

SCAG 6TH CYCLE DRAFT RHNA ALLOCATION BASED ON FINAL RHNA METHODOLOGY

9/3/20

What is this? This spreadsheet tool provides input data as well as draft RHNA allocations for each local jurisdiction. Following the adoption of Connect SoCal (2020 RTP/SCS) by SCAG's Regional Council on 9/4/2020, draft allocations were formally issued to each local jurisdiction.

Instructions: Select jurisdiction from drop-down menu. Green boxes will populate based on data in "RHNA_data" tab. For more information, please see www.scag.ca.gov/rhna or email housing@scag.ca.gov.

Select Jurisdiction (drop-down menu)

Rancho Palos Verdes city

Total regional housing need

1,341,827

Rancho Palos Verdes city statistics:

Forecasted household (HH) growth, RHNA period:

Percent of households who are renting:

Housing unit loss from demolition (2009-18):

Adj. forecasted household growth, 2020-2045:*

Pct. of regional jobs accessible in 30 mins (2045):**

Share of region's job accessibility (pop-weighted):

Share of region's HQTAs population (2045)

Share of pop. in low/very low-resource tracts:

Share of pop. in very high-resource tracts:

Social equity adjustment:

**Local input/growth forecast total adjusted by the difference between determination and SCAG's regional 2020-2045 forecast (+4%)*

***For the jurisdiction's median TAZ*

For complete descriptions of values below, see "metadata" tab or www.sca.gov

	Regional Percentile:
23	6%
20%	7%
-	0%
93	-
6.46%	35%
0.10%	37%
0.03%	37%
0.00%	-
99.95%	-
180%	-

between the RHNA

RHNA Allocation inputs for Rancho Palos Verdes city	
Forecasted household (HH) growth, RHNA period:	23
Vacancy Adjustment	1
Replacement Need	-
TOTAL PROJECTED NEED:	24
Existing need due to job accessibility (50%)	426
Existing need due to HQTAs pop. share (50%)	135
Net residual factor for existing need^	53
TOTAL EXISTING NEED	614
TOTAL RHNA FOR RANCHO PALOS VERDES CITY	638
Very-low income (<50% of AMI)	253
Low income (50-80% of AMI)	139
Moderate income (80-120% of AMI)	125
Above moderate income (>120% of AMI)	121

^Negative values represent a lower-resourced community with good job and/or transit access having its allocation capped. Positive values represent this amount being redistributed to higher-resourced communities based on their job and/or transit access.

