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8838 E. Valley Blvd.  
Rosemead, CA 91770

**Margaret Finlay**  
2221 Rim Rd.  
Duarte, CA 91008

**Curt Hagman**  
San Bernardino County Government Center  
385 N. Arrowhead Ave. – 5th Floor  
San Bernardino, CA 92415

**Steve Manos**  
Lake Elsinore City Hall – Conf. Rm. B  
130 S. Main St.  
Lake Elsinore, CA 92530

**Ray Marquez**  
Chino Hills Government Center  
City Council Office – 2nd Fl.  
14000 City Center Dr.  
Chino Hills, CA 91709

**Rex Richardson**  
Long Beach City Hall  
333 W. Ocean Blvd. – 14th Floor  
Long Beach, CA 90802

**Ali Saleh**  
Bell City Hall  
6330 Pine Ave.  
Bell, CA 90201

**Donald Wagner**  
Orange County Hall of Administration  
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The Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee may consider and act upon any of the items listed on the agenda regardless of whether they are listed as information or action items.

**CALL TO ORDER, PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE, and ROLL CALL**
(Hon. Clint Lorimore, Chair)

**PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD**
Members of the public desiring to speak on items on the agenda, or items not on the agenda, but within the purview of the Committee, must fill out and present a Public Comment Card to the Assistant prior to speaking. Comments will be limited to three (3) minutes per speaker. The Chair has the discretion to reduce the time limit based upon the number of speakers and may limit the total time for all public comments to twenty (20) minutes.

**REVIEW AND PRIORITIZE AGENDA ITEMS**

**CONSENT CALENDAR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approval Items</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Minutes of the April 16, 2019 Meeting</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. SCAG Memberships and Sponsorships</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. AB 335 (Garcia) – Imperial County Transportation Commission</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Receive and File**

4. Legislative Tracking Report                                                | 9    |

**ACTION ITEMS**

5. Proposed LCMC Meeting Dates, June 2019 – May 2020  
   (Kevin Gilhooley, Legislation Manager)                                      | 39   |
   **Recommended Action:** Approve

6. AB 11 (Chiu) – Community Redevelopment Law of 2019  
   (Estee Sepulveda, Legislative Analyst)                                      | 43   |
   **Recommended Action:** Support
ACTION ITEMS (CONTINUED)

7. AB 47 (Daly, Frazier) – Driver Records: Points: Distracted Driving  
(Melvin Sanchez, Legislative Aide)  
Recommended Action: Support

8. S.923 (Feinstein) – Fighting Homelessness Through Services and  
Housing Act  
(Kevin Gilhooley, Legislation Manager)  
Recommended Action: Support

INFORMATION ITEMS

9. 2019 Regional Conference and General Assembly – Post-Event Recap  
(Margaret de Larios, Public Affairs Specialist)  
Attachment 55

10. Policy and Public Affairs Division Update  
(Art Yoon, Director of Policy and Public Affairs)  
Oral Report

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Any Committee member or staff desiring to place items on a future agenda may make such a request.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

ADJOURNMENT

The next regular meeting of the Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee is scheduled for 8:30 a.m. on Tuesday, June 18, 2019 at SCAG’s headquarters at 900 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90017.
To: Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee (LCMC)
From: Art Yoon; Director of Policy and Public Affairs; (213) 236-1840; artyoon@scag.ca.gov
Subject: Minutes of the April 16, 2019 Meeting

The Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee held its April 16, 2019 meeting at SCAG’s offices at 900 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90017.

MEMBERS PRESENT
Imperial County
Cheryl Viegas-Walker, District 1

Los Angeles County
Hon. Margaret Clark, District 32
Hon. Margaret Finlay, District 35
Hon. Judy Mitchell, District 40
Hon. Rex Richardson, District 29
Hon. Ali Saleh, District 27

Orange County
Hon. Donald Wagner, District 14

Riverside County
Hon. Clint Lorimore, District 4
Hon. Steve Manos, District 63

San Bernardino County
Hon. Curt Hagman, San Bernardino County
Hon. Ray Marquez, District 10
Hon. James Mulvihill, District 7
Hon. Alan Wapner, San Bernardino County Transportation Authority

Ventura County
Hon. Carmen Ramirez, District 45

CALL TO ORDER
The meeting was called to order by the Chair, Hon. Clint Lorimore, at 8:35 a.m. A quorum was confirmed and roll-call taken.
PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD
There were no public comments presented.

REVIEW AND PRIORITIZE AGENDA ITEMS
There was no reprioritization of the Agenda.

CONSENT CALENDAR

1. Minutes of the March 19, 2019 Meeting

2. Legislative Tracking Report

A MOTION was made (Finlay) to APPROVE the Consent Calendar.

The MOTION was SECONDED (Marquez) and APPROVED by a majority vote. A roll-call vote was taken and recorded as follows:

AYES: Clark, Finlay, Lorimore, Marquez, Mulvihill, Ramirez, Richardson, Viegas-Walker, Wapner (9).

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ACTION ITEMS

3. AB 252 (Daly) – Department of Transportation: Environmental Review Process: Federal Program

Estee Sepulveda, Legislative Analyst, provided a summary of Assembly Bill (AB) 252, which would remove the sunset clause date giving indefinite consent to Caltrans to continue assuming certain National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) responsibilities over transportation projects.

A MOTION was made (Mulvihill) to APPROVE the SUPPORT position on AB 252. The MOTION was SECONDED (Marquez) and APPROVED by a majority vote. A roll-call vote was taken and recorded as follows:

AYES: Clark, Finlay, Lorimore, Marquez, Mulvihill, Ramirez, Richardson, Viegas-Walker, Wagner, Wapner (10).

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None
4. **AB 1093 (Rubio) – Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems: Financial Capability Analysis**

Ms. Sepulveda also provided a summary of AB 1093, a bill that would require the State Water Board to establish Financial Capability Assessment (FCA) guidelines for cities and counties required to comply with the Clean Water Act, the purpose of which would help local governments assess stormwater compliance costs. Katie Ward, Senior Management Analyst from the San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments, was also present to assist with answering any questions from the Committee.

Questions from the Committee focused on whether there was any opposition to the bill and why a previous iteration of the bill was not signed by then-Governor Jerry Brown.

A MOTION was made (Clark) to APPROVE the SUPPORT position on AB 1093. The MOTION was SECONDED (Finlay) and APPROVED by a majority vote. A roll-call vote was taken and recorded as follows:

**AYES:** Clark, Finlay, Lorimore, Manos, Marquez, Mitchell, Mulvihill, Ramirez, Richardson, Viegas-Walker, Wagner, Wapner (12).

**NOES:** None

**ABSTAIN:** None

5. **Update from the Coalition for America’s Gateways and Trade Corridors**

Katie Cross, Manager of Member Communications and Policy at the Coalition for America’s Gateways and Trade Corridors (CAGTC), provided the Committee with an update on various federal news and programs, including the upcoming 2020 Transportation Reauthorization bill, the potential for an infrastructure bill, and the Better Utilizing Investments to Leverage Development (BUILD) and Infrastructure for Rebuilding America (INFRA) grants programs.

6. **Communications Update**

Margaret de Larios, Public Affairs Specialist, provided the Committee with an update on current media efforts, including the release of SCAG’s Mobility Go Zone and Pricing Feasibility Study, which was featured in numerous regional and national media outlets and reached an audience of 50 million people. Ms. de Larios also noted that SCAG’s Active Transportation team had received the American Planning Association’s National Award for Public Outreach for the Go Human Campaign. Ms. de Larios finished her remarks by highlighting SCAG’s 2019 Regional Conference and General Assembly and associated media announcements.

7. **Policy and Public Affairs Division Update**

Art Yoon, Director of Policy and Public Affairs, began his remarks by providing an update on SCAG’s upcoming Washington D.C. visit on May 15-17, including messaging for the trip and
mentioning the meetings that have been scheduled. Mr. Yoon finished his remarks by recognizing Hon. Donald Wagner, recently elected to the Orange County Board of Supervisors, Hon. James Mulvihill, outgoing member of the Regional Council, and Hon. Clint Lorimore for his year of service as Chairman of the Committee. Hon. Lorimore was presented with a certificate of appreciation from the Office of State Senator Richard Roth.

**FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS**
There were no future agenda items presented.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS**
There were no announcements presented.

**ADJOURNMENT**
Chair Lorimore adjourned the meeting at 9:16 a.m. The next regular meeting of the Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee is scheduled for 8:30 a.m. – 10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, May 21, 2019 at SCAG’s offices at 900 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90017.

**REVIEWED BY:**

[Signature]

Art Yoon, Director of Policy and Public Affairs
To: Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee (LCMC)  
From: Javiera Cartagena; Manager of Regional Services; (213) 236-1980; cartagena@scag.ca.gov  
Subject: SCAG Memberships and Sponsorships

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Approve

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
The Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee (LCMC) is asked to approve up to $12,500 in sponsorships for the University of Southern California Sol Price School of Public Policy – Executive Education (EXED) Program.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan, Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

BACKGROUND:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>University of Southern California Sol Price School of Public Policy – Executive Education (EXED) Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Sponsorship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>$12,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Executive Education (EXED) Forum for Policy at the University of Southern California (USC) Sol Price School of Public Policy (Price) offers a broad-based specialized non-degree certificate programs for local and global leaders. The EXED Forum is a suite of programs targeting public sector and other senior, mid-level, and emerging leaders, and is designed to deepen their understanding of substantive policy issues, augment their ability to leverage and increase existing public sector capacity, and foster leadership – all with the purpose of improving public and nonprofit administration and solving public problems. The Forum achieves this by bringing together world-renowned faculty of USC Price, experienced practitioners and a dynamic curriculum to teach and reach across boundaries.

The EXED Forum offers two programs: 1) Local Leaders Program, and 2) Global Leaders Program. The Local Leaders Program is designed for local elected officials and offers a focused curriculum in ethics, governance, leadership, and public policy to promote and enhance commitment to public value and to reach across sectors. The target audiences for this program are mayors, council members, supervisors, and special district board members.

SCAG has been a supporter of the USC Price EXED Forum since the 2011-2012 program and is listed on their website as a Strategic/Sponsoring Partner. Several SCAG cities have participated in the Local Leaders Program.
Leaders Program, including former SCAG Presidents Greg Pettis, Pam O’Connor, Larry McCallon, and Alan Wapner. SCAG staff is recommending a sponsorship in the amount of $12,500.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**
$12,500 for sponsorships is included in the approved FY 18-19 General Fund budget.

**ATTACHMENT(S):**
None

**REVIEWED BY:**

[Signatures]

Art Yoon, Director of Policy and Public Affairs

Basil Panas, Chief Financial Officer
To: Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee (LCMC)
From: Melvin Sanchez; Legislative Aide; (213) 236-1850; sanchez@scag.ca.gov
Subject: AB 335 (Garcia) – Imperial County Transportation Commission

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Support

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
The passage of Senate Bill (SB) 607 in 2009 created the Imperial County Transportation Commission (ICTC), a successor agency to the Imperial Valley Association of Governments (IVAG). ICTC is responsible for preparing a regional transportation plan, including a transportation improvement program, and administering funds deposited in the local transportation fund. Assembly Bill (AB) 335 would expand ICTC’s scope of authority to include other non-transportation related programs. AB 335 explicitly limits the use of transportation funds to only its transportation planning and programming responsibilities. Staff recommends that the Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee (LCMC) forward a support position recommendation to the Regional Council on AB 335.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan, Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

BACKGROUND:
Signed by Governor Schwarzenegger in 2009, SB 607 created ICTC, which is responsible for preparing a regional transportation plan, including a transportation improvement program, and administering funds deposited in the local transportation fund. ICTC is also responsible for planning, programming, and administering regional transit services and promoting citizen participation in the development and implementation of transportation-related plans and programs in Imperial County.

AB 335
Introduced by Assemblymember Eduardo Garcia (D-Coachella) on January 31, 2019, AB 335 would expand ICTC’s scope of authority to include other non-transportation related programs, which are defined in the bill as animal control services, waste management services, emergency response services, and multi-agency communication services during countywide natural disasters. ICTC can move forward with the development and implementation of these non-transportation related programs only after its governing board adopts a resolution by a majority vote. AB 335 also allows ICTC to manage the county’s Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies (SAFE) program upon adoption of a resolution by its governing board and upon ratification of the resolution by the County of Imperial Board of Supervisors and by the city councils of the cities within the county. AB 335 explicitly limits the use of transportation dollars to only its transportation planning and programming
responsibilities. AB 335 passed out of the California Assembly by a vote of 76-0. AB 335 is currently awaiting consideration in the Senate Committee on Rules. A hearing date has not yet been set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Opposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imperial County Transportation Commission (Sponsor)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Association of Councils of Governments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Brawley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of El Centro</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Imperial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Imperial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Staff Recommendation**

Staff recommends a support position for AB 335 consistent with Regional Council-adopted policy and legislative priorities to protect all existing and new sources of transportation funding from borrowing or use for any purpose other than transportation.

AB 335 enjoys local consensus, with most of the local governments in the County of Imperial submitting letters of support, and no recorded opposition. The bill would allow local governments to share economies of scale through regional cooperation on regional issues while also explicitly limiting transportation funding for transportation-related purposes only.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

None

**ATTACHMENT(S):**

None
To: Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee (LCMC)
From: Melvin Sanchez; Legislative Aide; (213) 236-1850; sanchez@scag.ca.gov
Subject: Legislative Tracking Report

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Receive and File

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
The Legislative Tracking Report is provided to keep the Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee (LCMC) apprised of any developments related to bills in Sacramento that have a nexus to the Regional Council’s positions on policies and legislative initiatives related to SCAG’s core planning and policy areas. Staff will update the report constantly with emerging legislation and welcomes the Committee’s input on bills it would like to follow.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan, Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

BACKGROUND:
SCAG’s Legislative Tracking Report serves as a resource for the Committee to remain up-to-date on bills moving through the legislative process in Sacramento. Bills included in the tracking report have a nexus to the Regional Council’s positions on policies and legislative initiatives related to SCAG’s core planning and policy areas. Staff welcomes the Committee’s input on bills it would like to follow throughout the legislative session.

FISCAL IMPACT:
None

ATTACHMENT:
1) Legislative Tracking Report
This Page Intentionally Left Blank
AB 10  (Chiu D)  Income taxes: credits low-income housing: farmworker housing.
Introduced: 12/3/2018
Last Amended: 4/30/2019
Location: 5/15/2019-A. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

Calendar:
5/16/2019 Upon adjournment of Session - State Capitol, Room 4202 ASSEMBLY APPROPRIATIONS
SUSPENSE, GONZALEZ, Chair

Summary:
Would, under the law governing the taxation of insurers, the Personal Income Tax Law, and the
Corporation Tax Law, for the 2020 to 2024 calendar years, inclusive, would increase the aggregate
housing credit dollar amount that may be allocated among low-income housing projects by an
additional $500,000,000, as specified, and would allocate to farmworker housing projects $25,000,000
per year of that amount. The bill, under those laws, would modify the definition of applicable
percentage relating to qualified low-income buildings to depend on whether the building is a new or
existing building and federally subsidized, or a building that is, among other things, at least 15 years
old, serving households of very low income or extremely low income, and will complete substantial
rehabilitation, as specified.

History:
2018
Dec. 3 Read first time. To print.
Dec. 4 From printer. May be heard in committee January 3.
2019
Jan. 17 Referred to Coms. on H. & C.D. and REV. & TAX.
Mar. 27 Coauthors revised. From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on REV. & TAX. (Ayes 8. Noes 0.) (March 27). Re-referred to Com. on REV. & TAX.
Mar. 28 Measure version as revised on March 27 corrected.
Apr. 29 In committee: Hearing for testimony only.
Apr. 30 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on REV. & TAX.
Read second time and amended.
May. 1 Re-referred to Com. on REV. & TAX.
May. 7 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 11. Noes 0.) (May 6). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 15 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.

Attachments:
Support Letter

Organization:  SCAG
Position:  Support

Introduced: 12/3/2018
Last Amended: 4/11/2019
Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Location: 4/25/2019-A. APPR.

Summary:
Current law dissolved redevelopment agencies as of February 1, 2012, and designates successor
agencies to act as successor entities to the dissolved redevelopment agencies. This bill, the Community
Redevelopment Law of 2019, would authorize a city or county, or two or more cities acting jointly, to
propose the formation of an affordable housing and infrastructure agency by adoption of a resolution
of intention that meets specified requirements, including that the resolution of intention include a
passthrough provision and an override passthrough provision, as defined.

History:
2018
Dec. 3 Read first time. To print.
Dec. 4 From printer. May be heard in committee January 3.
2019
Jan. 17 Referred to Coms. on H. & C.D. and L. GOV.
Mar. 26 In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.
Apr. 10 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on L. GOV. (Ayes 6. Noes 2.) (April 10). Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV.
Apr. 11 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on L. GOV. Read second time and amended.
Apr. 22 Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV.
Apr. 25 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 6. Noes 2.) (April 24). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

AB 29 (Holden D) State Highway Route 710.
Introduced: 12/3/2018
Last Amended: 5/13/2019

Summary:
Current law provides that the Department of Transportation has full possession and control of all state highways and associated property. Current law designates and describes state highway routes, and also describes the state highway routes in the California freeway and expressway system, including all of Route 710 in the County of Los Angeles. This bill would remove the portion of Route 710 located north of Route 10 from the California freeway and expressway system.

History:
2018
Dec. 3 Read first time. To print.
Dec. 4 From printer. May be heard in committee January 3.

2019
Jan. 17 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Mar. 26 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 11. Noes 0.) (March 25). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 10 From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 12. Noes 1.) (April 10).
Apr. 11 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
May. 13 Read third time and amended. Ordered to third reading.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

AB 40 (Ting D) Zero-emission vehicles: comprehensive strategy.
Introduced: 12/3/2018
Status: 4/26/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was TRANS. on 1/24/2019)
(May be acted upon Jan 2020)
Location: 4/26/2019-A. 2 YEAR

Summary:
Would, no later than January 1, 2021, require the State Air Resources Board to develop a comprehensive strategy to ensure that the sales of new motor vehicles and new light-duty trucks in the state have transitioned fully to zero-emission vehicles, as defined, by 2040, as specified.

History:
2018
Dec. 3 Read first time. To print.
Dec. 4 From printer. May be heard in committee January 3.

2019
Jan. 24 Referred to Coms. on TRANS. and NAT. RES.
Apr. 8 In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.

Organization: SCAG
**AB 47**  
**Daly**  
**Driver records: points: distracted driving.**

**Introduced:** 12/3/2018  
**Last Amended:** 1/23/2019  
**Status:** 5/8/2019-In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.

**Location:** 5/8/2019-A. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Desk Policy</th>
<th>Fiscal</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Desk Policy</th>
<th>Fiscal</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Conf. Conc.</th>
<th>Enrolled</th>
<th>Vetoed</th>
<th>Chaptered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2nd House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Calendar:**  
5/16/2019 Upon adjournment of Session - State Capitol, Room 4202  
ASSEMBLY APPROPRIATIONS SUSPENSE, GONZALEZ, Chair

**Summary:**  
Current law establishes that specified convictions and violations under the Vehicle Code and traffic-related incidents count as points against a driver’s record for purposes of suspension or revocation of the privilege to drive and that certain other violations do not result in a violation point. Current law also generally provides that traffic convictions involving the safe operation of a motor vehicle result in a violation point. Current law provides an exemption for the electronic device violations described above from being counted as points against a driver’s record for purposes of suspension or revocation of the privilege to drive. This bill would abolish that exemption for violations occurring on or after January 1, 2021, and would expressly make those electronic device violations subject to a violation point against the driver’s record.

**History:**  
2018  
Dec. 3 Read first time. To print.  
Dec. 4 From printer. May be heard in committee January 3.

2019  
Jan. 17 Referred to Com. on TRANS.  
Jan. 23 From committee chair, with author’s amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. Read second time and amended.  
Jan. 24 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.  
Jan. 31 Measure version as amended on January 23 corrected.  
Mar. 12 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 13. Noes 0.) (March 11). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.  
Mar. 20 In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.  
May. 8 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.

**Organization:** SCAG  
**Position:** Tracking

---

**AB 148**  
**Quirk-Silva**  
**Regional transportation plans: sustainable communities strategies.**

**Introduced:** 12/14/2018  
**Status:** 4/26/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was TRANS. on 1/24/2019) (May be acted upon Jan 2020)  
**Location:** 4/26/2019-A. 2 YEAR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Desk</th>
<th>2 year</th>
<th>Fiscal</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Desk</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Fiscal</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Conf. Conc.</th>
<th>Enrolled</th>
<th>Vetoed</th>
<th>Chaptered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2nd House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary:**  
Current law requires certain transportation planning agencies to prepare and adopt a regional transportation plan directed at achieving a coordinated and balanced regional transportation system. Current law requires the regional transportation plan to include, if the transportation planning agency is also a metropolitan planning organization, a sustainable communities strategy. This bill would require each sustainable communities strategy to identify areas within the region sufficient to house an 8-year projection of the emergency shelter needs for the region, as specified.

**History:**  
2018  
Dec. 14 Introduced. To print.  
Dec. 15 From printer. May be heard in committee January 14.

2019  
Jan. 7 Read first time.  
Jan. 24 Referred to Coms. on TRANS. and NAT. RES.

**Organization:** SCAG  
**Position:** Tracking
AB 185  (Grayson D)  California Transportation Commission: transportation policies: joint meetings.
Introduced: 1/10/2019
Location: 5/9/2019-S. DESK

Summary:
Current law creates the California Transportation Commission, with various powers and duties relative to the programming of transportation capital projects and allocation of funds to those projects pursuant to the state transportation improvement program and various other transportation funding programs. Existing law requires the commission and the State Air Resources Board to hold at least 2 joint meetings per calendar year to coordinate their implementation of transportation policies. This bill would require the Department of Housing and Community Development to participate in those joint meetings.

History:
2019
Jan. 10 Read first time. To print.
Jan. 11 From printer. May be heard in committee February 10.
Feb. 4 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Apr. 23 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 15. Noes 0.) (April 22). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 1 From committee: Do pass. To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 18. Noes 0.) (May 1).
May. 2 Read second time. Ordered to Consent Calendar.
May. 9 Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Senate. In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.

Attachments:
Support Letter

Organization: SCAG
Position: Support

AB 252  (Daly D)  Department of Transportation: environmental review process: federal program.
Introduced: 1/23/2019
Location: 3/20/2019-A. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

Summary:
Current federal law requires the United States Secretary of Transportation to carry out a surface transportation project delivery program, under which the participating states may assume certain responsibilities for environmental review and clearance of transportation projects that would otherwise be the responsibility of the federal government. Current law, until January 1, 2020, provides that the State of California consents to the jurisdiction of the federal courts with regard to the compliance, discharge, or enforcement of the responsibilities it assumed as a participant in the program. This bill would extend the operation of these provisions indefinitely.

History:
2019
Jan. 23 Read first time. To print.
Jan. 24 From printer. May be heard in committee February 23.
Feb. 7 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Mar. 12 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 15. Noes 0.) (March 11). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Mar. 20 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

AB 335  (Garcia, Eduardo D)  Imperial County Transportation Commission.
Introduced: 1/31/2019
Summary:
Current law requires the Imperial County Transportation Commission to prepare a short-range transportation plan and a specified short-range transit plan, and to administer certain transportation moneys. Current law authorizes the commission to use up to 3% of those transportation moneys for purposes of carrying out its planning and programming responsibilities. This bill would expressly limit the use of those transportation moneys to only its transportation planning and programming responsibilities. The bill would authorize the commission, in cooperation with local agencies, as defined, that elect to participate, to assist those agencies in the coordination, administration, and implementation of programs and policies.

History:
2019
Jan. 31 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 1 From printer. May be heard in committee March 3.
Mar. 7 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Mar. 11 From committee chair, with author’s amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. Read second time and amended.
Mar. 12 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Mar. 27 From committee chair, with author’s amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. Read second time and amended.
Mar. 28 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Apr. 23 From committee: Do pass. To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 15. Noes 0.) (April 22).
Apr. 24 Read second time. Ordered to Consent Calendar.
Apr. 29 Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Senate. (Ayes 76. Noes 0. Page 1405.) In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.
May. 8 Referred to Com. on TRANS.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking


Introduced: 2/4/2019
Last Amended: 3/14/2019
Location: 4/10/2019-A, APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

Calendar:
5/16/2019 Upon adjournment of Session - State Capitol, Room 4202 ASSEMBLY APPROPRIATIONS SUSPENSE, GONZALEZ, Chair

Summary:
Would, beginning July 1, 2020, would require state agencies administering competitive grant programs that allocate moneys from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund to give specified communities preferential points during grant application scoring for programs intended to improve air quality, to include a specified application timeline, to allow applicants from the Counties of Imperial and San Diego to include daytime population numbers in grant applications, and to prohibit grant eligibility and scoring criteria from precluding low-income communities, as defined, from applying for or being awarded a grant.

History:
2019
Feb. 4 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 5 From printer. May be heard in committee March 7.
Feb. 11 Referred to Com. on NAT. RES.
Mar. 14 From committee chair, with author’s amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on NAT. RES. Read second time and amended.
Mar. 18 Re-referred to Com. on NAT. RES.
Mar. 26 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 8. Noes 0.) (March 25). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 10 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.
**AB 380**  (Frazier D)  Office of the Transportation Inspector General.  
**Introduced:** 2/5/2019  
**Last Amended:** 3/21/2019  
**Status:** 5/1/2019-In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.  
**Location:** 5/1/2019-A. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Desk Policy Fiscal Floor</th>
<th>Desk Policy Fiscal Floor</th>
<th>Conf. Conc.</th>
<th>Enrolled</th>
<th>Vetoed</th>
<th>Chaptered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st House</td>
<td>2nd House</td>
<td>Conf. Conc.</td>
<td>Enrolled</td>
<td>Vetoed</td>
<td>Chaptered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Calendar:**  
5/16/2019  Upon adjournment of Session - State Capitol, Room 4202  ASSEMBLY APPROPRIATIONS SUSPENSE, GONZALEZ, Chair  

**Summary:**  
Would eliminate the Independent Office of Audits and Investigations and would instead create the Independent Office of the Transportation Inspector General in state government, as an independent office that would not be a subdivision of any other government entity, to ensure that specified state agencies and all external entities that receive state and federal transportation funds are operating efficiently, effectively, and in compliance with federal and state laws.  

**History:**  
2019  
Feb. 5 Read first time. To print.  
Feb. 6 From printer. May be heard in committee March 8.  
Feb. 15 Referred to Coms. on TRANS. and A. & A.R.  
Mar. 21 In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on A. & A.R. Read second time and amended.  
Mar. 25 Re-referred to Com. on A. & A.R.  
Apr. 10 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 7. Noes 0.) (April 10). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.  
Apr. 24 In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.  
May. 1 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.  

**Organization:**  SCAG  
**Position:**  Tracking  

**AB 516**  (Chiu D)  Authority to remove vehicles.  
**Introduced:** 2/13/2019  
**Last Amended:** 3/28/2019  
**Status:** 5/14/2019-In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.  
**Location:** 5/14/2019-S. RLS.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Desk Policy Fiscal Floor</th>
<th>Desk Policy Fiscal Floor</th>
<th>Conf. Conc.</th>
<th>Enrolled</th>
<th>Vetoed</th>
<th>Chaptered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st House</td>
<td>2nd House</td>
<td>Conf. Conc.</td>
<td>Enrolled</td>
<td>Vetoed</td>
<td>Chaptered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary:**  
Current law authorizes a peace officer and specified public employees, as an alternative to removal of a vehicle, to immobilize the vehicle with a device designed and manufactured for that purpose, if, among other circumstances, the vehicle is found upon a highway or public lands by the peace officer or employee and it is known to have been issued 5 or more notices of parking violations that are delinquent because the owner or person in control of the vehicle has not responded to the appropriate agency within a designated time period. This bill would delete the authority of a peace officer or public employee, as appropriate, to remove or immobilize a vehicle under those circumstances.  

**History:**  
2019  
Feb. 13 Read first time. To print.  
Feb. 14 From printer. May be heard in committee March 16.  
Mar. 28 Referred to Com. on TRANS. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. Read second time and amended.  
Apr. 1 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.  
Apr. 23 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 12. Noes 0.) (April 22). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.  
May. 1 Coauthors revised. From committee: Do pass. To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 18. Noes 0.) (May 1).  
May. 2 Read second time. Ordered to Consent Calendar.
May. 9 From Consent Calendar. Ordered to third reading.

May. 13 Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Senate.

May. 14 In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.

**AB 738**  (Mullin D)  Regional housing need allocation: County of San Mateo.

**Introduced:** 2/19/2019

**Last Amended:** 3/21/2019

**Status:** 4/26/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was H. & C.D. on 3/21/2019)(May be acted upon Jan 2020)

**Location:** 4/26/2019-A. 2 YEAR

**Summary:**
Would, until January 31, 2031, authorize the County of San Mateo (county) or a jurisdiction within the county, if the county or the jurisdiction contributes affordable housing funds to a deed-restricted affordable housing development in another jurisdiction in the county or to a housing joint powers authority serving the county, and if certain conditions are met, including that the contributing and receiving jurisdictions are in agreement, to report, in proportion to the amount of funds contributed, the associated completed entitlements, building permits, or a certificates of occupancy on the contributing jurisdiction's annual production report.

**History:**

2019

Feb. 19 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 20 From printer. May be heard in committee March 22.
Mar. 21 Referred to Coms. on H. & C.D and L. GOV. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on H. & C.D. Read second time and amended.
Mar. 25 Re-referred to Com. on H. & C.D.
Apr. 3 In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.
Apr. 10 In committee: Set, second hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.

**AB 752**  (Gabriel D)  Public transit: transit stations: lactation rooms.

**Introduced:** 2/19/2019

**Last Amended:** 4/11/2019

**Status:** 5/8/2019-In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.

**Location:** 5/8/2019-A. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

**Summary:**
Would require a multimodal transit station that meets certain criteria, including that it has an enclosed waiting room of an unspecified size, or a transit station that is proposed to serve California’s high-speed rail system, that commences operations or a renovation on or after January 1, 2021, to include a lactation room. To the extent the bill imposes additional duties on a local agency, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

**History:**

2019

Feb. 19 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 20 From printer. May be heard in committee March 22.
Mar. 21 Referred to Com. on TRANS. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. Read second time and amended.
Mar. 25 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Apr. 11 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. Read second time and amended.
Apr. 22 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Apr. 23 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 15. Noes 0.) (April 22). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
AB 847  (Grayson D)  Housing: transportation-related impact fees grant program.

Introduced: 2/20/2019
Last Amended: 3/27/2019
Status: 4/26/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was H. & C.D. on 4/1/2019) (May be acted upon Jan 2020)
Location: 4/26/2019-A. 2 YEAR

Summary:
Would require the Department of Housing and Community Development, upon appropriation by the Legislature, to establish a competitive grant program to award grants to cities and counties to offset up to 100% of any transportation-related impact fees exacted upon a qualifying housing development project, as defined, by the local jurisdiction.

History:
2019
Feb. 20 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 21 From printer. May be heard in committee March 23.
Mar. 14 Referred to Coms. on TRANS. and L. GOV.
Mar. 27 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. Read second time and amended.
Mar. 28 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS. Re-referred to Com. on RLS. pursuant to Assembly Rule 96.
Apr. 1 From committee: Be re-referred to Com. on H. & C.D. Re-referred. (Ayes 10. Noes 0.) (April 1). Re-referred to Com. on H. & C.D.
Apr. 24 In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.

AB 854  (Mayes R)  Imperial Irrigation District: retail electric service.

Introduced: 2/20/2019
Location: 5/15/2019-A. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

Calendar:
5/16/2019  Upon adjournment of Session - State Capitol, Room 4202 ASSEMBLY APPROPRIATIONS SUSPENSE, GONZALEZ, Chair

Summary:
Would require the membership of the board of directors of the Imperial Irrigation District to increase from 5 to 11 members, with the 6 additional directors meeting certain qualifications, including that each be a resident of and qualified as eligible to vote in the County of Riverside. The bill would provide for the election of the additional directors at the 2020 general district election. The bill would authorize the district board to adopt a resolution decreasing the number of directors and the divisions from which they are elected from 11 to 5 if a public utility district is formed that provides electricity outside the territory of the Imperial Irrigation District and consists of a board of directors with a majority of seats representing the County of Riverside.

History:
2019
Feb. 20 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 21 From printer. May be heard in committee March 23.
Mar. 4 Referred to Com. on L. GOV.
Apr. 25 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 6. Noes 2.) (April 24). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 15 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.

AB 1074  (Diep R)  Accessory Dwelling Unit Construction Bond Act of 2020.
Introduced: 2/21/2019
Status: 4/10/2019-In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.
Location: 3/7/2019-A. H. & C.D.

Summary:
Would enact the Accessory Dwelling Unit Construction Bond Act of 2020 (bond act), which, if adopted, would authorize the issuance of bonds in the amount of $500,000,000 pursuant to the State General Obligation Bond Law to finance the Accessory Dwelling Unit Construction Program, established as part of the bond act. The bill would authorize the Department of Housing and Community Development to enter into a contract under that program with a homeowner to provide financing to pay for the eligible costs incurred by the homeowner in constructing an accessory dwelling unit on the homeowner’s property, subject to specified terms and conditions.

History:
2019
Feb. 21 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 22 From printer. May be heard in committee March 24.
Mar. 7 Referred to Coms. on H. & C.D. and L. GOV.
Apr. 10 In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.

AB 1093  (Rubio, Blanca D)  Municipal separate storm sewer systems: financial capability analysis.
Introduced: 2/21/2019
Location: 4/24/2019-A. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

Summary:
Would require the State Water Resources Control Board, by July 1, 2020, to establish financial capability assessment guidelines for municipal separate storm sewer system permittees that are adequate and consistent when considering the costs to local jurisdictions.

History:
2019
Feb. 21 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 22 From printer. May be heard in committee March 24.
Mar. 7 Referred to Com. on E.S. & T.M.
Apr. 10 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 9. Noes 0.) (April 9). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 24 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.

Organization:  SCAG
Position:  Tracking

AB 1112  (Friedman D)  Shared mobility devices: local regulation.
Introduced: 2/21/2019
Last Amended: 5/7/2019
Location: 5/8/2019-A. THIRD READING

Summary:
Current law generally regulates the operation of bicycles, electric bicycles, motorized scooters, and electrically motorized boards. Current law allows local authorities to regulate the registration, parking, and operation of bicycles and motorized scooters in a manner that does not conflict with state law. This bill would define a “shared mobility device” as a bicycle, electric bicycle, motorized scooter, electrically motorized board, or other similar personal transportation device, that is made available to the public for shared use and transportation, as provided.

History:
2019
Organization:  SCAG  
Position:  Tracking

**AB 1142**  (Friedman D)  Regional transportation plans.  
Introduced:  2/21/2019  
Last Amended:  4/1/2019  
Status:  5/1/2019-Referred to Com. on TRANS.  
Location:  5/1/2019-S. TRANS.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Desk</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Fiscal</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Desktop</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Fiscal</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Conf. Conc.</th>
<th>Enrolled</th>
<th>Vetoed</th>
<th>Chaptered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2nd House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary:  
Current law requires a regional transportation plan to include a policy element, an action element, a financial element, and, if the transportation planning agency is also a metropolitan planning organization, a sustainable communities strategy. Under current law, the policy element describes the transportation issues in the region, identifies and quantifies regional needs, and describes the desired short-range and long-range transportation goals, as well as pragmatic objective and policy statements. Current law authorizes the policy element of transportation planning agencies with populations that exceed 200,000 persons to quantify a set of specified indicators. This bill would authorize the inclusion of an additional indicator regarding measures of policies to increase use of existing transit.

History:  
2019  
Feb. 21 Read first time. To print.  
Feb. 22 From printer. May be heard in committee March 24.  
Mar. 7 Referred to Com. on TRANS.  
Apr. 1 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. Read second time and amended.  
Apr. 9 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.  
Apr. 23 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on P. & C.P. (Ayes 12. Noes 1.) (April 22). Re-referred to Com. on P. & C.P.  
May. 6 From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended. (Ayes 11. Noes 0.) (April 30).  
May. 7 Read second time and amended. Ordered returned to second reading.  
May. 8 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.

Organization:  SCAG  
Position:  Tracking

**AB 1184**  (Gloria D)  Public records: writing transmitted by electronic mail: retention.  
Introduced:  2/21/2019  
Last Amended:  4/24/2019  
Location:  5/1/2019-A. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Desk</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Fiscal</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Desktop</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Fiscal</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Conf. Conc.</th>
<th>Enrolled</th>
<th>Vetoed</th>
<th>Chaptered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2nd House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calendar:  
5/16/2019  Upon adjournment of Session - State Capitol, Room 4202  ASSEMBLY APPROPRIATIONS SUSPENSE, GONZALEZ, Chair  

Summary:  
Would, unless a longer retention period is required by statute or regulation, require a public agency for purposes of the California Public Records Act to retain and preserve for at least 2 years every writing containing information relating to the conduct of the public's business prepared, owned, or used by any
public agency that is transmitted by electronic mail or other similar messaging system.

**History:**

**2019**
Feb. 21 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 22 From printer. May be heard in committee March 24.
Mar. 25 Referred to Com. on JUD. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on JUD. Read second time and amended.
Mar. 26 Re-referred to Com. on JUD.
Apr. 23 From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 10. Noes 1.) (April 23).
Apr. 24 Read second time and amended.
Apr. 25 Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 1 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.

**Organization:** SCAG
**Position:** Tracking

**AB 1197 (Santiago D)** California Environmental Quality Act: exemption: City of Los Angeles: supportive housing and emergency shelters.

**Introduced:** 2/21/2019
**Last Amended:** 4/29/2019
**Status:** 5/16/2019-Action From APPR.: Read second time. To THIRD READING.
**Location:** 5/16/2019-A. THIRD READING

**Calendar:**
5/16/2019 #8 ASSEMBLY SECOND READING FILE -- ASSEMBLY BILLS

**Summary:**
The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of an environmental impact report on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. CEQA also requires a lead agency to prepare a mitigated negative declaration for a project that may have a significant effect on the environment if revisions in the project would avoid or mitigate that effect and there is no substantial evidence that the project, as revised, would have a significant effect on the environment. This bill would, until January 1, 2025, exclude from the term “project” certain activities approved or carried out by the City of Los Angeles related to supportive housing and emergency shelters and would thereby exempt those projects from CEQA.

**History:**

**2019**
Feb. 21 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 22 From printer. May be heard in committee March 24.
Mar. 21 Referred to Coms. on NAT. RES. and H. & C.D. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on NAT. RES. Read second time and amended.
Mar. 25 Re-referred to Com. on NAT. RES.
Apr. 11 Assembly Rule 56 suspended. (Page 1150.) (pending re-referral to Com. on H. & C.D.)
Apr. 23 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on H. & C.D. (Ayes 8. Noes 1.) (April 22). Re-referred to Com. on H. & C.D.
Apr. 25 From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 7. Noes 0.) (April 24).
Apr. 29 Read second time and amended.
Apr. 30 Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 15 From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 16. Noes 0.) (May 15).

**Organization:** SCAG
**Position:** Tracking

**AB 1244 (Fong R)** Environmental quality: judicial review: housing projects.

**Introduced:** 2/21/2019
**Status:** 5/3/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(3). (Last location was NAT. RES. on 3/11/2019) (May be acted upon Jan 2020)
**Location:** 5/3/2019-A. 2 YEAR
Would, in an action or proceeding seeking judicial review under the California Environmental Quality Act, prohibit a court from staying or enjoining a housing project for which an environmental impact report has been certified, unless the court makes specified findings.

History:
2019
Feb. 21 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 22 From printer. May be heard in committee March 24.
Mar. 11 Referred to Coms. on NAT. RES. and H. & C.D.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

AB 1273  (Brough R) County of Orange: joint exercise of powers agreements: toll roads.
Introduced: 2/21/2019
Last Amended: 3/25/2019
Status: 4/26/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was L. GOV. on 3/25/2019)
(May be acted upon Jan 2020)
Location: 4/26/2019-A. 2 YEAR

Summary:
Current law authorizes the County of Orange and the cities in that county, by ordinance, to require the payment of development fees, as specified, for purposes of defraying the costs of constructing bridges and major thoroughfares. Current law authorizes those entities to form a joint powers agency for specified purposes, including constructing bridges and major thoroughfares, collecting tolls for the use of those facilities, and incurring indebtedness for the construction of those facilities. Pursuant to this authority, various toll roads in the County of Orange were constructed. This bill would limit the expenditure of those development fees to the maintenance, operation, or financing of a completed toll facility that is in service on January 1, 2020, and for which indebtedness was incurred.

History:
2019
Feb. 21 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 22 From printer. May be heard in committee March 24.
Mar. 25 Referred to Com. on L. GOV. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on L. GOV. Read second time and amended.
Mar. 26 Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV.
Apr. 24 In committee: Hearing for testimony only.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

AB 1279  (Bloom D) Planning and zoning: housing development: high-resource areas.
Introduced: 2/21/2019
Location: 5/8/2019-A. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

Calendar:
5/16/2019 Upon adjournment of Session - State Capitol, Room 4202  ASSEMBLY APPROPRIATIONS SUSPENSE, GONZALEZ, Chair

Summary:
Would require the department to designated areas in this state as high-resource areas, as provided, by January 1, 2021, and every 5 years thereafter. The bill would authorize a city or county to appeal the designation of an area within its jurisdiction as a high-resource area during that 5-year period. In any area designated as a high-resource area, the bill would require that a housing development project be a use by right, upon the request of a developer, in any high-resource area designated pursuant be a use by right in certain parts of the high-resource area if those projects meet specified requirements, including specified affordability requirements. For certain development projects where the initial sales price or initial rent exceeds the affordable housing cost or affordable rent to households with incomes equal to or less than 100% of the area median income, the bill would require the applicant agree to pay a fee equal to 10% of the difference between the actual initial sales price or initial rent and the sales price or rent that would be affordable, as provided. The bill would require the city or county to deposit the fee into a separate fund reserved for the construction or preservation of
housing with an affordable housing cost or affordable rent to households with a household income less than 50% of the area median income. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

**History:**

**2019**

Feb. 21 Read first time. To print.
Feb. 22 From printer. May be heard in committee March 24.
Mar. 11 Referred to Coms. on H. & C.D. and NAT. RES.
Mar. 21 Re-referred to Com. on RLS. pursuant to Assembly Rule 96.
Apr. 10 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on L. GOV. (Ayes 6. Noes 1.) (April 10). Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV.
Apr. 25 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 5. Noes 2.) (April 24). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

May. 8 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.

**Organization:** SCAG  
**Position:** Tracking

**AB 1402 (Petrie-Norris D)**  
**Active Transportation Program.**

**Introduced:** 2/22/2019  
**Last Amended:** 3/26/2019  
**Status:** 4/26/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was TRANS. on 3/25/2019) (May be acted upon Jan 2020)  
**Location:** 4/26/2019-A. 2 YEAR  

**Summary:**  
Would require the Department of Transportation, instead of the California Transportation Commission, to award funds to projects in the statewide and small urban and rural region distribution categories and to adopt a program of projects for those distribution categories. The bill would require that 75% of available funds be awarded to MPO's in urban areas with populations greater than 200,000, in proportion to their relative share of the population, 15% to small urban and rural regions with populations of 200,000 or less, competitively awarded by the department to projects in those regions, and 10% to projects competitively awarded by the department, in consultation with the commission, on a statewide basis.

**History:**

**2019**

Feb. 22 Introduced. To print.
Feb. 23 From printer. May be heard in committee March 25.
Feb. 25 Read first time.
Mar. 25 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Mar. 26 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. Read second time and amended.
Mar. 27 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.

**Organization:** SCAG  
**Position:** Tracking

**AB 1543 (Holden D) **  
**Transportation funds: transit operators: fare revenues.**

**Introduced:** 2/22/2019  
**Status:** 5/3/2019-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(3). (Last location was TRANS. on 3/14/2019) (May be acted upon Jan 2020)  
**Location:** 5/3/2019-A. 2 YEAR  

**Summary:**  
Would require a fare paid pursuant to a reduced fare transit program to be counted as a full adult fare for purposes of calculating any required ratios of fare revenues to operating costs specified in the Transportation Development Act, except for purposes of providing information in a specified annual report to the Controller or providing information to the entity conducting a fiscal or performance audit pursuant to specified provisions.

**History:**
AB 1560  (Friedman D)  California Environmental Quality Act: transportation: major transit stop.
Introduced: 2/22/2019
Last Amended: 4/11/2019
Status: 5/16/2019-Action From CONSENT CALENDAR: Read second time. To CONSENT CALENDAR.
Location: 5/16/2019-A. CONSENT CALENDAR

Summary:
CEQA requires the Office of Planning and Research to prepare and propose guidelines for the implementation of CEQA by public agencies and the Secretary of the Natural Resources Agency to certify and adopt the guidelines. CEQA requires the office to propose revisions to the guidelines establishing criteria for determining the significance of transportation impacts of projects within transit priority areas to meet certain objectives. CEQA defines "transit priority area" as an area within 1/2 mile of a major transit stop. This bill would revise the definition of "major transit stop" to include a bus rapid transit station, as defined, with a frequency of service interval of 20 minutes or less during the morning and afternoon peak commute periods.

History:
2019
Feb. 22 Introduced. To print.
Feb. 23 From printer. May be heard in committee March 25.
Feb. 25 Read first time.
Mar. 14 Referred to Com. on NAT. RES.
Apr. 3 In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.
Apr. 11 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on NAT. RES.
Read second time and amended.
Apr. 22 Re-referred to Com. on NAT. RES.
Apr. 23 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 11. Noes 0.) (April 22). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 15 From committee: Do pass. To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 16. Noes 0.) (May 15).

Organization:  SCAG
Position:  Tracking

AB 1568  (McCarty D)  Housing law compliance: prohibition on applying for state grants.
Introduced: 2/22/2019
Last Amended: 4/11/2019
Location: 5/8/2019-A. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

Summary:
The Housing Element Law, prescribes requirements for the preparation of the housing element, including a requirement that a planning agency submit a draft of the element or draft amendment to the element to the Department of Housing and Community Development prior to the adoption of the element or amendment to the element. Current law requires the department to review the draft and report its written findings, as specified. Current law also requires the department, in its written findings, to determine whether the draft substantially complies with the Housing Element Law. This bill would authorize the city or county to submit evidence that the city or county is no longer in violation of state law to the department and to request the department to issue a finding that the city or county is no longer in violation of state law.
**History:**

**2019**
Feb. 22 Introduced. To print.
Feb. 23 From printer. May be heard in committee March 25.
Feb. 25 Read first time.
Mar. 14 Referred to Coms. on H. & C.D. and TRANS.
Apr. 1 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on H. & C.D. Read second time and amended.
Apr. 2 Re-referred to Com. on H. & C.D.
Apr. 8 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on H. & C.D. Read second time and amended.
Apr. 9 Re-referred to Com. on H. & C.D.
Apr. 10 In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.
Apr. 11 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on H. & C.D. Read second time and amended.
Apr. 22 Re-referred to Com. on H. & C.D.
Apr. 25 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 6. Noes 1.) (April 24). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 8 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.

**Organization:** SCAG

**Position:** Oppose

**AB 1730 (Gonzalez D)** Regional transportation plans: San Diego Association of Governments: housing.

**Introduced:** 2/22/2019

**Last Amended:** 4/25/2019


**Location:** 5/9/2019-S. DESK

**Summary:**
Current law requires each transportation planning agency to adopt and submit to the California Transportation Commission and the Department of Transportation an updated regional transportation plan every four or five years, as specified. This bill would require the updated regional transportation plan, sustainable communities strategy, and environmental impact report adopted by SANDAG on October 9, 2015, to remain in effect for all purposes until SANDAG adopts its next update to its regional transportation plan, which the bill would require it to adopt and submit on or before December 31, 2021.

**History:**

**2019**
Feb. 22 Introduced. To print.
Feb. 23 From printer. May be heard in committee March 25.
Feb. 25 Read first time.
Mar. 28 Referred to Coms. on H. & C.D. and L. GOV. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on H. & C.D. Read second time and amended.
Apr. 1 Re-referred to Com. on H. & C.D.
Apr. 25 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on L. GOV. (Ayes 8. Noes 0.) (April 24). Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV. From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on L. GOV. Read second time and amended.
Apr. 29 Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV.
May. 2 From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 8. Noes 0.) (May 1).
May. 6 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
May. 9 Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Senate. In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.

**Organization:** SCAG

**Position:** Tracking

**AB 1824** (Committee on Natural Resources) California Environmental Quality Act.

**Introduced:** 3/12/2019

**Status:** 5/16/2019-Action From CONSENT CALENDAR: Read second time.To CONSENT CALENDAR.
Would, until January 1, 2025, exempt from CEQA the closure of a railroad grade crossing by order of the Public Utilities Commission if the commission determines that the crossing presents a threat to public safety. The bill would make this exemption inapplicable to any crossing for high-speed rail or any crossing for a project carried out by the High-Speed Rail Authority. The bill would require the lead agency to file the notice of exemption with specified public entities. Because the bill would impose additional duties on lead agencies with regards to the filing of the notice of exemption, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

History:
2019
Mar. 12 Read first time. To print.
Mar. 13 From printer. May be heard in committee April 12.
Mar. 28 Referred to Coms. on NAT. RES. and A. & A.R.
Apr. 11 Assembly Rule 56 suspended. (Page 1150.) (pending re-referral to Com. on A. & A.R.)
Apr. 23 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on A. & A.R. with recommendation: To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 11. Noes 0.) (April 22). Re-referred to Com. on A. & A.R.
Apr. 24 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 7. Noes 0.) (April 24). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 15 From committee: Do pass. To Consent Calendar. (Ayes 16. Noes 0.) (May 15).

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

ACA 1 (Aguiar-Curry D) Local government financing: affordable housing and public infrastructure: voter approval.

Introduced: 12/3/2018
Last Amended: 3/18/2019
Location: 4/24/2019-A. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

Calendar: 5/16/2019 Upon adjournment of Session - State Capitol, Room 4202 ASSEMBLY APPROPRIATIONS SUSPENSE, GONZALEZ, Chair

Summary: The California Constitution prohibits the ad valorem tax rate on real property from exceeding 1% of the full cash value of the property, subject to certain exceptions. This measure would create an additional exception to the 1% limit that would authorize a city, county, city and county, or special district to levy an ad valorem tax to service bonded indebtedness incurred to fund the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of public infrastructure, affordable housing, or permanent supportive housing, or the acquisition or lease of real property for those purposes, if the proposition proposing that tax is approved by 55% of the voters of the city, county, or city and county, as applicable, and the proposition includes specified accountability requirements.

History:
2019
Dec. 3 Read first time. To print.
Dec. 4 From printer. May be heard in committee January 3.

Calendar: 5/16/2019 #30 ASSEMBLY SECOND READING FILE -- ASSEMBLY BILLS

Summary: The California Constitution prohibits the ad valorem tax rate on real property from exceeding 1% of the full cash value of the property, subject to certain exceptions. This measure would create an additional exception to the 1% limit that would authorize a city, county, city and county, or special district to levy an ad valorem tax to service bonded indebtedness incurred to fund the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of public infrastructure, affordable housing, or permanent supportive housing, or the acquisition or lease of real property for those purposes, if the proposition proposing that tax is approved by 55% of the voters of the city, county, or city and county, as applicable, and the proposition includes specified accountability requirements.

History:
2018
Dec. 3 Read first time. To print.
Dec. 4 From printer. May be heard in committee January 3.

Calendar: 5/16/2019 Upon adjournment of Session - State Capitol, Room 4202 ASSEMBLY APPROPRIATIONS SUSPENSE, GONZALEZ, Chair

Summary: The California Constitution prohibits the ad valorem tax rate on real property from exceeding 1% of the full cash value of the property, subject to certain exceptions. This measure would create an additional exception to the 1% limit that would authorize a city, county, city and county, or special district to levy an ad valorem tax to service bonded indebtedness incurred to fund the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of public infrastructure, affordable housing, or permanent supportive housing, or the acquisition or lease of real property for those purposes, if the proposition proposing that tax is approved by 55% of the voters of the city, county, or city and county, as applicable, and the proposition includes specified accountability requirements.

History:
2019
Mar. 18 Referred to Coms. on L. GOV. and APPR. From committee chair, with author’s amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on L. GOV. Read second time and amended.
Mar. 19 Re-referred to Com. on L. GOV.
Mar. 28 Coauthors revised. From committee: Be adopted, and re-refer to Com. on APPR. Re-referred. (Ayes 5. Noes 2.) (March 27). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 24 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

ACA 13 (Obernolte R) Local sales taxes: online sales.
**SB 5**  (Beall D) Affordable Housing and Community Development Investment Program.

**Introduced:** 12/3/2018  
**Last Amended:** 4/23/2019

**Location:** 4/29/2019-S. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

**Calendar:** 5/16/2019 Upon adjournment of Session - John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)  
SENATE APPROPRIATIONS SUSPENSE, PORTANTINO, Chair

**Summary:** Would establish in state government the Affordable Housing and Community Development Investment Program, which would be administered by the Affordable Housing and Community Development Investment Committee. The bill would authorize a city, county, city and county, joint powers agency, enhanced infrastructure financing district, affordable housing authority, community revitalization and investment authority, transit village development district, or a combination of those entities, to apply to the Affordable Housing and Community Development Investment Committee to participate in the program and would authorize the committee to approve or deny plans for projects meeting specific criteria.

**History:**

**2018**  
Dec. 3 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.  
Dec. 4 From printer. May be acted upon on or after January 3.

**2019**  
Jan. 24 Referred to Coms. on GOV. & F. and HOUSING.  
Mar. 6 Set for hearing March 20.  
Mar. 18 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on GOV. & F.  
Mar. 21 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on HOUSING.  
Mar. 26 Set for hearing April 2.  
Apr. 8 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.  
Apr. 11 Set for hearing April 22.  
Apr. 17 April 22 set for first hearing canceled at the request of author.  
Apr. 18 Set for hearing April 29.  
Apr. 23 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.  
Apr. 29 April 29 hearing: Placed on APPR. suspense file.  
May. 10 Set for hearing May 16.

**Attachments:**  
Support Letter
SB 6  (Beall D)  Residential development: available land.

**Introduced:** 12/3/2018

**Last Amended:** 4/23/2019

**Status:** 5/10/2019-Set for hearing May 16.

**Location:** 4/29/2019-S. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

**Calendar:**
5/16/2019  Upon adjournment of Session - John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)

SENATE APPROPRIATIONS SUSPENSE, PORTANTINO, Chair

**Summary:**
Would require the Department of Housing and Community Development to furnish the Department of General Services with a list of local lands suitable and available for residential development as identified by a local government as part of the housing element of its general plan. The bill would require the Department of General Services to create a database of that information and information regarding state lands determined or declared excess and to make this database available and searchable by the public by means of a link on its internet website.

**History:**

2018
Dec. 3 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Dec. 4 From printer. May be acted upon on or after January 3.

2019
Jan. 16 Referred to Com. on RLS.
Feb. 27 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on RLS.
Mar. 7 Re-referred to Coms. on HOUSING and G.O.
Mar. 15 Set for hearing April 2.
Apr. 3 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on G.O. with recommendation: To consent calendar. (Ayes 11. Noes 0. Page 551.) (April 2). Re-referred to Com. on G.O.
Apr. 5 Set for hearing April 9.
Apr. 9 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To consent calendar. (Ayes 16. Noes 0. Page 619.) (April 9). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 11 Set for hearing April 22.
Apr. 17 April 22 hearing postponed by committee.
Apr. 18 Set for hearing April 29.
Apr. 23 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 29 April 29 hearing: Placed on APPR. suspense file.
May. 10 Set for hearing May 16.

SB 13  (Wieckowski D)  Accessory dwelling units.

**Introduced:** 12/3/2018

**Last Amended:** 4/23/2019

**Status:** 5/10/2019-Set for hearing May 16.

**Location:** 5/6/2019-S. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

**Calendar:**
5/16/2019  Upon adjournment of Session - John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)

SENATE APPROPRIATIONS SUSPENSE, PORTANTINO, Chair

**Summary:**
Would authorize the creation of accessory dwelling units in areas zoned to allow single-family or multifamily dwelling use. The bill would also revise the requirements for an accessory dwelling unit by providing that the accessory dwelling unit may be attached to, or located within, an attached garage, storage area, or other structure, and that it does not exceed a specified amount of total floor area.

**History:**

2018
Dec. 3 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Dec. 4 From printer. May be acted upon on or after January 3.

2019
Jan. 16 Referred to Com. on RLS.
Mar. 11 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on RLS.
Mar. 20 Re-referred to Coms. on HOUSING and GOV. & F.
Mar. 26 Set for hearing April 2.
Apr. 3 From committee: Do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on GOV. & F. (Ayes 10. Noes 0. Page 551.) (April 2).
Apr. 4 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on GOV. & F.
Apr. 5 Set for hearing April 10.
Apr. 22 From committee: Do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 7. Noes 0. Page 712.) (April 10).
Apr. 23 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 26 Set for hearing May 6.
May. 6 May 6 hearing: Placed on APPR. suspense file.
May. 10 Set for hearing May 16.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

SB 44 (Skinner D) Medium- and heavy-duty vehicles: comprehensive strategy.
Introduced: 12/3/2018
Last Amended: 5/1/2019
Status: 5/14/2019-Set for hearing May 16.
Location: 5/13/2019-S. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

Calendar:
5/16/2019 Upon adjournment of Session - John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
SENATE APPROPRIATIONS SUSPENSE, PORTANTINO, Chair

Summary:
Would require the State Air Resources Board, no later than January 1, 2021, in consultation with the Department of Transportation, the State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission, and the Governor's Office of Business and Economic Development and in collaboration with relevant stakeholders, to update the state board’s 2016 mobile source strategy to include a comprehensive strategy for the deployment of medium- and heavy-duty vehicles in the state for the purpose of bringing the state into compliance with federal ambient air quality standards and reducing motor vehicle greenhouse gas emissions from the medium- and heavy-duty vehicle sector.

History:
2018
Dec. 3Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Dec. 4 From printer. May be acted upon on or after January 3.

2019
Jan. 16 Referred to Com. on RLS.
Mar. 7 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on RLS.
Mar. 20 Re-referred to Coms. on EQ. and TRANS.
Mar. 21 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on EQ.
Mar. 26 Set for hearing April 10.
Apr. 10 From committee: Do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. (Ayes 5. Noes 2. Page 651.) (April 10).
Apr. 11 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Apr. 18 Set for hearing April 23.
Apr. 25 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 1 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 3 Set for hearing May 13.
May. 13 May 13 hearing: Placed on APPR. suspense file.
May. 14 Set for hearing May 16.
SB 50  (Wiener D)  Planning and zoning: housing development: incentives.

Introduced: 12/3/2018
Last Amended: 5/1/2019
Status: 5/14/2019-Set for hearing May 16.

SB 127  (Wiener D)  Transportation funding: active transportation: complete streets.

Introduced: 1/10/2019
Last Amended: 4/30/2019
Status: 5/14/2019-Set for hearing May 16.
SB 128  (Beall D) Enhanced infrastructure financing districts: bonds: issuance.
Introduced: 1/10/2019
Last Amended: 3/21/2019
Status: 5/2/2019-Referral to Com. on L. GOV.
Location: 5/2/2019-A. L. GOV.

Summary:
Current law authorizes the legislative body of a city or a county to establish an enhanced infrastructure financing district, with a governing body referred to as a public financing authority, to finance public capital facilities or other specified projects of communitywide significance. Current law requires a public financing authority to adopt an infrastructure financing plan and hold a public hearing on the plan, as specified. Current law authorizes the public financing authority to issue bonds for these purposes upon approval by 55% of the voters voting on a proposal to issue the bonds. Current law requires the proposal submitted to the voters by the public financing authority and the resolution for the issuance of bonds following approval by the voters to include specified information regarding the bond issuance. This bill would instead authorize the public financing authority to issue bonds for these purposes without submitting a proposal to the voters.

History:
2019
Jan. 10 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Jan. 11 From printer. May be acted upon on or after February 10.
Jan. 24 Referred to Com. on GOV. & F.
Mar. 6 Set for hearing March 20.
Mar. 21 Read second time and amended. Ordered to third reading.
Read first time. Held at Desk.
May. 2 Referred to Com. on L. GOV.

Attachments:
Support Letter

SB 152  (Beall D) Active Transportation Program.
Introduced: 1/22/2019
Last Amended: 4/25/2019
Status: 5/14/2019-Set for hearing May 16.
Location: 5/13/2019-S. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

Summary:
Current law establishes the Active Transportation Program in the Department of Transportation for the purpose of encouraging increased use of active modes of transportation, such as biking and walking. Existing law requires specified funds for the program to be appropriated to the department in the annual Budget Act and allocated to eligible projects by the California Transportation Commission. This bill would require that 60% of available funds be awarded to projects selected by metropolitan
planning organizations (MPO) in urban areas with populations greater than 200,000, with the available funds distributed to each MPO based on its relative share of the population, 15% to fund projects in small urban and rural regions, and 25% to projects competitively awarded by the commission on a statewide basis.

**History:**

**2019**
Jan. 22 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Jan. 23 From printer. May be acted upon on or after February 22.
Jan. 31 Referred to Com. on RLS.
Mar. 20 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on RLS.
Mar. 27 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Mar. 29 Set for hearing April 9.
Apr. 10 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 10. Noes 1. Page 655.) (April 9).
Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 25 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 3 Set for hearing May 13.
May. 13 May 13 hearing: Placed on APPR. suspense file.
May. 14 Set for hearing May 16.

**Organization:** SCAG

**Position:** Tracking

**SB 160** *(Jackson D)* Emergency services: cultural competence.

**Introduced:** 1/24/2019
**Last Amended:** 4/2/2019
**Status:** 5/10/2019-Set for hearing May 16.

**Location:** 4/22/2019-S. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Desk Policy Fiscal Floor</th>
<th>Desk Policy Fiscal Floor</th>
<th>Conf. Conc.</th>
<th>Enrolled</th>
<th>Vetoed</th>
<th>Chaptered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st House</td>
<td>2nd House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Calendar:**
5/16/2019 Upon adjournment of Session - John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
SENATE APPROPRIATIONS SUSPENSE, PORTANTINO, Chair

**Summary:**
Would require a county to integrate cultural competence, as defined, into its emergency plan, upon the next update to its emergency plan, as specified. The bill would also require a county to provide a forum for community engagement in geographically diverse locations in order to engage with culturally diverse communities, as defined, within its jurisdiction. By increasing the duties of local officials, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

**History:**

**2019**
Jan. 24 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Jan. 25 From printer. May be acted upon on or after February 24.
Feb. 6 Referred to Com. on G.O.
Mar. 8 Set for hearing March 26.
Mar. 18 March 26 set for first hearing canceled at the request of author.
Mar. 22 Set for hearing April 9.
Apr. 2 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on G.O.
Apr. 9 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 16. Noes 0. Page 619.) (April 9).
Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 11 Set for hearing April 22.
Apr. 22 April 22 hearing: Placed on APPR. suspense file.
May. 10 Set for hearing May 16.

**Organization:** SCAG

**Position:** Tracking

**SB 168** *(Wieckowski D)* Climate change: Chief Climate Resilience Officer.

**Introduced:** 1/28/2019
**Last Amended:** 4/11/2019
**Status:** 5/10/2019-Set for hearing May 16.

**Location:** 5/6/2019-S. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE
Calendar:
5/16/2019 Upon adjournment of Session - John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
SENATE APPROPRIATIONS SUSPENSE, PORTANTINO, Chair

Summary:
Would establish the Chief Climate Resilience Officer in the Office of Planning and Research to serve as the statewide lead for planning and coordination of climate adaptation policy and implementation in California, and would specify the duties of the chief officer. The bill would make the chief officer, or the chief officer's designee, a member of the advisory council and would designate the chief officer, or the chief officer's designee, as the chair of the advisory council.

History:
2019
Jan. 28 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Jan. 29 From printer. May be acted upon on or after February 28.
Feb. 6 Referred to Coms. on EQ. and N.R. & W.
Feb. 12 Set for hearing March 20.
Mar. 25 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on N.R. & W.
Mar. 26 Set for hearing April 9.
Apr. 10 From committee: Do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 7. Noes 2. Page 622.) (April 9).
Apr. 11 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 26 Set for hearing May 6.
May. 6 May 6 hearing: Placed on APPR. suspense file.
May. 10 Set for hearing May 16.

Attachments:
Support and Amend Letter

Organization: SCAG
Position: Support and Amend

SB 197  (Beall D)  Department of Transportation: retention proceeds.
Introduced: 1/31/2019
Status: 5/6/2019-Refereed to Com. on TRANS.
Location: 5/6/2019-A. TRANS.

Summary:
Current law prohibits the Department of Transportation, until January 1, 2020, from withholding retention proceeds when making progress payments for work performed by a contractor. This bill would delete the repeal of this provision, thereby making the prohibition operative indefinitely.

History:
2019
Jan. 31 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Feb. 1 From printer. May be acted upon on or after March 3.
Feb. 13 Referred to Com. on TRANS.
Mar. 19 Set for hearing March 26.
Mar. 26 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To consent calendar. (Ayes 12. Noes 0. Page 441.) (March 26). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Mar. 29 Set for hearing April 8.
Apr. 9 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
May. 6 Referred to Com. on TRANS.

Organization: SCAG
Position: Tracking

SB 307  (Roth D)  Water conveyance: use of facility with unused capacity.
Introduced: 2/15/2019
Last Amended: 4/30/2019
**Status:** 5/10/2019-Set for hearing May 16.

**Location:** 5/6/2019-S. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

---

**Calendar:**
5/16/2019 Upon adjournment of Session - John L. Burton Hearing Room (4203)
SENATE APPROPRIATIONS SUSPENSE, PORTANTINO, Chair

**Summary:**
Current law prohibits the state or a regional or local public agency from denying a bona fide transferor of water from using a water conveyance facility that has unused capacity for the period of time for which that capacity is available, if fair compensation is paid for that use and other requirements are met. This bill would, notwithstanding that provision, prohibit a transferor of water from using a water conveyance facility that has unused capacity to transfer water from a groundwater basin underlying desert lands, as defined, that is in the vicinity of specified federal lands or state lands to outside of the groundwater basin unless the State Lands Commission, in consultation with the Department of Fish and Wildlife and the Department of Water Resources, finds that the transfer of the water will not adversely affect the natural or cultural resources of those federal or state lands, as provided.

**History:**
2019
Feb. 15 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Feb. 19 From printer. May be acted upon on or after March 21.
Feb. 28 Referred to Com. on N.R. & W.
Mar. 13 Set for hearing March 26.
Mar. 25 March 26 hearing postponed by committee.
Mar. 26 Set for hearing April 9.
Apr. 22 From committee: Do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 5. Noes 1. Page 622.) (April 9).
Apr. 23 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
Apr. 26 Set for hearing May 6.
Apr. 30 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 6 May 6 hearing: Placed on APPR. suspense file.
May. 10 Set for hearing May 16.

**Attachments:**
Oppose Letter

---

**Organization:** SCAG

**Position:** Oppose

---

**SB 400  (Umberg D) Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions: mobility options.**

**Introduced:** 2/20/2019

**Status:** 5/7/2019-Read second time. Ordered to third reading.

**Location:** 5/7/2019-S. THIRD READING

---

**Calendar:**
5/16/2019 #25 SENATE SENATE BILLS -THIRD READING FILE

**Summary:**
Current law establishes the Clean Cars 4 All Program, which is administered by the State Air Resources Board to focus on achieving reductions in the emissions of greenhouse gases, improvements in air quality, and benefits to low-income state residents through the replacement of high-polluter motor vehicles with cleaner and more efficient motor vehicles or a mobility option. Current law defines specified terms, including “mobility option”, which means a voucher for public transit or car sharing for purposes of the program. This bill would additionally provide that “mobility option” also includes bike sharing and electric bicycles.

**History:**
2019
Feb. 20 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Feb. 21 From printer. May be acted upon on or after March 23.
Feb. 28 Referred to Coms. on EQ. and TRANS.
Mar. 5 Set for hearing April 3.
Apr. 3 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. (Ayes 5. Noes 0. Page 549.) (April 3).
Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Apr. 10 Set for hearing April 23.
Apr. 24 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 12. Noes 0. Page 805.) (April 23).
SB 498  (Hurtado D)  Trade Corridors Improvement Fund: grant program: short-line railroads.

Introduced: 2/21/2019  
Last Amended: 4/22/2019  
Status: 5/10/2019-Set for hearing May 16.  
Location: 5/6/2019-S. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE

Summary:
Would require the California Transportation Commission, with respect to specified funds resulting from TCIF program savings, to establish a competitive grant program to provide grants from those funds in the 2020–21 and 2021–22 fiscal years to the Department of Transportation and regional transportation planning agencies, or both, for short-line railroad projects such as railroad reconstruction, maintenance, upgrade, or replacement. The bill would require the commission to adopt guidelines, in consultation with representatives from specified government and industry entities, by July 1, 2020, to be used by the commission to select grant recipients.

History:
2019  
Feb. 21 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.  
Feb. 22 From printer. May be acted upon on or after March 24.  
Mar. 7 Referred to Com. on RLS.  
Apr. 1 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on RLS.  
Apr. 10 Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.  
Apr. 18 Set for hearing April 23.  
Apr. 22 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.  
Apr. 24 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 10. Noes 1. Page 805.) (April 23). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.  
Apr. 26 Set for hearing May 6.  
May. 6 May 6 hearing: Placed on APPR. suspense file.  
May. 10 Set for hearing May 16.

Attachments:
Oppose Letter - Senate Appropriations

Organization: SCAG  
Position: Oppose

SB 526  (Allen D)  Regional transportation plans: greenhouse gas emissions: State Mobility Action Plan for Healthy Communities.

Introduced: 2/21/2019  
Last Amended: 4/30/2019  
Status: 5/14/2019-Set for hearing May 16.  
Location: 4/30/2019-S. APPR.

Summary:
Would require the State Air Resources Board to adopt a regulation that requires a metropolitan planning organization to provide any data that the state board determines is necessary to fulfill the requirements of the above-described report and to determine if the metropolitan planning organization is on track to meet its 2035 greenhouse gas emission reduction target. After completing each report, the bill would require the state board to determine if each metropolitan planning organization is on
track to meet its 2035 target.

**History:**

**2019**
Feb. 21 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Feb. 22 From printer. May be acted upon on or after March 24.
Mar. 7 Referred to Coms. on EQ., TRANS., and HOUSING.
Mar. 8 Set for hearing April 3.
Apr. 3 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. (Ayes 5. Noes 2. Page 550.) (April 3).
Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
Apr. 5 Set for hearing April 9.
Apr. 18 Set for hearing April 22.
Apr. 22 April 22 set for first hearing. Reconsideration of favorable vote granted.
Apr. 30 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 3 Set for hearing May 13.
May. 13 May 13 hearing postponed by committee.
May. 14 Set for hearing May 16.

**Organization:** SCAG

**Position:** Tracking

**SB 732 (Allen D) Transactions and use tax: South Coast Air Quality Management District.**

**Introduced:** 2/22/2019

**Last Amended:** 4/30/2019

**Status:** 5/13/2019-May 13 set for first hearing canceled at the request of author.

**Location:** 4/30/2019-S. APPR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Desk Policy Fiscal 1st House</th>
<th>Desk Policy Fiscal 2nd House</th>
<th>Conf. Conc.</th>
<th>Enrolled</th>
<th>Vetoed</th>
<th>Chaptered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Summary:**

Current law establishes the South Coast Air Quality Management District vested with the authority to regulate air emissions from stationary sources located in the South Coast Air Basin and establishes a district board to govern the district. This bill would authorize the south coast district board to impose a transactions and use tax within the boundaries of the south coast district, as specified, with the moneys generated from the transactions and use tax to be used to supplement existing revenues being used for south coast district purposes, as specified.

**History:**

**2019**
Feb. 22Introduced. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Feb. 25 From printer. May be acted upon on or after March 27. Read first time.
Mar. 14 Referred to Com. on RLS.
Mar. 27 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on RLS.
Apr. 3 Re-referred to Com. on GOV. & F.
Apr. 4 Set for hearing April 24.
Apr. 30 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
May. 3 Set for hearing May 13.
May. 13 May 13 set for first hearing canceled at the request of author.

**Organization:** SCAG

**Position:** Tracking

**SB 751 (Rubio D) Joint powers authorities: San Gabriel Valley Regional Housing Trust.**

**Introduced:** 2/22/2019

**Last Amended:** 3/27/2019

**Status:** 5/7/2019-In Assembly. Read first time. Held at Desk.

**Location:** 5/6/2019-A. DESK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Desk Policy Fiscal 1st House</th>
<th>Desk Policy Fiscal 2nd House</th>
<th>Conf. Conc.</th>
<th>Enrolled</th>
<th>Vetoed</th>
<th>Chaptered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Summary:**
Would authorize the creation of the San Gabriel Valley Regional Housing Trust, a joint powers authority, by any or all of the cities within the jurisdiction of the San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments, with the stated purpose of funding housing to assist the homeless population and persons and families of extremely low, very low, and low income within the San Gabriel Valley. The bill would authorize the San Gabriel Valley Regional Housing Trust to fund the planning and construction of housing, receive public and private financing and funds, and authorize and issue bonds.

**History:**

**2019**
Feb. 22 Introduced. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.
Feb. 25 From printer. May be acted upon on or after March 27. Read first time.
Mar. 14 Referred to Com. on RLS.
Mar. 27 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on RLS.
Apr. 3 Re-referred to Coms. on GOV. & F. and HOUSING.
Apr. 4 Set for hearing April 10. April 10 hearing postponed by committee.
Apr. 12 Set for hearing April 24.
Apr. 25 From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 7. Noes 0. Page 847.) (April 24). Re-referred to Com. on HOUSING.
Apr. 26 Set for hearing April 30.
Apr. 30 From committee: Do pass. Ordered to consent calendar. (Ayes 11. Noes 0. Page 891.) (April 30).
May. 1 Read second time. Ordered to consent calendar.
May. 6 Read third time. Passed. (Ayes 38. Noes 0. Page 964.) Ordered to the Assembly.
May. 7 In Assembly. Read first time. Held at Desk.

**Organization:** SCAG
**Position:** Tracking

Total Measures: 50
Total Tracking Forms: 50
To: Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee (LCMC)  
From: Kevin Gilhooley; Manager of Legislation; (213) 236-1878; gilhooley@scag.ca.gov  
Subject: Proposed LCMC Meeting Dates, June 2019 – May 2020

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Approve

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
Staff has prepared a proposed schedule of meeting dates for the Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee (LCMC) to coincide with the year-long terms of the LCMC Chair and Vice Chair. All of the proposed dates fall on the third Tuesday of the month. Staff proposes that the Committee go dark in June 2019 and February 2020.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan, Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

BACKGROUND:
Staff is including a proposed schedule of meeting dates for the Committee’s approval. All of the proposed dates fall on the third Tuesday of the month in 2019.

FISCAL IMPACT:
None

ATTACHMENTS:
1) Proposed LCMC Meeting Dates, June 2019 – May 2020
This Page Intentionally Left Blank
This Page Intentionally Left Blank
To: Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee (LCMC)
From: Estee Sepulveda; Legislative Analyst; (213) 236-1864; sepulveda@scag.ca.gov
Subject: AB 11 (Chiu) – Community Redevelopment Law of 2019

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Support if Amended

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
Redevelopment agencies (RDAs) were dissolved as of February 1, 2012. Assembly Bill (AB) 11 would allow cities and counties to create new affordable housing and infrastructure agencies to fund affordable housing and infrastructure projects using tax increment financing. The bill would also require that at least 30 percent of the taxes allocated to the agency be used for the purpose of increasing, improving, and preserving low and moderate-income affordable housing. Previously, the Legislative/Communications & Membership Committee (LCMC) voted for a “support and amend” position at its meeting on March 19, 2019. However, the Executive Administration Committee voted for reconsideration by the LCMC at its meeting on April 3, 2019.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan, Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

BACKGROUND:
In 1945, the California Legislature approved the Community Redevelopment Act, which provided the mechanism to create RDAs. However, most agencies relied on federal funding until 1952 when Proposition 18 established tax increment financing (TIF). Under the new financing structure, cities and counties were given the authority to declare areas as blighted. They created RDAs by establishing a project area and freezing the property tax rate at the point of creation. They were authorized to capture any incremental increase in property tax after the base year that would have otherwise flowed to all the other taxing entities – schools, special districts, and counties. RDAs were authorized to bond against that tax increment to fund their activities. Starting in the 1970s, RDAs were required to set aside 20 percent of an agency’s annual tax increment revenues for affordable housing.

Although the use of funds for construction of affordable housing was insufficient in many areas, RDAs created 63,600 new affordable housing units statewide during the period from 2001 to 2008. Facing severe budget constraints, in 2011, former Governor Brown and the Legislature moved to scale back these activities; and, after several legal challenges, RDAs were dissolved. At the time of dissolution, RDAs were diverting 12 percent of property taxes statewide to local activities. Dissolving RDAs severely constrained cities and towns to pursue both economic development goals and promote affordable housing. This has resulted in an estimated loss of new affordable units ranging from 4,500 to 6,500 annually.
AB 11
Introduced by Assembly Member David Chiu (D-San Francisco) on December 4, 2018, AB 11 would allow cities and counties to create agencies that would use TIF to fund affordable housing and infrastructure projects. The bill contains a narrow list of eligible projects and excludes economic development activity. This bill takes a similar approach to the TIF structure used by former RDAs that were dissolved during the Great Recession due to state budget constraints. Furthermore, AB 11 contains a pass-through provision for taxing entities that choose not to participate.

Governing Board
AB 11 allows a city, county, or the legislative bodies of two or more cities to form a new financing agency. The bill requires that a governing board of the new agency be established consisting of one member appointed by the legislative body that adopted the resolution of intention, one member appointed by each affected taxing entity, and two public members. The governing board of the new agency would have the authority to issue bonds to finance redevelopment housing or infrastructure projects. AB 11 does not require that an agency declare an area to be blighted and in need of urban renewal to be formed.

Boundaries
The proposed boundaries of a new financing agency may include any or all the territory within each city proposing to jointly form. AB 11 does not require that the facilities financed through the new agency be physically located within the boundaries of a project plan. However, any facilities financed outside of an agency’s boundaries must have a tangible connection to the work of the new agency.

Audit and Accountability
AB 11 would require a new financing agency to adopt an annual budget and maintain detailed records. A person violating this requirement would be subject to a fine of $10,000 per violation. Moreover, a new agency would be required to submit a copy of their annual report with the State Controller and a copy of any audit report with the Department of Housing and Community Development. Should the Controller identify major audit violations, the Attorney General could bring an action to compel compliance. Lastly, AB 11 would require the new financing agency to contract for an independent financial and performance audit every two years after the issuance of debt.

Affordable Housing Component
The bill would require that a minimum of 30 percent of all taxes allocated to the new financing agency be deposited into a separate fund, which would be established by the new agency, and used for the purposes of increasing, improving, and preserving the community’s supply of low- and moderate-income housing.

Eminent Domain
Under AB 11, a new financing agency can purchase any property within the boundaries of the approved project plan. Furthermore, an agency may use the power of eminent domain only for
property already dedicated to the public use within the project’s boundaries, if it receives consent from the city or county.

Pass-through Provision
All taxing entities (such as sanitary, water, or vector control districts) would be required to participate in the new financing agency, including school districts. However, AB 11 contains a pass-through provision that would require the new financing agency to pay to each taxing entity an amount equivalent to what they would have received had the agency not existed. This pass-through provision would not apply to the city or county proposing to form the new financing agency or to any school district. The state would backfill the property tax otherwise due to K-12 schools and community colleges, pursuant to Proposition 98 requirements. A cap on the state’s portion has not been determined.

State Approval
Under this bill, a financing agency must submit its resolution of intention and detailed project plan to the Strategic Growth Council for review and approval. The proposed project plan would have to be consistent with the general plan of each participating city or county and must include a detailed financial plan. The Strategic Growth Council would determine if the financing agency supports the state’s greenhouse gas reduction goals. Under AB 11, the Strategic Growth Council would have the authority to approve or deny a project plan that includes the schools portion of tax increment as a whole. It cannot singularly include or exclude a taxing entity from the plan.

Legislative Activity
A coalition of co-sponsors includes Assembly Members Cecilia Aguiar-Curry (D-Winters), Richard Bloom (D-Santa Monica), Rob Bonta (D-Oakland), Eduardo Garcia (D-Coachella), Todd Gloria (D-San Diego), Chris Holden (D-Pasadena), Jacqui Irwin (D-Thousand Oaks), Kevin Mullin (D-San Mateo), Miguel Santiago (D-Los Angeles), Phil Ting (D-San Francisco), and Buffy Wicks (D-Oakland). Two more coauthors, Assembly Members Robert Daly (D-Anaheim) and Robert Rivas (D-Hollister), have been added since LCMC’s March 2019 meeting. AB 11 was approved by the Assembly committees on Housing and Community Development and Local Government by a vote of 6 – 2 in each committee. AB 11 was referred to the Assembly Appropriations Committee, but a hearing has not been scheduled.

On March 20, the California State Association of Counties (CSAC) sent a letter of concern along with the California Professional Firefighters, California Special Districts Association (CSDA), and the County of Santa Clara to Assembly Member Chiu regarding AB 11. The letter outlined three proposed amendments addressing the pass-through provision. Most notably, the coalition expressed concern with the entity calculating property tax revenues and the timing of distribution of property tax revenues back to the affected taxing entities. Assembly Member Chiu accepted the proposed amendments and on April 15, CSAC wrote a letter indicating their position as neutral.

Support
- Alameda County Transportation Commission
- Bay Area Housing Advocacy Coalition

Opposition
- California Teachers Association
- Fieldstead And Company, Inc.
Staff Recommendation

Staff presented AB 11 to the LCMC at its March 19 meeting after which the LCMC voted to forward a support and amend recommendation to the Regional Council because it is consistent with Regional Council-adopted policy and legislative priorities to support the restoration of local RDAs and expand the capability for TIF programs, while also supporting a higher dedicated set aside for low income housing. However, on April 3, the Executive Administration Committee voted to have the LCMC reconsider AB 11. Some members expressed concern with past abuses of RDA funding and AB 11 could be strengthened to restrict those abuses.

Staff recommends a “support if amended” position for AB 11 and a letter be sent to Assembly Member Chiu with the following proposed amendments:

1. AB 11 is ambiguous on the geographical relationship between two cities or a city and county proposing to jointly form a new financing agency. Staff recommends that AB 11 be amended to specify that cities be located within the same county to form a new financing agency. Likewise, a city should be within the jurisdiction of a county to partner in a new agency.

2. AB 11 specifies that the proposed boundaries of the project area of a new financing agency may include any or all the territory within each city proposing to jointly form. Furthermore, AB 11 does not require that the facilities financed through the new agency be physically located with the boundaries of a project plan. Staff recommends that AB 11 be amended to include language that limits the proposed boundaries and financed facilities to the territory of the cities or city and county forming the new financing agency.

3. Staff recommends that AB 11 be amended to include a role during the review process for Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs), like SCAG. The Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) demonstrates how the region will meet greenhouse gas reduction targets set by the California Air Resources Board, while the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) process identifies the total number of housing units by income group that each jurisdiction must accommodate. MPOs can provide technical assistance in coordination with Strategic Growth Council and evaluate whether affordable housing and infrastructure agencies help to implement an adopted SCS and RHNA.

FISCAL IMPACT:
None

ATTACHMENT(S):
None
To: Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee (LCMC)
From: Melvin Sanchez; Legislative Aide; (213) 236-1850; sanchez@scag.ca.gov
Subject: AB 47 (Daly, Frazier) – Driver Records: Points: Distracted Driving

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Support

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
Current law specifies that certain convictions and violations under the Vehicle Code and traffic-related incidents count as “points” against a driver’s record, which affect an individual’s privilege to drive. Current law also bans the use, holding, and operation of a wireless telephone while driving unless that device is equipped with hands-free listening and talking capabilities and is used in that manner. Violations of these laws on the use of electronic devices while driving is exempted from the point system.

Assembly Bill (AB) 47 would eliminate the exemption for electronic device violations, and thus allow the Department of Motor Vehicles to assess a point for such a violation. Staff recommends that the Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee (LCMC) forward a support position recommendation to the Regional Council on AB 47.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan, Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

BACKGROUND:
In California, the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) is charged with keeping records of all traffic convictions and accidents of individual drivers. Through the Negligent Operator Treatment System (NOTS), the DMV is authorized to assess points against a driver’s record for various driving violations, all of which progressively count against the privilege to drive. The amount of points assessed varies on the severity of the violation. Displaying disobedience to a traffic officer, driving in a bicycle lane, exceeding the posted freeway speed limit, and driving too slow are examples of violations where one point is assessed. Driving with a suspended or revoked license, driving under the influence (DUI), and evading a peace officer are examples of violations where two points are assessed.

Current law also bans the holding and operation of a wireless telephone while driving, unless that device is equipped with hands-free listening and talking capabilities and is used in that manner. A driver must either mount the cell phone on a vehicle’s windshield or center console. Violation of this law is exempted from the point system.
AB 47
Introduced by Assembly Members Tom Daly (D-Anaheim) and Jim Frazier (D-Oakley), and sponsored by the Automobile Club of Southern California (AAA), AB 47 would eliminate the point system exemption for electronic device violations and allow the DMV to assess one point for the holding and operation of a wireless telephone while driving, beginning on January 1, 2021.

AB 47 passed the Assembly Committee on Transportation by a 13-0 vote. Within the SCAG region, the bill received bipartisan support with Assembly Member Diep (R-Westminster) and Assembly Member Fong (R-Bakersfield) joining Assembly Member Friedman (D-Glendale), Assembly Member Medina (D-Riverside), Assembly Member Nazarian (D-Sherman Oaks), and Assembly Member O’Donnell (D-Long Beach) voting in support of the bill.

AB 47 is currently located in the Assembly Committee on Appropriations. A hearing date has not been set.

Support
- Automobile Club of Southern California (Sponsor)
- AAA Northern California, Nevada & Utah
- ABATE of California
- Allstate
- American Property Casualty Insurance Assoc.
- California Association of Highway Patrolmen
- California Police Chiefs Association
- Impact Teen Drivers
- National Association of Mutual Insurance Companies
- Pacific Association of Domestic Insurance Companies
- Personal Insurance Federation of California
- Property Casualty Insurers Association of America
- Riverside Sheriffs’ Association
- Santa Ana Police Officers Association
- Sentry Insurance

Opposition
- The Amalgamated Transit Union (Oppose Unless Amended)
- California Teamsters (Oppose Unless Amended)

Staff Recommendation
Staff recommends a support position for AB 47 consistent with Regional Council-adopted policy and legislative priorities to support working with state and local partners to identify new tools and funding mechanisms to achieve the region’s safety targets and to support legislation that protects the safety of active transportation users.
FISCAL IMPACT:
None

ATTACHMENT(S):
None
To: Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee (LCMC)
From: Kevin Gilhooley; Legislation Manager; (213) 236-1878; gilhooley@scag.ca.gov
Subject: S. 923 (Feinstein) – Fighting Homelessness Through Services and Housing Act

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Support

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
Throughout the United States, there are over 552,830 homeless people. In California, there are almost 130,000 homeless people, and an estimated 66,000 of those live in the SCAG region. Senate (S.) bill 923 would authorize $750 million annually for five years to fund supportive housing models that provide comprehensive services and intensive case management. Staff recommends that the Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee (LCMC) forward a “support” position recommendation to the Regional Council on S. 923.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan, Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

BACKGROUND:
The number of homeless people in the nation grew in the 1980s, as cuts to housing and social services funding were made and the economy deteriorated. Rises in housing costs and stagnated wages have contributed to the homelessness problem of the country.

Since 1970, California has experienced a housing shortage causing a decrease in housing affordability for the past three decades. This has greatly contributed to increased poverty across the state. Higher poverty levels, as well as mental illness and drug addiction are some of the root causes for homelessness.

As of last year, the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) reported that there were a total of 552,830 total homeless persons throughout the country, and California has an estimated homeless population of 129,972 people. There are 52,765 homeless people in Los Angeles County; 2,165 homeless people in Riverside County; 2,607 homeless people in San Bernardino County; 6,860 homeless people in Orange County; 1,669 homeless people in Ventura County; and 1,100 homeless people in Imperial County.

S. 923
Introduced by Senators Dianne Feinstein (D-California) and Lisa Murkowski (R-Alaska) and Representatives Ted Lieu (D-California) and Steve Stivers (R-Ohio), S. 923 would authorize a new
funding stream for supportive housing models that would provide comprehensive services and intensive case management.

S. 923 would allocate $750 million per year subject to annual appropriations. Up to $5 million would be available for planning grants (not to exceed $100,000 per grant), and the remainder would be available for housing and services. Grants for housing and services would require a 25 percent match from non-federal funds.

The bill allows grants to be used for any combination of operations and capital building costs, as long as housing and services requirements are fulfilled. S. 923 would require grantees to track outcomes and report on housing stability and improvements in health and wellbeing, including the education of children. Grants may go to local government entities consisting of cities, counties, regional collaborations, and tribal organizations that provide supportive housing services.

The supportive housing services must address issues including mental substance use disorders; disabling or other chronic health conditions; educational and job training/employment outcomes; and life skills classes. Intensive case management must be provided with a ratio of no greater than one case manager to every 20 people served.

When serving families with children, services available must also include children’s behavioral and mental health services; early childhood education; regular and age-appropriate children’s programming and activities; child health and nutrition screening and education; and parenting classes and support programs. Services must also have in place protocol for staff training and best practices to identify and prevent child trafficking, abuse, and neglect.

S. 923 was introduced in the United States Senate on March 28, 2019, and was referred to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

**California Support:**
- Bay Area Rapid Transit
- California Association of Housing Authorities
- California State Association of Counties
- Ceres Community Project (Sebastopol)
- Community Clinic Association of Los Angeles County
- County of San Bernardino
- County of Santa Barbara
- County of Monterey
- County Welfare Directors Association of California
- Hillside (Los Angeles)
- Hope of the Valley Rescue Mission (Los Angeles)

**National Support:**
- A New PATH
- Addiction Policy Forum
- American Art Therapy Association
- American Association for Psychoanalysis in Clinical Social Work
- American Counseling Association
- American Dance Therapy Association
- American Medical Student Association
- American Psychological Association
- American Public Health Association
- Association for Ambulatory Behavioral Healthcare
- Campaign for Trauma-Informed Policy and Practice
- Larkin Street Youth Services (San Francisco)
- LA Family Housing
- LA LGBT Center
- League of California Cities
- Mama’s Kitchen (San Diego)
- San Diego Mayor Kevin Faulconer
- San Francisco Mayor London Breed
- Sacramento Mayor Darrell Steinberg
- Los Angeles Mayor Eric Garcetti
- Santa Monica Mayor Gleam Davis
- Santa Ana Mayor Miguel Pulido
- Oakland Mayor Libby Schaaf
- Supervisors
- Orange County Business Council
- Project Angelfood (Los Angeles)
- Project Open Hand (San Francisco)
- Radiant Healthcare (Orange County)
- San Bernardino County Sheriff’s Office
- Los Angeles County Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas
- Los Angeles County Supervisor Sheila Kuehl
- The Health Trust (San Jose)
- Venice Family Clinic
- VOICES | Youth Center (Napa/Sonoma)

- Child Welfare League of America
- Children and Adults with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder
- Children’s Advocacy Institute
- Children’s Defense Fund
- Children’s Health Fund
- Community Anti-Drug Coalitions of America
- Corporation for Supportive Housing
- Facing Addiction with NCADD
- Families USA
- Family Focused Treatment Association
- First Focus Campaign for Children
- Food is Medicine Coalition
- FosterClub
- HIV Alliance
- iFoster
- Low Income Investment Fund
- Mayors and CEOS for U.S. Housing Investment
- NAADAC, the Association for Addiction Professionals
- National Alliance for Hispanic Health
- National Alliance to End Homelessness
- National Association for Children’s Behavioral Health
- National Association of Counties
- National Association of Drug Court Professionals
- National Association of School Psychologists
- National Association of Social Workers
- National Center for Housing & Child Welfare
- National Children’s Alliance
- National CORE
- National Education Association
- National Housing Law Project
- National League of Cities
- National Low Income Housing Coalition
- National Network for Youth
- National PTA
- NETWORK Lobby for Catholic Social Justice
- Psychotherapy Action Network
- School Social Work Association of America
- SchoolHouse Connection
Staff Recommendation
Staff recommends support for S. 923 as it would provide local government entities, such as cities, counties, regional collaborations, and tribal organizations a new funding stream for supportive housing models that would provide comprehensive services and intensive case management for persons struggling with homelessness. In addition, up to $5 million would be available for planning grants.

FISCAL IMPACT:
None

ATTACHMENT(S):
San Bernardino County Administrative Office Support Letter
To: Legislative/Communications & Memberships Committee (LCMC)
From: Margaret de Larios; Public Affairs Specialist; (213) 236-1842; delarios@scag.ca.gov
Subject: 2019 Regional Conference and General Assembly – Post-Event Recap

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
For Information Only – No Action Required

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
Over 950 regional civic and business leaders were in attendance at the 54th Annual Regional Conference & General Assembly from May 1-3, 2019 in Palm Desert, CA. The event theme, "Beyond Boundaries," framed the conference programming around the concept of transcending the jurisdictional boundaries of individual cities and counties to plan for the whole region. The event was a definitive success, drawing a record attendance and outperforming all previous years in sponsorship funding. This report provides an overview of the event, post-event survey to attendees and sponsors, and communication efforts in support of the event.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan, Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy; Goal 4: Provide innovative information and value-added services to enhance member agencies’ planning and operations and promote regional collaboration; and Goal 6: Deploy strategic communications to further agency priorities and foster public understanding of long-range regional planning.

BACKGROUND:
The Regional Conference & General Assembly is SCAG’s premier annual event, drawing elected officials and other community and business leaders from six counties in the region. The General Assembly portion of the event is an annual meeting of delegates representing six counties and 191 cities in Southern California. Following the General Assembly, the conference portion of the event includes speakers, breakout panels, and the annual presentation of SCAG’s Sustainability Awards. The event serves to connect the people, businesses, and governments across the SCAG region’s six counties, offering key constituencies the opportunity to explore emerging trends in a uniquely collaborative environment.

SCAG hosted the 54th Annual Regional Conference & General Assembly in Palm Desert, CA from May 1-3, 2019. The event was a definitive success, drawing an attendance of 950 and outperforming all previous years in sponsorship funding. The program, centered on the theme “Beyond Boundaries,” explored strategies for strengthening leadership and community-building, and sent attendees home with fresh insights and new resources to help them better address future challenges in their own communities.
Event Highlights
On Wednesday, May 1, a special pre-conference “Traffic Safety Leadership Symposium” brought together city and county officials to address one of the region’s most serious issues and discuss actionable strategies for making communities safer for people walking and biking.

At Thursday’s General Assembly meeting, the new slate of board officers for 2019-2020 was ratified, including: William “Bill” Jahn, City of Big Bear Lake, as the President of SCAG; First Vice President Randon Lane, City of Murrieta; and Second Vice President Rex Richardson, City of Long Beach. Alan Wapner, San Bernardino County Transportation Authority, will remain on SCAG’s Executive Committee as Immediate Past President.

Panel sessions on both Thursday and Friday addressed some of the biggest developments in mobility, sustainability and land use planning, including the rise of green business, the proliferation of new mobility platforms, opportunities for civic data-sharing, and the risks of climate change. The conference also provided a showcase for outstanding student work, bringing in bright minds from across the region to share innovative research and planning projects.

The program shined a light on exemplary local projects with the 2019 SCAG Sustainability Awards Luncheon, the region’s highest honors for projects that promote and improve mobility, livability, prosperity, and sustainability in Southern California. Hosted by Michael Woo, Dean of the College of Environmental Design at Cal Poly Pomona, the awards honored six innovative projects.

Friday’s keynote address from Lea Endres, Co-Founder and CEO of leadership platform NationBuilder, spoke to the power of “Leadership Beyond Boundaries” and made a moving case for collaboration as the only way to move through the stakes currently faced by the region, nation and global community.

Photos and other event details are available here: http://scag.ca.gov/calendar/Pages/GA2019.aspx

Survey Results
In SCAG’s continuing effort to provide quality programming and evaluate the overall performance of the event, SCAG staff prepared online surveys—one for conference attendees, the other for event sponsors—to obtain feedback on their experiences at the 2019 Regional Conference and General Assembly.

A link to the appropriate survey was emailed to conference attendees and sponsors on the first business day following the conference, and the survey was open and available for approximately ten days. The general attendee survey received 129 responses from a varied group of City and Agency staff, Regional Council and Policy Committee members and the general public. A total of 23 sponsors filled out the sponsor survey. The results have been tabulated and key findings are as follows:
Key Findings – Attendee Survey:

- As in previous years, a majority of respondents (51%) heard about the conference by “Email.” The second-most effective recruitment method reported was “Word of Mouth,” which brought in about 32% of attendees. A few respondents heard of the conference through the agency website (10%), and no respondents reported hearing about the conference through social media.

- Approximately 59% of respondents reported that they had attended previous SCAG Regional Conferences. There was a higher proportion of first-time attendees than previous years, at 41%.

- When asked about their primary reasons for attending the conference (in a ‘check all that apply’ question), “Support of SCAG” and networking opportunities were the strongest reported motivating factors – the former was cited by 71% of survey takers, and the latter by 65%. Many respondents also reported being drawn in by interest in specific conference topics (53%). Referral from other conference-goers was not reported as a strong driver of attendance; about 7% of respondents noted that.

- When asked to rate the importance of particular factors in deciding whether to attend the conference, responses underlined the importance of expected networking opportunities, which received far and away the highest weighted score. Session topics and support of SCAG scored very similarly. Registration cost and conference date were the most frequently listed as “not important” in deciding whether to attend.

- Attendees expressed high rates of satisfaction with event logistics. Less than 2% of respondents reported being unsatisfied with the online registration process, staff support leading up to the event and the on-site check-in process.

- Those surveyed also gave high marks to the Thursday evening reception, with several adding comments in praise of the food served or noting that they preferred it to a sit-down dinner from previous years. Multiple people did report that the availability of more chairs at the Thursday reception would have improved their experience.

- The Sustainability Awards received a less enthusiastic response, though still positive overall. Some made comments that the distance between locations was inconvenient.

- When rating the quality of different speakers throughout the program, attendees were generally favorable to all sessions. The highest ratings went to the student showcase session and the keynote speaker. Notably, the keynote speaker had the highest share of “Excellent” ratings among all parts of the event (56% of respondents who attended her session).

- Each conference session received a weighted score out of 4 based on respondents’ assessment of them as either excellent, good, fair or poor. The weighted scores are as follows:
  - Student Showcase Breakout Session – 3.48
  - Friday Keynote – Lea Endres – 3.40
  - New Mobility Breakout Session – 3.31
  - Housing Breakout Session – 3.19
  - Friday Plenary Session – 3.14
  - Data Breakout Session – 3.13
Infrastructure Breakout Session – 2.97
Green Economy Breakout Session – 2.96

A total of 91% of respondents said the conference met (60%) or exceeded (31%) their expectations. This is a slight dip from the past few years (95-96%). However, the share of “exceeded expectations” responses is up compared to last year’s 28%.

94% of respondents consider themselves either likely (30%) or highly likely (64%) to attend future SCAG conferences, which is closely aligned to last year’s responses. Respondents who had attended the conference for the first time indicated interest in becoming repeat attendees, with 90% considering themselves likely (38%) or highly likely (52%) to come to the conference in future years.

A question about conference takeaways allowed us to get a sense of the impact of our key messages. The conference messages with the lowest levels of saturation were “SCAG’s Go Human campaign is working to reduce traffic collisions across the region” (37%) and “SCAG is hosting over 20 public open house workshops in May and June in six counties to get feedback on how the region can grow more sustainably” (39%). Most of the other key messages from panel sessions were reported as takeaways by between half and two-thirds of the respondents. The messages with the highest levels of saturation were those that spoke to SCAG’s role in the region. (78% reported that they left the conference with the takeaways of “SCAG is an essential regional partner in making a more sustainable future for Southern California” and “SCAG plays an important role in convening many different stakeholders and jurisdictions across Southern California.”

The survey concluded with an opportunity for respondents to provide additional feedback and suggest topics they would like to see addressed in the future. Some of the comments are paraphrased below:
- Generally excellent speaker lineup
- Would like more opportunities for audience interaction/participation in the breakout sessions.
- Felt that the Christian invocation at the Sustainability Awards luncheon was inappropriate.
- Would like to see more diversity in speakers, and less representation of corporate/sponsor speakers on the panels.
- Interested in future topics on climate change, strategies for reducing work commutes, grant opportunities, and water.

Key Findings – Sponsor Survey:

When asked to identify motivating factors in their decision to sponsor, respondents gave “very important” ratings to “Networking opportunities with city/county staff” (86.4%), “Commitment [from SCAG] to address regional issues” (82.6%), “Visibility of company name/logo” (82.6%), “Demonstrate partnership with SCAG” (78.3%), and “Networking opportunities with elected officials” (69.6%). The factor rated with the lowest importance was “Support a friend or colleague,” which was the only factor to have a response rate for “not very important” above 15%.
• All respondents said that they are either “very likely” (71.4%) or “somewhat likely” (28.6%) to sponsor SCAG’s Regional Conference and General Assembly in the future.

• A majority of respondents (68.2%) were returning after supporting the conference in previous years as well. Most new sponsors largely reported being recruited by business associates or friends or via email.

• Ratings of speakers and sessions were limited because the majority of sponsor attendees were attending to their booths instead of viewing sessions. Those who did respond, however, gave ratings roughly in line with the main attendee survey.

• The sponsors who hosted an exhibit table (60.9% of respondents) were generally positively on the engagement level from other attendees – 28.6% (four respondents) said they had a “very high” number of attendees at their table, 57.1% (eight respondents) reported “satisfactory” traffic, and 14.3% (two respondents) reported “very few” people. The dissatisfaction amongst the latter group is likely due to the placement of their exhibitor table, which due to the overwhelming number of sponsors this year, resulted in having to create an additional exhibitor space in Salon 6 to accommodate the extra tables as a large portion of the West Foyer was not available to SCAG.

• Sponsors reported being “very satisfied” with the on-site check-in process (86.4%), on-site SCAG staff support (73.9%), the exhibit hall (69.6%), SCAG staff support leading up to the event (68.2%), and the online registration process (56.5%). More respondents indicated they were “satisfied” than “very satisfied” with the Sustainability Awards program (52.2% vs 21.7%) and Go Human Micro-Mobility Demonstration (43.5% vs. 21.7%).

• The two Go Human Micro-Mobility Demonstration sponsors that were present (Gotcha and Bird) both indicated that they were extremely pleased not only with the availability of the demonstration area to showcase their technology, but also with the level of engagement and interaction with elected officials, Go Human staff, and SCAG staff in general.

• In response to the question “What was the most satisfactory aspect of your sponsorship?”, the vast majority of respondents cited engagement and networking with decision-makers. Others also noted brand visibility, learning opportunities, and interaction with SCAG staff, and lead development.

• When asked what other benefits or opportunities would be appealing, respondents suggested additional speaking opportunities, additional perks for sponsorship (e.g., spa passes, golf outings, tickets to local attractions, etc.) and small group roundtable discussions with elected officials.

• The survey concluded with an opportunity for respondents to provide additional feedback on how SCAG can improve the sponsorship experience. Some of the comments are paraphrased below:
  o Overall, it was a great conference.
  o Smaller breakout sessions.
  o May want to consider a shorter program for the Sustainability Awards luncheon. The space also seemed overcrowded.
  o Staff support pre- and post-event was fantastic.
  o Shorter introductions and opening remarks.
Overall, the sponsors that completed the Sponsor Survey expressed satisfaction with their experience at the conference. They reported finding great value and return from sponsorship, and indicated a strong likelihood of repeating support for future events. Several sponsors expressed a desire for further networking opportunities and increased access to civic leaders in attendance.

The host committee raised $355,400 in sponsorships, which represents a high-water mark in conference fundraising. This conference was slightly less successful in ticket sales compared to 2018, with paid registrations down 19% after last year’s record high. Ticket sales from this year, however, did still surpass the totals from both 2016 and 2017. Revenue from ticket sales totaled approximately $45,000, compared to $50,000 in 2018.

Full results of the attendee and sponsor surveys are attached.

Communications
Email communications are the most direct driver of registrations (an assessment that is backed by the feedback received via the attendee survey). In the lead-up to the conference, the public affairs team sent invitations and regular program updates to the stakeholders in SCAG’s newsletter distribution lists, past event attendees and regular agency partners.

In advance of and during the event, we shared program highlights through SCAG’s social media profiles on Twitter and Facebook. Twitter in particular, which tends to be used for high-frequency live updates, was a useful platform for cultivating engagement with our broader audience in addition to those present for the event. Social media engagement was positive, and sustained levels comparable to last year, with minor growth in Twitter impressions (both average and total) and total engagements. (The rate of engagement on Twitter posts dipped slightly this year.) On Facebook, all metrics were down compared to last year, which is in keeping with the platform’s overall decline in user engagement. In total, Twitter posts about the event received over 42,000 impressions and 1,100 engagements and Facebook posts about the event reached over 3,200 users.

The event also received earned media attention. During the event, the public affair team distributed four press releases: one covering general details on the pre-conference Traffic Safety Symposium, one focusing on the latter’s equity component, one announcing the main conference program and one covering the swearing-in of new SCAG President Bill Jahn and the other 2019-2020 board officers.

A summary of media hits follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Headline</th>
<th>URL</th>
<th>Hit Sentence</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Reach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6-May</td>
<td>Status Update: Second Escape Lounge opens at ONT; Big Bear Lakes city councilor is new president of SCAG</td>
<td><a href="https://www.sbsun.com/2019/05/06/status-update-second-escape-lounge-opens-at-ont-big-bear-lakes-city-councilor-is-new-president-of-scag/">https://www.sbsun.com/2019/05/06/status-update-second-escape-lounge-opens-at-ont-big-bear-lakes-city-councilor-is-new-president-of-scag/</a></td>
<td>...Bill Jahn is the newly installed president of the Southern California Association of Governments. His top priorities...</td>
<td>San Bernardino County Sun</td>
<td>62,990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Headline</td>
<td>URL</td>
<td>Hit Sentence</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Reach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-May</td>
<td>Status Update: Second Escape Lounge opens at ONT; Big Bear Lakes city councilor is new president of SCAG</td>
<td><a href="https://www.pe.com/2019/05/06/status-update-second-escape-lounge-opens-at-ont-big-bear-lakes-city-councilor-is-new-president-of-scag/">https://www.pe.com/2019/05/06/status-update-second-escape-lounge-opens-at-ont-big-bear-lakes-city-councilor-is-new-president-of-scag/</a></td>
<td>...Bill Jahn is the newly installed president of the Southern California Association of Governments. His top priorities...</td>
<td>Press Enterprise</td>
<td>230,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-May</td>
<td>Status Update: Second Escape Lounge opens at ONT; Big Bear Lakes city councilor is new president of SCAG</td>
<td><a href="https://www.dailybulletin.com/2019/05/06/status-update-second-escape-lounge-opens-at-ont-big-bear-lakes-city-councilor-is-new-president-of-scag/">https://www.dailybulletin.com/2019/05/06/status-update-second-escape-lounge-opens-at-ont-big-bear-lakes-city-councilor-is-new-president-of-scag/</a></td>
<td>...Bill Jahn is the newly installed president of the Southern California Association of Governments. His top priorities...</td>
<td>DailyBulletin.com</td>
<td>68,468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-May</td>
<td>Top Ten Stories for April 28 through May 4</td>
<td><a href="http://www.oc-breeze.com/2019/05/05/138366_top_ten_stories_for_april_28_through_may_4-2/">http://www.oc-breeze.com/2019/05/05/138366_top_ten_stories_for_april_28_through_may_4-2/</a></td>
<td>...SCAG honors six innovative projects with its 2019 Sustainability Awards...</td>
<td>Orange County Breeze</td>
<td>7,207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-May</td>
<td>SCAG 2019 Focus on Improving Transportation Safety</td>
<td><a href="https://inlandempire.us/scag-2019-focus-on-improving-transportation-safety/">https://inlandempire.us/scag-2019-focus-on-improving-transportation-safety/</a></td>
<td>...Appearing at the Traffic Safety Leadership Symposium, sponsored by the Southern California Association of Governments...</td>
<td>Inland Empire</td>
<td>7,659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-May</td>
<td>Big Bear Lake City Council Member Bill Jahn Sworn in as SCAG President</td>
<td><a href="https://inlandempire.us/big-bear-lake-city-council-member-bill-jahn-sworn-in-as-scag-president/">https://inlandempire.us/big-bear-lake-city-council-member-bill-jahn-sworn-in-as-scag-president/</a></td>
<td>...John’s policy priorities as the newly installed president of the Southern California Association of Governments...</td>
<td>Inland Empire</td>
<td>7,659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-May</td>
<td>Bill Jahn sworn in as new SCAG president</td>
<td><a href="http://www.bigbeargrizzly.net/news/bill-jahn-sworn-in-as-new-scag-president/article_2bbda546-6b93-11e9-94c5-3f6a082d1741.html">http://www.bigbeargrizzly.net/news/bill-jahn-sworn-in-as-new-scag-president/article_2bbda546-6b93-11e9-94c5-3f6a082d1741.html</a></td>
<td>...John’s policy priorities as the newly installed president of the Southern California Association of Governments...</td>
<td>Big Bear Grizzly</td>
<td>9,228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ATTACHMENT(S):**
1) 2019 Regional Conference and General Assembly – Attendee Survey Results
2) 2019 Regional Conference and General Assembly – Sponsor Survey Results
Q1 Please check/indicate your affiliation:

Answered: 129  Skipped: 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANSWER CHOICES</th>
<th>RESPONSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCAG Regional Council member</td>
<td>15.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAG Policy Committee member</td>
<td>10.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other elected official</td>
<td>11.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City/agency staff</td>
<td>30.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-profit organization</td>
<td>7.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>29.46%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Respondents: 129
Q2 Have you previously attended SCAG's Regional Conference & General Assembly?

Answered: 128   Skipped: 1

**Answer Choices**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Choice</th>
<th>Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This was my first time attending the conference</td>
<td>40.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I attended the conference for the first time last year</td>
<td>10.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I've attended 3 or more SCAG Regional Conference and General Assemblies</td>
<td>48.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>128</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q3 How did you hear about this conference? (Please check one)

Answered: 114  Skipped: 15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANSWER CHOICES</th>
<th>RESPONSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>50.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website</td>
<td>9.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conference brochure</td>
<td>7.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word of mouth</td>
<td>31.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social media</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q4 What were your main reasons for attending this conference? (Please check all that apply)

Answered: 121  Skipped: 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANSWER CHOICES</th>
<th>RESPONSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interested in specific conference topic</td>
<td>52.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Networking opportunities</td>
<td>65.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support of SCAG</td>
<td>71.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referred by other attendee</td>
<td>6.61%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Respondents: 121
Q5 How important are each of the following factors in your decision to attend this conference?

Answered: 129  Skipped: 0
2019 Regional Conference & General Assembly

- Conference date
- Registration cost
- Accessibility of location
- Support of SCAG
- Session topics
- Networking opportunities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VERY IMPORTANT</th>
<th>IMPORTANT</th>
<th>NOT IMPORTANT</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conference date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registration cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility of location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support of SCAG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session topics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Networking opportunities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weighted Average
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conference date</td>
<td>21.43%</td>
<td>57.94%</td>
<td>20.63%</td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registration cost</td>
<td>27.91%</td>
<td>46.51%</td>
<td>25.58%</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility of location</td>
<td>31.20%</td>
<td>56.00%</td>
<td>12.80%</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support of SCAG</td>
<td>48.41%</td>
<td>46.83%</td>
<td>4.76%</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session topics</td>
<td>53.54%</td>
<td>40.94%</td>
<td>5.51%</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Networking opportunities</td>
<td>61.90%</td>
<td>34.92%</td>
<td>3.17%</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q6 How satisfied were you with the following conference components?

Answered: 129  Skipped: 0

Sustainability
Awards program

Go Human
Micro-Mobili...

Exhibitor Hall

Online registration...
| Event / Process                                                                 | Total (%) | 31.25% | 17.97% | 0.78% | 21.09% | Count | Average
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Go Human Micro-Mobility Demonstration</td>
<td>28.91%</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibitor Hall</td>
<td>57.03%</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online registration process (purchasing tickets)</td>
<td>53.49%</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday reception at the Springs Pool</td>
<td>53.49%</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff support leading up to event</td>
<td>67.19%</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-site check-in process</td>
<td>75.19%</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q7 Please rate the quality of the speakers for the following sessions:

Answered: 128  Skipped: 1
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breakout Session</th>
<th>EXCELLENT</th>
<th>GOOD</th>
<th>FAIR</th>
<th>POOR</th>
<th>N/A (I DIDN'T SEE THIS SESSION)</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>WEIGHTED AVERAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breakout Session 1A – Boom Goes The Green Economy</td>
<td>14.29%</td>
<td>13.45%</td>
<td>10.92%</td>
<td>2.52%</td>
<td>58.82%</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakout Session 2B – Is Our Infrastructure Ready for the New Normal?</td>
<td>11.21%</td>
<td>31.90%</td>
<td>9.48%</td>
<td>1.72%</td>
<td>45.69%</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakout Session 2C - Unleashing the Power of Data</td>
<td>12.50%</td>
<td>8.93%</td>
<td>5.36%</td>
<td>1.79%</td>
<td>71.43%</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friday Plenary Session – Getting Back on Track</td>
<td>21.19%</td>
<td>35.59%</td>
<td>10.17%</td>
<td>0.85%</td>
<td>32.20%</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakout Session 1B – Where Will Our Children Live?</td>
<td>18.64%</td>
<td>17.80%</td>
<td>6.78%</td>
<td>1.69%</td>
<td>55.08%</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>3.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakout Session 1C – The New Mobility Revolution</td>
<td>21.62%</td>
<td>18.02%</td>
<td>5.41%</td>
<td>0.90%</td>
<td>54.05%</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friday Keynote – Lea Endres, Co-Founder &amp; CEO, NationBuilder</td>
<td>43.09%</td>
<td>27.64%</td>
<td>6.50%</td>
<td>2.44%</td>
<td>20.33%</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakout Session 2A – Student Showcase</td>
<td>25.93%</td>
<td>19.44%</td>
<td>2.78%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>51.85%</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q8 Did you leave the conference with any of the following takeaways?
(Check all that apply.)

Answered: 126  Skipped: 3

Other (please specify)
SCAG's Go Human campaign is working to reduce traffic collisions across the region
SCAG is hosting over 20 public open house workshops in May and June in six counties to get feedback on how the region can grow more sustainably
Engaging with the development of SCAG’s Connect SoCal plan will help my community improve public health, meet our greenhouse gas reduction goals, transportation connectivity
Resiliency planning is necessary to mitigate the negative impacts of natural disaster and climate change on our infrastructure
SCAG’s Connect SoCal plan will lay out a blueprint for the next 25 years in the region
The increasing field of mobility options will change how we get around in Southern California
SCAG offers many resources, in the form of funding, technical assistance and other programs, to help cities and counties reach their goals
SCAG is an essential regional partner in making a more sustainable future for Southern California

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANSWER CHOICES</th>
<th>RESPONSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>7.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAG's Go Human campaign is working to reduce traffic collisions across the region</td>
<td>39.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAG is hosting over 20 public open house workshops in May and June in six counties to get feedback on how the region can grow more sustainably</td>
<td>43.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engaging with the development of SCAG’s Connect SoCal plan will help my community improve public health, meet our greenhouse gas reduction goals, transportation connectivity</td>
<td>46.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resiliency planning is necessary to mitigate the negative impacts of natural disaster and climate change on our infrastructure</td>
<td>51.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAG’s Connect SoCal plan will lay out a blueprint for the next 25 years in the region</td>
<td>57.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The increasing field of mobility options will change how we get around in Southern California</td>
<td>60.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAG offers many resources, in the form of funding, technical assistance and other programs, to help cities and counties reach their goals</td>
<td>68.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAG is an essential regional partner in making a more sustainable future for Southern California</td>
<td>76.19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SCAG plays an important role in convening many different stakeholders and jurisdictions across Southern California

| Total Respondents: 126 | 78.57% 99 | 15 / 19 |
Q9 Overall, the conference:

Answered: 129  Skipped: 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANSWER CHOICES</th>
<th>RESPONSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exceeded your expectations</td>
<td>31.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met your expectations</td>
<td>60.47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not meet your...</td>
<td>8.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>129</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q10 Based on your impressions of this conference, how likely are you to attend the Regional Conference and General Assembly again?

Answered: 129   Skipped: 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANSWER CHOICES</th>
<th>RESPONSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly likely</td>
<td>63.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely</td>
<td>30.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>6.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q11 Please tell us what topics or speakers you would like to see in the future or provide any additional feedback.

Answered: 47    Skipped: 82
Q12 Optional
Answered: 59  Skipped: 70

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANSWER CHOICES</th>
<th>RESPONSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>96.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>96.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q1 How did you hear about sponsorship opportunities for this event?

Answered: 22  Skipped: 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANSWER CHOICES</th>
<th>RESPONSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCAG Sponsorship packet (hardcopy)</td>
<td>9.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAG Sponsorship packet (email)</td>
<td>13.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event flyer</td>
<td>4.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event email</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business associate/friend</td>
<td>27.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsored SCAG Regional Conference &amp; General Assembly in the past</td>
<td>68.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website</td>
<td>4.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>4.55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Respondents: 22
Q2 How important are the following outcomes to your company when considering sponsorship of the 2019 Regional Conference & General Assembly?:

- Commitment to address... (Answered: 23, Skipped: 0)
- Visibility of company... (Answered: 23, Skipped: 0)
- Networking opportunitie... (Answered: 23, Skipped: 0)
- Demonstrate partnership... (Answered: 23, Skipped: 0)
### 2019 Regional Conference & General Assembly Sponsorship Satisfaction Survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VERY IMPORTANT</th>
<th>SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT</th>
<th>NOT VERY IMPORTANT</th>
<th>NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>WEIGHTED AVERAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commitment to address regional issues</td>
<td>82.61%</td>
<td>17.39%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visibility of company name/logo</td>
<td>82.61%</td>
<td>13.04%</td>
<td>4.35%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Networking opportunities with city/county staff</td>
<td>86.36%</td>
<td>13.64%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrate partnership with SCAG</td>
<td>78.26%</td>
<td>21.74%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Networking opportunities with elected officials</td>
<td>69.57%</td>
<td>26.09%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>4.35%</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seek new clients and/or business opportunities</td>
<td>56.52%</td>
<td>13.04%</td>
<td>13.04%</td>
<td>4.35%</td>
<td>13.04%</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support a friend or colleague</td>
<td>27.27%</td>
<td>36.36%</td>
<td>22.73%</td>
<td>9.09%</td>
<td>4.55%</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q3 Please rate the quality of the speakers for the following sessions:

Answered: 23    Skipped: 0

Breakout Session 1A

Breakout Session 1B

Breakout Session 1C

Breakout Session 2A
### 2019 Regional Conference & General Assembly Sponsorship Satisfaction Survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breakout Session 1A – Boom Goes The Green Economy</th>
<th>EXCELLENT</th>
<th>GOOD</th>
<th>FAIR</th>
<th>POOR</th>
<th>N/A (I DIDN'T SEE THIS SESSION)</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>WEIGHTED AVERAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breakout Session 1B – Where Will Our Children Live?</td>
<td>9.09%</td>
<td>18.18%</td>
<td>4.55%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>68.18%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakout Session 1C – The New Mobility Revolution</td>
<td>13.64%</td>
<td>18.18%</td>
<td>4.55%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>63.64%</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakout Session 2A – Student Showcase</td>
<td>14.29%</td>
<td>19.05%</td>
<td>4.76%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>61.90%</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakout Session 2B – Is Our Infrastructure Ready for the New Normal?</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>18.18%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>81.82%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakout Session 2C - Unleashing the Power of Data</td>
<td>13.64%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>9.09%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>77.27%</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friday Keynote Address – Lea Endres, Co-Founder &amp; CEO, NationBuilder</td>
<td>18.18%</td>
<td>18.18%</td>
<td>13.64%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plenary Session – Getting Back on Track</td>
<td>22.73%</td>
<td>22.73%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>54.55%</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q4 Did your company also have an exhibit space?

Answered: 23  Skipped: 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANSWER CHOICES</th>
<th>RESPONSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>60.87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>39.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q5 If you answered yes, how would you rank the level of engagement at your exhibit space?

**Answered: 18  Skipped: 5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANSWER CHOICES</th>
<th>RESPONSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very few people came to our table</td>
<td>11.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A satisfactory number of attendees came to our table</td>
<td>44.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A very high number of attendees came to our table</td>
<td>22.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>22.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q6 How satisfied were you with the following conference components?

Answered: 23  Skipped: 0

- Online registration...
- SCAG staff support lead...
- SCAG staff support at t...
- On-site check-in...
- Exhibitor Hall
2019 Regional Conference & General Assembly Sponsorship Satisfaction Survey

Responsiveness of hotel staff
- Very satisfied
- Satisfied
- Not satisfied
- N/A

Sustainability Awards program
- Very satisfied
- Satisfied
- Not satisfied
- N/A

Go Human Micro-Mobility
- Very satisfied
- Satisfied
- Not satisfied
- N/A

Thursday reception at...
- Very satisfied
- Satisfied
- Not satisfied
- N/A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VERY Satisfied</th>
<th>SATISFIED</th>
<th>NOT SATISFIED</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>WEIGHTED AVERAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11 / 16
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Rating Distribution</th>
<th>Average Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Online registration process</td>
<td>56.52%</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAG staff support leading up to the event</td>
<td>68.18%</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAG staff support at the event</td>
<td>73.91%</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-site check-in process</td>
<td>86.36%</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibitor Hall</td>
<td>69.57%</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsiveness of hotel staff</td>
<td>54.55%</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability Awards program</td>
<td>21.74%</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Go Human Micro-Mobility Demonstration</td>
<td>21.74%</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday reception at the Springs Pool</td>
<td>39.13%</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q7 What was the most satisfactory aspect of your sponsorship?

Answered: 16   Skipped: 7
Q8 What other benefits or opportunities would be appealing to you?

Answered: 8  Skipped: 15
Q9 How likely are you to sponsor SCAG's Regional Conference & General Assembly in the future?

Answered: 21   Skipped: 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANSWER CHOICES</th>
<th>RESPONSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very likely</td>
<td>71.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat likely</td>
<td>28.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not very likely</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL 21
Q10 Do you have any additional feedback or input on what SCAG can do to help improve your sponsorship experience?

Answered: 9    Skipped: 14