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The Regional Council of the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) held its regular meeting at the SCAG main office, 900 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90017.
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</tr>
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</tr>
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<td>Rosemead</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Teresa Real Sebastian</td>
<td>Monterey Park</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Margaret E. Finlay</td>
<td>Duarte</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Jonathan Curtis</td>
<td>La Cañada Flintridge</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Steve Tye</td>
<td>Diamond Bar</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Tim Sandoval</td>
<td>Pomona</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>Lomita</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td>Oxnard</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. David Pollock</td>
<td>Moorpark</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Paul Krekorian</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>49/50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Bob Blumenfield</td>
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<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. David Ryu</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
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<td>Hon. Nury Martinez</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>53</td>
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<td>54</td>
</tr>
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</table>
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Hon. Mike Bonin  
Los Angeles  
District 58
Hon. John Lee  
Los Angeles  
District 59
Hon. Joe Buscaino  
Los Angeles  
District 62
Hon. Steve Manos  
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Los Angeles  
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Hon. José Huizar  
Los Angeles  
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Staff Present

Kome Ajise, Executive Director
Darin Chidsey, Chief Operating Officer
Debbie Dillon, Chief Strategy Officer
Justine Block, Acting Chief Counsel/Director of Legal Services
Ruben Duran, Board Counsel
Art Yoon, Director of Policy and Public Affairs
CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

President Bill Jahn called the meeting to order at 12:50 p.m. and asked Councilmember Larry McCallon, Highland, District 7, to lead the Pledge of Allegiance.

REVIEW AND PRIORITIZE AGENDA ITEMS

Based on substantial public comments expected on Action/Discussion Item Nos. 1 and 2, President Jahn prioritized the Consent Calendar, Item Nos. 3-16, bringing it to consideration first.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Approval Items

3. Minutes of the Meeting - February 6, 2020
4. Regional Early Action Planning (REAP) Grant Subregional Partnership Program & Funding Allocation
5. Resolution No. 20-619-1: Preserving Naturally Occurring Affordable Housing Project Regulatory Agreement
6. Approval of the Fiscal Year 2020-21 Draft Comprehensive Budget
7. Contract Amendment, Contract 20-002-C01, Board Counsel Services
8. Contract Amendment Greater than 30% of the Contract’s Original Value, Purchase Order No. 007008, Electronic Signatures, Amendment 2
9. Contracts $200,000 or Greater: 20-037-C01, Go Human Advertising & Engagement Campaign

Receive and File

10. Overview of Draft Connect SoCal Comments and Revision Approach
11. Status Update on the Connect SoCal Final PEIR
12. 31st Annual Demographic Workshop - Save the Date
13. March State and Federal Legislative Update
15. Road User Charges (RUCs) – Lessons Learned
16. CFO Monthly Report

President Jahn called members’ attention to Receive and File Item No. 13, regarding the March State and Federal Legislation Update, and announced that a complete report, which includes pertinent information from the past Tuesday’s statewide primary election, is available at the back of the room.

President Jahn also provided a brief update on Receive and File Item Nos. 10 and 11, regarding the Draft Connect SoCal Plan and Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR).

A MOTION was made (Navarro) to approve the Consent Calendar, Item Nos. 3-16. Motion was SECONDED (Brown). The motion passed by the following votes:

FOR: ASHTON, BACERRA, BAILEY, BARGER, BEAMAN-JACINTO, BENOIT, BERRY, BLUMENFIELD, BROWN, BUCKNUM, BUSCAINO, CLARK, CURTIS, GARCETTI, GAZELEY, HARNIK, HOLMGREN, HUANG, JAHN, JUDGE, KELLY, KORETZ, KREKORIAN, LEE, LORIMORE, MAGANA, MANOS, R. MARQUEZ, MARTINEZ, MCCALLON, MEDINA, MICHAEL, MINAGAR, MITCHELL, NAGEL, NAVARRO, PEARCE, PLANCARTE, POLLOCK, PREDMORE, C. RAMIREZ, REAL SEBASTIAN, RICHARDSON, ROBERTSON, RYU, SAHLI-WELLS, SALEH, SANDOVAL, SANTA INES, SANTOS, SCHWANK, SHAPIRO, SIMONOFF, SPIEGEL, TYE, WAGNER, WALKER and WAPNER (58)

AGAINST: RODRIGUEZ (1)

ABSTAIN: NONE (0)

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

President Jahn opened the Public Comment period. He announced that comments would be limited to one and a half minutes for each speaker who submitted a public comment card.

Megan Kirkeby, Assistant Deputy Director of Fair Housing, California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD), stated that HCD, which has oversight over methodologies, has reviewed the draft RHNA methodology as submitted by SCAG and has found it to be compliant. She explained that this methodology is already a compromise and that other proposed plans, which place greater weight on the subjective measure of growth forecast, reduce the RHNA statutory objectives of jobs-housing fit and affirmatively furthering fair housing.
Councilmember Sandra Genis, City of Costa Mesa, spoke against the draft RHNA methodology and in favor of the Cerritos proposal. She commented that the currently proposed draft methodology will cause the most affordable existing housing units to be eliminated in place of higher-density developments and will additionally burden communities which have already successfully produced new units, now included in their baselines.

Hank Fung, a resident of the San Gabriel Valley, affirmed that the RHNA methodology as approved by HCD should be approved by the Regional Council expediently, given that it affirmatively furthers fair housing.

Shane Phillips, UCLA Lewis Center for Regional Policy Studies, asked the Regional Council to move forward with the HCD-approved proposal and reject the Cerritos proposal, as it would serve to reduce housing allocations in specific cities that have substantial access to opportunities but low household growth.

Kenneth Stahl, People for Housing Orange County, urged rejection of the Cerritos plan, saying that it unlawfully considers existing restrictive land use regulations, although recent state law such as SB 828 require consideration of alternatives to these regulations. He reiterated that household growth is already incorporated in the draft methodology and commented that some cities are seeking to increase household growth’s share of the formula because these cities are expecting low household growth.

Torrey Contreras, City of Cerritos, spoke to the city’s efforts and merits in housing production. He urged the Regional Council to reinstate household growth as a component of the existing housing need and asserted that the Cerritos plan is in full compliance with state law.

Kristin Aguila, City of Cerritos, stated that SB 375 and state housing law require Connect SoCal and RHNA methodology to be consistent, and Connect SoCal is required to include population growth and household formation. She mentioned that a final RHNA methodology does not have to be approved prior to the anticipated adoption of Connect SoCal.

Abel Avalos, City of Lakewood, commented on the population density of Gateway Cities Council of Governments (COG) member cities and noted that many communities within this COG are already built-out. He reiterated that revisions to draft methodologies can be made after HCD’s initial review.

Dr. Holly Osborne, a resident of Redondo Beach, reported on her analysis of algorithms, explaining that some algorithms benefit high-density cities and others benefit low-density cities. She stated that the original algorithm considered by the Regional Council in 2019 and the Cerritos proposal
are preferable to high-density cities, while the current “Bailey/Riverside” algorithm is preferable to low-density cities. She proposed a hybrid model, in which high-density cities apply the Cerritos algorithm and low-density cities use the Bailey/Riverside algorithm.

Jaime Murrilo, City of Newport Beach, spoke in support of the Cerritos methodology. He explained that jurisdictions are required to adopt Housing Elements based on RHNA methodologies, and a component of Housing Elements is demonstrating that there are adequate sites to accommodate zoning for the allocated need. Per recent changes in state law, jurisdictions that rely on more than fifty (50) percent of non-vacant sites must show substantial evidence that sites will turn during the planning period or face penalties for noncompliance.

Leonora Camner, Abundant Housing LA, supported the current HCD-approved methodology and noted that positive housing change has already been seen following the Regional Council’s vote in November 2019.

Helen Higgins, Friends of Coyote Hills in Fullerton, commended SCAG for advancing natural and farm lands conservation as a part of Connect SoCal’s goals.

Arnold Sachs, a resident of Los Angeles, spoke in favor of partnering with Los Angeles County to join LA Care and Covered California, as these programs have no reimbursement ceilings and benefit low-income individuals.

President Jahn asked Acting Chief Counsel Justine Block to read and acknowledge receipt of public comment letters received for Agenda Item No. 1. Ms. Block acknowledged written comments received by SCAG from the following cities: Irvine, Anaheim, Rosemead, Fullerton, Alhambra, La Mirada, South Pasadena, South Gate, Torrance, Laguna Hills, Bradford, Cerritos, San Dimas, La Palma, Newport Beach, Garden Grove, Norco, Rancho Palos Verdes, La Puente, Huntington Beach, Eastvale, Lake Forest, Chino Hills and Costa Mesa. A joint letter was received from the Cities of Riverside, Colton, Highland, Rialto, Oxnard, Lake Elsinore and the County of Riverside. Additionally, written comments were received from the following individuals: Vito Mancini, Henry Fung, Mehta Sunil, Jaimee Suh, Kari Geosano, Scott Pisano and Brian Johnson. Ms. Block noted that these letters are posted on SCAG’s website and a transmittal of a link to the site was provided Regional Council members. Paper copies were available at the back of the room.

Councilmember Teresa Real Sebastian, Monterey Park, District 34, stated that the City of Monterey Park has submitted a letter which was not acknowledged. Immediate Past President Alan Wapner, SBCTA, stated that the City of Ontario has submitted a letter as well. Councilmember Dan Medina, Gardena, District 28, stated that the City of Gardena and the South Bay Cities Council of Governments have also submitted letters. Ms. Block acknowledged that SCAG will ensure these letters were received and posted.
ACTION/DISCUSSION ITEM

1. Recommended Final RHNA Methodology

President Jahn introduced the item and asked Executive Director Kome Ajise to provide background information.

Mr. Ajise affirmed that furthering RHNA objectives in state statute is the main intention of the recommended final RHNA methodology. He remarked that delays to further consider alternatives would not necessarily produce a methodology which advances objectives more so than the draft methodology. He reminded members that HCD has approved the draft methodology, which is a compromise itself.

Mr. Ajise introduced Kevin Kane, SCAG staff, who reviewed the statutory objectives of RHNA and demonstrated how each proposed methodology impacts these objectives. He explained that methodologies have both a projected need component, addressing growth, and an existing need component, addressing backlog. He displayed how the draft RHNA methodology allocates 1,341,827 units that HCD has allotted for distribution amongst the region’s 197 jurisdictions. He explained that every data element, including job and transit access, is based on input from local jurisdictions. He explicitly showed how the local input of household growth is used in each of the proposed methodologies. The original recommendation, not approved by the Regional Council, assigned 68.8 percent of its weight to household growth; the Regional Council-approved methodology reduced the weight of household growth to 37.6 percent; and the Cerritos proposal would increase the weight of household growth to 58.4 percent. He affirmed that the Regional Council-approved methodology is consistent with Connect SoCal plan, and other proposed methodologies add long-range growth anticipated in 2030-2045, beyond the eight-year RHNA planning period. Mr. Kane concluded by illustrating SCAG staff’s analysis that the Cerritos proposal backslides on RHNA objective 1, equitable geographic distribution; RHNA objective 2, infill and efficient development; and RHNA objective 3, improved intraregional relationships between low-wage jobs and affordable housing. Thus, SCAG staff recommends the adoption of the Regional Council-approved methodology as the final RHNA methodology.

Mr. Ajise then introduced Community, Economic and Human Development Committee (CEHD) Chair Peggy Huang, TCA, who stated CEHD’s recommendation to continue the RHNA methodology to the next meeting, or a special CEHD/Regional Council meeting, and to direct staff to consider Dr. Holly Osborne’s proposed methodology.

Regional Councilmember Margaret Finlay, Duarte, District 35, commented that the Cerritos proposal implies that SCAG has eliminated household growth from the methodology, which seems
to conflict with Kevin Kane’s presentation. Mr. Kane responded and confirmed that, in the recommended final RHNA methodology, 37.6 percent of RHNA is directly based on household growth. In considering Dr. Holly Osborne’s proposal, Councilmember Finlay further asked Mr. Ajise how the proposal would affect the timeline of RHNA methodology approval. Mr. Ajise responded that this would take approximately two to four months, considering HCD’s 60-day review period, and further delays are possible if such methodology does not fulfill RHNA objectives.

Regional Councilmember Meghan Sahli-Wells, Culver City, District 41, supported the HCD-approved methodology and the staff-recommended resolution. She spoke about the importance of approving a plan which counters historical racism and classism in housing opportunity and prioritizes the environment, mobility and connection to jobs.

Regional Councilmember Eric Garcetti, Los Angeles, Member-at-Large, expressed support of reaffirming the HCD-approved methodology, rather than continuing consideration of a plan that may not be approved. He spoke to the diversity of Regional Council body, the strength of the region and the role of SCAG in bringing leaders together as one region. Given significant goals put on the region by the state, he harped on the need to “vote with hope” and knock down the financial and regulatory impediments to building housing. He stated that the City of Los Angeles will take on the burden of having the greatest gap between housing allocated by RHNA and jobs. He closed by sharing a story about the generational impacts of rising housing costs.

Regional Councilmember Trevor O’Neil, Anaheim, District 19, asked HCD and SCAG staff whether a future proposal to HCD would have to advance RHNA objectives or simply meet them. He provided his analysis of the difference in unit allocation for the City of Los Angeles, comparing the original staff recommendation and the recommended final RHNA methodology.

Regional Councilmember David Pollock, Moorpark, District 46, spoke in favor of the draft methodology as it signifies a shift from urban sprawl to urban density. He noted the role of the appeals process in addressing individual cities’ inequities.

Regional Councilmember David Ryu, Los Angeles, District 51, stated that there is currently a housing and homeless crisis that affects every community in the state. The shortage of affordable and moderate-income housing is a direct cause of the rate of homelessness. To counter this crisis, he noted the importance of building more housing where it is needed. He expressed that he believes in local leaders and their ability to address the housing crisis while limiting displacement and allowing for public input. He asked that members avoid delays and move forward with the methodology as recommended by SCAG staff and approved by HCD.

Regional Councilmember Michael Carroll, Irvine, District 14, commented on the process to develop RHNA methodology, Regional Councilmembers’ attendance and the need for better collaboration.
Regional Councilmember Carmen Ramirez, Oxnard, District 45, expressed support for staff’s recommendation and complimented SCAG staff on their professionalism. She also noted Oxnard’s housing climate and socioeconomics.

Regional Councilmember Teresa Real Sebastian, Monterey Park, District 34, commented on the need to prioritize job creation and noted the disparity between jurisdictions with jobs and those with vacant land. She emphasized the importance of developing regional solutions over state-level decisions.

Regional Councilmember Frank Yokoyama, Cerritos, District 23, argued that the Cerritos methodology furthers all five (5) RHNA statutory objectives more than the SCAG staff-recommended plan. He also recounted support of the Cerritos methodology by CEHD members and stated that approving final RHNA methodology prior to approval of Connect SoCal is not necessary, so this matter can be continued to a subsequent meeting.

Regional Councilmember Lyn Semeta, Huntington Beach, District 64, expressed concerns over the 1.3 million regional determination number, land use constraints within coastal communities and the difficulties of these communities in achieving compliant Housing Elements, thus blocking access to funding for affordable housing. She urged regional collaboration to change HCD’s determination.

Regional Councilmember Margaret Finlay, Duarte, District 35, commented regarding HCD’s determination and spoke to the importance of creating housing near jobs and supporting job-creating projects.

Supervisor Don Wagner, Orange County, said that the plan is a fantasy, and that pushback on HCD’s determination and state requirements are ways to create tangible housing change. He encouraged taking additional time to consider alternatives.

Regional Councilmember Margaret Clark, Rosemead, District 32, mentioned involvement of potential litigation in RHNA methodology approval and questioned the implications of basing RHNA methodology on transit access when some transit systems are not yet fully designed or developed.

Regional Councilmember Rusty Bailey, Riverside, District 68, reaffirmed that the staff’s proposal furthers RHNA statutory objectives. He commented on the difference between creating moderate-income housing and low-income housing.

Regional Councilmember Mike Bonin, Los Angeles, District 58, addressed the legacy of racism in housing policy and commented that single-family zoning in California, amongst other practices, acted to continue segregation, even after the passage of the Fair Housing Act. He noted the need to
find ways to undo a history of housing discrimination.

First Vice President Rex Richardson, Long Beach, District 29, acknowledged the job done by SCAG staff in facilitating a methodology that is consistent with state law. He expressed concern over HCD’s 1.3 million unit determination and advocated for approval of the draft RHNA methodology so that revenue, tools and incentives from the State can be pursued.

Regional Councilmember David Ryu, Los Angeles, District 51, affirmed the importance of jobs-housing balance and the possibility of the Cerritos plan reducing the allocation of housing in job-rich areas. He stressed the importance of demonstrating the region’s unity and moving forward with the plan previously approved by the Regional Council.

Regional Councilmember Teresa Real Sebastian, Monterey Park, District 34, asked if the methodology would have to be reviewed and approved again if HCD’s determination were to be reduced, or whether the reduction would be applied proportionately throughout jurisdictions. Executive Director Kome Ajise responded that the reduction would be proportionate.

A MOTION was made (Wagner) to continue and refer the matter back to CEHD for further consideration. Motion was SECONDED (Puckett).

A FIRST SUBSTITUTE MOTION was made (Manos) to approve Resolution No. 20-619-2 Adopting the Final Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) Methodology for the Sixth Housing Element Cycle (2021-2029). Motion was SECONDED (Finlay).

A SECOND SUBSTITUTE MOTION was made (O’Neil) to adopt the Cerritos plan. Motion was SECONDED (Ashton).

Board Counsel Ruben Duran clarified the order in which these motions will be voted on, stating that, according to SCAG Bylaws, the Regional Council will proceed with the last motion first [Second Substitute Motion, O’Neil/Ashton].

Regional Councilmember Trevor O’Neil, Anaheim, District 19, made an amendment to his Second Substitute Motion, stating that residual units be distributed regionally. Regional Councilmember Ashton, Downey, District 25, accepted the friendly amendment.

The Second Substitute Motion, as amended, failed by the following votes:

FOR: ASHTON, BACERRA, BERRY, BUCKNUM, CARROLL, CLARK, CURTIS, GAZELEY, HOLMGREN, HUANG, MEDINA, MINAGAR, NAGEL, O’NEIL, REAL SEBASTIAN, SANTA INES, SEMETA, SIMONOFF, TYE, WAGNER and YOKOYAMA (21).
AGAINST: BAILEY, BARGER, BEAMAN-JACINTO, BENOIT, BLUMENFIELD, BONIN, BROWN, BUSCAINO, FINLAY, GARCETTI, HARNIK, HOFBAUER, JAHN, JUDGE, KELLY, KORETZ, KREKORIAN, LEE, LORIMORE, MANOS, R. MARQUEZ, MARTINEZ, MASIEL, MCCALLOI, MICHAEL, MITCHELL, NAVARRO, PEARCE, PLANCARTE, POLLOCK, PREDMORE, C. RAMIREZ, RICHARDSON, ROBERTSON, RODRIGUEZ, RYU, SAHLI-WELLS, SANDOVAL, SANTOS, SCHWANK, SHAPIRO and WALKER (42).

ABSTAIN: NONE (0)

Board Counsel Ruben Duran stated that the Second Substitute Motion failed; therefore, the First Substitute Motion [Manos/Finlay], being the prior motion, must now be considered.

Regional Councilmember Peggy Huang proposed a friendly amendment to the First Substitute Motion to state that residual units be distributed regionally. Regional Councilmember Manos, Maker of the Motion, rejected the friendly amendment.

The First Substitute Motion passed by the following votes:

FOR: BAILEY, BARGER, BEAMAN-JACINTO, BENOIT, BLUMENFIELD, BONIN, BUSCAINO, FINLAY, GARCETTI, HARNIK, HOFBAUER, JAHN, JUDGE, KELLY, KORETZ, KREKORIAN, LEE, MANOS, R. MARQUEZ, MARTINEZ, MASIEL, MCCALLOI, MICHAEL, MITCHELL, NAVARRO, O'NEIL, PEARCE*, PLANCARTE, POLLOCK, PREDMORE, C. RAMIREZ, RICHARDSON, ROBERTSON, RODRIGUEZ, RYU, SAHLI-WELLS, SALEH, SANDOVAL, SANTOS, SCHWANK, SHAPIRO and WALKER (42).

AGAINST: ASHTON, BACERRA, BERRY, BROWN, BUCKNUM, CARROLL, CLARK, CURTIS, GAZELEY, HOLMGREN, HUANG, LORIMORE, MEDINA, MINAGAR, NAGEL, REAL SEBASTIAN, SANTA INES, SEMETA, SIMONOFF, TYE, WAGNER and YOKOYAMA (22).

ABSTAIN: NONE (0)

While the voting results were being reviewed, Regional Councilmember Jeannine Peace, Long Beach, District 30, stated that she inadvertently voted “Against” and intended to vote “For” the First Substitute Motion of Agenda Item No. 1. The corrected vote for Regional Councilmember Pearce* is annotated above.

2. 6th Cycle RHNA Appeals Procedures

President Jahn suspended Agenda Item No. 2 in the essence of time.
BUSINESS REPORT

President Jahn suspended the Business Report in the essence of time.

PRESIDENT’S REPORT

President Jahn suspended the President’s Report in the essence of time.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT

President Jahn suspended the Executive Director’s Report in the essence of time.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEM

President Jahn announced that suspended items will be continued to the next meeting of the Regional Council.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

There were no announcements.

ADJOURNMENT

President Jahn adjourned the Regional Council meeting at 2:58 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by:
Peter Waggonner
Clerk to the Regional Council

[MINUTES ARE UNOFFICIAL UNTIL APPROVED BY THE REGIONAL COUNCIL]
1. Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation
Pursuant to CA Government Code §54956.9 (d) (1): Liu vs CalPers and SCAG (Case No. 19STCP0456)

2. Conference with Legal Counsel – Anticipated Litigation
Pursuant to CA Government Code §54956.9 (d) (2): One Case