appropriation bills and the actions taken by the House and Senate.

8. **Surface Transportation Reauthorization Update**
   Estee Sepulveda, Legislative Analyst, provided an update on the reauthorization of the Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) act. The FAST Act funds federal highway and public transportation programs through September 30, 2020. Ms. Sepulveda reported that since the start of the year, hearings have been held in key committees in the House and Senate on the subject of reauthorizing the FAST Act. The Senate Environmental and Public Works Committee hopes to have a draft transportation reauthorization bill before the end of the summer, while the House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee hopes to have a bill ready by the end of 2019.

9. **State Budget and Housing Trailer Bill Update**
   Mr. Sanchez provided an update on the State Budget and Housing Trailer Bill, providing topline numbers for both the budget and housing trailer bill, as well as highlighting a key program that will benefit local jurisdictions across the state, including councils of governments such as SCAG.

10. **Communications Update**
    Margaret de Larios, Public Affairs Specialist, provided a report summarizing earned media coverage, social media activity, and email communications used to generate input for the draft Connect SoCal plan. Ms. de Larios pointed to the chart found on page 88 of the LCMC agenda packet demonstrating the volume of social media activity over the course of the outreach period. She also included a table, found on page 89 of the LCMC agenda packet, which provides a summary of Connect SoCal media coverage.

**POLICY AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS DIVISION UPDATE**
Mr. Yoon provided a division update on engagement and outreach activities in regards to RHNA, Connect SoCal, the upcoming annual Economic Summit, and working with various members of SCAG staff to engage state and federal lawmakers during their respective summer recesses. Lastly, Mr. Yoon asked the Committee to acknowledge and congratulate Mr. Sanchez who was recently promoted to Legislative Analyst.

Hon. Judy Mitchell and Chair Lorimore commended staff on their work for the Committee.

**FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS**

Hon. David Pollock expressed concerns with Caltrans Audit findings and suggested that the Agency consider hiring an outside firm to review SCAG’s policies and procedures and make proactive recommendations to remove unethical standards.

Hon. Cheryl Viegas-Walker referenced her time being involved with the General Assembly Host Committee and indicated that she never felt the work of the Host Committee was unethical or out of line.

Ms. Africa noted that the Agency considers the Caltrans audit findings as a way to improve the Agency’s operations, from sponsorships to contracting. Regarding Hon. David Pollock’s suggestion on the hiring of an outside firm, Ms. Africa advised that he wait until the August 1 Regional Council meeting and hear the staff report on SCAG’s Corrective Action Plan and the actions that have been taken in response to the Caltrans Audit. Ms. Africa noted that SCAG had also already taken steps to change its policies and procedures in response to Caltrans Audit. Mr. Yoon added that the Caltrans Audit did not point to impropriety by the Agency, but rather the lack of proper documentation on policies and procedures.

Hon. Alan Wapner raised a point of order on the discussion of the Caltrans Audit, specifically on the hiring of an outside firm, and expressed discomfort on continuing the discussion of an item that was not posted on the agenda.

Chair Lorimore asked staff to confer on this issue and consider bringing it forward on a future date.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS**

There were no announcements presented.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Chair Lorimore adjourned the meeting at 9:57 a.m. in memory of Wade Kilpatrick, a construction technician for the Southern California Gas Company, who was killed during a gas explosion in Murrieta, CA. The next regular meeting of the Legislative/Communications and Membership
Committee is scheduled for 8:30 a.m. – 10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, August 20, 2019 at SCAG’s offices at 900 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90017.
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Approve

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
The Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee (LCMC) is asked to approve up to $15,500 in memberships for the: 1) Eno Center for Transportation ($10,500); and 2) FuturePorts ($5,000); and up to $10,000 in sponsorships for the 2019 Southern California AltCar Conference and Expo ($5,000); and the Mobility 21 2019 Summit ($5,000).

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan, Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

BACKGROUND:

Item 1: Eno Center for Transportation
Type: Membership Amount: $10,500

The Eno Center for Transportation’s mission is to seek continuous improvement in transportation and its public and public private leadership in order to increase the system’s mobility, safety, and sustainability. Eno works across all modes of transportation, with the mission of cultivating creative and visionary leadership for the sector. They pursue this mission by supporting activities in their Center for Transportation Policy (CTP) and their Center for Transportation Leadership (CTL).

Eno Transportation Weekly (ETW), a weekly roundup of transportation and infrastructure related
news and analyses, provides valuable information to SCAG staff on policy and legislation making its way through Washington D.C. ETW’s thorough and high-quality analyses cover different topics, including transportation reauthorization bills, competitive grant programs, proposed budgets for federal departments, and discussion of new and emerging technologies in the transportation sector.

SCAG staff recommends that the agency maintain membership at the “Gold Connector” level. Although this level of membership typically costs organizations $15,000, SCAG receives a 30-percent discount as a government agency, thus bringing the amount down to $10,500. This membership provides the agency with the following benefits:

- 15 complimentary subscriptions to ETW
- Opportunity to participate in an Eno research initiative, such as working groups, that supports research on current issues in transportation policy; and
- Choice of any one optional sponsorship opportunity.

Item 2: FuturePorts
Type: Membership       Amount: $5,000

FuturePorts was established in 2005 and serves as a voice for their members in the goods movement supply chain in order to advocate for balance between business, environment, and community concerns at the San Pedro Bay Ports (Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach). Their members represent the entire goods movement supply chain, including businesses that support the goods movement industry, as well as labor and trade unions that work at the ports. Their objective is to ensure a healthy economic and environmental future supporting green growth at the ports. In the dozen years since it was founded, FuturePorts has positioned itself as a leader in the movement for rational and balanced growth, representing business interests at both the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach commission meetings; Los Angeles and Long Beach city council meetings; public hearings; and other community events. They write letters, provide outreach, and engage the support of other business organizations and stakeholders as we work toward common goals of growing and greening our ports.

SCAG has been a sponsor of the annual FuturePorts conference in the past, but the growing importance of goods movement to the Southern California economy is making it increasingly necessary for the agency to maintain its ability to effectively participate in dialogue and discussion on such an important facet of the region’s economy.

SCAG staff is recommending that the agency maintain membership in FuturePorts. This unique membership/sponsorship package, in the amount of $5,000, includes both membership dues as well as sponsorship of the FuturePorts conference:
- Sponsorship of the annual FuturePorts conference at the “Silver Level,” which includes:
  - Five (5) conference registrations;
  - Full page color ad on Conference Program;
  - Tabletop exhibit;
  - Listing in Conference mobile app as a sponsor;
  - Logo listing on PowerPoint “loop” during breaks; and
  - Verbal recognition at event.

Membership dues provide the Agency with the following benefits:
- Eligibility to serve on FuturePorts Board of Directors and Committees;
- Access to member-only communications;
- Reduced registration fee at FuturePorts signature events;
- Three (3) complimentary attendees for all FuturePorts member-only events;
- Recognition at FuturePorts Annual Conference, VIP Reception, and member meetings;
- Opportunity to introduce speaker at member meeting;
- Social media promotion;
- Color logo linked on online membership directory;
- Invitation to policy-maker meetings;
- Premium branding on all communications; and
- Access to custom advocacy.

**Item 3: AltCar Conference and Expo**

**Type:** Sponsorship  
**Amount:** $5,000

The goal of the AltCar Conference and Expo is to provide an accessible and comprehensive setting where both industry and the general public can discover all existing energy-efficient car alternatives that are driving national and global change. AltCar is the only event in the United States that gathers every single model of alternative technology light duty vehicle available for purchase or lease in one location for free test drives. The goal of making this technology available to the general public is to help make esoteric climate policies more tangible. Given that 52% of all Zero Emission Vehicle (ZEV) purchases are happening in California, this event is critical in building the momentum for the emerging marketplace.

SCAG staff recommends that the agency sponsor the event in the amount of $5,000, which provides SCAG with the following:

- Four Conference passes;
- Prime logo placement on event website;
- Prime logo placement on AltCar marketing materials;
Prime logo placement on AltCar Conference Program;
- Prime logo placement on event signage;
- Opportunity to include information on SCAG programs in Conference; and
- Inclusion on the event planning committee.

**Item 4: Mobility 21 2019 Summit**

**Type:** Sponsorship  
**Amount:** $5,000

Mobility 21 will be holding their annual summit on Friday, September 27, 2019 at the Disneyland Hotel in Anaheim, CA. This annual event brings together public and private stakeholders to address the region’s transportation priorities and improve mobility for all that live, work, and play in Southern California.

SCAG has sponsored this event in the past and staff is recommending sponsorship at the “Gold” level in the amount of $5,000, which includes the following benefits:

- Registration and reserved seating for five (5) attendees at the general sessions and luncheon;
- Attendance for one (1) representative at an exclusive VIP reception with Mobility 21’s Board of Directors, speakers, and other guests;
- Exhibitor table at the Mobility 21 Expo with listing as an exhibitor on Mobility 21’s website;
- Logo visibility on the conference sponsor slide show, event program and other Summit materials, and inclusion in pre-conference advertising (both print and electronic); and
- A half-page advertisement in the Summit program.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

$25,500 for memberships/sponsorships is included in the approved FY 19-20 General Fund budget.

**REVIEWED BY:**

[Signatures]

Art Yoon, Director of Policy & Public Affairs  
Basil Panas, Chief Financial Officer
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Receive and File

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
The Legislative Tracking Report is provided to keep the Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee (LCMC) apprised of any developments related to bills in Sacramento that have a nexus to the Regional Council’s positions on policies and legislative initiatives related to SCAG’s core planning and policy areas. Staff will update the report constantly with emerging legislation and welcomes the Committee’s input on bills it would like to follow.

BACKGROUND:
SCAG’s Legislative Tracking Report serves as a resource for the Committee to remain up-to-date on bills moving through the legislative process in Sacramento. Bills included in the tracking report have a nexus to the Regional Council’s positions on policies and legislative initiatives related to SCAG’s core planning and policy areas. Staff welcomes the Committee’s input on bills it would like to follow throughout the legislative session.

FISCAL IMPACT:
None

ATTACHMENT(S):
1. Legislative Tracking Report
**SCAG Legislative Tracking Report**  
**Wednesday, August 14, 2019**

**AB 10 (Chiu D)  Income taxes: credits low-income housing: farmworker housing.**

**Introduced:** 12/3/2018  
**Last Amended:** 8/12/2019  
**Status:** 8/12/2019-From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to committee. Read second time, amended, and re-referred to Com. on GOV. & F.  
**Location:** 7/2/2019-S. GOV. & F.

**Calendar:**  
8/14/2019  9:30 a.m. - Room 112  SENATE GOVERNANCE AND FINANCE, MCGUIRE, Chair

**Summary:**  
Current law limits the total annual amount of the state low-income housing credit for which a federal low-income housing credit is required to the sum of $70,000,000, as increased by any percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index for the preceding calendar year, any unused credit for the preceding calendar years, and the amount of housing credit ceiling returned in the calendar year, and authorizes CTCAC, for calendar years beginning in 2020, to allocate an additional $500,000,000 to specified low-income housing projects and, for calendar years beginning in 2021, requires this additional amount only to be available for allocation pursuant to an authorization in the annual Budget Act or related legislation, and specified regulatory action by CTCAC. This bill would remove the requirement that, beginning in the 2021 calendar year, the above-described additional $500,000,000 allocation only be available pursuant to an authorization in the annual Budget Act or related legislation, and specified regulatory action by CTCAC.

**History:**

**2018**  
Dec. 3 Read first time. To print.  
Dec. 4 From printer. May be heard in committee January 3.

**2019**  
Jan. 17 Referred to Coms. on H. & C.D. and REV. & TAX.  
Mar. 27 Coauthors revised. From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on REV. & TAX. (Ayes 8. Noes 0.) (March 27). Re-referred to Com. on REV. & TAX.  
Mar. 28 Measure version as revised on March 27 corrected.  
Apr. 29 In committee: Hearing for testimony only.  
Apr. 30 From committee chair, with author’s amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on REV. & TAX. Read second time and amended.  
May. 1 Re-referred to Com. on REV. & TAX.  
May. 7 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 11. Noes 0.) (May 6). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.  
May. 13 Joint Rule 62(a), file notice suspended. (Page 1609.)  
May. 15 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.  
May. 20 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.  
May. 22 Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Senate. (Ayes 77. Noes 0. Page 1782.)  
May. 23 In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.  
May. 29 Referred to Coms. on HOUSING and GOV. & F.  
Jul. 3 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on GOV. & F. (Ayes 10. Noes 0.) (July 2). Re-referred to Com. on GOV. & F.  
Aug. 12 From committee chair, with author’s amendments: Amend, and re-refer to committee. Read second time, amended, and re-referred to Com. on GOV. & F.

**Attachments:**  
Support Letter

**Organization:** SCAG  
**Position:** Support

**AB 11 (Chiu D)  Community Redevelopment Law of 2019.**

**Introduced:** 12/3/2018  
**Last Amended:** 4/11/2019  
**Status:** 5/17/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. on 4/25/2019) (May be acted upon Jan 2020)  
**Location:** 5/17/2019-A. 2 YEAR
Summary:
Current law dissolved redevelopment agencies as of February 1, 2012, and designates successor agencies to act as successor entities to the dissolved redevelopment agencies. This bill, the Community Redevelopment Law of 2019, would authorize a city or county, or two or more cities acting jointly, to propose the formation of an affordable housing and infrastructure agency by adoption of a resolution of intention that meets specified requirements, including that the resolution of intention include a passthrough provision and an override passthrough provision, as defined.

History:
2018
Dec. 3 Read first time. To print.
Dec. 4 From printer. May be heard in committee January 3.

2019
Jan. 17 Referred to Coms. on H. & C.D. and L. GOV.
Mar. 26 In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.
Apr. 10 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on L. GOV. (Ayes 6. Noes 2.) (April 10). Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV.
Apr. 11 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on L. GOV. Read second time and amended.
Apr. 22 Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV.
Apr. 25 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 6. Noes 2.) (April 24). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

Attachments:
Support if Amended Letter

Organization: SCAG
Position: Support if Amended

AB 29  (Holden D)  State Highway Route 710.
Introduced: 12/3/2018
Last Amended: 8/13/2019
Status: 8/13/2019-Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Location: 7/9/2019-S. APPR.

Calendar:
8/19/2019 10 a.m. - John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203) SENATE APPROPRIATIONS, PORTANTINO, Chair

Summary:
Current law vests the Department of Transportation with full possession and control of all state highways and associated property. Existing law designates and describes state highway routes, and also describes the state highway routes in the California freeway and expressway system, including all of Route 710 in the County of Los Angeles. This bill would remove the portion of Route 710 located north of Route 10 from the California freeway and expressway system.

History:
2018
Dec. 3 Read first time. To print.
Dec. 4 From printer. May be heard in committee January 3.

2019
Jan. 17 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Mar. 26 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 11. Noes 0.) (March 25). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 10 From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 12. Noes 1.) (April 10).
Apr. 11 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
May. 13 Read third time and amended. Ordered to third reading. (Page 1615.)
May. 22 Read third time and amended. Ordered to third reading. (Page 1775.)
May. 29 In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.
Jun. 6 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Aug. 12 From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 12. Noes 0.) (July 9).
Aug. 13 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
AB 40  (Ting D)  Zero-emission vehicles: comprehensive strategy.

Introduced: 12/3/2018
Status: 4/26/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was TRANS. on 1/24/2019) (May be acted upon Jan 2020)
Location: 4/26/2019-A, 2 YEAR

Summary:
Would, no later than January 1, 2021, require the State Air Resources Board to develop a comprehensive strategy to ensure that the sales of new motor vehicles and new light-duty trucks in the state have transitioned fully to zero-emission vehicles, as defined, by 2040, as specified.

History:
2018
Dec. 3 Read first time. To print.
Dec. 4 From printer. May be heard in committee January 3.

2019
Jan. 24 Referred to Coms. on TRANS. and NAT. RES.
Apr. 8 In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.

AB 47  (Daly D)  Driver records: points: distracted driving.

Introduced: 12/3/2018
Last Amended: 6/26/2019

Summary:
Current law establishes that specified convictions and violations under the Vehicle Code and traffic-related incidents count as points against a driver's record for purposes of suspension or revocation of the privilege to drive and that certain other violations do not result in a violation point. Current law generally provides that traffic convictions involving the safe operation of a motor vehicle result in a violation point. Current law provides an exemption for the electronic device violations described above from being counted as points against a driver's record for purposes of suspension or revocation of the privilege to drive. This bill would instead make only those electronic device violations that occur within 36 months, beginning January 1, 2021, of a prior conviction for the same offense subject to a violation point against the driver's record.

History:
2018
Dec. 3 Read first time. To print.
Dec. 4 From printer. May be heard in committee January 3.

2019
Jan. 17 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Jan. 23 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. Read second time and amended.
Jan. 24 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Jan. 31 Measure version as amended on January 23 corrected.
Mar. 12 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 13. Noes 0.) (March 11). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Mar. 20 In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.
May. 8 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspend file.
May. 20 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
May. 23 Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Senate. (Ayes 75. Noes 0. Page 1916.)
May. 24 In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.
Jun. 6 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
AB 68

Land use: accessory dwelling units.

Introduced: 12/3/2018
Last Amended: 7/5/2019
Status: 8/12/2019-In committee: Referred to APPR. suspense file.
Location: 8/12/2019-S. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

Summary:
The Planning and Zoning Law authorizes a local agency to provide, by ordinance, for the creation of accessory dwelling units in single-family and multifamily residential zones and sets forth required ordinance standards, including, among others, lot coverage. This bill would delete the provision authorizing the imposition of standards on lot coverage and would prohibit an ordinance from imposing requirements on minimum lot size.

History:
2018
Dec. 3 Read first time. To print.
Dec. 4 From printer. May be heard in committee January 3.

2019
Jan. 17 Referred to Coms. on H. & C.D. and L. GOV.
Mar. 26 In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.
Mar. 27 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on H. & C.D.
Read second time and amended.
Mar. 28 Re-referred to Com. on H. & C.D.
Apr. 3 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on L. GOV. (Ayes 6. Noes 0.) (April 3). Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on L. GOV. Read second time and amended.
Apr. 4 Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV.
Apr. 11 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 6. Noes 1.) (April 10). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 24 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.
May. 16 Coauthors revised. From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 15. Noes 1.) (May 16).
May. 20 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
May. 23 In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.
May. 29 Referred to Coms. on HOUSING, EQ. and GOV. & F.
Jun. 12 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to committee. Read second time, amended, and re-referred to Com. on HOUSING.
Jun. 19 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on EQ. (Ayes 9. Noes 0.) (June 18). Re-referred to Com. on EQ.
Jul. 3 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on GOV. & F. (Ayes 4. Noes 1.) (July 3). Re-referred to Com. on GOV. & F.
Jul. 5 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to committee. Read second time, amended, and re-referred to Com. on GOV. & F.
Jul. 10 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 6. Noes 0.) (July 10). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Aug. 12 In committee: Referred to APPR. suspense file.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

AB 101

(House Committee on Budget) Housing development and financing.

Introduced: 12/3/2018
Last Amended: 6/27/2019
Summary:
Current law establishes the Community-Based Transitional Housing Program, administered by the Department of Finance, for the purpose of providing grants to cities, counties, and cities and counties to increase the supply of transitional housing available to persons previously incarcerated for felony and misdemeanor convictions and funded with moneys appropriated for that purpose in the annual Budget Act or other measure. This bill would require the Office of State Audits and Evaluations to conduct an audit of the program, as specified, and would remove the requirement that the Office of State Audits and Evaluations commence the audit on July 1, 2018. The bill would extend the date by which DOF is required to provide a copy of the audit to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee to no later than May 1, 2020.

History:
2018
Dec. 3 Read first time. To print.
Dec. 4 From printer. May be heard in committee January 3.

2019
Jan. 24 Referred to Com. on BUDGET.
Apr. 8 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
Apr. 24 Referred to Com. on B. & F.R.
Jun. 10 In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.
Jun. 12 In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.
Jun. 17 In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.
Jun. 20 From committee chair, with author’s amendments: Amend, and re-refer to committee. Read second time, amended, and re-referred to Com. on B. & F.R.
Jun. 24 In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.
Jun. 27 From committee chair, with author’s amendments: Amend, and re-refer to committee. Read second time, amended, and re-referred to Com. on B. & F.R.
Jul. 5 Senate amendments concurred in. To Engrossing and Enrolling. (Ayes 65. Noes 0. Page 2545.). Jul. 25 Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 11:30 a.m.
Jul. 31 Approved by the Governor. Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 159, Statutes of 2019.

AB 148 (Quirk-Silva D) Regional transportation plans: sustainable communities strategies.
Introduced: 12/14/2018
Status: 4/26/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was TRANS. on 1/24/2019) (May be acted upon Jan 2020)
Location: 4/26/2019-A. 2 YEAR

Summary:
Current law requires certain transportation planning agencies to prepare and adopt a regional transportation plan directed at achieving a coordinated and balanced regional transportation system. Current law requires the regional transportation plan to include, if the transportation planning agency is also a metropolitan planning organization, a sustainable communities strategy. This bill would require each sustainable communities strategy to identify areas within the region sufficient to house an 8-year projection of the emergency shelter needs for the region, as specified.

History:
2018
Dec. 14 Introduced. To print.
Dec. 15 From printer. May be heard in committee January 14.

2019
Jan. 7 Read first time.
Jan. 24 Referred to Coms. on TRANS. and NAT. RES.
**AB 185**  
(Grayson D)  
California Transportation Commission: transportation and transportation-related policies: joint meetings.

**Summary:**
Current law creates the California Transportation Commission, with various powers and duties relative to the programming of transportation capital projects and allocation of funds to those projects pursuant to the state transportation improvement program and various other transportation funding programs. Existing law requires the commission and the State Air Resources Board to hold at least 2 joint meetings per calendar year to coordinate their implementation of transportation policies. This bill would instead require the commission, the state board, and a representative from the Department of Housing and Community Development to hold those joint meetings to coordinate their implementation of policies that jointly affect transportation, housing, and air quality.

**History:**
2019
Jan. 10 Read first time. To print.
Jan. 11 From printer. May be heard in committee February 10.
Feb. 4 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Apr. 23 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 15. Noes 0.) (April 22). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 1 From committee: Do pass. To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 18. Noes 0.) (May 1).
May. 2 Read second time. Ordered to Consent Calendar.
May. 9 Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Senate. (Ayes 76. Noes 0. Page 1588.) In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.
May. 22 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Jun. 11 From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 9. Noes 2.) (June 11).
Jun. 12 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Jun. 24 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to committee. Read second time, amended, and re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Jul. 1 In committee: Referred to APPR. suspense file.

**Attachments:**
- Support Letter - Senate Transportation Committee
- Support Letter

**AB 252**  
(Daly D)  
Department of Transportation: environmental review process: federal program.

**Summary:**
Current federal law requires the United States Secretary of Transportation to carry out a surface transportation project delivery program, under which the participating states may assume certain responsibilities for environmental review and clearance of transportation projects that would otherwise be the responsibility of the federal government. Current law, until January 1, 2020, provides that the State of California consents to the jurisdiction of the federal courts with regard to the compliance, discharge, or enforcement of the responsibilities it assumed as a participant in the program. This bill would extend the operation of these provisions indefinitely.

**History:**
2019
Jan. 23 Read first time. To print.
Jan. 24 From printer. May be heard in committee February 23.
Feb. 7 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Mar. 12 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 15. Noes 0.) (March 11). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Mar. 20 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.
May. 16 From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 18. Noes 0.) (May 16).
May. 20 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
May. 22 Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Senate. (Ayes 78. Noes 0. Page 1792.)
May. 23 In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.
May. 29 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Jun. 11 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 11. Noes 0.) (June 11). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Jun. 25 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
Jul. 3 Ordered to special consent calendar.
Jul. 23 Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 11:30 a.m.
Jul. 31 Approved by the Governor. Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 160, Statutes of 2019.

Attachments:
Support Letter

Organization: SCAG
Position: Support

**AB 315**  
(Garcia, Cristina D) Local government: lobbying associations: expenditure of public funds.  
Introduced: 1/30/2019  
Last Amended: 7/5/2019  
Status: 7/12/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(11). (Last location was NAT. RES. on 7/5/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)  
Location: 7/12/2019-A. 2 YEAR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Desk</th>
<th>2 year</th>
<th>Fiscal</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Desk</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Fiscal</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Conf. Conc.</th>
<th>Enrolled</th>
<th>Vetoed</th>
<th>Chaptered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2nd House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary:
Current law authorizes the legislative body of a local agency, defined as a county, city, or city and county, or a district, defined broadly to include other political subdivisions or public corporations in the state other than the state or a county, city and county, or city, to attend the Legislature and the Congress of the United States, and any committees thereof, and to present information regarding legislation that the legislative body or the district deems to be beneficial or detrimental to the local agency or the district. Current law also authorizes the legislative body of a local agency or a district to enter into an association for these purposes and specifies that the cost and expense incident to the legislative body’s or district’s membership in the association and the activities of the association are proper charges against the local agencies or districts comprising the association. This bill, with respect to moneys paid to or otherwise received by an association from a local agency or district member of the association, would prohibit an association of local agencies or districts from expending those moneys for any purpose other than the above-described activities and educational activities.

History:

2019
Jan. 30 Read first time. To print.
Jan. 31 From printer. May be heard in committee March 2.
Feb. 11 Referred to Com. on NAT. RES.
Mar. 7 In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.
Jul. 5 From committee chair, with author’s amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on NAT. RES. Read second time and amended.
Jul. 8 Re-referred to Com. on NAT. RES.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

**AB 335**  
(Garcia, Eduardo D) Imperial County Transportation Commission.  
Introduced: 1/31/2019  
Last Amended: 3/27/2019  
Status: 6/26/2019-Approved by the Governor. Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 11, Statutes
Summary:
Current law requires the Imperial County Transportation Commission to prepare a short-range transportation plan and a specified short-range transit plan, and to administer certain transportation moneys. Current law authorizes the commission to use up to 3% of those transportation moneys for purposes of carrying out its planning and programming responsibilities. This bill would expressly limit the use of those transportation moneys to only its transportation planning and programming responsibilities. The bill would authorize the commission, in cooperation with local agencies, as defined, that elect to participate, to assist those agencies in the coordination, administration, and implementation of programs and policies.

History:
2019
Jan. 31 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 1 From printer. May be heard in committee March 3.
Mar. 7 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Mar. 11 From committee chair, with author’s amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. Read second time and amended.
Mar. 12 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Mar. 27 From committee chair, with author’s amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. Read second time and amended.
Mar. 28 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Apr. 23 From committee: Do pass. To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 15. Noes 0.) (April 22).
Apr. 24 Read second time. Ordered to Consent Calendar.
Apr. 29 Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Senate. (Ayes 76. Noes 0. Page 1405.) In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.
May. 8 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Jun. 11 From committee: Do pass. To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 11. Noes 0.) (June 11).
Jun. 12 Read second time. Ordered to Consent Calendar.
Jun. 18 In Assembly. Ordered to Engrossing and Enrolling.
Jun. 20 Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3:30 p.m.
Jun. 26 Approved by the Governor. Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 11, Statutes of 2019.

Support Letter

Organization: SCAG
Position: Support

AB 352 (Garcia, Eduardo D) California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund: grant programs and Transformative Climate Communities Program.

Introduced: 2/4/2019
Last Amended: 5/20/2019
Status: 7/10/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(10). (Last location was E.Q. on 6/12/2019) (May be acted upon Jan 2020)
Location: 7/10/2019-S. 2 YEAR

Summary:
Would, beginning July 1, 2020, would require state agencies administering competitive grant programs that allocate moneys from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund to give specified communities preferential points during grant application scoring for programs intended to improve air quality, to include a specified application timeline.

History:
2019
Feb. 4 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 5 From printer. May be heard in committee March 7.
Feb. 11 Referred to Com. on NAT. RES.
Mar. 14 From committee chair, with author’s amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on NAT. RES. Read second time and amended.
Mar. 18 Re-referred to Com. on NAT. RES.
Mar. 26 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 8. Noes 0.) (March 25). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

AB 380 (Frazier D) Office of the Transportation Inspector General.
Introduced: 2/5/2019
Last Amended: 3/21/2019
Status: 5/17/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/1/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)
Location: 5/17/2019-A. 2 YEAR

Summary:
Would eliminate the Independent Office of Audits and Investigations and would instead create the Independent Office of the Transportation Inspector General in state government, as an independent office that would not be a subdivision of any other government entity, to ensure that specified state agencies and all external entities that receive state and federal transportation funds are operating efficiently, effectively, and in compliance with federal and state laws.

History:
2019
Feb. 5 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 6 From printer. May be heard in committee March 8.
Feb. 15 Referred to Coms. on TRANS. and A. & A.R.
Mar. 21 In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on A. & A.R. Read second time and amended.
Mar. 25 Re-referred to Com. on A. & A.R.
Apr. 10 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 7. Noes 0.) (April 10). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 24 In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.
May. 1 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.
May. 16 In committee: Held under submission.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

AB 516 (Chiu D) Authority to remove vehicles.
Introduced: 2/13/2019
Last Amended: 7/2/2019
Status: 8/12/2019-In committee: Referred to APPR. suspense file.
Location: 8/12/2019-S. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

Summary:
Current law authorizes a peace officer and specified public employees, as an alternative to removal of a vehicle, to immobilize the vehicle with a device designed and manufactured for that purpose, if, among other circumstances, the vehicle is found upon a highway or public lands by the peace officer or employee and it is known to have been issued 5 or more notices of parking violations that are delinquent because the owner or person in control of the vehicle has not responded to the appropriate agency within a designated time period. This bill would delete the authority of a peace officer or public employee, as appropriate, to remove or immobilize a vehicle under those circumstances.

History:
2019
Feb. 13 Read first time. To print.
AB 738  (Mullin D)  Regional housing need allocation: County of San Mateo.

Introduced: 2/19/2019
Last Amended: 3/21/2019
Status: 4/26/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was H. & C.D. on 3/21/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)
Location: 4/26/2019-A. 2 YEAR

Summary:
Would, until January 31, 2031, authorize the County of San Mateo (county) or a jurisdiction within the county, if the county or the jurisdiction contributes affordable housing funds to a deed-restricted affordable housing development in another jurisdiction in the county or to a housing joint powers authority serving the county, and if certain conditions are met, including that the contributing and receiving jurisdictions are in agreement, to report, in proportion to the amount of funds contributed, the associated completed entitlements, building permits, or a certificates of occupancy on the contributing jurisdiction’s annual production report.

History:
2019
Feb. 19 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 20 From printer. May be heard in committee March 22.
Mar. 21 Referred to Coms. on H. & C.D. and L. GOV. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on H. & C.D. Read second time and amended.
Mar. 25 Re-referred to Com. on H. & C.D.
Apr. 3 In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.
Apr. 10 In committee: Set, second hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.

AB 752  (Gabriel D)  Public transit: transit stations: lactation rooms.

Introduced: 2/19/2019
Last Amended: 7/11/2019
Status: 8/12/2019-In committee: Referred to APPR. suspense file.
Location: 8/12/2019-S. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

Summary:
Would require specific multimodal transit stations, and multimodal transit stations that meet certain criteria, that begin construction or a renovation on or after January 1, 2021, to include a lactation room. To the extent the bill imposes additional duties on a local agency, the bill would impose a state-
mandated local program.

History:
2019
Feb. 19 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 20 From printer. May be heard in committee March 22.
Mar. 21 Referred to Com. on TRANS. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-
refer to Com. on TRANS. Read second time and amended.
Mar. 25 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Apr. 11 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. Read
second time and amended.
Apr. 22 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Apr. 23 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 15. Noes 0.) (April 22). Re-
referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 8 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.
May. 16 From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended. (Ayes 17. Noes 0.) (May 16).
May. 17 Read second time and amended. Ordered returned to second reading.
May. 20 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
May. 23 Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Senate. (Ayes 73. Noes 0. Page 1942.)
May. 24 In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.
Jun. 6 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Jun. 28 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to committee. Read
second time, amended, and re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Jul. 11 From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with
recommendation: To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 13. Noes 0.) (July 9). Read second time and amended.
Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Aug. 12 In committee: Referred to APPR. suspense file.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

AB 847  (Grayson D)  Housing: transportation-related impact fees grant program.
Introduced: 2/20/2019
Last Amended: 3/27/2019
Status: 4/26/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was H. & C.D. on 4/1/2019)
(May be acted upon Jan 2020)
Location: 4/26/2019-A. 2 YEAR

Summary:
Would require the Department of Housing and Community Development, upon appropriation by the
Legislature, to establish a competitive grant program to award grants to cities and counties to offset
up to 100% of any transportation-related impact fees exacted upon a qualifying housing development
project, as defined, by the local jurisdiction.

History:
2019
Feb. 20 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 21 From printer. May be heard in committee March 23.
Mar. 14 Referred to Coms. on TRANS. and L. GOV.
Mar. 27 From committee chair, with author’s amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. Read
second time and amended.
Mar. 28 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS. Re-referred to Com. on RLS. pursuant to Assembly Rule 96(a).
Apr. 1 From committee: Be re-referred to Com. on H. & C.D. Re-referred. (Ayes 10. Noes 0.) (April 1). Re-
referred to Com. on H. & C.D.
Apr. 24 In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

AB 854  (Mayes R)  Imperial Irrigation District: retail electric service.
Introduced: 2/20/2019
Status: 5/23/2019-Re-referred to Com. on RLS. pursuant to Assembly Rule 96(a).
Location: 5/23/2019-A. RLS.
Would require the membership of the board of directors of the Imperial Irrigation District to increase from 5 to 11 members, with the 6 additional directors meeting certain qualifications, including that each be a resident of and qualified as eligible to vote in the County of Riverside. The bill would provide for the election of the additional directors at the 2020 general district election. The bill would authorize the district board to adopt a resolution decreasing the number of directors and the divisions from which they are elected from 11 to 5 if a public utility district is formed that provides electricity outside the territory of the Imperial Irrigation District and consists of a board of directors with a majority of seats representing the County of Riverside.

History:
2019
Feb. 20 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 21 From printer. May be heard in committee March 23.
Mar. 4 Referred to Com. on L. GOV.
Apr. 25 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 6. Noes 2.) (April 24). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 13 Joint Rule 62(a), file notice suspended. (Page 1609.)
May. 15 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.
May. 16 Joint Rule 62(a), file notice suspended. (Page 1760.) In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.
May. 23 Re-referred to Com. on RLS. pursuant to Assembly Rule 96(a).

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

AB 881 (Bloom D) Accessory dwelling units.
Introduced: 2/20/2019
Last Amended: 8/12/2019
Status: 8/12/2019-From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to committee. Read second time, amended, and re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Location: 7/8/2019-S. APPR.

Calendar:
8/19/2019 10 a.m. - John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203) SENATE APPROPRIATIONS, PORTANTINO, Chair

Summary:
The Planning and Zoning Law provides for the creation of accessory dwelling units by local ordinance, or, if a local agency has not adopted an ordinance, by ministerial approval, in accordance with specified standards and conditions. Current law requires the ordinance to designate areas where accessory dwelling units may be permitted and authorizes the designated areas to be based on criteria that includes, but is not limited to, the adequacy of water and sewer services and the impact of accessory dwelling units on traffic flow and public safety. This bill would instead require a local agency to designate these areas based on the adequacy of water and sewer services and the impact of accessory dwelling units on traffic flow and public safety. The bill would make other clarifying changes to the criteria an ordinance is required to meet.

History:
2019
Feb. 20 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 21 From printer. May be heard in committee March 23.
Mar. 4 Referred to Coms. on H. & C.D. and L. GOV.
Mar. 26 In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.
Apr. 3 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on L. GOV. (Ayes 7. Noes 0.) (April 3). Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on L. GOV. Read second time and amended.
Apr. 4 Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV.
Apr. 11 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 7. Noes 0.) (April 10). Re-referred to Com. on APPR. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on APPR. Read second time and amended.
Apr. 22 Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 25 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
May. 14 In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.
May. 22 Referred to Coms. on HOUSING and GOV. & F.
Jun. 19 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on GOV. & F. (Ayes 9. Noes 1.) (June 18). Re-referred to Com. on GOV. & F.

Jul. 5 From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 7. Noes 0.) (July 3).

Jul. 8 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

Aug. 7 In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.

Aug. 12 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to committee. Read second time, amended, and re-referred to Com. on APPR.

**Organization:** SCAG  
**Position:** Tracking

**AB 1074** (Diep R) Accessory Dwelling Unit Construction Bond Act of 2020.  
**Introduced:** 2/21/2019  
**Status:** 4/10/2019-In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.  
**Location:** 3/7/2019-A. H. & C.D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st House</th>
<th>Desk</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Fiscal</th>
<th>Floor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2nd House</td>
<td>Desk</td>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>Fiscal</td>
<td>Floor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary:**  
Would enact the Accessory Dwelling Unit Construction Bond Act of 2020 (bond act), which, if adopted, would authorize the issuance of bonds in the amount of $500,000,000 pursuant to the State General Obligation Bond Law to finance the Accessory Dwelling Unit Construction Program, established as part of the bond act. The bill would authorize the Department of Housing and Community Development to enter into a contract under that program with a homeowner to provide financing to pay for the eligible costs incurred by the homeowner in constructing an accessory dwelling unit on the homeowner’s property, subject to specified terms and conditions.

**History:**  
2019  
Feb. 21 Read first time. To print.  
Feb. 22 From printer. May be heard in committee March 24.  
Mar. 7 Referred to Coms. on H. & C.D. and L. GOV.  
Apr. 10 In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.

**AB 1093** (Rubio, Blanca D) Municipal separate storm sewer systems: financial capability analysis.  
**Introduced:** 2/21/2019  
**Last Amended:** 5/17/2019  
**Status:** 7/1/2019-In committee: Referred to APPR. suspense file.  
**Location:** 7/1/2019-S. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st House</th>
<th>Desk</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Fiscal</th>
<th>Floor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2nd House</td>
<td>Desk</td>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>Fiscal</td>
<td>Floor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary:**  
Would require the State Water Resources Control Board, by July 1, 2020, to establish financial capability assessment guidelines for municipal separate storm sewer system permittees that are adequate and consistent when considering the costs to local jurisdictions. The bill would require the state board and the regional boards to continue using available regulatory tools and other approaches to foster collaboration with permittees to implement permit requirements in light of the costs of implementation.

**History:**  
2019  
Feb. 21 Read first time. To print.  
Feb. 22 From printer. May be heard in committee March 24.  
Mar. 7 Referred to Com. on E.S. & T.M.  
Apr. 10 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 9. Noes 0.) (April 9). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.  
Apr. 24 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.  
May. 20 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.  
Jun. 6 Referred to Com. on EQ.  
Jun. 20 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 6. Noes 1.) (June 19). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
AB 1112  (Friedman D)  Shared mobility devices: local regulation.

Introduced: 2/21/2019
Last Amended: 6/19/2019
Status: 7/12/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(11). (Last location was TRANS. on 5/29/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)
Location: 7/12/2019-S. 2 YEAR

Summary:
Current law generally regulates the operation of bicycles, electric bicycles, motorized scooters, and electrically motorized boards. Current law allows local authorities to regulate the registration, parking, and operation of bicycles and motorized scooters in a manner that does not conflict with state law. This bill would define a “shared mobility device” as a bicycle, electric bicycle, motorized scooter, electrically motorized board, or other similar personal transportation device, that is made available to the public for shared use and transportation, as provided.

History:
2019
Feb. 21 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 22 From printer. May be heard in committee March 24.
Mar. 28 Referred to Com. on TRANS. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. Read second time and amended.
Apr. 1 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Apr. 8 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. Read second time and amended.
Apr. 9 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Apr. 23 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on P. & C.P. (Ayes 12. Noes 1.) (April 22). Re-referred to Com. on P. & C.P.
May. 6 From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended. (Ayes 11. Noes 0.) (April 30).
May. 7 Read second time and amended. Ordered returned to second reading.
May. 8 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
May. 23 In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.
May. 29 Referred to Coms. on TRANS., GOV. & F. and JUD.
Jun. 3 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to committee. Read second time, amended, and re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Jun. 11 In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.
Jun. 19 In committee: Hearing postponed by committee. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to committee. Read second time, amended, and re-referred to Com. on TRANS.

AB 1142  (Friedman D)  Regional transportation plans: transportation network companies.

Introduced: 2/21/2019
Last Amended: 8/12/2019
Status: 8/12/2019-From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to committee. Read second time, amended, and re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Location: 7/11/2019-S. APPR.

Calendar:
8/19/2019  10 a.m. - John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)  SENATE APPROPRIATIONS, PORTANTINO, Chair

Summary:
Current law requires a regional transportation plan to include a policy element, an action element, a financial element, and, if the transportation planning agency is also a metropolitan planning organization, a sustainable communities strategy. Under current law, the policy element describes the transportation issues in the region, identifies and quantifies regional needs, and describes the desired
short-range and long-range transportation goals, as well as pragmatic objective and policy statements. Current law authorizes the policy element of transportation planning agencies with populations that exceed 200,000 persons to quantify a set of specified indicators. This bill would authorize the inclusion of an additional indicator regarding measures of policies to increase use of existing transit.

**History:**

2019
Feb. 21 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 22 From printer. May be heard in committee March 24.
Mar. 7 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Apr. 1 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. Read second time and amended.
Apr. 2 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Apr. 9 From committee: Do pass. To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 15. Noes 0.) (April 8).
Apr. 10 Read second time. Ordered to Consent Calendar.
Apr. 22 Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Senate. (Ayes 78. Noes 0. Page 1260.)
Apr. 23 In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.
May. 1 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Jun. 3 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to committee. Read second time, amended, and re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Jun. 11 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on RLS. (Ayes 8. Noes 3.) (June 11). Re-referred to Com. on RLS.
Jun. 19 Re-referred to Coms. on E., U. & C. and JUD.
Jul. 2 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on JUD. (Ayes 9. Noes 2.) (July 2). Re-referred to Com. on JUD.
Jul. 10 From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 6. Noes 1.) (July 9).
Jul. 11 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Jul. 31 In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.
Aug. 12 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to committee. Read second time, amended, and re-referred to Com. on APPR.

**Organization:** SCAG  
**Position:** Tracking

**AB 1184**  
**Public records: writing transmitted by electronic mail: retention.**  
**Introduced:** 2/21/2019  
**Last Amended:** 5/16/2019  
**Status:** 8/12/2019-In committee: Referred to APPR. suspense file.  

**Location:** 8/12/2019-S. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Desk</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Fiscal</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Conf.</th>
<th>Enrolled</th>
<th>Vetoed</th>
<th>Chaptered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary:**
Would, unless a longer retention period is required by statute or regulation, require a public agency for purposes of the California Public Records Act to retain and preserve for at least 2 years every writing containing information relating to the conduct of the public's business prepared, owned, or used by any public agency that is transmitted by electronic mail.

**History:**

2019
Feb. 21 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 22 From printer. May be heard in committee March 24.
Mar. 25 Referred to Com. on JUD. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on JUD. Read second time and amended.
Mar. 26 Re-referred to Com. on JUD.
Apr. 23 From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 10. Noes 1.) (April 23).
Apr. 24 Read second time and amended.
Apr. 25 Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 1 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.
May. 20 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
May. 29 In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.
Jun. 6 Referred to Com. on JUD.
Jul. 10 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 7. Noes 1.) (July 9). Re-referred
to Com. on APPR.
Aug. 12 In committee: Referred to APPR. suspense file.

**Organization:** SCAG  
**Position:** Tracking

**AB 1197**  
**(Santiago D)** California Environmental Quality Act: exemption: City of Los Angeles: supportive housing and emergency shelters.

**Introduced:** 2/21/2019  
**Last Amended:** 6/27/2019  
**Status:** 8/13/2019-Read second time. Ordered to third reading.

**Summary:**  
The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of an environmental impact report on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. CEQA also requires a lead agency to prepare a mitigated negative declaration for a project that may have a significant effect on the environment if revisions in the project would avoid or mitigate that effect and there is no substantial evidence that the project, as revised, would have a significant effect on the environment. This bill would, until January 1, 2025, exclude from the term “project” certain activities approved or carried out by the City of Los Angeles related to supportive housing and emergency shelters and would thereby exempt those projects from CEQA.

**History:**  
2019  
Feb. 21 Read first time. To print.  
Feb. 22 From printer. May be heard in committee March 24.  
Mar. 21 Referred to Coms. on NAT. RES. and H. & C.D. From committee chair, with author’s amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on NAT. RES. Read second time and amended.  
Mar. 25 Re-referred to Com. on NAT. RES.  
Apr. 11 Assembly Rule 56 suspended. (Page 1150.) (pending re-referral to Com. on H. & C.D.)  
Apr. 23 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on H. & C.D. (Ayes 8. Noes 1.) (April 22). Re-referred to Com. on H. & C.D.  
Apr. 25 From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 7. Noes 0.) (April 24).  
Apr. 29 Read second time and amended.  
Apr. 30 Re-referred to Com. on APPR.  
May. 13 Joint Rule 62(a), file notice suspended. (Page 1609.)  
May. 15 From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 16. Noes 0.) (May 15).  
May. 16 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.  
May. 29 In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.  
Jun. 6 Referred to Com. on EQ.  
Jun. 26 From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 7. Noes 0.) (June 19).  
Jun. 27 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.  
Aug. 12 From committee: Be ordered to second reading pursuant to Senate Rule 28.8.  
Aug. 13 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.

**Organization:** SCAG  
**Position:** Tracking

**AB 1244**  
**(Fong R)** Environmental quality: judicial review: housing projects.

**Introduced:** 2/21/2019  
**Status:** 5/3/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(3). (Last location was NAT. RES. on 3/11/2019)  
(May be acted upon Jan 2020)

**Location:** 5/3/2019-A. 2 YEAR

**Summary:**
Would, in an action or proceeding seeking judicial review under the California Environmental Quality Act, prohibit a court from staying or enjoining a housing project for which an environmental impact report has been certified, unless the court makes specified findings.

History:
2019
Feb. 21 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 22 From printer. May be heard in committee March 24.
Mar. 11 Referred to Coms. on NAT. RES. and H. & C.D.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

AB 1273  (Brough R) County of Orange: joint exercise of powers agreements: toll roads.
Introduced: 2/21/2019
Last Amended: 3/25/2019
Status: 4/26/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was L. GOV. on 3/25/2019) (May be acted upon Jan 2020)
Location: 4/26/2019-A. 2 YEAR

Summary:
Current law authorizes the County of Orange and the cities in that county, by ordinance, to require the payment of development fees, as specified, for purposes of defraying the costs of constructing bridges and major thoroughfares. Current law authorizes those entities to form a joint powers agency for specified purposes, including constructing bridges and major thoroughfares, collecting tolls for the use of those facilities, and incurring indebtedness for the construction of those facilities. Pursuant to this authority, various toll roads in the County of Orange were constructed. This bill would limit the expenditure of those development fees to the maintenance, operation, or financing of a completed toll facility that is in service on January 1, 2020, and for which indebtedness was incurred.

History:
2019
Feb. 21 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 22 From printer. May be heard in committee March 24.
Mar. 25 Referred to Com. on L. GOV. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on L. GOV. Read second time and amended.
Mar. 26 Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV.
Apr. 24 In committee: Hearing for testimony only.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

AB 1279  (Bloom D) Planning and zoning: housing development: high-resource areas.
Introduced: 2/21/2019
Status: 7/10/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(10). (Last location was HOUSING on 6/12/2019) (May be acted upon Jan 2020)
Location: 7/10/2019-S. 2 YEAR

Summary:
Would require the department to designated areas in this state as high-resource areas, as provided, by January 1, 2021, and every 5 years thereafter. The bill would authorize a city or county to appeal the designation of an area within its jurisdiction as a high-resource area during that 5-year period. In any area designated as a high-resource area, the bill would require that a housing development project be a use by right, upon the request of a developer, in any high-resource area designated pursuant be a use by right in certain parts of the high-resource area if those projects meet specified requirements, including specified affordability requirements. For certain development projects where the initial sales price or initial rent exceeds the affordable housing cost or affordable rent to households with incomes equal to or less than 100% of the area median income, the bill would require the applicant agree to pay a fee equal to 10% of the difference between the actual initial sales price or initial rent and the sales price or rent that would be affordable, as provided. The bill would require the city or county to deposit the fee into a separate fund reserved for the construction or preservation of housing with an affordable housing cost or affordable rent to households with a household income less than 50% of the area median income. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.
AB 1402  (Petrie-Norris  D)  Active Transportation Program.
Introduced: 2/22/2019
Last Amended: 3/26/2019
Status: 4/26/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was TRANS. on 3/25/2019)
Location: 4/26/2019-A. 2 YEAR

Summary:
Would require the Department of Transportation, instead of the California Transportation Commission, to award funds to projects in the statewide and small urban and rural region distribution categories and to adopt a program of projects for those distribution categories. The bill would require that 75% of available funds be awarded to MPO’s in urban areas with populations greater than 200,000, in proportion to their relative share of the population, 15% to small urban and rural regions with populations of 200,000 or less, competitively awarded by the department to projects in those regions, and 10% to projects competitively awarded by the department, in consultation with the commission, on a statewide basis.

Organization:  SCAG
Position:  Tracking

AB 1437  (Chen  R)  Local government: redevelopment: revenues from property tax override rates.
Introduced: 2/22/2019
Last Amended: 6/24/2019
Status: 7/8/2019-In committee: Referred to APPR. suspense file.
Location: 7/8/2019-S. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

Summary:
Would require certain revenues attributable to a property tax rate approved by the voters of a city, county, city and county, or special district to make payments in support of a mobile intensive care program in the City of Brea called “Paramedics” to be allocated to, and when collected to be paid into, the fund of that taxing entity instead of the Redevelopment Property Tax Fund of each successor
agency, unless the revenues are pledged as security for the payment of any indebtedness, as provided.

History:
2019
Feb. 22 Introduced. To print.
Feb. 23 From printer. May be heard in committee March 25.
Mar. 26 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on H. & C.D. Read second time and amended.
Apr. 10 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on L. GOV. (Ayes 8. Noes 0.) (April 10). Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV.
Apr. 25 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 8. Noes 0.) (April 24). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May 13 Joint Rule 62(a), file notice suspended. (Page 1609.)
May 15 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.
May. 20 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
May. 29 Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Senate. (Ayes 78. Noes 0. Page 2080.)
May. 30 In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.
Jun. 12 Referred to Com. on GOV. & F.
Jun. 20 From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 6. Noes 0.) (June 19).
Jun. 24 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Jul. 8 In committee: Referred to APPR. suspense file.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

AB 1543  (Holden D)  Transportation funds: transit operators: fare revenues.
Introduced: 2/22/2019
Status: 5/3/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(3). (Last location was TRANS. on 3/14/2019) (May be acted upon Jan 2020)
Location: 5/3/2019-A. 2 YEAR

Summary:
Would require a fare paid pursuant to a reduced fare transit program to be counted as a full adult fare for purposes of calculating any required ratios of fare revenues to operating costs specified in the Transportation Development Act, except for purposes of providing information in a specified annual report to the Controller or providing information to the entity conducting a fiscal or performance audit pursuant to specified provisions.

History:
2019
Feb. 22 Introduced. To print.
Feb. 23 From printer. May be heard in committee March 25.
Feb. 25 Read first time.
Mar. 14 Referred to Com. on TRANS.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

AB 1560  (Friedman D)  California Environmental Quality Act: transportation: major transit stop.
Introduced: 2/22/2019
Last Amended: 7/8/2019
Status: 8/12/2019-In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.
Location: 7/2/2019-S. APPR.

Calendar:
8/19/2019  10 a.m. - John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)  SENATE APPROPRIATIONS, PORTANTINO, Chair
Summary:
CEQA requires the Office of Planning and Research to prepare and propose guidelines for the implementation of CEQA by public agencies and the Secretary of the Natural Resources Agency to certify and adopt the guidelines. CEQA requires the office to propose revisions to the guidelines establishing criteria for determining the significance of transportation impacts of projects within transit priority areas to meet certain objectives. CEQA defines “transit priority area” as an area within 1/2 mile of a major transit stop. This bill would revise the definition of “major transit stop” to include a bus rapid transit station, as defined.

History:
2019
Feb. 22 Introduced. To print.
Feb. 23 From printer. May be heard in committee March 25.
Feb. 25 Read first time.
Mar. 14 Referred to Com. on NAT. RES.
Apr. 3 In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.
Apr. 11 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on NAT. RES.
Read second time and amended.
Apr. 22 Re-referred to Com. on NAT. RES.
Apr. 23 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 11. Noes 0.) (April 22). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 13 Joint Rule 62(a), file notice suspended. (Page 1609.)
May. 15 From committee: Do pass. To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 16. Noes 0.) (May 15).
May. 16 Read second time. Ordered to Consent Calendar.
May. 22 Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Senate. (Ayes 77. Noes 0. Page 1830.)
May. 23 In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.
May. 29 Referred to Coms. on EQ. and HOUSING.
Jun. 5 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to committee. Read second time, amended, and re-referred to Com. on EQ.
Jun. 24 From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on HOUSING. (Ayes 6. Noes 0.) (June 19).
Jun. 25 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on HOUSING.
Jul. 3 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 11. Noes 0.) (July 2). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Jul. 8 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to committee. Read second time, amended, and re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Aug. 12 In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

AB 1568 (McCarty D) Housing law compliance: prohibition on applying for state grants.
Introduced: 2/22/2019
Last Amended: 4/11/2019
Status: 5/17/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/8/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)
Location: 5/17/2019-A. 2 YEAR

Summary:
The Housing Element Law, prescribes requirements for the preparation of the housing element, including a requirement that a planning agency submit a draft of the element or draft amendment to the element to the Department of Housing and Community Development prior to the adoption of the element or amendment to the element. Current law requires the department to review the draft and report its written findings, as specified. Current law also requires the department, in its written findings, to determine whether the draft substantially complies with the Housing Element Law. This bill would authorize the city or county to submit evidence that the city or county is no longer in violation of state law to the department and to request the department to issue a finding that the city or county is no longer in violation of state law.

History:
2019
Feb. 22 Introduced. To print.
Feb. 23 From printer. May be heard in committee March 25.
Feb. 25 Read first time.
Mar. 14 Referred to Coms. on H. & C.D. and TRANS.
Apr. 1 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on H. & C.D. Read
second time and amended.
Apr. 2 Re-referred to Com. on H. & C.D.
Apr. 8 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on H. & C.D. Read second time and amended.
Apr. 9 Re-referred to Com. on H. & C.D.
Apr. 10 In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.
Apr. 11 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on H. & C.D. Read second time and amended.
Apr. 22 Re-referred to Com. on H. & C.D.
Apr. 25 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 6. Noes 1.) (April 24). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 8 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.
May. 16 In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.

**Attachments:**
Oppose Letter

**Organization:** SCAG
**Position:** Oppose

**AB 1730 (Gonzalez D) Regional transportation plans: San Diego Association of Governments: housing.**

*Introduced: 2/22/2019  
*Last Amended: 4/25/2019  
*Location: 6/25/2019-S. THIRD READING*

**Calendar:**
8/15/2019 #67 SENATE ASSEMBLY BILLS - THIRD READING FILE

**Summary:**
Current law requires each transportation planning agency to adopt and submit to the California Transportation Commission and the Department of Transportation an updated regional transportation plan every four or five years, as specified. This bill would require the updated regional transportation plan, sustainable communities strategy, and environmental impact report adopted by SANDAG on October 9, 2015, to remain in effect for all purposes until SANDAG adopts its next update to its regional transportation plan, which the bill would require it to adopt and submit on or before December 31, 2021.

**History:**

2019
Feb. 22 Introduced. To print.
Feb. 23 From printer. May be heard in committee March 25.
Feb. 25 Read first time.
Mar. 28 Referred to Coms. on H. & C.D. and L. GOV. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on H. & C.D. Read second time and amended.
Apr. 1 Re-referred to Com. on H. & C.D.
Apr. 25 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on L. GOV. (Ayes 8. Noes 0.) (April 24). Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on L. GOV. Read second time and amended.
Apr. 29 Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV.
May. 2 From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 8. Noes 0.) (May 1).
May. 6 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
May. 9 Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Senate. (Ayes 77. Noes 0. Page 1582.) In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.
May. 22 Referred to Coms. on HOUSING and EQ.
Jun. 4 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on EQ. (Ayes 9. Noes 0.) (June 4). Re-referred to Com. on EQ.
Jun. 20 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 6. Noes 0.) (June 19). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Jun. 24 Withdrawn from committee. Ordered to second reading.
Jun. 25 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.

**Organization:** SCAG
**Position:** Tracking

**AB 1763 (Chiu D) Planning and zoning: density bonuses: affordable housing.**
AB 1824 (Committee on Natural Resources) California Environmental Quality Act.

Introduced: 3/12/2019


Organization: SCAG

Position: Tracking

Summary:
Would, until January 1, 2025, exempt from CEQA the closure of a railroad grade crossing by order of the Public Utilities Commission if the commission determines that the crossing presents a threat to public safety. The bill would make this exemption inapplicable to any crossing for high-speed rail or any crossing for a project carried out by the High-Speed Rail Authority. The bill would require the lead agency to file the notice of exemption with specified public entities. Because the bill would impose additional duties on lead agencies with regards to the filing of the notice of exemption, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

History:
2019
Mar. 12 Read first time. To print.
The California Constitution prohibits the ad valorem tax rate on real property from exceeding 1% of the full cash value of the property, subject to certain exceptions. This measure would create an additional exception to the 1% limit that would authorize a city, county, city and county, or special district to levy an ad valorem tax to service bonded indebtedness incurred to fund the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of public infrastructure, affordable housing, or permanent supportive housing, or the acquisition or lease of real property for those purposes, if the proposition proposing that tax is approved by 55% of the voters of the city, county, or city and county, as applicable, and the proposition includes specified accountability requirements.
Summary:
Would provide that, on and after January 1, 2021, for the purpose of distributing the revenues derived under a sales tax imposed pursuant to the Bradley-Burns Uniform Local Sales and Use Tax Law, the retail sale of tangible personal property by a qualified retailer, as defined, that is transacted online is instead consummated at the point of the delivery of that tangible personal property to the purchaser’s address or to any other delivery address designated by the purchaser.

History:
2019
Mar. 26 Read first time. To print.
Mar. 27 From printer. May be heard in committee April 26.
Mar. 28 Introduced measure version corrected.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

SB 5 (Beall D) Affordable Housing and Community Development Investment Program.
Introduced: 12/3/2018
Last Amended: 8/12/2019
Status: 8/12/2019-Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Location: 7/10/2019-A. APPR.

Summary:
Would establish in state government the Affordable Housing and Community Development Investment Program, which would be administered by the Affordable Housing and Community Development Investment Committee. The bill would authorize a city, county, city and county, joint powers agency, enhanced infrastructure financing district, affordable housing authority, community revitalization and investment authority, transit village development district, or a combination of those entities, to apply to the Affordable Housing and Community Development Investment Committee to participate in the program and would authorize the committee to approve or deny plans for projects meeting specific criteria.

History:
2018
Dec. 3 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Dec. 4 From printer. May be acted upon on or after January 3.
2019
Jan. 24 Referred to Coms. on GOV. & F. and HOUSING.
Mar. 6 Set for hearing March 20.
Mar. 18 From committee with author’s amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on GOV. & F.
Mar. 21 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on HOUSING.
Mar. 26 Set for hearing April 2.
Apr. 8 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 11 Set for hearing April 22.
Apr. 17 April 22 set for first hearing canceled at the request of author.
Apr. 18 Set for hearing April 29.
Apr. 23 From committee with author’s amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 29 April 29 hearing: Placed on APPR. suspense file.
May. 10 Set for hearing May 16.
May. 21 Read second time and amended. Ordered to third reading.
May. 24 Read third time and amended. Ordered to second reading.
May. 28 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
Jun. 10 Referred to Coms. on H. & C.D. and L. GOV.
Jun. 17 From committee with author’s amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on H. & C.D.
Jun. 27 Assembly Rule 56 suspended.
Jul. 3 Coauthors revised. From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on L. GOV. (Ayes 6. Noes 1.) (July 3). Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV.
Jul. 11 From committee: Do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 6. Noes 0.) (July 10).
Aug. 12 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

**Attachments:**
Support Letter

**Organization:** SCAG
**Position:** Support

**SB 6 (Beall D)** Residential development: available land.
**Introduced:** 12/3/2018
**Last Amended:** 4/23/2019
**Status:** 7/3/2019-From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 6. Noes 0.) (July 3). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

**Calendar:**
8/14/2019 9 a.m. - State Capitol, Room 4202 ASSEMBLY APPROPRIATIONS, GONZALEZ, Chair

**Summary:**
Would require the Department of Housing and Community Development to furnish the Department of General Services with a list of local lands suitable and available for residential development as identified by a local government as part of the housing element of its general plan. The bill would require the Department of General Services to create a database of that information and information regarding state lands determined or declared excess and to make this database available and searchable by the public by means of a link on its internet website.

**History:**
2018
Dec. 3Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Dec. 4 From printer. May be acted upon on or after January 3.
2019
Jan. 16 Referred to Com. on RLS.
Feb. 27 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on RLS.
Mar. 7 Re-referred to Coms. on HOUSING and G.O.
Mar. 15 Set for hearing April 2.
Apr. 3 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on G.O. with recommendation: To consent calendar. (Ayes 11. Noes 0. Page 551.) (April 2). Re-referred to Com. on G.O.
Apr. 5 Set for hearing April 9.
Apr. 9 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To consent calendar. (Ayes 16. Noes 0. Page 619.) (April 9). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 11 Set for hearing April 22.
Apr. 17 April 22 hearing postponed by committee.
Apr. 18 Set for hearing April 29.
Apr. 23 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 29 April 29 hearing: Placed on APPR. suspense file.
May. 10 Set for hearing May 16.
May. 20 Read third time. Passed. (Ayes 38. Noes 0. Page 1162.) Ordered to the Assembly.
May. 21 In Assembly. Read first time. Held at Desk.
May. 30 Referred to Coms. on H. & C.D. and A. & A.R.
Jun. 20 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on A. & A.R. (Ayes 8. Noes 0.) (June 19). Re-referred to Com. on A. & A.R.
Jul. 3 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 6. Noes 0.) (July 3). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

**Organization:** SCAG
**Position:** Tracking

**SB 13 (Wieckowski D)** Accessory dwelling units.
Summary:
Would authorize the creation of accessory dwelling units in areas zoned to allow single-family or multifamily dwelling residential use. The bill would also revise the requirements for an accessory dwelling unit by providing that the accessory dwelling unit may be attached to, or located within, an attached garage, storage area, or other structure, and that it does not exceed a specified amount of total floor area.

History:
2018
Dec. 3 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Dec. 4 From printer. May be acted upon on or after January 3.

2019
Jan. 16 Referred to Com. on RLS.
Mar. 11 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on RLS.
Mar. 20 Re-referred to Coms. on HOUSING and GOV. & F.
Mar. 26 Set for hearing April 2.
Apr. 3 From committee: Do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on GOV. & F. (Ayes 10. Noes 0. Page 551.) (April 2).
Apr. 4 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on GOV. & F.
Apr. 5 Set for hearing April 10.
Apr. 22 From committee: Do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 7. Noes 0. Page 712.) (April 10).
Apr. 23 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 26 Set for hearing May 6.
May. 6 May 6 hearing: Placed on APPR. suspense file.
May. 10 Set for hearing May 16.
May. 20 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
Jun. 6 Referred to Coms. on H. & C.D. and L. GOV.
Jun. 20 From committee: Do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on L. GOV. (Ayes 6. Noes 0.) (June 19).
Jun. 24 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV.
Jul. 1 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV.
Jul. 11 From committee: Do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 8. Noes 0.) (July 10).
Aug. 12 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

(Skinner D) Medium- and heavy-duty vehicles: comprehensive strategy.

Introduced: 12/3/2018
Last Amended: 8/12/2019
Status: 8/12/2019—From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

Summary:
Would require the State Air Resources Board, no later than January 1, 2021, and at least every 5 years thereafter, in consultation with the Department of Transportation, the State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission, and the Governor's Office of Business and Economic Development and in collaboration with relevant stakeholders, to update the state board's 2016 mobile source strategy to include a comprehensive strategy for the deployment of medium-duty and heavy-
duty vehicles in the state for the purpose of bringing the state into compliance with federal ambient air quality standards and reducing motor vehicle greenhouse gas emissions from the medium-duty and heavy-duty vehicle sector.

**History:**

**2018**
Dec. 3 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Dec. 4 From printer. May be acted upon on or after January 3.

**2019**
Jan. 16 Referred to Com. on RLS.
Mar. 7 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on RLS.
Mar. 20 Re-referred to Coms. on EQ. and TRANS.
Mar. 21 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on EQ.
Mar. 26 Set for hearing April 10.
Apr. 10 From committee: Do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. (Ayes 5. Noes 2. Page 651.) (April 10).
Apr. 11 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Apr. 18 Set for hearing April 23.
Apr. 25 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 1 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 3 Set for hearing May 13.
May. 13 May 13 hearing: Placed on APPR. suspense file.
May. 14 Set for hearing May 16.
May. 21 In Assembly. Read first time. Held at Desk.
Jun. 3 Referred to Coms. on TRANS. and NAT. RES.
Jun. 19 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Jul. 2 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on NAT. RES. (Ayes 12. Noes 2.) (July 1). Re-referred to Com. on NAT. RES.
Jul. 9 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 9. Noes 2.) (July 8). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Aug. 12 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

**Organization:** SCAG

**Position:** Tracking

**SB 50** *(Wiener D)* Planning and zoning: housing development: streamlined approval: incentives.

**Introduced:** 12/3/2018

**Last Amended:** 6/4/2019

**Status:** 6/4/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/13/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)

**Location:** 6/4/2019-S. 2 YEAR

| Desk Policy | 2 year | Floor Desk Policy Fiscal Floor Conf. Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered |
|-------------|--------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1st House   | 2nd House | Conf. Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |

**Summary:**

Would authorize a development proponent of a neighborhood multifamily project located on an eligible parcel to submit an application for a streamlined, ministerial approval process that is not subject to a conditional use permit. The bill would define a "neighborhood multifamily project" to mean a project to construct a multifamily structure on vacant land, or to convert an existing structure that does not require substantial exterior alteration into a multifamily structure, consisting of up to 4 residential dwelling units and that meets local height, setback, and lot coverage zoning requirements as they existed on July 1, 2019.

**History:**

**2018**
Dec. 3 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Dec. 4 From printer. May be acted upon on or after January 3.

**2019**
SB 102

(Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review) Housing development and financing.

Introduced: 1/10/2019
Last Amended: 6/27/2019
Status: 6/27/2019-From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on BUDGET.
Location: 5/2/2019-A. BUDGET

Summary:
Current law establishes the Community-Based Transitional Housing Program, administered by the Department of Finance (DOF), for the purpose of providing grants to cities, counties, and cities and counties to increase the supply of transitional housing available to persons previously incarcerated for felony and misdemeanor convictions and funded with moneys appropriated for that purpose in the annual Budget Act or other measure. Current law requires DOF's Office of State Audits and Evaluations to conduct a review of the program, commencing July 1, 2018, to determine its effectiveness in providing services to offenders released from state prison or county jail, and authorizes DOF to use up to $500,000 of the amount appropriated in any budget act or other measure for the program for this review, as specified. This bill would require the Office of State Audits and Evaluations to conduct an audit of the program, as specified, and would remove the requirement that the Office of State Audits and Evaluations commence the audit on July 1, 2018. The bill would extend the date by which DOF is required to provide a copy of the audit to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee to no later than May 1, 2020.

History:
2019
Jan. 10 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Jan. 11 From printer. May be acted upon on or after February 10.
Jan. 24 Referred to Com. on B. & F.R.
Apr. 9 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
Read first time. Held at Desk.
May. 2 Referred to Com. on BUDGET.
Jun. 20 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on BUDGET.
Jun. 27 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on BUDGET.

SB 127

(Wiener D) Transportation funding: active transportation: complete streets.

Introduced: 1/10/2019
Last Amended: 7/1/2019
Status: 7/9/2019-From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 10. Noes 3.) (July 8).
Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Location: 7/8/2019-A. APPR.
Summary:
Current law establishes the Active Transportation Program in the Department of Transportation for the purpose of encouraging increased use of active modes of transportation, such as biking and walking, and declares the intent of the Legislature that the program achieve specific goals, including, among other things, increasing the proportion of trips accomplished by biking and walking and the safety and mobility for nonmotorized users. This bill would establish an Active Transportation Asset Branch within the Transportation Asset Management Office of the department and require the Transportation Asset Management Plan program manager to develop and meaningfully integrate performance measures into the asset management plan, as specified and to establish interim goals, objectives, and actions to meet the department’s transportation mode shift goals, as specified.

History:
2019
Jan. 10 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Jan. 11 From printer. May be acted upon on or after February 10.
Jan. 24 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Apr. 10 Set for hearing April 23.
Apr. 30 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 3 Set for hearing May 13.
May. 13 May 13 hearing: Placed on APPR. suspense file.
May. 14 Set for hearing May 16.
May. 20 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
May. 24 In Assembly. Read first time. Held at Desk.
Jun. 6 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Jul. 1 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Jul. 8 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 10. Noes 3.) (July 8). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

SB 128 (Beall D) Public contracts: Best Value Construction Contracting for Counties Pilot Program.
Introduced: 1/10/2019
Last Amended: 7/10/2019
Status: 7/10/2019-From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Location: 7/5/2019-A. APPR.

Summary:
Current law establishes a pilot program to allow the Counties of Alameda, Los Angeles, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Mateo, Solano, and Yuba to select a bidder on the basis of best value, as defined, for construction projects in excess of $1,000,000. Current law also authorizes these counties to use a best value construction contracting method to award individual annual contracts, not to exceed $3,000,000, for repair, remodeling, or other repetitive work to be done according to unit prices, as specified. Current law establishes procedures and criteria for the selection of a best value contractor and requires that bidders verify specified information under oath. Current law repeals the pilot program provisions on January 1, 2020. This bill would authorize the County of Santa Clara and the County of Monterey to utilize this pilot program and would extend the operation of those provisions until January 1, 2025.

History:
2019
Jan. 10 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Jan. 11 From printer. May be acted upon on or after February 10.
Jan. 24 Referred to Com. on GOV. & F.
Mar. 6 Set for hearing March 20.
Organization: SCAG  
Position: Support

**SB 152** (Beall D)  
**Active Transportation Program.**  
**Introduced:** 1/22/2019  
**Last Amended:** 4/25/2019  
**Status:** 5/17/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/13/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)  
**Location:** 5/17/2019-S. 2 YEAR

**Summary:**  
Current law establishes the Active Transportation Program in the Department of Transportation for the purpose of encouraging increased use of active modes of transportation, such as biking and walking. Existing law requires specified funds for the program to be appropriated to the department in the annual Budget Act and allocated to eligible projects by the California Transportation Commission. This bill would require that 60% of available funds be awarded to projects selected by metropolitan planning organizations (MPO) in urban areas with populations greater than 200,000, with the available funds distributed to each MPO based on its relative share of the population, 15% to fund projects in small urban and rural regions, and 25% to projects competitively awarded by the commission on a statewide basis.

**History:**  
2019  
Jan. 22 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.  
Jan. 23 From printer. May be acted upon or after February 22.  
Jan. 31 Referred to Com. on RLS.  
Mar. 20 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on RLS.  
Mar. 27 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.  
Mar. 29 Set for hearing April 9.  
Apr. 10 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 10. Noes 1. Page 655.) (April 9). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.  
Apr. 25 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.  
May. 3 Set for hearing May 13.  
May. 13 May 13 hearing: Placed on APPR. suspense file.  
May. 14 Set for hearing May 16.  
May. 16 May 16 hearing: Held in committee and under submission.

Organization: SCAG  
Position: Tracking

**SB 160** (Jackson D)  
**Emergency services: cultural competence.**  
**Introduced:** 1/24/2019  
**Last Amended:** 7/3/2019  
**Status:** 7/11/2019-From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To consent calendar. (Ayes 19. Noes 0.) (July 10). Re-referred to Com. on APPR. (Received at desk July 10 pursuant to JR 61(a)(10)).  
**Location:** 7/10/2019-A. APPR.
Summary:
Would require a county to integrate cultural competence, as defined, into its emergency plan upon the next update to its emergency plan, as specified. The bill would also require a county to provide a forum for community engagement in geographically diverse locations in order to engage with culturally diverse communities, as defined, within its jurisdiction. The bill would authorize a county to establish a community advisory board for the purpose of cohosting, coordinating, and conducting outreach and require any such board to hold its first meeting no later than July 1, 2020.

History:
2019
Jan. 24 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Jan. 25 From printer. May be acted upon on or after February 24.
Feb. 6 Referred to Com. on G.O.
Mar. 8 Set for hearing March 26.
Mar. 18 March 26 set for first hearing canceled at the request of author.
Mar. 22 Set for hearing April 9.
Apr. 2 From committee with author’s amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on G.O.
Apr. 9 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 16. Noes 0. Page 619.) (April 9).
Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 11 Set for hearing April 22.
Apr. 22 April 22 hearing: Placed on APPR. suspense file.
May. 10 Set for hearing May 16.
May. 21 Ordered to special consent calendar.
May. 23 Read third time. Passed. (Ayes 38. Noes 0. Page 1272.) Ordered to the Assembly.
May. 24 In Assembly. Read first time. Held at Desk.
Jun. 6 Referred to Com. on G.O.
Jul. 3 From committee with author’s amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on G.O.
Jul. 11 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To consent calendar. (Ayes 19. Noes 0.) (July 10). Re-referred to Com. on APPR. (Received at desk July 10 pursuant to JR 61(a)(10)).

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

SB 168 (Wieckowski D) Climate change: Chief Climate Resilience Officer.
Introduced: 1/28/2019
Last Amended: 6/27/2019
Status: 6/27/2019-Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

Summary:
Would establish the Chief Climate Resilience Officer, appointed by the Governor and subject to confirmation by the Senate, in the Office of Planning and Research to serve as the statewide lead for planning and coordination of climate adaptation policy and implementation in California, and would specify the duties of the chief officer. The bill would make the chief officer, or the chief officer’s designee, a member of the advisory council and would designate the chief officer, or the chief officer’s designee, as the chair of the advisory council.

History:
2019
Jan. 28 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Jan. 29 From printer. May be acted upon on or after February 28.
Feb. 6 Referred to Coms. on EQ. and N.R. & W.
Feb. 12 Set for hearing March 20.
Mar. 25 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on N.R. & W.
Mar. 26 Set for hearing April 9.
SB 197  (Beall D)  Department of Transportation: retention proceeds.
Introduced: 1/31/2019
Status: 7/2/2019-From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To consent calendar. (Ayes 15. Noes 0.) (July 1). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Location: 7/1/2019-A. APPR.

Summary:
Current law prohibits the Department of Transportation, until January 1, 2020, from withholding retention proceeds when making progress payments for work performed by a contractor. This bill would delete the repeal of this provision, thereby making the prohibition operative indefinitely.

History:
2019
Jan. 31 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Feb. 1 From printer. May be acted upon on or after March 3.
Feb. 13 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Mar. 19 Set for hearing March 26.
Mar. 26 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To consent calendar. (Ayes 12. Noes 0. Page 441.) (March 26). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Mar. 29 Set for hearing April 8.
Apr. 9 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
Read first time. Held at Desk.
May. 6 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Jun. 2 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To consent calendar. (Ayes 15. Noes 0.) (July 1). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

Organization:  SCAG
Position:  Support and Amend

SB 307  (Roth D)  Water conveyance: use of facility with unused capacity.
Introduced: 2/15/2019
Last Amended: 4/30/2019
Location: 7/31/2019-S. CHAPTERED

Summary:
Current law prohibits the state or a regional or local public agency from denying a bona fide transferor...
of water from using a water conveyance facility that has unused capacity for the period of time for which that capacity is available, if fair compensation is paid for that use and other requirements are met. This bill would, notwithstanding that provision, prohibit a transferor of water from using a water conveyance facility that has unused capacity to transfer water from a groundwater basin underlying desert lands, as defined, that is in the vicinity of specified federal lands or state lands to outside of the groundwater basin unless the State Lands Commission, in consultation with the Department of Fish and Wildlife and the Department of Water Resources, finds that the transfer of the water will not adversely affect the natural or cultural resources of those federal or state lands, as provided.

History:
2019
Feb. 15 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Feb. 19 From printer. May be acted upon on or after March 21.
Feb. 28 Referred to Com. on N.R. & W.
Mar. 13 Set for hearing March 26.
Mar. 25 March 26 hearing postponed by committee.
Mar. 26 Set for hearing April 9.
Apr. 22 From committee: Do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 5. Noes 1. Page 622.) (April 9).
Apr. 23 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 26 Set for hearing May 6.
Apr. 30 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 6 May 6 hearing: Placed on APPR. suspense file.
May. 10 Set for hearing May 16.
May. 22 In Assembly. Read first time. Held at Desk.
Jun. 3 Referred to Com. on NAT. RES.
Jun. 12 Coauthors revised. From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 7. Noes 2.) (June 10). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Jul. 12 In Senate. Ordered to engrossing and enrolling.
Jul. 24 Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 3 p.m.
Jul. 31 Approved by the Governor. Chaptered by Secretary of State. Chapter 169, Statutes of 2019.

Attatchments:
Oppose Letter

Organization: SCAG
Position: Oppose

Introduced: 2/19/2019
Last Amended: 8/12/2019
Status: 8/12/2019-From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Location: 7/10/2019-A. APPR.

Summary:
The Housing Accountability Act requires a local agency that proposes to disapprove a housing development project that complies with applicable, objective general plan and zoning standards and criteria that were in effect at the time the application was deemed to be complete, or to approve it on the condition that it be developed at a lower density, to base its decision upon written findings supported by substantial evidence on the record that specified conditions exist, and places the burden of proof on the local agency to that effect. The act requires a court to impose a fine on a local agency under certain circumstances and requires that the fine be at least $10,000 per housing unit in the housing development project on the date the application was deemed complete. This bill would, until January 1, 2025, specify that an application is deemed complete for these purposes if a preliminary application was submitted, as specified.

History:
2019
SB 400  (Umberg D) Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions: mobility options.

**Introduction:**

- **Introduced:** 2/20/2019
- **Status:** 7/2/2019-From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 14. Noes 0.) (July 1).
- **Re-referred to Com. on APPR.**

**Location:** 7/1/2019-A. APPR.

**Calendar:**

8/14/2019  9 a.m. - State Capitol, Room 4202  ASSEMBLY APPROPRIATIONS, GONZALEZ, Chair

**Summary:**

Current law establishes the Clean Cars 4 All Program, which is administered by the State Air Resources Board to focus on achieving reductions in the emissions of greenhouse gases, improvements in air quality, and benefits to low-income state residents through the replacement of high-polluter motor vehicles with cleaner and more efficient motor vehicles or a mobility option. Current law defines specified terms, including "mobility option", which means a voucher for public transit or car sharing for purposes of the program. This bill would additionally provide that "mobility option" also includes bike sharing and electric bicycles.

**History:**

2019

- Feb. 20 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
- Feb. 21 From printer. May be acted upon on or after March 23.
- Feb. 28 Referred to Coms. on EQ. and TRANS.
- Mar. 5 Set for hearing April 3.
- Apr. 3 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. (Ayes 5. Noes 0. Page 549.) (April 3).
- Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
- Apr. 10 Set for hearing April 23.
- Apr. 24 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 12. Noes 0. Page 805.) (April 23).
Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

**SB 498** (Hurtado D) Trade Corridors Improvement Fund: grant program: short-line railroads.

**Introduced**: 2/21/2019
**Last Amended**: 5/21/2019
**Status**: 7/10/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(10). (Last location was TRANS. on 6/6/2019) (May be acted upon Jan 2020)
**Location**: 7/10/2019-A. 2 YEAR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Desk</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Fiscal</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>2 year</th>
<th>Fiscal</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Conf.</th>
<th>Conc.</th>
<th>Enrolled</th>
<th>Vetoed</th>
<th>Chaptered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st House</td>
<td>2nd House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary:**
Would require the California Transportation Commission, upon appropriation by the Legislature of funds resulting from TCIF program savings, to establish a competitive grant program to provide grants from those funds in the 2020–21 and 2021–22 fiscal years to the Department of Transportation or regional transportation planning agencies, or both, for short-line railroad projects such as railroad reconstruction, maintenance, upgrade, or replacement. The bill would require the commission to adopt guidelines, in consultation with representatives from specified government and industry entities, by July 1, 2020, to be used by the commission to select grant recipients.

**History:**
2019
Feb. 21 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Feb. 22 From printer. May be acted upon on or after March 24.
Mar. 7 Referred to Com. on RLS.
Apr. 1 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on RLS.
Apr. 10 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Apr. 18 Set for hearing April 23.
Apr. 22 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 26 Set for hearing May 6.
May. 6 May 6 hearing: Placed on APPR. suspense file.
May. 10 Set for hearing May 16.
May. 21 Read second time and amended. Ordered to third reading.
Jun. 6 Referred to Com. on TRANS.

**Attachments:**
Oppose Letter - Senate Appropriations

**Organization**: SCAG
**Position**: Oppose

**SB 526** (Allen D) Regional transportation plans: greenhouse gas emissions: State Mobility Action Plan for Healthy Communities.

**Introduced**: 2/21/2019
**Last Amended**: 4/30/2019
**Status**: 5/17/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/16/2019) (May be acted upon Jan 2020)
**Location**: 5/17/2019-S. 2 YEAR
Summary:
Would require the State Air Resources Board to adopt a regulation that requires a metropolitan planning organization to provide any data that the state board determines is necessary to fulfill the requirements of the above-described report and to determine if the metropolitan planning organization is on track to meet its 2035 greenhouse gas emission reduction target. After completing each report, the bill would require the state board to determine if each metropolitan planning organization is on track to meet its 2035 target.

History:

2019
Feb. 21 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Feb. 22 From printer. May be acted upon on or after March 24.
Mar. 7 Referred to Coms. on EQ., TRANS., and HOUSING.
Mar. 8 Set for hearing April 3.
Apr. 3 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. (Ayes 5. Noes 2. Page 550.) (April 3). Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Apr. 5 Set for hearing April 9.
Apr. 18 Set for hearing April 22.
Apr. 22 April 22 set for first hearing. Reconsideration of favorable vote granted.
Apr. 30 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 3 Set for hearing May 13.
May. 13 May 13 hearing postponed by committee.
May. 14 Set for hearing May 16.
May. 16 May 16 hearing: Placed on APPR. suspense file. May 16 hearing: Held in committee and under submission.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

SB 592 (Wiener D) Housing Accountability Act.
Introduced: 2/22/2019
Last Amended: 8/12/2019
Status: 8/12/2019-Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Location: 7/10/2019-A. APPR.

Summary:
The Housing Accountability Act, among other things, prohibits a local agency from disapproving or conditioning approval in a manner that renders infeasible a housing development project that complies with applicable, objective general plan, zoning, and subdivision standards and criteria in effect at the time the application for the project is deemed complete within the meaning of the Permit Streamlining Act, unless the local agency makes specified written findings based on a preponderance of the evidence in the record. This bill would prohibit a local agency from disapproving or conditioning a housing development project that is determined to be complete, as provided, and would make other related conforming changes.

History:

2019
Feb. 22 Introduced. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Feb. 25 From printer. May be acted upon on or after March 27. Read first time.
Mar. 7 Referred to Com. on RLS.
Mar. 27 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on RLS.
Apr. 3 Re-referred to Com. on B., P. & E.D.
Apr. 4 Set for hearing April 8.
Apr. 8 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To consent calendar. (Ayes 9. Noes 0. Page 599.) (April 8). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 18 Set for hearing April 29.
Apr. 29 April 29 hearing: Placed on APPR. suspense file.
May. 10 Set for hearing May 16.
SB 732  (Allen D)  Transactions and use tax: South Coast Air Quality Management District.
Introduced: 2/22/2019
Last Amended: 4/30/2019
Status: 5/17/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. on 4/30/2019) (May be acted upon Jan 2020)
Location: 5/17/2019-S. 2 YEAR

Summary:
Current law establishes the South Coast Air Quality Management District vested with the authority to regulate air emissions from stationary sources located in the South Coast Air Basin and establishes a district board to govern the district. This bill would authorize the south coast district board to impose a transactions and use tax within the boundaries of the south coast district, as specified, with the moneys generated from the transactions and use tax to be used to supplement existing revenues being used for south coast district purposes, as specified.

History:
2019
Feb. 22 Introduced. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Feb. 25 From printer. May be acted upon on or after March 27. Read first time.
Mar. 14 Referred to Com. on RLS.
Mar. 27 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on RLS.
Apr. 3 Re-referred to Com. on GOV. & F.
Apr. 4 Set for hearing April 24.
Apr. 30 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 3 Set for hearing May 13.
May. 13 May 13 set for first hearing canceled at the request of author.

Organization:  SCAG
Position:  Oppose Unless Amended

SB 751  (Rubio D)  Joint powers authorities: San Gabriel Valley Regional Housing Trust.
Introduced: 2/22/2019
Last Amended: 3/27/2019
Status: 6/27/2019-From consent calendar on motion of Assembly Member Calderon. Ordered to third reading.

Summary:
Would authorize the creation of the San Gabriel Valley Regional Housing Trust, a joint powers authority, by any or all of the cities within the jurisdiction of the San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments, with
the stated purpose of funding housing to assist the homeless population and persons and families of extremely low, very low, and low income within the San Gabriel Valley. The bill would authorize the San Gabriel Valley Regional Housing Trust to fund the planning and construction of housing, receive public and private financing and funds, and authorize and issue bonds.

**History:**

**2019**
Feb. 22 Introduced. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Feb. 25 From printer. May be acted upon on or after March 27. Read first time.
Mar. 14 Referred to Com. on RLS.
Mar. 27 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on RLS.
Apr. 3 Re-referred to Coms. on GOV. & F. and HOUSING.
Apr. 4 Set for hearing April 10. April 10 hearing postponed by committee.
Apr. 12 Set for hearing April 24.
Apr. 25 From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 7. Noes 0. Page 847.) (April 24). Re-referred to Com. on HOUSING.
Apr. 26 Set for hearing April 30.
Apr. 30 From committee: Do pass. Ordered to consent calendar. (Ayes 11. Noes 0. Page 891.) (April 30).
May. 1 Read second time. Ordered to consent calendar.
May. 6 Read third time. Passed. (Ayes 38. Noes 0. Page 964.) Ordered to the Assembly.
May. 7 In Assembly. Read first time. Held at Desk.
May. 16 Referred to Com. on L. GOV.
Jun. 20 Read second time. Ordered to consent calendar.
Jun. 27 From consent calendar on motion of Assembly Member Calderon. Ordered to third reading.

**Organization:** SCAG

**Position:** Tracking

Total Measures: 59
Total Tracking Forms: 59
This Page Intentionally Left Blank
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
For Information Only - No Action Required

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 6: Deploy strategic communications to further agency priorities and foster public understanding of long-range regional planning.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
SCAG Communications staff have been providing updates on SCAG’s process for updating the 6th Cycle Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) through its various email, website and social media channels. Public interest in addressing the housing affordability crisis has been high, and we have been monitoring the activity on social media. This report includes a summary of recent media and social media conversation around SCAG’s RHNA process. SCAG staff will continue to monitor our social media channels and engage on all of our communications platforms to ensure the public have ample opportunities to weigh in.

BACKGROUND:
SCAG is in the process of developing the 6th Cycle RHNA allocation plan, and public interest in the process has been high. This report includes a summary of recent media and social media conversation around the 6th Cycle Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) process, and SCAG staff monitoring is ongoing.

Public interest has been growing with regard to the RHNA process, which is reflected in social media posts and earned-media coverage. SCAG is committed to an open and transparent process. The priority for communications staff has been to address confusion around RHNA development and SCAG’s role in it. A key part of that has meant keeping a close eye on coverage of the issue in the press and on social media.

Earned media coverage of RHNA has been light but steady. The conversation has largely been explanatory and focused on the policy side. SCAG itself has not been a focus of most of the
A summary of relevant press coverage is below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Headline</th>
<th>URL</th>
<th>Hit Sentence</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Reach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26-Jul</td>
<td>Public comments sought on future housing strategies</td>
<td></td>
<td>...appear on SCAG's website by early August. Respondents will be asked to weigh in on three options for housing...</td>
<td>Los Angeles Daily News</td>
<td>289,888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-Jul</td>
<td>What the City’s inclusionary ordinance—essential for easing affordability in housing—could look like</td>
<td><a href="https://lbpost.com/longbeach/addison-inclusionary-ordinance-affordable-housing-dtlb">https://lbpost.com/longbeach/addison-inclusionary-ordinance-affordable-housing-dtlb</a></td>
<td>...not met our housing needs according to our state-mandated Regional Housing Needs Assessment that our city must submit every eight years...</td>
<td>Long Beach Post</td>
<td>116,339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-Jun</td>
<td>SoCal leaders either haven’t gotten the memo on the housing crisis or don’t care</td>
<td><a href="https://www.latimes.com/opinion/editorials/la-ed-fair-share-housing-scag-20190621-story.html">https://www.latimes.com/opinion/editorials/la-ed-fair-share-housing-scag-20190621-story.html</a></td>
<td>...SCAG’s low-ball figure was the region’s suggestion. Also, there is still time for housing activists...</td>
<td>Los Angeles Times</td>
<td>10,482,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-Jun</td>
<td>“Affordable” and “Inclusionary” Housing 101</td>
<td><a href="https://www.coloradoboulevard.net/affordable-and-inclusionary-housing-101/">https://www.coloradoboulevard.net/affordable-and-inclusionary-housing-101/</a></td>
<td>...as outlined by the Southern California Association of Governments Regional Housing Needs Assessment</td>
<td>Colorado Blvd</td>
<td>3,747</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Southern California cities are rejecting the state’s push for more housing... aimed at putting teeth into the housing supply law. Cities face tighter rules on properties they can zone for new housing...

This process, known as the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA), determines housing needs for different regions...

This process, known as the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA), determines housing needs for different regions...

SCAG Regional Council approves revised regional household growth total for future affordable housing needs...The 6th Cycle RHNA will determine each jurisdiction’s “fair share” of the region’s total housing...

Social media dialogue around RHNA, has been much more centered on SCAG. Spikes in volume of RHNA-related social media posts have largely coincided with SCAG board/subcommittee meetings and their associated votes. Otherwise the buzz has been mostly steady, and concentrated among social media users with a strong policy/planning focus or professional role.

Attached here is a report on the volume, reach and sentiment of press and social media coverage of RHNA over the past 2.5 months, as well as a sampling of notable posts.

Next Steps
SCAG is currently accepting public comment on the draft methodology options for RHNA. We welcome input from stakeholders throughout the six-county region and encourage their continued engagement during this process.

SCAG has shared through various communications channels information about its four public hearings and how members of the public can provide comments through Sept. 13 on the three options for determining local housing need. The proposed RHNA methodology options, as well as the dates, times and locations of the public hearings, are available on the RHNA webpage. SCAG will continue to provide updates on the RHNA process through its communications channels to provide transparency and opportunities to provide feedback.

FISCAL IMPACT:
None
ATTACHMENT(S):
1. RHNA Media and Social Media Report
RHNA MEDIA AND SOCIAL MEDIA MONITORING REPORT

RHNA Social Media Volume

RHNA Media Coverage Volume

Sentiment Score of Coverage

- Positive: 153
- Negative: 304
Sampling of Notable Posts

Scott Wiener
@Scott_Wiener

Some say “why not make #SB50 only applicable to cities that don’t meet their RHNA?” (RHNA are housing goals for cities.)

This is why. The efforts of regions & cities to suppress their RHNA numbers know no limit. RHNA is broken & not a good indicator of where we need housing.

Paavo Monkkonen @elpaavo

SCAG has released a proposed regional RHNA methodology that deliberately evades state law (SB 828). My summary is here: docs.google.com/document/d/1kX...

8:40 AM - 3 Jun 2019 from San Francisco, CA

33 Retweets 167 Likes

Liam Dillon
@dillonliam

This, as slow moving, wonky and inscrutable as it is, is the biggest housing fight going on right now with practical effects for zoning rules for housing in all of Southern California (except San Diego)

Paavo Monkkonen @elpaavo

SCAG has released a proposed regional RHNA methodology that deliberately evades state law (SB 828). My summary is here: docs.google.com/document/d/1kX...

2:22 PM - 3 Jun 2019

20 Retweets 70 Likes

Scott Wiener @Scott_Wiener · 1h

Replying to @dillonliam

Under no circumstance can this proposal by SCAG be allowed. RHNA is weak enough as is, w low numbers that don’t reflect actual housing need. The last thing we need is to allow cities to continue to game the system, ignore state law & exacerbate our already terrible housing crisis

3 Retweets 6 Likes 33 Likes
Henry Fung @calwatch · Jun 6
Regional Council @SCAGnews meeting for 6/6/2019 posted scag.iqm2.com/Citizens/Split...

Henry Fung @calwatch · Jun 6
cc @mleinreports @dillonliam

Liam Dillon @dillonliam

Replying to @calwatch @mleinreports

yes, thank you

Paavo Monkkonen @elpaavo

RHNA week part 2. I wrote a response to SCAG's draft distribution methodology. A less dramatic tuit - but an equally big (bigger?) deal than the regional number!

Response to SCAG Proposed RHNA Distribution Methodology Paavo Monkkonen, UCLA June 7, 2019 The Southern California Association of Governments ... docs.google.com

Paavo Monkkonen @elpaavo · Jun 7

a bigger deal because HCD has power over the regional number but less over the distribution method AFAIK AB 1771 is written in legalese

Liam Dillon @dillonliam

Southern California's regional government — known by the very unfortunate SCAG acronym — is about to hold a meeting on housing growth projections over the next decade. LA's @ericgarcetti is expected to be there to weigh in for the first time. Watch here: scag.iqm2.com/Citizens/Video...
Scott Wiener
@Scott_Wiener

The Southern CA Association of Governments - representing ~half of CA’s population - adopted a proposed housing goal falling far short of actual housing need. In doing so, SCAG ignored a law we passed last yr, #SB828. The state should reject this proposal.

Mayor Eric Garcetti
@MayorOfLA

Southern California is facing a severe housing shortage and affordability crisis. If we’re going to preserve the California dream, we need to set housing goals in high-opportunity areas that have access to new jobs and transit. Thank you @SCAGnews for this afternoon’s convening.

Henry Fung
@calwatch

#RHNA comment package posted by @SCAGnews
scag.ca.gov/programs/pages...

☑️ Full description of all three options
☑️ Copies of surveys submitted by jurisdictions on local factors and affirmatively furthering fair housing
☑️ Includes spreadsheet to estimate RHNA distributions
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Support

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
Senate Bill (SB) 664 would clarify state law to continue to allow the state’s tolling agencies to efficiently and responsibly use personally identifiable information collected from motorists that use toll lanes, while maintaining appropriate prohibitions against the improper sharing of that information. Staff recommends that the Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee (LCMC) forward a “support” position recommendation to the Regional Council on SB 664.

BACKGROUND:
Current law codifies uniform standards across the state’s tolling agencies to protect the personally identifiable information of motorists that use toll lanes and prohibits toll operators from selling or otherwise providing information to companies or organizations for marketing or inappropriate uses. Over recent months, a growing list of litigants, some petitioning for class-action status, have filed claims against transportation agencies and contractors throughout California. These lawsuits exploit the “otherwise provided” clause in existing law alleging that toll operators are violating the privacy restrictions placed on them when carrying out core aspects of operating toll facilities. Some examples include transit agencies sharing names and addresses of motorists as they travel through different jurisdictions to ensure interoperability of toll collection devices and technologies or communicating with the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to find appropriate contact information to notify toll violators.
SB 664
Introduced by Senator Ben Allen (D-Redondo Beach), SB 664 would reaffirm the ability of toll operators to use personally identifiable information that is collected for the purposes of enforcement, collection, notification activities, or maintaining an interoperable statewide toll system across agencies. Toll operators assert that SB 664 would help combat lawsuits levied against them that have resulted in more than $5 million in legal expenses statewide and potential damage claims in the billions of dollars.

SB 664 would apply retroactively and impact pending litigation. Opponents claim that changes to the law would give transit agencies immunity and allow them to skirt litigation that should instead be settled to addresses past violations.

The Assembly Transportation Committee approved SB 664 on July 1st with Chairman Jim Frazier abstaining to vote because he believed that the bill went further than originally described. SB 664 then moved out of the Assembly Privacy and Consumer Protection Committee by a vote of 11 to 0, on July 9th.

SB 664 will now be considered by the Assembly Appropriations Committee, which is chaired by Assembly Member Lorena Gonzalez (D-San Diego). A hearing date has not been scheduled. It should be noted that August 30th is the last day fiscal committees can approve legislation to then be considered by the entire Assembly or Senate, and the current legislative session will come to a close on September 13th.

Support
- Bay Area Council
- Bay Area Toll Authority
- California Transit Association
- Foothill Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency
- Golden Gate Bridge Highway and Transportation District
- HNTB Corporation
- Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
- Nees Consulting
- Orange County Business Council
- Orange County Transportation Authority
- Professional Engineers in California Government
- Riverside County Transportation Commission

Opposition
- American Civil Liberties Union of California
- California Alliance for Retired Americans
- California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation
- Coast Law Group, LLP
- Consumer Action
- Consumer Attorneys of California (unless amended)
- Consumer Federation of California
- Consumer Watchdog
- Consumers For Auto Reliability & Safety
- Electronic Frontier Foundation
- Gutride Safier LLP (unless amended)
- Lawyers’ Committee for Civil Rights
- Older Women’s League
- Privacy Rights Clearinghouse
- The Utility Reform Network
Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends a support position for SB 664 consistent with Regional Council-adopted policy and legislative priorities to support and preserve all existing sources of transportation funding and revenue.

SB 664 enjoys regional consensus, with many transportation stakeholders in the SCAG region submitting support. SB 664 will clarify perceived gray areas as it relates to the personally identifiable information of motorists that use toll lanes, while responsibly defending the vital public interest in the operation and maintenance of a comprehensive transportation infrastructure.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None
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STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
The Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act funds federal highway and public transportation programs through September 30, 2020. Recently, the Senate Environment and Public Works (EPW) Committee introduced a bi-partisan bill that will become the highway portion of next surface transportation authorization bill to replace the FAST Act. This report provides an update on the reauthorization process.

BACKGROUND:
Congress periodically authorizes spending on federal highway, mass transit, surface transportation safety and research, and some rail programs through surface transportation reauthorization acts. The most recent is the FAST Act that funds transportation programs through September 30, 2020. It reflects the ongoing federal commitment and investment in the nation’s surface transportation system. Federal funding and financing are also critical to match state, local, and private sector investment in the expansion, operations, and maintenance of transportation systems.

A handful of policy and fiscal committees in the House and Senate have partial jurisdiction over a new surface transportation authorization bill. The Senate EPW Committee has jurisdiction over highway programs, the Commerce Committee over safety and some rail programs, the Banking Committee over public transit, and the Finance Committee over identifying funding sources to pay for new or existing spending. Each committee will produce its respective bill then combine them on the Senate floor into a surface transportation authorization bill. A similar process will unfold in the House between the Transportation and Infrastructure (T&I) Committee and Ways and Means
On July 29, 2019, the Senate EPW Committee introduced Senate Bill (S.) 2302, the "America's Transportation Infrastructure Act" (ATIA), a bipartisan bill to reauthorize the highway and highway research titles of the FAST Act. The following day, the EPW Committee approved the bill by a vote of 21 to 0. The proposed ATIA bill authorizes $287 billion over five years for highway and research programs, representing a 27 percent increase over the FAST Act funding level of $225 billion. The bill distributes 90 percent of funding to states by formula and includes a first-ever “Climate Change” title. Below are a few of the highlights of the bill.

- Introduces a new congestion relief grant program that provides $40 million each year for five years to advance innovative, integrated, and multimodal solutions in the most congested metropolitan areas with populations over one million.
- Establishes a new bridge investment grant program that provides $6.5 billion over five years to rehabilitate or replace structurally deficient bridges.
- Increases funding for the Infrastructure for Rebuilding America (INFRA) grant program, which primarily funds large highway and bridge projects, to $5.5 billion over five years. In addition, the bill increases the amount of funding within the program for intermodal projects like freight rail from $500 million to $1.65 billion.
- Increases funding for the freight formula program from $6.3 billion to $8.5 billion over five years. It also increases funding available to multimodal projects from a 10 percent cap to a 30 percent cap and expands eligibility to locks, dams, and marine highways projects.
- Includes provisions to streamline project delivery and codifies the 2017 Trump Administration “One Federal Decision” Executive Order, which requires all federal agencies to work together on a single decision for environmental project reviews.
- Introduces a new formula program, the Carbon Reduction Incentive Program, which provides $3 billion over five years to reduce highway-related carbon emissions. Eligible activities include public transit projects and facilities for pedestrian and bicyclists, including the conversion and use of rail corridors for pedestrian and bike trails.
- Establishes a new competitive grant program that provides $1 billion over five years for states and localities to build and deploy hydrogen, natural gas, and electric vehicle fueling infrastructure along designated highway corridors.

The remaining Senate and House committees have not released their titles of the surface transportation authorization bill. House T&I Committee Chairman Peter DeFazio (Oregon) hopes to have a bill ready by the end of 2019 or the start of 2020.

There are several challenges to completing a transportation authorization bill. The Highway Trust Fund has provided most of the funding for surface transportation authorization bills since the fund was created in 1956, but revenues from highway taxes, like gasoline and diesel fuel, have declined
in recent years due to the condition of the economy and improvements in vehicle fuel efficiency. Consequently, passing a multi-year bill without cutting infrastructure spending, raising fuel taxes, or increasing the budget deficit is an underlying theme in the ongoing debate.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**
None
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RECOMMENDED ACTION:
For Information Only – No Action Required

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
On August 2, 2019, President Trump signed H.R. 3877 – the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2019 – into law. This bill increases discretionary spending limits for defense and nondefense spending for fiscal years (FY) 2020 and 2021. An agreement to raise the spending limits was required in order to prevent mandatory budget cuts, known as sequestration, required by the Budget Control Act of 2011. With H.R. 3877 in place, Congress will proceed with passing a budget for FY 2020. This report outlines the numbers agreed to in H.R. 3877 and the following steps in the budget process.

BACKGROUND:
FY 2020 begins on October 1, 2019, meaning that a budget or a continuing resolution must be signed by President Trump on September 30, 2019. Failure to pass a budget or a continuing resolution by midnight on September 30 would result in a government shutdown. As of writing on August 14, both chambers of Congress remain on August recess and are scheduled to return to Washington D.C. on September 9, 2019. Once back in session, Congress has only 13 working days to agree on a budget, increasing the likelihood of a continuing resolution.

H.R. 3877
H.R. 3877 represents an agreement between the White House and Congressional leaders to suspend mandatory discretionary cuts, known as sequestration, required by the Budget Control Act of 2011. H.R. 3877 sets the top-line number for FY 2020 at $1.37 trillion, with $738 billion for defense spending and $632 billion in nondefense spending. The top-line numbers will guide how Congress funds the 12 different appropriations bills. H.R. 3877 passed the House by a vote of 284-
149 and the Senate by a vote of 67-28. With H.R. 3877 signed into law, Congress can proceed with finalizing the FY 2020 budget. The following sections highlight the actions taken by each chamber.

House of Representatives
The House passed 10 of the 12 spending bills for FY 2020, which includes two “minibuses” and one standalone bill. H.R. 2740, the first minibus, includes the following appropriation bills: 1) Defense; 2) Energy and Water Operations; 3) Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education; and 4) State and Foreign Operations. H.R. 3055, the second minibus, includes: 5) Agriculture; 6) Commerce, Justice, Science; 7) Interior and Environment; 8) Military and Veteran Affairs; and 9) Transportation, Housing, and Urban Development. The House also passed H.R. 3351, which funds 10) Financial Services and General Government. Funding measures for 11) Homeland Security and 12) Legislative Branch remain outstanding due to disagreements on certain policy issues.

It is worth noting that the H.R. 2740, H.R. 3055, and H.R. 3351 were passed by the House before a spending limit was in place. With H.R. 3877 signed into law and detailing amounts for defense and nondefense spending, the House must adjust its funding totals as the top-line numbers set by H.R. 3877 differ from House spending.

**House Defense Spending**
For defense spending, the House totals have room to grow. The House bill dealing with defense currently totals $664 billion and the spending limit is at $666.5 billion. Thus, an additional $2.5 billion can be spent under the deal reached by lawmakers subject to the limits.

**House Nondefense Spending**
Conversely, nondefense spending must be adjusted in the remaining 11 House spending bills in order to meet the limit imposed by H.R. 3877. Nondefense spending in the House totals $631 billion, but H.R. 3877 sets the limit at $621.5 billion, indicating that nondefense spending has to shrink by about $9.5 billion or 1.5%. Because of this funding discrepancy, the House will have to prioritize certain programs and funding levels and negotiate with the Senate to reach an agreement.

Senate
The Senate has differed in its approach to the 12 appropriation bills by waiting until a spending limit agreement was in place. With H.R. 3877 now in effect, the Senate will move forward on passing its 12 bills once it returns from August recess. Senate Appropriations Committee Chairman Richard Shelby (R-Ala.) stated that it is unlikely that all 12 bills will be cleared by the Senate before the end of FY 2020, signaling that a continuing resolution is likely. To reiterate, Congress has 13 working days after returning from August recess to pass a federal budget.
FISCAL IMPACT:
None