REGULAR MEETING

Thursday, September 5, 2019
12:15 p.m. - 2:00 p.m.

SCAG MAIN OFFICE
900 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1700
RC Board Room
Los Angeles, CA 90017
(213) 236-1800

If members of the public wish to review the attachments or have any questions on any of the agenda items, please contact Tess Rey-Chaput at (213) 236-1908 or via email at REY@scag.ca.gov. Agendas & Minutes for the RC - Regional Council are also available at: www.scag.ca.gov/committees

SCAG, in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), will accommodate persons who require a modification of accommodation in order to participate in this meeting. SCAG is also committed to helping people with limited proficiency in the English language access the agency’s essential public information and services. You can request such assistance by calling (213) 236-1908. We request at least 72 hours (three days) notice to provide reasonable accommodations and will make every effort to arrange for assistance as soon as possible.
RC - Regional Council
Members – September 2019

1. Hon. Bill Jahn
   President, Big Bear Lake, RC District 11

2. Hon. Rex Richardson
   1st Vice President, Long Beach, RC District 29

3. Hon. Alan Wapner
   Imm. Past President, SBCTA Rep.

4. Hon. Sean Ashton
   Downey, RC District 25

5. Hon. Rusty Bailey
   Riverside, RC District 68

6. Hon. Kathryn Barger
   Los Angeles County

7. Hon. Megan Beaman-Jacinto
   Coachella, RC District 66

8. Hon. Ben Benoit
   Air District Representative

9. Hon. Stacy Berry
   Cypress, RC District 18

10. Hon. Bob Blumenfield
    Los Angeles, RC District 50

11. Hon. Mike Bonin
    Los Angeles, RC District 58

12. Hon. Art Brown
    Buena Park, RC District 21

13. Hon. Wendy Bucknum
    Mission Viejo, RC District 13

14. Hon. Joe Buscaino
    Los Angeles, RC District 62

15. Hon. Michael Carroll
    Irvine, RC District 14
16. Hon. Gilbert Cedillo  
   Los Angeles, RC District 48
17. Hon. Margaret Clark  
   Rosemead, RC District 32
   La Canada Flintridge, RC District 36
19. Hon. Steve DeRuse  
   La Mirada, RC District 31
20. Hon. Margaret Finlay  
   Duarte, RC District 35
21. Hon. Eric Garcetti  
   Member-at-Large
22. Hon. James Gazeley  
   Lomita, RC District 39
23. Sup. Curt Hagman  
   San Bernardino County
24. Hon. Jan Harnik  
   RCTC Representative
25. Hon. Marqueece Harris-Dawson  
   Los Angeles, RC District 55
26. Hon. Steven Hofbauer  
   Palmdale, RC District 43
27. Hon. Tim Holmgren  
   Fillmore, RC District 47
28. Hon. Peggy Huang  
   TCA Representative
29. Hon. Jose Huizar  
   Los Angeles, RC District 61
30. Hon, Cecilia Iglesias  
   Santa Ana, RC District 16
31. Hon. Mike Judge  
   VCTC Representative
32. Hon. Kathleen Kelly  
Palm Desert, RC District 2

33. Hon. Paul Koretz  
Los Angeles, RC District 52

34. Hon. Paul Krekorian  
Los Angeles, RC District 49

35. Hon. Clint Lorimore  
Eastvale, RC District 4

36. Hon. Marisela Magana  
Perris, RC District 69

37. Hon. Steve Manos  
Lake Elsinore, RC District 63

38. Hon. Jorge Marquez  
Covina, RC District 33

39. Hon. Ray Marquez  
Chino Hills, RC District 10

40. Hon. Nury Martinez  
Los Angeles, RC District 53

41. Hon. Larry McCallon  
Highland, RC District 7

42. Hon. Brian McDonald  
Chemehuevi Indian Tribe, Tribal Gov't Reg'l Plng Board

43. Hon. Dan Medina  
Gardena, RC District 28

44. Hon. Dennis Michael  
Rancho Cucamonga, RC District 9

45. Hon. Fred Minagar  
Laguna Niguel, RC District 12

46. Hon. Judy Mitchell  
Rolling Hills Estates, RC District 40

47. Hon. Steve Nagel  
Fountain Valley, RC District 15
48. Hon. Frank Navarro  
   Colton, RC District 6

49. Hon. Mitch O'Farrell  
   Los Angeles, RC District 60

50. Hon. Trevor O'Neil  
   Anaheim, RC District 19

51. Hon. Hector Pacheco  
   San Fernando, RC District 67

52. Sup. Linda Parks  
   Ventura County

53. Hon. Jeannine Pearce  
   Long Beach, RC District 30

54. Sup. Luis Plancarte  
   Imperial County

55. Hon. David Pollock  
   Moorpark, RC District 46

56. Hon. Jim Predmore  
   ICTC Representative

57. Hon. Curren Price  
   Los Angeles, RC District 56

58. Hon. Chuck Puckett  
   Tustin, RC District 17

59. Hon. Carmen Ramirez  
   Oxnard, RC District 45

60. Hon. Rita Ramirez  
   Victorville, RC District 65

61. Hon. Teresa RealSebastian  
   Monterey Park, RC District 34

62. Hon. Deborah Robertson  
   Rialto, RC District 8

63. Hon. Monica Rodriguez  
   Los Angeles, RC District 54
64. Hon. David Ryu  
   Los Angeles, RC District 51

65. Hon. Meghan Sahli-Wells  
   Culver City, RC District 41

66. Hon. Ali Saleh  
   Bell, RC District 27

67. Hon. Tim Sandoval  
   Pomona, RC District 38

68. Hon. Sonny Santalnes  
   Bellflower, RC District 24

69. Hon. Rey Santos  
   Beaumont, RC District 3

70. Hon. Lyn Semeta  
   Huntington Beach, RC District 64

71. Hon. Emma Sharif  
   Compton, RC District 26

72. Hon. David Shapiro  
   Calabasas, RC District 44

73. Hon. Marty Simonoff  
   Brea, RC District 22

74. Sup. Hilda Solis  
   Los Angeles County

75. Hon. Karen Spiegel  
   Riverside County

76. Hon. Tri Ta  
   Westminster, RC District 20

77. Hon. Jess Talamantes  
   Burbank, RC District 42

78. Hon. Steve Tye  
   Diamond Bar, RC District 37

79. Hon. Cheryl Viegas-Walker  
   El Centro, RC District 1
80. Hon. Donald Wagner
Orange County

81. Hon. Herb Wesson
Los Angeles, RC District 57
The Regional Council may consider and act upon any of the items on the agenda regardless of whether they are listed as Information or Action items.

CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
(The Honorable Bill Jahn, President)

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD
Members of the public desiring to speak on items on the agenda, or items not on the agenda, but within the purview of the Committee, must fill out and present a Public Comment Card to the Assistant prior to speaking. Comments will be limited to three (3) minutes per speaker. The Chair has the discretion to reduce the time limit based upon the number of speakers and may limit the total time for all public comments to twenty (20) minutes.

REVIEW AND PRIORITIZE AGENDA ITEMS

ACTION/DISCUSION ITEM/S

1. Nomination for SCAG 2019-2020 Second Vice President Resulting from SCAG Officer Vacancy
   (Alan D. Wapner, Chair, Nominating Committee)

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
As submitted by the Nominating Committee, approve the nomination of the Honorable Clint Lorimore, Eastvale, for the SCAG 2019-20 Officer position of Second Vice President for the balance of the 2019-2020 term.

2. Regional Housing Need Determination from HCD
   (Kome Ajise, Executive Director)

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Will be provided under a separate cover along with staff analysis.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Approval Items

3. Minutes of the Meeting - August 1, 2019
   Page 54
4. 2021 Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) Guidelines
   Page 65
5. SB 664 (Allen) – Electronic Toll and Transit Fare Collection Systems
   Page 67
6. SCAG Memberships and Sponsorships
   Page 70
7. Contracts $200,000 or Greater: 18-040-C01, Regional Data Platform
   (Approval to Increase Contract Award)  
   Receive and File  
8. September State and Federal Legislative Update  
9. September is Pedestrian Safety Month  
10. Purchase Orders $5,000 - $199,999; Contracts $25,000 - $199,999 and Amendments $5,000 - $74,999  
11. CFO Monthly Report  

BUSINESS REPORT  
(Randall Lewis, Ex-Officio Member)  

PRESIDENT'S REPORT  
(The Honorable Bill Jahn, President)  

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT  
(Kome Ajise Executive Director)  

FUTURE AGENDA ITEM/S  

ANNOUNCEMENT/S  

ADJOURNMENT
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
As submitted by the Nominating Committee, approve the nomination of the Honorable Clint Lorimore, Eastvale, for the SCAG 2019-20 Officer position of Second Vice President for the balance of the 2019-2020 term.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy. 6: Deploy strategic communications to further agency priorities and foster public understanding of long-range regional planning.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
On August 22, 2019, the Nominating Committee met and reviewed six (6) candidates for the open Second Vice President position to serve the balance of the 2019-2020 term. After careful consideration, the Nominating Committee unanimously nominated the Honorable Clint Lorimore, Regional Council District No. 4, City of Eastvale, for the position of Second Vice President for the remaining balance of the 2019-20 term.

BACKGROUND:
Due to a vacancy resulting from Regional Council member Randon Lane’s resignation, Councilman Rex Richardson succeeded to the position of SCAG’s First Vice President in accordance with the SCAG Bylaws. President Jahn also appointed the Nominating Committee who met on August 22, 2019 to review the six (6) candidates for the Second Vice President position.

According to the SCAG Bylaws Article VI, Section E, “...upon the occurrence of a vacancy in the office of President, First Vice-President, or Second Vice-President, the vacancy shall be filled for the balance of an unexpired term in order of succession by elevating the next remaining Officer to such position, and the President may call for a Special Election to fill the unexpired term of the office of Second Vice-President. Such second Vice-President shall be selected from a list of candidates which
shall be prepared by a Nominating Committee structured in accordance with the provisions of Article VI, Section B. In the event of such a Special Election the name of a nominee shall be submitted by the Nominating Committee to the Regional Council for action. If elected, the new Second Vice-President shall take office upon adjournment of that meeting of the Regional Council that included the Special Election.”

Attached with this report are the applications of the six (6) candidates that were reviewed by the Nominating Committee:

1. Councilmember Jan Harnik, RCTC
2. Supervisor Linda Parks, Ventura County
3. Supervisor Curt Hagman, San Bernardino County
4. Councilmember David Ryu, Los Angeles, RC District No. 51
5. Councilmember Clint Lorimore, Eastvale, RC District No. 4
6. Councilmember Steve Hofbauer, Palmdale, RC District No. 43

Candidates Jan Harnik, Clint Lorimore, Linda Parks and David Ryu were present at the meeting and each provided a brief statement to the Nominating Committee. After careful consideration and recognizing that all six (6) candidates were qualified, the Nominating Committee unanimously nominated the Honorable Clint Lorimore, Regional Council District No. 4, City of Eastvale, for the position of 2019-2020 Second Vice President to serve the balance of the year.

The Nominating Committee therefore recommends that the Regional Council approve Councilman Clint Lorimore to serve as SCAG’s Second Vice President for the balance of this year’s term.

FISCAL IMPACT:
Work related to the process is covered by SCAG’s Indirect Cost Budget, including WBS No. 810.SCG0120.09.

ATTACHMENT(S):
1. Application Forms (Harnik, Parks, Hagman, Ryu, Lorimore and Hofbauer)
I acknowledge that I have read the SCAG Bylaws, Article V, Section C, subsection 1–5 as described above; and meet the minimum eligibility requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name *</th>
<th>Jan Harnik</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of your SCAG Member</td>
<td>Riverside County Transportation Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County, City or County Transportation Commission *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone Number</td>
<td>(760) 285-7531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email *</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jharnik@dc.rr.com">jharnik@dc.rr.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application for</th>
<th>2nd Vice President</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Officer Position *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Terms of Service completed on SCAG Regional Council, a minimum of one full-term (i.e. a term equates to two years on the Regional | 3 terms |
1. Why do you wish to serve as a SCAG Officer? *

In my years with Southern California Association of Governments, I have learned that the communities represented by SCAG are vastly diverse and certainly unique. Nonetheless, with direct, honest, and respectful (and occasionally uncomfortable) conversation, understanding and planning, we can develop solutions to the issues with which we all grapple. I believe my willingness to tackle difficult subjects and communicate and work with others, will be an asset in this position. The opportunity to raise awareness and understanding of how we impact, complement and depend on each other, and of the very real obstacles our region faces are exciting – no matter how difficult – and something I am highly motivated to do.

2. As an Officer, what would you contribute to SCAG? *
The perspective I bring as a Southern Californian native – from Pacoima to Palm Desert, with numerous stops along the way – is valuable. Today, as a representative from Riverside County and the Coachella Valley, I am aware of the difficulties of transportation and housing in urban, suburban, rural and low-density areas. I recognize the issues presented by our agricultural communities and their importance to our economy and health. Also, I will continue to shed light on the impending health and economic concerns of the Salton Sea that will continue to impact the SCAG region. All of these issues must be part of the Southern California discussion.

Observing and learning from the Officers of SCAG has been an outstanding opportunity. The give and take, the occasional debate (both of which I enjoy), and deliberative thinking, all with the foundation constructed with the strong work of the SCAG staff has helped me grow as a public servant. I will bring a perspective that has developed through my service, experiences with my community and familiarity with the region. My creativity, tenacity and energy with hopefully lead to realistic solutions and I would like to bring those qualities to SCAG in a greater volume than I currently do.

(A) In addition to attending regular and special meetings of SCAG’s Regional Council, will you be able to attend other meetings and functions of SCAG, if requested? *

I represent the City of Palm Desert and am active in RCTC and occasionally there may be a scheduling conflict.

(B) What professional or personal constraints on your time or service that you anticipate? *

4. What are your values and skills that you could bring to SCAG as an Officer? *
I am open-minded and serve with enthusiasm and respect for the process. Bringing energy, integrity and inclusiveness are invaluable to develop solutions – and implement them. My belief that the greatest investment America has made is public school for everyone underscores my belief that the answers to our issues in our region and beyond lie in quality and relevant education. My public service has been a great teacher and I know that listening and working together brings the highest-quality solutions. The skills that I have learned, and continue to work on, to be an effective and loving parent, apply in all areas of life patience, listening, acceptance, and so many more (ask my children).

5. What is your vision for the future of SCAG and what do you believe needs to be done to accomplish this vision? *

My vision for SCAG is that the desire to "win" does not mean anyone must "lose". Our cities, counties and agencies must work together to manage our resources, promote health and lift our community members. To accomplish this we must continue to listen and learn. Then to effectuate the vision, we need to remember that our youth are our greatest asset. They always have been. Our "early adopters" will promote and implement the improvements to our physical environment, healthy lifestyles, coupled with acceptance and embracing of difference. Our job today is to include and inspire our youth in the solutions.

6. What would you consider the strengths of SCAG? *

SCAG's strengths lie in participation by representatives and staff and the willingness to tackle new or difficult issues. Also, remaining agile and responsive while addressing weaknesses is a strength. The recent development of the Emerging Technologies Committee is a perfect example. Rather than viewing technology as just something that was happening, our approach is to find ways to cause it to be an asset and a tool for the organization. It defines leadership.

7. What could SCAG improve on? *

We have heard repeatedly that we must have our voices heard in Sacramento. An annual visit will not get the job done. We know the reasons that Northern California receives more attention and resources – they are constantly seen and heard. Developing a plan to be "the squeaky wheel" is critical. Part of that plan is to respond immediately with presence in Sacramento when needed. And when not in Sacramento, we must work together to send a strong and consistent message that our needs must be met fairly and with realistic approaches.
I acknowledge that I have read the SCAG Bylaws, Article V, Section C, subsection 1–5 as described above; and meet the minimum eligibility requirements.

| Name * | Linda Parks |
| Name of your SCAG Member | Ventura County |
| County, City or County Transportation Commission * | |
| Phone Number | (805) 214–2510 |
| Email * | Linda.Parks@ventura.org |
| Application for Officer Position * | 2nd Vice President |
| Terms of Service completed on SCAG Regional Council, a minimum of one full-term (i.e. a term equates to two years on the Regional |

8 terms
Council at time of application) *

Total length of SCAG service
(indicate number of years of service) *
16 years

When does the term of your local elected position expire? *
12/22

Would term limits prevent you from maintaining your local elected position? *
Yes

Positions held at SCAG *
- Audit Committee, Bylaws and Resolution Committee, Nominating Committee, Executive Administration Committee and member of TC, EEC, CEHD, Regional Council and General Assembly, represented SCAG on State RTAC Committee

1. Why do you wish to serve as a SCAG Officer? *
I have been a member of SCAG for more than a quarter of my life and now that I am in my last term, I want to do what I can for an organization that I admire and that has done so much for our region. I learn something new everyday at SCAG and am thankful for the relationships and resources, and the good work of our employees and leadership team.

2. As an Officer, what would you contribute to SCAG? *
I would be a good team player on the Executive Committee guiding SCAG to fulfill its role and assisting our Regional Council and their communities. We are facing some unprecedented challenges in our cities and our region. I would be a voice for providing the tools for those that strive to be a model for others to exemplify, and incentivize positive action for those that are struggling.
As a manager, I want to ensure our Executive Director can take the organization to the next level, and encourage employees to strive for excellence and have high morale.

As a leader, I want to make a welcoming environment at SCAG that values our staff, our membership and our partners. I will devote my time to increasing the positive efforts and effectiveness of the organization and work with our membership and staff to achieve this. I will also encourage an atmosphere of rolling up our sleeves and engagement, with a goal of improving the livability of our region. With a Master’s in Urban Planning and work experience as a Transportation Planner, as well as having served on all the SCAG committees, I bring a regional set of tools to assist me and SCAG. I also have an understanding of city government as a former Planning Commissioner, Councilmember and Mayor of a city of 130,000, and will contribute a knowledgeable understanding of issues with a strong background in policy and problem solving. As a County Supervisor, I bring a wider breadth of resources associated with County government to assist my leadership role at SCAG.

(A) In addition to attending regular and special meetings of SCAG’s Regional Council, will you be able to attend other meetings and functions of SCAG, if requested? *

Yes, I will prioritize being there for SCAG at SCAG offices, and at functions and meetings throughout the region, state, nation, etc.

(B) What professional or personal constraints on your time or service that you anticipate? *

My Board of Supervisors meetings are on most Tuesdays which is a constraint, unless there are critical issues for SCAG on a Tuesday that needs my presence.

4. What are your values and skills that you could bring to SCAG as an Officer? *

Relationships: Friendships and key relationships with dozens of leaders
Experience: Understanding city and county government, Transportation Planner for public, private and non-profit agencies.

Leadership: Have served as Mayor, Councilmember and Planning Commissioner, Chaired Ventura County Transportation Commission, Ventura County Air Pollution Control District, Ventura Local Agency Formation Commission, Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy. Currently Chair of Ventura County Regional Energy Alliance, Vice Chair Clean Power Alliance, Member Ventura County Behavioral Health Board, California State University Channel Islands Site Authority.

Public Private Partnerships: Established non-profit job training program for people with mental illness (Growing Works) and provided seed money through fundraising, founded Ventura County Discovery Center for children’s science education,

Community Engagement: Created three Municipal Advisory Councils (Santa Rosa Valley, Casa Conejo, Somis), started a Waterworks District Community Advisory Committee (Lake Sherwood), convened several Senior Summits at CSUCI for hundreds of senior citizens and service providers, organized Unity in the Community (Oak Park) in response to anti-Semitism, and organized dozens of Quarterly Dinner events on topical issues, led initiatives that protect farmland, parks and open space (SOAR and Parks Initiative), established Santa Monica Mountains Bicycle Tourism Roundtable

Transportation Planning experience with public, private and non-profit agencies and Master’s in Urban Planning that has helped me to improve transportation in my district including adding miles of synchronized signals, resolving longstanding gridlock at regional intersection, and developing a partnership between schools, cities, and the county that created a free popular bus system, and working with bicycle companies successfully championed a new bicycle lane connecting communities that will be installed this year.

Working for the Public Good: Rotary Paul Harris Fellow, Red Cross Honorary Chair – Ride for the Red, National Alliance on Mental Illness Honorary Chair – Ventura County NAMI Walk, Small Business Administration – National Phoenix Award for Public Official of the Year, Ventura County District Attorney – Justice for Victims Award, Las Virgenes Homeowners Federation – Citizen of the Year

Values: Safe streets and neighborhoods, clean air and water, healthy, inclusive, vibrant and resilient communities, and government that cares for society’s most vulnerable, encourages public participation, fiscal responsibility, honesty, and transparency.

5. What is your vision for the future of SCAG and what do you believe needs to be done to accomplish this vision? *

My vision for SCAG is to have an active and inspired membership that works together with expert and helpful staff and public/private partners to make meaningful and lasting benefits for our region by improving transportation, furthering good planning principles, and ensuring healthy, resilient communities, safe streets and neighborhoods.
To accomplish this vision requires clearly stated goals that are embraced by the Regional Council and put forward in the RTP, RHNA and SCS. Agenda items for our committees should address how the item is relevant to the goals. We should continually look at ways to improve effectiveness to achieve further success. Having a few clearly stated goals will make SCAG better understood to those who don’t currently know what SCAG does, and focus our resources to effectuate outcomes.

I also want to support our members as they work to improve their communities. SCAG has done a great job in recent years reaching out to its member communities and there is still more we can do to assist our membership. SCAG has much to offer through its experience assisting cities and counties, offering encouragement to leaders and giving them the resources and tools to get it done.

I’d also like to work for organizational efficiencies to reduce waste and duplication, that we maintain clear budgeting, and recognize staff for their good work and that there are opportunities for their growth.

I would also like to increase the positive image people have of SCAG. For example SCAG can increase its image by participating in positive events like Ciclovia and Clean Air Day, and being associated with positive projects such as clean energy vehicles, relieving congestion, innovative housing solutions, and safe bike lanes.

6. What would you consider the strengths of SCAG? *

Over the last decade SCAG has formed new partnerships with industry and agency leaders, and coupled with a savvy staff, increased SCAG’s influence in the region. Good working relationships with State agencies including Caltrans, CARB, HCD, the Governor’s Office, and legislative leaders goes a long way towards our success. Through grants for projects and planning, the region has benefited from SCAG funding for renewal projects and transportation infrastructure. Our planning processes have emphasized multi–benefits including pedestrian safety, social justice, and affordable housing. Staff has done a great job bringing pertinent presentations that educate and prepare members for understanding SCAG issues, and make us better informed when we go back to our respective communities.

7. What could SCAG improve on? *

–Work for more multi–benefits in grants and projects, for example investment in lower income and disadvantaged communities, job training, energy conservation, safety, reduced emissions, innovative housing solutions.

–Emphasize healthy cities and de–emphasize sprawl development.

–Address urgent issues that face our region, for example homelessness.

–Join with non–traditional partners for multi–benefits such as water boards, conservancies, schools.
- Organizationally, enhance financial accounting practices.

- Heighten regional image: become better known as a local resource (something we've already improved on significantly), have SCAG associated with positive regional projects like popular events, safe bike paths, non-polluting transit vehicles, ending gridlock, partnering with agencies on their positive initiatives.

- Educate members on positive examples of regional planning.
Ventura County Second District Supervisor Linda Parks was recognized in 2019 by the SBA for her community relations work after Ventura County endured wildfires and a mass shooting.

**SBA honors Supervisor Parks for role in Ventura recovery.**
SBA honors Supervisor Parks for role in Ventura recovery

By Chris Officer
Staff Writer

As Ventura County’s Second District Supervisor, Linda Parks didn’t need to empathize with those affected by 2018’s rash of wildfires that ravaged Southern California, because she knew firsthand what it was like to be a victim.

Between 2017 and 2018, Ventura and Santa Barbara counties were hit by three natural disasters, the Woolsey fire, Hill fire and Thomas fire.

“All three fires hit my district,” Parks said. “Unfortunately, Ventura County has become an expert on dealing with massive wildfires.”

Parks, a resident of Thousand Oaks said even she had to evacuate her home for four nights after the Woolsey and Hill fires ignited in November 2018.

However Parks said she was committed to ensuring residents of Ventura County were safe during the wildfires and helping those affected with the recovery process. During the initial blaze, she helped keep lines of communication open throughout the county by help announcing power outages and alerting evacuated residents when it was safe to return home.

Parks said there were also other situations that arise that required on-your-toes responses, including to ensure water companies had enough supply for firefighters to try and contain the fire.

Due to the evacuations, several residents evacuated their homes, leaving their sprinkler systems on after leaving. This created a shortage to a city’s special district, so Parks took to social media to alert homes to shut and leave their water off.

Even when Parks’ district got a handle on the burning fires, she said she then quickly collaborated with Los Angeles County fire officials, pushing residents in danger zones to evacuate.

Now that the smoke has settled, Parks said the rebuilding efforts are moving along, despite still conducting debris removal and dealing with areas heavily contaminated with asbestos. But she added the county recently saw its first building permit approved, and 70 percent of permits have been cleared.

While Parks was dealing in evacuations and wildfire recovery, she was also simultaneously juggling with Thousand Oaks tragedy, the aftermath of the Borderline Bar & Grill mass shooting, which left 12 people dead. The attack took place just hours before the Hill fire was first reported.

After the shootings Parks, despite evacuating her own home, abandoned her office, too. She said when federal agencies came to Thousand Oaks, she immediately cleared everyone out of her office near The Oaks shopping mall and converted it into an outpost.

“They were working out of our office for three weeks,” Parks said.

But maybe the most difficult time of November 2018, Parks recalled, was at the unification center at 2 a.m., where potential-victims’ family members assembled to meet with loved ones.

“There was really no unification happening, just family members there,” Parks said. “I just tried to comfort them.”

For her efforts, the Small Business Administration, which Parks said was on the frontlines of disaster relief, including offering victims low-interest loans, helping employees find new or temporary jobs and other business rebuilding efforts, recognized Parks with the 2019 Phoenix Award. It is awarded for outstanding contributions to disaster recovery by a public official, and Parks was honored by both the SBA’s national and Los Angeles district office.

“It’s not just me who earned the award,” Parks said. “This was an entire county.”
Scenes from Crime Victims' Rights Week ceremony

County Supervisor Linda Parks accepts an award from District Attorney Greg Totten during the annual ceremony marking National Crime Victims Rights Week at the Ventura County Government Center. Parks was recognized for her work helping Borderline shooting victims.

JUAN CARLO/THE STAR
Grief rattles sleepy suburb

As Thousand Oaks mourns, county supervisor wonders how city will heal.

BY SOUMYA KARLAMANGA

Linda Parks navigates her car up a winding street and sighs as she points out where fire has scorched the hillsides, now bald and black.

She usually finds solace in running and cycling in these mountains — "they're kind of like my churches," she says, gazing at them. But she quickly focuses her attention back on the road; there is no time to dwell today.

Parks, a Ventura County supervisor, is meeting with residents of Bell Canyon, an affluent community north of Calabasas where 36 homes were destroyed in a recent blaze. Then, she has to attend a funeral for a 23-year-old killed in the mass shooting in Thousand Oaks. The commitments are just an hour apart, across town.

It's a Saturday, but it's hectic, like all the days since Nov. 8, when a massacre at a bar was followed by devastating fires. When Thousand Oaks, the biggest city in the district Parks represented for years, became a household name when crisis came all
search and rescue teams inspect a site in Paradise. One proposal is a "strategic retreat" from communities that repeatedly burn.

remnants of a home on Dume Drive in Malibu. Another proposal is a state commission to oversee development in fire zones.

return through rough seasons

Sheryl Evans, 45, continues to search for important items at the Calabasas house she's been renting for six years. She says it's part of the healing process.

The harm from climate change

A landmark federal report warns of worse wildfires and drought in California.

lottery
County supervisor grieves with her town

[Supervision, from B1] constituents and other officials. For the last two weeks, though, her duties have been those of crisis management — funerals and news conferences and emergency town hall meetings.

"I know what we're here for, we would rather not be here for," Parks says to the crowd, sighing again.

Tables in the community room are piled with jeans and sweaters, deodorant and toothpaste, stuffed animals and other donations. People wear t-shirts that say "Bell Canyon Strong."

The Woolsey fire is the first to destroy homes in Bell Canyon, where the first houses were built in 1969, residents say.

"This thing just rips right through," says Tim Brehm, a retired photographer who successfully defended his home from the flames. "This is, by far and away, the fastest-moving fire we've ever experienced."

Parks finishes speaking and rushes out of the neighborhood. On one side of the road, the wispy trees are light green. On the other, frayed and brown.

She says she wants to move power lines underground, since many fires are caused by sparking lines. She also wants to discourage building in places deemed a high fire risk.

But many worry that policy changes won't be enough to stop destructive fires. Fire officials say that the effects of years-long drought and climate change have made it nearly impossible for firefighters to contain blazes.

Gov. Jerry Brown recently warned that California is in for more unstoppable mega-fires, calling it a "new abnormal."

One day after the shooting, Parks was evacuated from her home in Thousand Oaks due to fires. She and her husband couched-surfed for four nights until they could return. After seeing how quickly the Thomas fire moved last year, she thought her home might burn down, but it didn't, she says.

"It used to be, it'll hit the coast in three days," she says. "Now, it's... it'll hit the coast in a matter of hours."

Parks, who grew up in Los Angeles, moved to Thousand Oaks in 1981 after having her first child. She came to the city, a sleepy suburb about 40 miles northwest of L.A., for the good public schools, she says.

An urban planner, she rose to political prominence as a champion of preserving open space. She was elected to the Thousand Oaks City Council in 1996 and the Board of Supervisors six years after that.

Now, the children she raised have moved and are in their late 20s and early 30s — among the same age as most of the victims of the Borderline shooting.

Early Nov. 8, a few hours after the fatal bullets were fired, Parks found herself at the reunification center because she didn't know where else to go. She spent nine hours there, listening to parents and giving them tissues. There wasn't anything to do but console them.

Twelve people died at Borderline in addition to the shooter, who killed himself.

Nine families waited at the reunification center; but there was no reunification, Parks says. Some were in denial. Some wept.

After sitting alongside the parents for the toughest moments of their lives, Parks knows them intimately. She hugs them at this home, this house, anymore.

She left the reunification center around 7 a.m. and that day that she's pretty sure it was the same day, though the frenzied timeline has begun to blur — her office was turned into a victims assistance center.

There, she watched police hand parents their dead child's possessions — a son's cellphone and wallet, a daughter's necklace.

She recalls the scene as she drives to the funeral and winces. She can't push the image from her head. She tries to further describe what she witnessed but can barely get the words out.

Many Thousand Oaks residents have expressed similar struggles in dealing with a tragedy that touched so many lives in the community.

Glenn Cohen, a doctor in Thousand Oaks, said one of his employees was at Borderline that night, but hid in the attic and survived. Ventura County Sheriff's Sgt. Ron Helus, who died in the shooting, was one of Cohen's patients.

Earlier this year, Cohen's teenage daughter was at the mall during a shooting — another incident that shocked families here.

"We live in an area like this — we all call it 'the bubble' — because we're all supposed to be protected and safe," says Cohen, 52. "We can't be as naive as we'd like to be."

When Parks pulls up to Cal Lutheran University in Thousand Oaks, she says she's only a few minutes to get to the funeral. She starts walking rapidly but then slips off her heels and runs barefoot across the campus to the chapel.

She settles into a pew just before the service begins for Justin Meek, who graduated from the university in May.

Parks knows Meek's mother because she was at the reunification center. Parks has also seen her attending funerals for the other victims. Today, she sits in the front row.

A local singer, Paige Peel, performs a song about the shootout under the chapel's stained-glass windows. Parks heard Peel sing the day before, at another service. She can't listen without crying, she says.

"Why can't the devil stay in hell where he belongs?"

And stop trying to see if we can deal up here, just along," Peelsings. "They just walk through and tell their own. No, this is our home."

At the end of the song, Parks' eyes are wet with tears.

"Such beautiful men and women," she says of those killed, shackled together.

Outside the chapel, a woman is waiting for funerals. Parks watches as the family releases white balloons. She smiles as the birht together in a flock, north.

Parks gingerly toward the family. She says, "I'm the blond woman with the face. The mother told me that as a boy, Meek's name was Grace."

As people begin to disperse, the elected who attended the shelter with one another gather together at two fires.

A day earlier, and at the day before that, T. never spent this much together, they joke — never thought they had to.

After this is over, must all get together, the officials say. Yes, do something as a group perhaps share a meal, other others say.

Parks adds, most a whisper. "And at a funeral."

soumya.karlamangla@latimes.com
HEALTHCARE OPTION—Rob Mahan, CEO of Exer Urgent Care, speaks during the grand opening of the Exer Urgent Care in Westlake on April 24.

"Lost and with less waiting," said Rob Mahan, CEO of Exer. Other Exer locations are Newbury Park, Calabasas, Pasadena, Northridge, Beverly Hills, Sherman Oaks, Redondo Beach, Manhattan Beach, Stevenson Ranch and Canyon Country.

The company plans to open several more facilities in Los Angeles this year. A center in La Cañada Flintridge will open soon.

For more information, go to ExerUrgentCare.com.

RECOGNITION—Ventura County Supervisor Linda Parks accepts a Phoenix Award from representatives of the U.S. Small Business Administration on May 5 in Washington, D.C.

Local supervisor receives national honor

Parks given SBA’s Phoenix Award

Longtime Ventura County Supervisor Linda Parks was in the nation’s capital this week to accept an honor from the U.S. Small Business Administration for her work following the Woolsey fire.

The federal agency gave Parks, a Thousand Oaks resident, its 2019 National Small Business Week Phoenix Award, a title it bestows on business owners, public officials and volunteers who display “selflessness, ingenuity and tenacity in the aftermath of a disaster, while contributing to the rebuilding of their communities,” according to a news release.

On Sunday, Parks tweeted that the honor was “very humbling, and a reflection of how we as a community rise up from the ashes.”

In the release, the SBA credits the supervisor for her actions in the wake of the November fire, which burned 97,000 acres and forced 295,000 residents—including Parks—from their homes. “Parks cleared out her office and loaned it to county officials for their use as a Victims Assistance Center,” the SBA said. “She coordinated several fire recovery town hall meetings for displaced residents. In addition to attending fire briefings with the California governor’s office, the Department of the Interior and FEMA, Parks held numerous press conferences urging residents to follow evacuation and safety orders.”

The Phoenix Awards were presented to four people in Washington, D.C., in a special ceremony held May 5 to kick off National Small Business Week.

—Acorn staff report
Task force begins tackling ways to prevent mass shootings

Kathleen Wilson, Ventura County Star  Published 11:05 p.m. PT March 22, 2019 | Updated 3:29 p.m. PT March 26, 2019

Ventura County Supervisor Linda Parks, right, is backing a task force that would look for gaps in the system to protect the public and provide mental health care to prevent another mass shooting. (Photo: ANTHONY PLASCENCIA/THE STAR)

A task force formed to prevent mass shootings in the wake of the Borderline attack last year is looking at how to bolster public safety and add treatment options, potentially with recommendations on how to keep guns out of the hands of dangerous people.

Called the Task Force on Mental Health & Safety, the panel was established by the Ventura County Board of Supervisors after the killings of 12 victims last November at the Borderline Bar and Grill in Thousand Oaks. The gunman, 28-year-old Ian David Long of Newbury Park, also died from a self-inflicted shot to the head.

Although the criminal investigations of the shootings are expected to take many more months, county officials say the panel can look for gaps in the systems in place for protecting the public and providing mental health care in the meantime.

MORE ON THE THOUSAND OAKS SHOOTING:
- Borderline victim's family still wants gun control instead of thoughts and prayers (/story/news/local/2019/01/19/borderline-shooting-victims-family-wants-gun-control-not-prayers/2539480002/)
One idea the task force is looking at to expedite the exercise comes out of the Lean Six Sigma method used in industry and government to make continuous improvements.

County Executive Officer Mike Powers said various specialists from public safety, mental health and community organizations would meet in a team event called a “kaizen” to discuss how things work now, the problems they see and strategies to improve performance.

Powers said individuals who work on the front lines would take part. They could include deputy sheriffs and members of a mental health crisis team who respond to homes where someone is at risk of harming themselves or others, he said.

Ventura County Supervisor Linda Parks said the event should provide a lot of data that will be “enormously helpful” for the task force.

Parks, who represents the Thousand Oaks area, asked the Board of Supervisors to establish the task force a month after the shooting. Parks suggested then that a panel of experts be brought together to make recommendations on how to prevent and lessen the damage from mass shootings.

She said experts could look into whether police need more body armor to protect themselves, the design of buildings could be changed to minimize harm and issues surrounding access to guns for people with mental illness.

The task force currently is composed mainly of key county officials handling public safety, administrative, policymaking and mental health responsibilities. They include Sheriff Bill Ayub, District Attorney Greg Totten, Probation Director Mark Varela, Public Defender Todd Howeth, Behavioral Health Director Sevet Johnson, other health care officials, Powers and Parks. Thousand Oaks City Manager Andrew Powers is also on the panel.


In the days after the mass shooting at the Borderline Bar & Grill, this memorial remembered the victims. (Photo: JUAN CARLO/THE STAR)
The task force has met twice, is scheduled to continue to meet monthly and is just beginning its work. A proposed mission statement calls for identifying steps to enhance systems for safety and mental health care to prevent gun violence, but has not yet been adopted. Recommended actions would build on existing strengths of agencies in the county, the draft statement said.

Members of the public are invited to make comments and suggestions for the task force at [www.venturacountyrecovers.org/county-of-ventura-task-force-on-mental-health-and-safety](https://www.venturacountyrecovers.org/county-of-ventura-task-force-on-mental-health-and-safety).

The task force’s meetings, though, are not open to the public.

The panel’s recommendations will be made public when they are presented to the Board of Supervisors at an undetermined date, county officials said.

**AFTERMATH OF SHOOTINGS:**

- $3.6 million sent to families, survivors in Borderline shooting (https://www.vcstar.com/story/news/2019/03/23/3-6-million-sent-families-survivors-borderline-shooting/3248440002/)
- CLU organizes gathering to show support for Muslims after New Zealand shooting (https://www.vcstar.com/story/news/2019/03/15/california-lutheran-university-staff-gathering-solidarity-local-muslims/3175834002/)

Read or Share this story: https://www.vcstar.com/story/news/2019/03/23/ventura-county-mental-health-task-force-focus-shooting-prevention/3203354002/
Work project starts to take root

A nine-acre vacant plot in Camarillo is slated to become a plant nursery and demonstration garden as part of a county-led effort to provide jobs for people with mental illness.

The Growing Works Nursery and Demonstration Gardens will be on county-owned land at 1722 S. Lewis Road in Camarillo, close to existing housing for the mentally ill. The nursery is expected to employ 70 to 100 people a year. Employees will receive job training, therapy and ultimately assistance with transitioning into other horticulture-related employment.

Claudia Boyd-Barrett
Special to Ventura County Star
USA TODAY NETWORK

Interim nursery manager Dennis Perry takes measurements on property that will become the Growing Works nursery on Lewis Road. The project will provide jobs for people with mental illness. PHOTOS BY CHUCK KIRMAN/THE STAR

Camarillo nonprofit nursery will provide jobs for people with mental illness, give employees support, therapy

County Supervisor Linda Parks says she came up with the idea after learning about a similar program in San Luis Obispo County that has been running since 1984.
New Kanan bus shuttle ready to serve community

August 29, 2013
By Sylvie Belmond
belmond@theacorn.com

A new shuttle bus for residents of Agoura Hills and Oak Park is on the move.

The Kanan Shuttle, featuring two 24-passenger shuttle buses with a logo of a leaping mountain lion on the side, will loop back and forth between the 101 Freeway in Agoura and Lindero Canyon Road in Oak Park.

The buses provide a safe and comfortable transit alternative for Oak Park residents of all ages, said officials at a ribboncutting ceremony Monday at Mae Boyer Park.

Ventura County Supervisor championed the service with the help of the Ventura County Public Works Agency, the City of Agoura Hills and First Transit bus company.

All rides are free until November.

The shuttle operates Monday through Friday from 6:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m., running every 15 minutes during school drop-off and pickup times, and every 30 minutes the rest of the day. There are 14 stops along the way.
“This is a perfect route because everything is along Kanan. You can take it to schools, the park and for shopping,” Parks said.

“It’s going to reduce traffic, and it’s going to be another opportunity to get out of your car, and it will improve the environment.”

Oak Park schools Superintendent Tony Knight said the shuttle “will help to mitigate traffic around Oak Park High and Medea Creek Middle School.”

The shuttle will benefit students, senior citizens and commuters who rely on Metro buses to travel between Los Angeles and Thousand Oaks.

David Fleisch, director of the Ventura County Transportation Department, said about 120 people have used the shuttle each day since the shuttle started running on Aug. 5.

The service will enhance transportation options provided by Dial-A-Ride, which has been operated by the City of Agoura Hills since 1985. Dial-A-Ride services will continue for elderly and disabled people.

“This will make life easier, hopefully, for all of us,” Oak Park Municipal Advisory Councilmember Mike Paule said. “This is a milestone in our community to connect to the rest of the public transit system,” he said.

“Not only are we providing congestion relief on Kanan Road, but we’re also helping that godforsaken parking lot that we know as the 101,” said Darren Kettle, executive director with the Ventura County Transportation Commission.

Fleisch said the local shuttle service could be emulated elsewhere in Ventura County.

“The next phase of this is to work with retailers at both ends of the shuttle to promote the shuttle,” he said.

Agoura Hills council members and Mayor Denis Weber thanked Parks and Ventura County representatives for their hard work. They hope the new service will encourage Oak Park students to patronize retail centers in their community.

Additional stops may be added in Agoura Hills to provide access to some neighborhoods in that city.

For more information about the Kanan Shuttle Service and schedules, visit www.kananshuttle.com.
I acknowledge that I have read the SCAG Bylaws, Article V, Section C, subsection 1–5 as described above; and meet the minimum eligibility requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name *</th>
<th>Curt Hagman</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of your SCAG Member</td>
<td>San Bernardino County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County, City or County Transportation Commission *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone Number</td>
<td>(909) 387–4866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email *</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Curt.Hagman@bos.sbcounty.gov">Curt.Hagman@bos.sbcounty.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application for Officer Position *</td>
<td>2nd Vice President</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Terms of Service completed on SCAG Regional Council, a minimum of one full-term (i.e. a term equates to two years on the Regional Council at | 2 |
Total length of SCAG service
(indicate number of years of service) *

When does the term of your local elected position expire? *

Would term limits prevent you from maintaining your local elected position? *

Positions held at SCAG *
Chairman of the Transportation Committee
Member of the Legislative / Communications & Membership Committee
Member of the Regional Council
Member of the Executive Administration Committee
Chairman of the Emerging Technologies Committee
Member of the Open Data / Big Data Committee

1. Why do you wish to serve as a SCAG Officer? *

I wish to serve as a SCAG Officer because I believe that SCAG has an important mission in connecting the lives of residents in Southern California. This includes transportation, technology, housing, and many other issues where a regional approach is valuable. SCAG’s slogan of “Innovating for a Better Tomorrow” holds a key goal that I also have in ensuring that our residents are well served both now and into the future. As County Supervisor, a former legislator and mayor, I am keenly aware of the needs and challenges of our region and am up to the large task of doing what I can to lead others in finding innovative solutions. I have served at all levels of government, and I have served members of San Bernardino, Los Angeles, and Orange Counties, so I have developed a regional perspective. As an Officer, I would have the opportunity to continue to work with staff and other elected officials in moving our region forward.
2. As an Officer, what would you contribute to SCAG? *

My strengths as an officer would come from thinking out of the box. It takes a lot of hard work and dedication to bring projects to completion. In my many years of service, I have developed a reputation of someone who works well with others, thinks out of the box and gets things done. This collaborative approach to solving our region’s challenges is an asset, and one that I will continue to leverage as an Officer. My broad base of experience in the public and private sector allow me to approach challenges in a unique way, and reach innovative solutions.

(A) In addition to attending regular and special meetings of SCAG’s Regional Council, will you be able to attend other meetings and functions of SCAG, if requested? *

Being engaged is an important part of being a leader, I look forward to playing an active role in moving forward SCAG goals and initiatives.

(B) What professional or personal constraints on your time or service that you anticipate? *

I understand that this role comes with additional responsibilities and am ready and able to play an active role upon becoming an officer. The only challenges that I foresee would be possible scheduling conflicts; though, I would prioritize this role in the event of competing priorities. I presently serve as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors in San Bernardino County, and I look forward to bringing that experience to SCAG.

4. What are your values and skills that you could bring to SCAG as an Officer? *

My reputation as someone who works well with others and gets the job done will be valuable assets in supporting the President and ensuring that SCAG as an organization achieves and exceeds its goals for our region. Regarding values and skill set, I look forward to continuing to bring creative problem solving, leading by example and innovative ideas to the table.

5. What is your vision for the future of SCAG and what do you believe needs to be done to accomplish this vision? *
There are a number of issues that need to be approached from a regional perspective rather than being in silos in a particular city or county because the issues cross political boundaries. Homelessness, transportation, and the use of technology are three issues that don’t recognize city or county lines, and many others exist. Technology has been a personal interest area, one that have continued to bring to the forefront of our organization’s list of priorities and considerations. As a result of creating this dialogue, I asked for a subcommittee to be formed that explored some of the facets of implementing technology to meet our residents’ needs. We have scratched the surface of what technology can do to help us solve problems, I believe that this needs to be an ongoing conversation, and will be actively engaged in further exploring as an Officer.

6. What would you consider the strengths of SCAG? *

SCAG is the largest MPO in our nation, with six counties, 191 cities and more than 19 million residents. We serve almost 50% of the total population of California. If our region was a state, we would be 5th largest on the list from the top with almost as many residents as the entire State of New York. The diverse size and scope of the region makes SCAG well-respected in all areas of planning in which it is engaged. SCAG does a phenomenal job of anticipating the infrastructure our region will need to keep up with the demands by residents to stay mobile.

SCAG also does a great job pursuing grant opportunities to improve the lives of residents, and works with our local partners in ensuring that we are heard.

7. What could SCAG improve on? *

Because we serve so many communities, communication with the public is not always a simple task especially when it comes to reaching all of our stakeholders. SCAG is doing well in this area, and this is an area that I think that we can continue to improve as our technological capabilities increase. We should focus on non-traditional solutions to some of our regional challenges such as looking to improve goods movement and upgrades to government technological improvements.

Print Your Name Curt Hagman

Date Thursday, August 15, 2019

I acknowledge by checking this box, my printed name above is my signature for submitting this application.

that *
I acknowledge that I have read the SCAG Bylaws, Article V, Section C, subsection 1–5 as described above; and meet the minimum eligibility requirements.
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<tr>
<th>Name *</th>
<th>David Ryu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of your SCAG Member County, City or County Transportation Commission *</td>
<td>City of Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone Number</td>
<td>(213) 473–7004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email *</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Andrew.Suh@lacity.org">Andrew.Suh@lacity.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application for Officer Position *</td>
<td>2nd Vice President</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terms of Service completed on SCAG Regional Council, a minimum of one full-term (i.e. a term equates to two years on the Regional Council at time of application) *</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Total length of 4 SCAG service (indicate number of years of service) *

When does the term of your local elected position expire? *

Would term limits prevent you from maintaining your local elected position? *

Positions held at SCAG *

1. Why do you wish to serve as a SCAG Officer? *

   My history with SCAG dates back almost fifteen years to when I served as Supervisor Yvonne Burke’s SCAG deputy, and it has been a pleasure, since being elected to the Los Angeles City Council, to sit on the board as a Regional Council Member. The City of Los Angeles has not always consistently engaged with SCAG at a leadership level and I would like to change that as an officer on the Executive Committee. As a SCAG Officer, I will be better able to keep the City of Los Angeles engaged on critical regional policy discussions and decision-making.

2. As an Officer, what would you contribute to SCAG? *

   As an experienced member of SCAG, through my time on the Regional Council and as a staffer, I believe SCAG core mission is vital to helping craft policies at the City and County levels that will ensure the growth and vitality of the region in the years to come. I bring fifteen years of institutional knowledge to the role and as an Officer and I hope to not bring the perspective of the largest jobs and housing hub in the region to the Board, but also advocate on behalf of the organization and region at the state and federal levels.

(A) In addition to attending regular and special

   Yes, I intend to SCAG meetings and functions as my role as an Officer.
meetings of SCAG's Regional Council, will you be able to attend other meetings and functions of SCAG, if requested? *

(B) What professional or personal constraints on your time or service that you anticipate? *

My role as an LA City Councilmember means that I represent nearly 300,000 constituents. I have an obligation to attend Los Angeles City Council and Committee meetings, as well as ensuring that I meet with and listening to constituents and community organizations. However, as stated above, I intend to make role as a SCAG officer a priority and proudly represent not only the residents of District 51 but the Southern California Region at the state and federal levels.

4. What are your values and skills that you could bring to SCAG as an Officer? *

My prior experience as a staff member to Los Angeles County Supervisor Yvonne Burke has shaped my views and approach to regional policy making. As an elected official, I've carried that experience into my day-to-day work. I enjoy getting into the weeds on large policy issues and advocating for policies that will benefit the greater community. When I first ran for office, I emphasized the need to restore trust in government and prioritized community engagement. As an Officer, I hope to preserve and promote those values and help SCAG better engage the public about crucial regional policy decisions as well as maintain transparency in the decision making process.

5. What is your vision for the future of SCAG and what do you believe needs to be done to accomplish this vision? *

Los Angeles is currently in a transportation and housing crisis, and I believe that SCAG’s role in regional housing and transportation policies is going to increase as the State adds more teeth to the RHNA process and uses transportation as a factor for local housing strategy. This crisis opens up room for improvement and change throughout the levels of government and my vision is for SCAG to take this mantle on fully and advocate with the State and locally for a RHNA vision and process that is future-looking. Additionally, as RHNA becomes more important, I believe SCAG should look at a larger educational campaign on what SCAG is and how the RHNA numbers we approve impacts local communities so that we can get the public more engaged.
6. **What would you consider the strengths of SCAG?**

   SCAG is a powerhouse because it brings together all of the agencies in Southern California. This platform allows neighboring jurisdictions to easily work together to address issues felt beyond city or county borders.

7. **What could SCAG improve on?**

   SCAG makes big decisions that have substantial impacts as they trickle down to local jurisdictions, and yet many people are not aware of how these are made and when in an easy to digest way. Even many local elected officials struggle to always understand the intricacies of SCAG’s work. Better diluting this work into digestible policy summaries and seminars would help bring transparency and engagement to the process.

---
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by checking this box, my printed name above is my signature for submitting this application.
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<thead>
<tr>
<th>I acknowledge that *</th>
<th>I have read the SCAG Bylaws, Article V, Section C, subsection 1–5 as described above; and meet the minimum eligibility requirements.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name *</td>
<td>Clint Lorimore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of your SCAG Member County, City or County Transportation Commission *</td>
<td>Eastvale, District 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone Number</td>
<td>(951) 520–5832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email *</td>
<td><a href="mailto:clorimore@eastvaleca.gov">clorimore@eastvaleca.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application for Officer Position *</td>
<td>2nd Vice President</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terms of Service completed on SCAG Regional Council, a minimum of one full-term (i.e. a term equates to two years on the Regional Council at time of application) *</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total length of SCAG service (indicate number of years of service) *</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When does the term of your local elected position expire? *</td>
<td>December 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would term limits prevent you from maintaining your local elected position? *</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Positions held at SCAG * | Executive Administration Committee  
Chair – Legislative Communications and Membership Committee  
Transportation Committee  
District 4 Representative to the Regional Council  
Scholarship Committee |
| 1. Why do you wish to serve as a SCAG Officer? * | I have a strong commitment to SCAG and wish to take on a larger role within the organization. |
2. As an Officer, what would you contribute to SCAG? *

As an Officer of SCAG, I would contribute my time and efforts in support of the organization's mandate and policy priorities.

(A) In addition to attending regular and special meetings of SCAG's Regional Council, will you be able to attend other meetings and functions of SCAG, if requested? *

Yes

(B) What professional or personal constraints on your time or service that you anticipate? *

I maintain a full time job. While I do have flexibility in my work schedule as a salaried employee, there are at times availability constraints. This being said, I take my elected responsibilities seriously and adjust my calendar accordingly.

4. What are your values and skills that you could bring to SCAG as an Officer? *

I bring a commitment of service, dedication to the task at hand and the ability to work collaboratively through contentious issues.

5. What is your vision for the future of SCAG and what do you believe needs to be done to accomplish this vision? *

My vision for the future of SCAG is that the organization take a larger role in influencing the discussions and outcomes surrounding its policy priorities and areas of expertise. SCAG should be the indispensable tool that policy makers come to when searching for solutions to problems facing the state and the region. We get to such a place by improving upon our advocacy efforts and leveraging and promoting the expertise of staff already employed at the organization.

6. What would you consider the strengths of SCAG? *

SCAG has many strengths. The two that I would like to highlight are SCAG's Staff and SCAG's Board Members. The professionalism and competence of SCAG's staff is second to none. Staff's expertise, combined with the policy and decision making acumen of the Board make for a powerful organization.

7. What could SCAG improve on? *

One area that the organization can improve is in the area of advocacy. A deeper pursuit of SCAG's policy priorities at the State and Federal level would pay huge dividends for the region we serve. Too often SCAG is forced to play defense as opposed to providing innovative policy solutions for the problems facing our region.
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Friday, August 16, 2019
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by checking this box, my printed name above is my signature for submitting this application.
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<td>(661) 609–7456</td>
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<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total length of SCAG service (indicate number of years of service) *</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When does the term of your local elected position expire? *</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would term limits prevent you from maintaining your local elected position? *</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Positions held at SCAG * | RC  
CEHD Member  
RHNA Committee  
Transportation Committee |

1. Why do you wish to serve as a SCAG Officer? * I am a firm believer in collaborative regional governance. Our collective efforts are able to achieve more than the sum of our individual efforts.
2. **As an Officer, what would you contribute to SCAG?**

   I would like to bring my knowledge of planning, and experience in transportation and housing to benefit the region.

   **(A) In addition to attending regular and special meetings of SCAG’s Regional Council, will you be able to attend other meetings and functions of SCAG, if requested?**

   Yes, I have been actively engaged in many areas already.

   **(B) What professional or personal constraints on your time or service that you anticipate?**

   Other than my official duties at the city, I am committed to the position.

4. **What are your values and skills that you could bring to SCAG as an Officer?**

   I bring the ability to seek common ground among diverse interests.

5. **What is your vision for the future of SCAG and what do you believe needs to be done to accomplish this vision?**

   I believe SCAG can play a critical and expanded role in working with the rural and smaller communities to play a stronger role in regional planning. Communication and mentoring is the key to accomplishing this.

6. **What would you consider the strengths of SCAG?**

   The diversity of experiences and depth of knowledge of the RC and committee members is unparalleled in any other organization.

7. **What could SCAG improve on?**

   Generally, communication issues can always be improved on. Most conflict is often the result of lack of understanding of others roles or perspectives.

---

**Print Your Name**

Steven D Hofbauer

**Date**

Friday, August 16, 2019

**I acknowledge that**

by checking this box, my printed name above is my signature for submitting this application.
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Will be provided under a separate cover along with staff analysis.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
*SCAG has received its regional housing needs determination from the state. Staff will provide an update and recommended action prior to the meeting.*

BACKGROUND:
On August 22, 2019, the state Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) transmitted their Regional Housing Need determination of 1,344,740 units for the SCAG region. This number reflects the number of housing units that local jurisdictions in the region must plan for during the 8.25-year period from June 30, 2021 to October 15, 2029 and is split across four income categories:

Very Low: 350,998
Low: 206,338
Moderate: 225,152
Above Moderate: 562,252

Since Spring 2019, SCAG staff, under consultation from SCAG’s RHNA Subcommittee, have outlined a framework to guide the development of the consultation process between SCAG and HCD which included the following goals:

- Follow the 2020 Connect SoCal RTP/SCS Integrated Growth Forecasting process, procedure,
methodology, and results including bottom-up local review and input

- Provide a robust analysis of housing needs in the SCAG region using the best available data and technical methodology and meet the requirements of the law
- Research the appropriate factors and causes associated with “existing housing needs”
- Develop policy responses for a long-term robust, stable supply of sites and zoning for housing construction

Per state statute, SCAG has 30 days after receipt of the RHNA determination to accept or to file an objection. An objection would be based on failure of HCD to use a population projection consistent with statute or an unreasonable application of the methodology and assumptions outlined in statute. HCD would be required to make a final written determination within 45 days after receiving an objection.

SCAG staff’s recommendation and analysis will be provided as a supplemental report prior to the meeting.

ATTACHMENT(S):
1. Letter from HCD containing SCAG’s 6th Cycle Regional Housing Needs Determination, dated August 22, 2019
August 22, 2019

Kome Ajise, Executive Director
Southern California Association of Governments
900 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90017

Dear Executive Director Ajise:

RE: Regional Housing Need Determination

This letter provides the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) its determination of the Regional Housing Need Determination. Pursuant to Government Code (Gov. Code) section 65584.01, the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) is required to provide the determination of SCAG’s existing and projected housing need.

In assessing SCAG’s regional housing need, HCD and SCAG staff completed an extensive consultation process starting in March 2017 through August 2019 covering the methodology, data sources, and timeline. HCD also consulted with Walter Schwarm of the California Department of Finance (DOF) Demographic Research Unit.

Attachment 1 displays the minimum regional housing need determination of 1,344,740 total units among four income categories for SCAG to distribute among its local governments. Attachment 2 explains the methodology applied pursuant to Gov. Code section 65584.01.

As you know, SCAG is responsible for adopting a RHNA allocation methodology for the projection period beginning June 30, 2021 and ending October 15, 2029. Pursuant to Gov. Code section 65584(d), SCAG’s RHNA allocation methodology must further the following objectives:

1. Increasing the housing supply and the mix of housing types, tenure, and affordability in all cities and counties within the region in an equitable manner, which shall result in each jurisdiction receiving an allocation of units for low- and very low income households.
2. Promoting infill development and socioeconomic equity, the protection of environmental and agricultural resources, the encouragement of efficient development patterns, and the achievement of the region’s greenhouse gas reductions targets provided by the State Air Resources Board pursuant to Section 65080.
3. Promoting an improved intraregional relationship between jobs and housing, including an improved balance between the number of low-wage jobs and the number of housing units affordable to low-wage workers in each jurisdiction.
(4) Allocating a lower proportion of housing need to an income category when a jurisdiction already has a disproportionately high share of households in that income category, as compared to the countywide distribution of households in that category from the most recent American Community Survey.

(5) Affirmatively furthering fair housing.

Pursuant to Gov. Code section 65584.04(e), to the extent data is available, SCAG shall include the factors listed in Gov. Code section 65584.04(e)(1-12) to develop its RHNA allocation methodology, and pursuant to Gov. Code section 65584.04(f), SCAG must explain in writing how each of these factors was incorporated into the RHNA allocation methodology and how the methodology furthers the statutory objectives described above. Pursuant to Government Code section 65584.04(h), SCAG must consult with HCD and submit its draft allocation methodology to HCD for review.

HCD appreciates the active role of SCAG staff in providing data and input throughout the consultation period. Pursuant to Government Code section 65584.01(c)(1), HCD may accept or reject the information provided by the council of governments or modify its own assumptions based on this information.

The Department especially thanks Ping Chang, Ma’Ayn Johnson, Kevin Kane, and Sarah Jepson. The Department looks forward to its continued partnership with SCAG and its member jurisdictions and assisting SCAG in its planning efforts to accommodate the region’s share of housing need.

If HCD can provide any additional assistance, or if you, or your staff, have any questions, please contact Megan Kirkeby, Assistant Deputy Director for Fair Housing, at megan.kirkeby@hcd.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Megan Kirkeby
Assistant Deputy Director for Fair Housing
## HCD REGIONAL HOUSING NEED DETERMINATION

**SCAG: June 30, 2021 – October 15, 2029 (8.3 years)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Category</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Housing Unit Need</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very-Low*</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>350,998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>206,338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>225,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above-Moderate</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>562,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,344,740</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Extremely-Low 14.5% Included in Very-Low Category

### Notes:

**Income Distribution:**  
Income categories are prescribed by California Health and Safety Code (Section 50093, et.seq.). Percents are derived based on ACS reported household income brackets and regional median income, then adjusted based on the percent of cost-burdened households in the region compared with the percent of cost burdened households nationally.
ATTACHMENT 2

HCD REGIONAL HOUSING NEED DETERMINATION
SCAG: June 30, 2021 – October 15, 2029 (8.3 years)

Methodology

SCAG: June 30, 2021-October 15, 2029 (8.3 Years)
HCD Determined Population, Households, & Housing Need

1. Population: DOF 6/30/2029 projection adjusted +3.5 months to 10/15/2029
   - Projected Population: 20,455,355

2. Group Quarters Population: DOF 6/30/2029 projection adjusted +3.5 months to 10/15/2029
   - Group Quarters Population: -363,635

3. Household (HH) Population: October 15, 2029
   - Household Population: 20,079,930

Household Formation Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Formation Groups</th>
<th>HCD Adjusted DOF Projected HH Population</th>
<th>DOF HH Formation Rates</th>
<th>HCD Adjusted DOF Projected Households</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>under 15 years</td>
<td>20,079,930</td>
<td>6,801,760</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 – 24 years</td>
<td>3,292,955</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 34 years</td>
<td>2,735,490</td>
<td>6.45%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 – 44 years</td>
<td>2,526,620</td>
<td>32.54%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 – 54 years</td>
<td>2,460,805</td>
<td>44.23%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 – 64 years</td>
<td>2,502,190</td>
<td>47.16%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 – 74 years</td>
<td>2,399,180</td>
<td>50.82%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 – 84 years</td>
<td>2,338,605</td>
<td>52.54%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>1,379,335</td>
<td>57.96%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>544,750</td>
<td>62.43%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34,010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Projected Households (Occupied Unit Stock)
   - Projected Households: 6,801,760

5. + Vacancy Adjustment (2.63%)
   - Vacancy Adjustment: 178,896

6. + Overcrowding Adjustment (6.76%)
   - Overcrowding Adjustment: 459,917

7. + Replacement Adjustment (.50%)
   - Replacement Adjustment: 34,010

8. - Occupied Units (HHs) estimated (June 30, 2021)
   - Occupied Units (HHs): -6,250,261

9. + Cost Burden Adjustment (Lower Income: 10.63%, Moderate and Above Moderate Income: 9.28%)
   - Cost Burden Adjustment: 120,418

6th Cycle Regional Housing Need Assessment (RHNA)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,344,740</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explanation and Data Sources

1-4. Population, Group Quarters, Household Population, & Projected Households: Pursuant to Government Code Section 65584.01, projections were extrapolated from Department of Finance (DOF) projections. Population reflects total persons. Group Quarter Population reflects persons in a dormitory, group home, institution, military, etc. that do not require residential housing. Household Population reflects persons requiring residential housing. Projected Households reflect the propensity of persons, by age-groups, to form households at different rates based on Census trends.

5. Vacancy Adjustment: HCD applies a vacancy adjustment based on the difference between a standard 5% vacancy rate and the region's current "for rent and sale" vacancy percentage to provide healthy market vacancies to facilitate housing availability and resident mobility. The adjustment is the difference between standard 5% and region's current vacancy rate (2.37%) based on the 2013-2017 5-year American Community Survey (ACS) data. For SCAG that difference is 2.63%.

6. Overcrowding Adjustment: In region's where overcrowding is greater than the U.S overcrowding rate of 3.35%, HCD applies an adjustment based on the amount the region's overcrowding rate (10.11%) exceeds the U.S. overcrowding rate (3.35%) based on the 2013-2017 5-year ACS data. For SCAG that difference is 6.76%.

Continued on next page
7. Replacement Adjustment: HCD applies a replacement adjustment between .5% & 5% to total housing stock based on the current 10-year average of demolitions in the region's local government annual reports to Department of Finance (DOF). For SCAG, the 10-year average is .14%, and SCAG's consultation package provided additional data on this input indicating it may be closer to .41%; in either data source the estimate is below the minimum replacement adjustment so the minimum adjustment factor of .5% is applied.

8. Occupied Units: Reflects DOF's estimate of occupied units at the start of the projection period (June 30, 2021).

9. Cost Burden Adjustment: HCD applies an adjustment to the projected need by comparing the difference in cost-burden by income group for the region to the cost-burden by income group for the nation. The very-low and low income RHNA is increased by the percent difference \((70.83\%-60.20\%=10.63\%)\) between the region and the national average cost burden rate for households earning 80% of area median income and below, then this difference is applied to very low- and low-income RHNA proportionate to the share of the population these groups currently represent. The moderate and above-moderate income RHNA is increased by the percent difference \((20.48\%-11.20\%=9.28\%)\) between the region and the national average cost burden rate for households earning above 80% Area Median Income, then this difference is applied to moderate and above moderate income RHNA proportionate to the share of the population these groups currently represent. Data is from 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS).
In conjunction with the Regional Conference and General Assembly, the Regional Council of the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) held its regular meeting at the SCAG main office, 900 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90017.

**Members Present**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Bill Jahn, President</td>
<td>Big Bear Lake</td>
<td>District 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Rex Richardson, 2nd Vice President</td>
<td>Long Beach</td>
<td>District 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Alan D. Wapner, Imm. Past Pres.</td>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>SBCTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor Luis Plancarte</td>
<td>Yorba Linda</td>
<td>Imperial County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor Don Wagner</td>
<td>Palm Desert</td>
<td>Orange County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor Karen Spiegel</td>
<td>Simi Valley</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Peggy Huang</td>
<td>Wildomar</td>
<td>TCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Jan Harnik</td>
<td>El Centro</td>
<td>District 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Mike T. Judge</td>
<td>Palm Desert</td>
<td>District 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Ben Benoit</td>
<td>Beaumont</td>
<td>District 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Cheryl Viegas-Walker</td>
<td>Eastvale</td>
<td>District 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Kathleen Kelly</td>
<td>Colton</td>
<td>District 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Rey Santos</td>
<td>Highland</td>
<td>District 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Clint Lorimore</td>
<td>Rialto</td>
<td>District 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Frank Navarro</td>
<td>Rancho Cucamonga</td>
<td>District 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Larry McCallon</td>
<td>Chino Hills</td>
<td>District 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Deborah Robertson</td>
<td>Mission Viejo</td>
<td>District 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. L. Dennis Michael</td>
<td></td>
<td>District 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Ray Marquez</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Fred Minagar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Wendy Bucknum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Members Present...continued

Hon. Steve Nagel  
Fountain Valley  
District 15

Hon. Cecilia Iglesias  
Santa Ana  
District 16

Hon. Charles Puckett  
Tustin  
District 17

Hon. Stacy Berry  
Cypress  
District 18

Hon. Art Brown  
Buena Park  
District 21

Hon. Marty Simonoff  
Brea  
District 22

Hon. Sonny Santa Ines  
Bellflower  
District 24

Hon. Sean Ashton  
Downey  
District 25

Hon. Emma Sharif  
Compton  
District 26

Hon. Ali Saleh  
Bell  
District 27

Hon. Dan Medina  
Gardena  
District 28

Hon. Margaret Clark  
Rosemead  
District 32

Hon. Steve De Ruse  
La Mirada  
District 31

Hon. Jorge Marquez  
Covina  
District 33

Hon. Margaret E. Finlay  
Duarte  
District 35

Hon. Teresa Real Sebastian  
Monterey Park  
District 34

Hon. Steve Tye  
Diamond Bar  
District 37

Hon. James Gazeley  
Lomita  
District 39

Hon. Meghan Sahli-Wells  
Culver City  
District 41

Hon. Jess Talamantes  
Burbank  
District 42

Hon. Steven Hofbauer  
Palmdale  
District 43

Hon. David Shapiro  
Oxnard  
District 45

Hon. Carmen Ramirez  
Moorpark  
District 46

Hon. David Pollock  
Fillmore  
District 47

Hon. Tim Holmgren  
Los Angeles  
District 51

Hon. Joe Buscaino  
Los Angeles  
District 62

Hon. Steve Manos  
Lake Elsinore  
District 63

Hon. Lyn Semeta  
Huntington Beach  
District 64

Hon. Rita Ramirez  
Victorville  
District 65

Hon. Marsha McLean  
Santa Clarita  
District 67

Hon. Brian McDonald  
Tribal Gov’t Reg’l Plng Board

Mr. Randall Lewis  
Lewis Group of Companies  
Business Representative

Hon. Eric Garcetti  
Los Angeles  
Member-at-Large
Members Not Present

Hon. Randon Lane, 1st Vice President  Murrieta  District 5
Supervisor Hilda Solis  Los Angeles County
Supervisor Kathryn Barger  Los Angeles County
Supervisor Curt Hagman  San Bernardino County
Supervisor Linda Parks  Ventura County
Hon. James Predmore  Holtville  ICTC
Hon. Michael Carroll  Irvine  District 14
Hon. Trevor O’Neill  Anaheim  District 19
Hon. Tri Ta  Westminster  District 20
VACANT
Hon. Lena Gonzalez  Long Beach  District 30
Hon. Jonathan Curtis  La Cañada Flintridge  District 36
Hon. Tim Sandoval  Pomona  District 38
Hon. Judy Mitchell  Rolling Hills Estates  District 40
Hon. Gilbert Cedillo  Los Angeles  District 48
Hon. Paul Krekorian  Los Angeles  District 49/Public Transit Rep.
Hon. Bob Blumenfield  Los Angeles  District 50
Hon. Paul Koretz  Los Angeles  District 52
Hon. Nury Martinez  Los Angeles  District 53
Hon. Monica Rodriguez  Los Angeles  District 54
Hon. Marqueece Harris-Dawson  Los Angeles  District 55
Hon. Curren D. Price, Jr.  Los Angeles  District 56
Hon. Herb Wesson, Jr.  Los Angeles  District 57
Hon. Mike Bonin  Los Angeles  District 58
VACANT
Hon. Mitch O’Farrell  Los Angeles  District 59
Hon. José Huizar  Los Angeles  District 60
Hon. Megan Beaman Jacinto  Coachella  District 66
Hon. Rusty Bailey  Riverside  District 68
Hon. Marisela Magana  Perris  District 69

Staff Present
Kome Ajise, Executive Director
Darin Chidsey, Chief Operating Officer
Debbie Dillon, Chief Strategy Officer
Joann Africa, Chief Counsel/Director of Legal Services
Art Yoon, Director of Policy and Public Affairs
CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Honorable Bill Jahn, President, called the meeting to order at 12:15PM and asked Immediate Past President Alan D. Wapner to lead the Pledge of Allegiance.

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

President Jahn opened the public comment period.

Eric Reese, encouraged joining with the state and federal government including the car manufacturers industry to enhance existing autonomous technology to help fight traffic congestion and increase safety. He cited the positive train control system as an example of autonomous technology that enforced a proper buffer to alleviate traffic and lower traffic accidents.

Kendrick Rustad, proposed that downtown Los Angeles be its “own zone” and provide its own housing and transportation.

Leonora Camner, Housing L.A., commented regarding a vision of the future whereby there is abundance of housing in the region, especially in the urban areas with jobs and amenities where people can get around by walking, biking or using transit. She stated the RHNA Methodology should not include local input; rather it should include objectives and criteria for housing costs, share of multi-family housing, subsidized housing, job and housing ratio within a short commute.

President Jahn closed the public comment period.

REVIEW AND PRIORITIZE AGENDA ITEMS

There was no request to prioritize agenda items.

PRESENTATION ITEM

1. Green Region Initiative - Sustainability Map Update

President Jahn introduced the item and welcomed the 2018-19 CivicSpark Climate Fellows who will provide a presentation, they are: Guadalupe Franco, Elisa Barrios and April Crain.

At the conclusion of the presentation, President Jahn thanked the CivicSpark Fellows and stated
that SCAG’s partnership with CivicSpark will continue through the next 2019-20 program year.

**ACTION/DISCUSSION ITEM**

2. Proposed RHNA Methodology

President Jahn introduced the item and asked CEHD Committee and RHNA Subcommittee Chair Peggy Huang to provide background information.

Chair Huang reported that staff developed three (3) proposed options for the RHNA Methodology based from feedback and input from the RHNA Subcommittee, the public and stakeholders. A schedule of the Public Hearings regarding the proposed RHNA Methodology is available on the SCAG website and flyers are available at the back of the room. Chair Huang emphasized that the Regional Council will not be taking action on a specific option; rather will be approving for release the three (3) proposed RHNA Methodology options for public comment and review. She encouraged members, local jurisdictions and the public to submit public comments. Finally, Chair Huang asked Ma’Ayn Johnson, SCAG staff, to provide a presentation and overview regarding the three (3) proposed RHNA Methodology options.

Ms. Johnson discussed the RHNA objectives; described each of the three (3) options; provided a comparison of the options and explained the full proposed RHNA methodology. In closing, she provided information of the next steps associated with the Public Hearings and submission of the draft RHNA Methodology review by HCD by the fall of 2019.

City of Los Angeles Mayor Eric Garcetti stated support for a RHNA methodology where housing has access to transit and jobs; however, he asked to look at employment patterns across Southern California and put housing where job sectors are growing. He echoed the comments made earlier regarding not excluding housing costs with multi-family and single-family and what level of subsidized housing exists in cities should be a part of the methodology as well as transit. He also stated that we need a higher social equity adjustment to build more affordable housing to places where there is better opportunity. He referenced a letter that he wrote detailing his concerns regarding the final allocation methodology. Finally, he stated support for moving forward with approving the proposed RHNA Methodology options and requested incorporating the factors that he mentioned.

Councilmember Meghan Sahli-Wells, Culver City, District 41, asked for clarification regarding the meaning of local input and if limited by current zoning in the local jurisdiction.

Councilmember Marsha McLean, Santa Clarita, District 67, asked to add a public outreach in the north Los Angeles County area with Santa Clarita being the third largest city in the county.
Councilmember David Pollock, Moorpark, District 46, commented regarding taking into account job centers as part of the methodology.

Councilmember Carmen Ramirez, Oxnard, District 65, requested a fair allocation especially for those cities who are providing housing according to the law. She supported Option 2 of the proposed methodology with an amendment.

Councilmember Steve Hofbauer, Palmdale, District 43, commented regarding the challenge with meeting the housing numbers without redevelopment funds and suggested advocating for a viable tax increment financing.

At this point in time, President Jahn opened the Public Comment period.

Councilmember Alex Fisch, Culver City, urged the members to consider including additional objective factors that would truly serve the region as none of the three (3) proposed options align with its guiding principles. He suggested planning for the future that is fiscally and environmentally sound to be able to plan for more homes and more opportunities.

Michael Menjivar, Southern California Non-Profit Housing, encouraged a RHNA Methodology that is ambitious and equitable to address the severity of the housing affordability crisis.

Rebecca Muli, Abundant Housing L.A., shared a personal experience regarding challenges with affordable housing and asked to build more homes near jobs and transit, to reduce homelessness for low-income families and reduce displacement of the middle-class.

Grace Peng, League of Women Voters, commented that automobiles are the top contributors to air and water pollution and climate change in the region. However, the root cause of the problem is the imbalance of available jobs, affordable housing and land use policies. She encouraged working collaboratively to resolve the housing problem.

Paavo Monkonnen, Professor, UCLA Urban Planning, referenced a letter that he submitted to SCAG regarding the RHNA Methodology options and explained that two of the proposed options were distributing disproportionate number of housing to Riverside and San Bernardino counties and not where the jobs are located. He encouraged the members to ask SCAG staff that when releasing the document for public comment, to also include other options for the public to gain a better understanding of the RHNA process.

Heidi Feltz, resident, defined homelessness and housing and stated that it is irresponsible to plan housing by population. Ms. Feltz requested extending the September 3rd deadline to allow for
more public input, defining the term ‘affordable housing;’ and urged consideration of not just income categories but categories of housing as well.

Richard Bjorn, resident, echoed the comments made earlier and encouraged taking into account job placement as it relates to housing and suggested allocating more housing goals where jobs are located. He suggested allocating houses per jurisdiction basis, per council district, to achieve local goals for above, moderate and low income housing.

President Jahn closed the Public Comment period.

President Jahn asked Chief Counsel Joann Africa to acknowledge receipt and to note for the record the five (5) letters received by SCAG regarding Agenda Item 2 prior to the meeting. Ms. Africa announced the letters were from the following and noted that paper copies were distributed to the Regional Council members and made available to the public with extra copies at the back of the meeting room: (1) Paavo Monkonnen, Professor, UCLA Urban Planning; dated July 29, 2019; (2) Marge Nichols, League of Women Voters; dated July 31, 2019; (3) Assemblymember Richard Bloom, dated July 31, 2019; (4) Natalya Zernitskaya, League of Women Voters; dated August 1, 2019; and (5) Mayor John Mirisch, City of Beverly Hills, dated August 1, 2019. Ms. Africa stated the key points that were raised in the letters were: using and not using local input as a factor for the methodology; encouraging a higher social equity adjustment such as 200%; and greater consideration that a job and housing balance be a factor for the methodology.

At this point in time, President Jahn entertained comments from the Regional Council members.

Councilmember Joe Buscaino, Los Angeles, District 62, commented that solving housing and homelessness issues must be done collaboratively and as a region. As the First Vice President of the National League of Cities (NLC), the housing and homelessness issue does not only affect the region but is also a national concern. Councilmember Buscaino stated that more than 20 local leaders from across the country came together to form the NLC Housing Task Force who recently released a report entitled, “Homeward Bound – The Road to Affordable Housing.” The report highlights recommendations that may be used as a tool for the region. Paper copies are available at the back of the meeting room and on the website at: https://www.nlc.org/resource/homeward-bound-the-road-to-affordable-housing. Councilmember Buscaino urged the members to attend the public hearings and choose a methodology that is equitable, just and fair for all residents in the entire region.

Councilmember David Ryu, Los Angeles, District 51, asked a question regarding the process if the existing options will need to be modified. He expressed concerns regarding the housing allocation that was determined for the region being “too low and will worsen the housing crisis.” He echoed the comments made by Mayor Garcetti and encouraged developing housing numbers that are both
Immediate Past President Alan D. Wapner, echoed comments regarding jobs and housing imbalance; however, there were notable improvements especially in the Inland Empire such as job creation and the availability of different types of housing. He also stated the RHNA process has been ongoing and emphasized the importance of all elected officials’ obligation with informing the respective jurisdictions of the RHNA process including allowing public input. While there is recognition of a housing crisis, Past President Wapner stated this is not enough and suggested being mindful of providing attainable housing for all people with all income levels throughout the region.

Councilmember Meghan Sahli-Wells, Culver City, District 41, asked a question as to how to reflect the comments that were made by members and the public and encouraged looking at the jobs and housing ratio to achieve a stronger focus on equity. She asked to incorporate the written comments by Professor Paavo Monkonnen and Assemblymember Bloom for consideration in today’s action by the Regional Council, as follows, “...housing costs, share of multi-family housing stock, share of subsidized housing, ratio of jobs to housing in the city; and share of regional jobs within a short commute.” She also asked to incorporate the following: “...equitably increasing the regions' housing supply and the mix of housing types, tenure, and affordability in all cities and counties; promoting infill and efficient development patterns; socioeconomic equity; environmental and agricultural resource protection and GHG reduction; promoting a better jobs/housing fit throughout the region; balance disproportionate household income distributions; and affirmatively furthering fair housing.”

Supervisor Karen Spiegel, Riverside County, referenced the data in the agenda packet and noted a significant employment change in the counties of Riverside and San Bernardino specifically due to a tremendous amount of cars going through the county to drive to the location of jobs in Los Angeles and Orange counties where the higher-paying jobs are located. She encouraged collaboration and working across jurisdictional boundaries to achieve balance. Supervisor Spiegel expressed concerns with the limited scheduled Public Hearing locations and asked that more locations be scheduled to garner more public input. She also remarked the financial equity disparity between the northern California and southern California as was the case in transportation.

Councilmember Steve Manos, Lake Elsinore, District 63, commented regarding the jobs and housing imbalance and that the RHNA allocation not produce inequitable results in some cities. He also stated the challenges with building costs of homes without subsidies or lack of funding.

A MOTION was made (Manos) to authorize the release of the three (3) Proposed RHNA Methodology options for public comment and to direct staff to include greater context that informs the public that there is the opportunity for additional options and alternatives to these three (3) options. Motion was SECONDED (Kelly) and passed by the following votes:

AGAINST: Holmgren (1)

ABSTAIN: None (0)

RHNA Subcommittee and CEHD Committee Chair Peggy Huang encouraged the members and the public to submit their written comments during the public comment period and emphasized the importance of their participation and suggested reading through the RHNA Subcommittee meeting records as matters raised at today’s discussions were also discussed at the RHNA Subcommittee meetings.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Approval Items
3. Caltrans Audits' Final Corrective Action Plans
5. Minutes of the Meeting – June 6, 2019
6. Approval for Additional Stipend Payments
7. 2020 Meeting Schedule of the Regional Council and Policy Committees
8. Amendment 1 to the Memorandum of Agreement for the Metropolitan Planning Organization Partners' Connect SoCal (RTP/SCS) Coordinator
9. SB 592 (Wiener): Housing Accountability Act
10. Contracts $200,000 or Greater: 19-029-C01, Los Angeles Union Station/Civic Center District Study
11. SCAG Event Sponsorship Policy
12. SCAG Memberships and Sponsorships

Receive and File
13. Model Resolution for Transportation Safety
14. Purchase Orders $5,000 - $199,000; Contracts $25,000 - $199,999 and Amendments $5,000 - $74,999
15. CFO Monthly Report
A MOTION was made (Richardson) to approve the Consent Calendar, Agenda Items 3 through 12; and Receive and File Items 13 through 15. Motion was SECONDED (Viegas-Walker) and passed by the following votes:

**FOR:** Ashton, Berry, Brown, Buscaino, Clark, DeRuse, Gazeley, Harnik, Holmgren, Huang, Jahn, Judge, Kelly, Lorimore, Manos, J. Marquez, R. Marquez, McCallon, McDonald, Nagel, Pollock, C. Ramirez, R. Ramirez, Richardson, Robertson, Ryu, Sahli-Wells, Santos, Shapiro, Sharif, Spiegel, Talamantes, Tye, Viegas-Walker, Wagner and Wapner (36).

**AGAINST:** None (0)

**ABSTAIN:** None (0)

**BUSINESS REPORT**

A report was not provided.

**PRESIDENT’S REPORT**

President Jahn welcomed the following new members:

- RC District 30 Representative Jeanine Pearce; Long Beach; no policy committee yet
- RC District 3 Representative Rey Santos of Beaumont; also in the Transportation Committee
- CVAG subregional appointment of Lisa Middleton, Palm Springs; also in the Transportation Committee
- SBCTA subregional appointment of Toni Momberger, Redlands; also in the Energy and Environment Committee
- SBCTA subregional appointment of John Dutrey, Montclair; also in the Transportation Committee
- President’s Appointment of Larry Smith, Calimesa; also in the Transportation Committee

**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT**

A report was not provided.
ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, President Jahn adjourned the Regional Council meeting at 1:51 p.m.

The next meeting of the Regional Council is scheduled for Thursday, September 5, 2019 at the SCAG Los Angeles Office, 900 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90017.
RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR TC:
Recommend approval of the proposed 2021 Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) Guidelines to the RC.

RECOMMENDED ACTION FOR RC:
Approve the proposed 2021 FTIP Guidelines.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
SCAG is required under both federal and state laws to develop an FTIP. The FTIP is the short-range program that implements the goals and policies identified in the long-range Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategies (RTP/SCS). Federal law requires that the FTIP be updated at a minimum of every four years, adopted by SCAG, and sent to the Governor for approval. Consistent with state statute, SCAG, along with the Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) in California, update the FTIP every two years to coincide with the development of the Federal Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (FSTIP). The Guidelines are updated prior to the FTIP update by SCAG staff working in collaboration with Federal funding agencies (FHWA, FTA), the Department of Transportation (Caltrans), the County Transportation Commissions (CTCs), and the Transportation Conformity Working Group (TCWG) to ensure that all current legal, administrative, and technical requirements are met. These guidelines assist the county transportation commissions in developing and submitting their county Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs) for inclusion into SCAG’s FTIP.

SCAG staff has completed the update of the 2021 FTIP Guidelines. The proposed 2021 FTIP Guidelines are available online at:
The proposed guidelines reflect the latest federal and state statutes, including the Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act. The FTIP Guidelines are updated every two years in advance of the biennial FTIP update. Any changes or modifications that affect SCAG’s policy will be brought to the attention of the Transportation Committee and the Regional Council for potential action.

BACKGROUND:
SCAG staff working in collaboration with Federal funding agencies, Caltrans, CTCs, and the TCWG, has completed its update of the 2021 FTIP Guidelines. SCAG received minor comments from the CTCs, Caltrans, and our federal partners during the month of July 2019, and revised the document to reflect and address the comments received. These Guidelines reflect the current process for transportation programming in the region and serve as guide to the CTCs in preparing their respective county TIPs for submittal to SCAG for incorporation into the 2021 FTIP. The following are the key updates to these Guidelines:

SCAG is required under both federal and state laws to develop an FTIP. The FTIP is the short-range program that implements the goals and policies identified in the long-range Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategies (RTP/SCS). Federal law requires that the FTIP be updated at a minimum of every four years, adopted by SCAG, and sent to the Governor for approval. Consistent with state statute, SCAG, along with the Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) in California, update the FTIP every two years to coincide with the development of the Federal Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (FSTIP). The guidelines are updated prior to the FTIP update by SCAG staff working in collaboration with Federal funding agencies, Caltrans, the County Transportation Commissions, and the TCWG to ensure that all current legal, administrative, and technical requirements are met. These guidelines assist the county transportation commissions in developing and submitting their county Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs) for inclusion into SCAG’s FTIP.

The guidelines will be modified if programs are modified, added, and/or deleted to be consistent with applicable laws. However, any changes or modifications that affect SCAG’s policy will be presented to the Transportation Committee and the Regional Council for potential action.

FISCAL IMPACT:
Work associated with this item is included in the current FY 19-20 Overall Work Program 20-030.0146.02 Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP)
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Support

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
*Senate Bill (SB) 664 would clarify state law to continue to allow the state’s tolling agencies to efficiently and responsibly use personally identifiable information collected from motorists that use toll lanes, while maintaining appropriate prohibitions against the improper sharing of that information.* Staff presented SB 664 to the Legislative/Communications & Membership Committee (LCMC) at its meeting on August 20, 2019, after which the LCMC unanimously voted to recommend a “support” position to the Regional Council.

BACKGROUND:
Current law codifies uniform standards across the state’s tolling agencies to protect the personally identifiable information of motorists who use toll lanes and prohibits toll operators from selling or otherwise providing information to companies or organizations for marketing or inappropriate uses. Over recent months, a growing list of litigants, some petitioning for class-action status, have filed claims against transportation agencies and subcontractors throughout California. These lawsuits exploit the “otherwise provided” clause in existing law alleging that toll operators are violating the privacy restrictions placed on them when carrying out core aspects of operating toll facilities. Some examples include transit agencies sharing names and addresses of motorists as they travel through different jurisdictions to ensure interoperability of toll collection devices and technologies or communicating with the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to find appropriate contact information to notify toll violators.
SB 664

Introduced by Senator Ben Allen (D-Redondo Beach), SB 664 would reaffirm the ability of toll operators to use personally identifiable information that is collected for the purposes of enforcement, collection, notification activities, or maintaining an interoperable statewide toll system across agencies. Toll operators assert that SB 664 would help combat lawsuits levied against them that have resulted in more than $5 million in legal expenses statewide and potential damage claims in the billions of dollars.

SB 664 would apply retroactively and impact pending litigation. Opponents claim that changes to the law would give transit agencies immunity and allow them to skirt litigation that should instead be settled to addresses past violations. Currently, there are seven pending cases in California filed against transportation agencies with toll facilities. No case against a public agency has been settled or reached a conclusion. However, some subcontractors have already settled lawsuits. SB 664 responsibly defends the vital public interest in the operation and maintenance of a comprehensive transportation infrastructure.

The Assembly Transportation Committee approved SB 664 on July 1st with Chairman Jim Frazier abstaining to vote because he believed that the bill went further than originally described. SB 664 then moved out of the Assembly Privacy and Consumer Protection Committee by a vote of 11 to 0, on July 9th.

SB 664 will now be considered by the Assembly Appropriations Committee, which is chaired by Assembly Member Lorena Gonzalez (D-San Diego). It should be noted that August 30, 2019 is the last day fiscal committees can approve legislation to then be considered by the entire Assembly or Senate, and the current legislative session will come to a close on September 13, 2019.

Support

- Bay Area Council
- Bay Area Toll Authority
- California Transit Association
- Foothill Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency
- Golden Gate Bridge Highway and Transportation District
- HNTB Corporation
- Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
- Nees Consulting
- Orange County Business Council
- Orange County Transportation Authority

Opposition

- American Civil Liberties Union of California
- California Alliance for Retired Americans
- California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation
- Coast Law Group, LLP
- Consumer Action
- Consumer Attorneys of California (unless amended)
- Consumer Federation of California
- Consumer Watchdog
- Consumers For Auto Reliability & Safety
- Electronic Frontier Foundation
- Gutride Safier LLP (unless amended)
- Lawyers’ Committee for Civil Rights
Staff Recommendation

Staff presented SB 664 to the LCMC at its meeting on August 20, 2019, after which the LCMC unanimously voted to recommend a “support” position to the Regional Council. This is consistent with Regional Council-adopted policy and legislative priorities to support and preserve all existing sources of transportation funding and revenue.

SB 664 enjoys regional consensus, with many transportation stakeholders in the SCAG region submitting support. SB 664 will clarify perceived gray areas in state law to continue to allow transportation agencies to use personal data efficiently and responsibly while maintaining appropriate prohibitions against the improper sharing of that information.

FISCAL IMPACT:
None
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Approve

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
At its meeting on August 20, 2019, the Legislative/Communications and Membership Committee (LCMC) recommended approval of up to $15,500 in memberships for the: 1) Eno Center for Transportation ($10,500); and 2) FuturePorts ($5,000); and up to $10,000 in sponsorships for the 2019 Southern California AltCar Conference and Expo ($5,000); and the Mobility 21 2019 Summit ($5,000).

BACKGROUND:

Item 1: Eno Center for Transportation
Type: Membership  Amount: $10,500

The Eno Center for Transportation’s mission is to seek continuous improvement in transportation and its public and public private leadership in order to increase the system’s mobility, safety, and sustainability. Eno works across all modes of transportation, with the mission of cultivating creative and visionary leadership for the sector. They pursue this mission by supporting activities in their Center for Transportation Policy (CTP) and their Center for Transportation Leadership (CTL).

Eno Transportation Weekly (ETW), a weekly roundup of transportation and infrastructure related news and analyses, provides valuable information to SCAG staff on policy and legislation making its way through Washington D.C. ETW’s thorough and high-quality analyses cover different topics, including transportation reauthorization bills, competitive grant programs, proposed budgets for
SCAG staff recommends that the agency maintain membership at the “Gold Connector” level. Although this level of membership typically costs organizations $15,000, SCAG receives a 30-percent discount as a government agency, thus bringing the amount down to $10,500. This membership provides the agency with the following benefits:

- 15 complimentary subscriptions to ETW
- Opportunity to participate in an Eno research initiative, such as working groups, that supports research on current issues in transportation policy; and
- Choice of any one optional sponsorship opportunity.

**Item 2: FuturePorts**

**Type:** Membership  
**Amount:** $5,000

FuturePorts was established in 2005 and serves as a voice for their members in the goods movement supply chain in order to advocate for balance between business, environment, and community concerns at the San Pedro Bay Ports (Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach). Their members represent the entire goods movement supply chain, including businesses that support the goods movement industry, as well as labor and trade unions that work at the ports. Their objective is to ensure a healthy economic and environmental future supporting green growth at the ports. In the dozen years since it was founded, FuturePorts has positioned itself as a leader in the movement for rational and balanced growth, representing business interests at both the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach commission meetings; Los Angeles and Long Beach city council meetings; public hearings; and other community events. They write letters, provide outreach, and engage the support of other business organizations and stakeholders as we work toward common goals of growing and greening our ports.

SCAG has been a sponsor of the annual FuturePorts conference in the past, but the growing importance of goods movement to the Southern California economy is making it increasingly necessary for the agency to maintain its ability to effectively participate in dialogue and discussion on such an important facet of the region’s economy.

SCAG staff is recommending that the agency maintain membership in FuturePorts. This unique membership/sponsorship package, in the amount of $5,000, includes both membership dues as well as sponsorship of the FuturePorts conference:

- Sponsorship of the annual FuturePorts conference at the “Silver Level,” which includes:
  - Five (5) conference registrations;
- Full page color ad on Conference Program;
- Tabletop exhibit;
- Listing in Conference mobile app as a sponsor;
- Logo listing on PowerPoint “loop” during breaks; and
- Verbal recognition at event.

Membership dues provide the Agency with the following benefits:
- Eligibility to serve on FuturePorts Board of Directors and Committees;
- Access to member-only communications;
- Reduced registration fee at FuturePorts signature events;
- Three (3) complimentary attendees for all FuturePorts member-only events;
- Recognition at FuturePorts Annual Conference, VIP Reception, and member meetings;
- Opportunity to introduce speaker at member meeting;
- Social media promotion;
- Color logo linked on online membership directory;
- Invitation to policy-maker meetings;
- Premium branding on all communications; and
- Access to custom advocacy.

**Item 3: AltCar Conference and Expo**

**Type:** Sponsorship  
**Amount:** $5,000

The goal of the AltCar Conference and Expo is to provide an accessible and comprehensive setting where both industry and the general public can discover all existing energy-efficient car alternatives that are driving national and global change. AltCar is the only event in the United States that gathers every single model of alternative technology light duty vehicle available for purchase or lease in one location for free test drives. The goal of making this technology available to the general public is to help make esoteric climate policies more tangible. Given that 52% of all Zero Emission Vehicle (ZEV) purchases are happening in California, this event is critical in building the momentum for the emerging marketplace.

SCAG staff recommends that the agency sponsor the event in the amount of $5,000, which provides SCAG with the following:

- Four Conference passes;
- Prime logo placement on event website;
- Prime logo placement on AltCar marketing materials;
- Prime logo placement on AltCar Conference Program;
- Prime logo placement on event signage;
- Opportunity to include information on SCAG programs in Conference; and
- Inclusion on the event planning committee.
## Item 4: Mobility 21 2019 Summit

**Type:** Sponsorship  
**Amount:** $5,000

Mobility 21 will be holding their annual summit on Friday, September 27, 2019 at the Disneyland Hotel in Anaheim, CA. This annual event brings together public and private stakeholders to address the region’s transportation priorities and improve mobility for all that live, work, and play in Southern California.

SCAG has sponsored this event in the past and staff is recommending sponsorship at the “Gold” level in the amount of $5,000, which includes the following benefits:

- Registration and reserved seating for five (5) attendees at the general sessions and luncheon;
- Attendance for one (1) representative at an exclusive VIP reception with Mobility 21’s Board of Directors, speakers, and other guests;
- Exhibitor table at the Mobility 21 Expo with listing as an exhibitor on Mobility 21’s website;
- Logo visibility on the conference sponsor slide show, event program and other Summit materials, and inclusion in pre-conference advertising (both print and electronic); and
- A half-page advertisement in the Summit program.

### FISCAL IMPACT:

$25,500 for memberships/sponsorships is included in the approved FY 19-20 General Fund budget.
REPORT

Southern California Association of Governments
900 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1700, Los Angeles, California 90017
September 5, 2019

To: Executive/Administration Committee (EAC)
Regional Council (RC)

From: Basil Panas, Chief Financial Officer, Contracts, 213-236-1817, panas@scag.ca.gov

Subject: Contracts $200,000 or Greater: 18-040-C01, Regional Data Platform (Approval to Increase Contract Award)

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Approve an additional $178,000 to Contract No. 18-040-C01 with Esri, Inc. for a total amount not to exceed $2,717,937 with Esri, Inc., for the purpose of developing a Regional Data Platform that will serve local jurisdictions, other partner agencies, and the general public in Southern California.

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians. 4: Provide innovative information and value-added services to enhance member agencies’ planning and operations and promote regional collaboration.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
In April 2019, the Regional Council approved an award of $2,539,937 to Esri, Inc. for establishment of the Regional Data Platform (Platform). The Platform will provide an online tool for SCAG and local jurisdictions to access data necessary for local general plan development and general decision making by monitoring transportation, land development trends, housing and economic growth, and sustainability conditions. While undergoing final contract negotiations, staff conducted an internal risk assessment. As a result of this risk assessment, staff decided that certain geographic information systems (GIS) processes, outlined in Task 6, System Architecture and Development, in the Scope of Work needed updating and be expedited at the early stages of project launch. This would have been the case with any vendor. This expedited GIS work increases the RC’s previous maximum contract approval by $178,000, bringing the overall contract value to $2,717,937. For these reasons, staff is requesting the Regional Council approve an additional $178,000 to the Esri, Inc. contract for a total maximum contract award of $2,717,937.

BACKGROUND:
Staff recommends executing the following contract $200,000 or greater:
ESRI, Inc. (18-040-C01)  

The consultant shall develop a Regional Data Platform that will serve local jurisdictions, other partner agencies, and the general public in Southern California.

$2,717,937

FISCAL IMPACT:
Funds in the amount of $2,042,573 are available in the FY 2019-20 budget in Project Numbers 280-4823.01 ($1,103,210) and 280-4832.02 ($939,363); and the remaining $675,364 is expected to be available in the FY 2020-21 budget, subject to budget availability.

ATTACHMENT(S):
1. Contract Summary 18-040-C01
2. Contract Summary 18-040-C01 COI
CONSULTANT CONTRACT 18-040-C01

Recommended Consultant: ESRI, Inc.

Background & Scope of Work:
In April 2019, SCAG’s Regional Council approved an award of $2,539,937 to Esri, Inc. for establishment of the Regional Data Platform (Platform). The Platform will provide an online tool for SCAG and local jurisdictions to access data necessary for local general plan development and general decision making by monitoring transportation, land development trends, housing and economic growth, and sustainability conditions. The Platform will also feature a data-driven collaboration hub for local jurisdictions to engage with stakeholders for individual projects, such as local and regional land use planning, active transportation planning, greenhouse gas reduction strategies, and development impact assessments.

While undergoing final contract negotiations, staff completed an internal risk assessment. As a result of this risk assessment, staff decided that certain geographic information systems (GIS) processes, outlined in Task 6, System Architecture and Development, in the Scope of Work needed updating at the early stages of project launch. Staff therefore opted to obtain further consulting assistance from Esri, Inc. to complete internal improvements to the agency’s established data systems and processes in preparation for the Regional Data Platform project. Work in this regard will reduce risks to SCAG and provide expedited support for enhancements to the agency’s system architecture, user workflows, data architecture, application architecture, technology architecture, and capacity planning. These services will total $178,000, bringing the overall project cost to $2,717,937. For these reasons staff is requesting the Regional Council to approve an additional $178,000 to the contract award to Esri, Inc. for the increased amount ($2,717,937).

Consistent with the requirements of Senate Bill 1 (SB 1) Sustainable Communities Formula Funds that supports this project, the consultant shall develop a Regional Data Platform that will serve local jurisdictions, other partner agencies, and the general public in Southern California to:

- Foster collaboration between SCAG, local jurisdictions, stakeholders, the general public, and local communities by making data used for decision-making more transparent and broadly available;
- Support planning for sustainable growth by assessing the local and regional impacts of land use and transportation choices by benchmarking likely outcomes to regional performance metrics (to be informed by SCAG’s regional transportation and sustainable communities planning processes);
- Serve as a primary regional data resource to support regional and local planning, and provide forward-thinking dashboards and innovative tools to end-users to improve and enhance workflows;
- Provide an integrated system for data collection through web-based data services (i.e. data in the cloud), automated update processes, standardized and efficient regional data management, and robust data governance structures; and
- Promote partnerships (e.g., with local governments, regional agencies, state and federal agencies, private firms, and universities and international
organizations), to establish long term collaborative data sharing practices for regionally significant planning activities in Southern California, with the aim of promoting a common vision, shared goals, and mutual benefits.

**Project’s Benefits & Key Deliverables:**

The project’s benefits and key deliverables include, but are not limited to:

- The Platform will provide an online tool for SCAG and local jurisdictions to access data necessary for local general plan development and general decision making by monitoring transportation, land development trends, housing and economic growth, and sustainability conditions; and
- It will also feature a data-driven collaboration hub for local jurisdictions to engage with stakeholders for individual projects, such as local and regional land use planning, active transportation planning, greenhouse gas reduction strategies, and development impact assessments.

**Strategic Plan:**

This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan, Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of live for Southern Californians, and Objective (C): Ensure quality, effectiveness, and implementation of plans through collaboration, pilot testing, and objective data-driven analysis; Goal 3: Be the foremost data information hub for the region, and Objectives (A): Develop and maintain models, tools, and data sets that support innovative plan development, policy analysis and project implementation, and (B): Become the information hub of Southern California y improving access to current, historical, local and regional data sets that reduce the costs of planning and increase the efficiency of public services; Goal 4: Provide innovative information and value-added services to enhance member agencies’ planning and operations and promote regional collaboration.

**Contract Amount:**

Total not to exceed $2,717,937

ESRI, Inc. (prime consultant) $2,643,237
Wallace Walrod (subconsultant) $74,700

Note: ESRI’s originally proposed $2,784,837, but staff negotiated the price down to $2,717,937 without reducing the scope of work, and obtaining additional assistance for system enhancements.

**Contract Period:**

Notice to Proceed through April 30, 2022

**Project Number(s):**

- 280-4832U3.01 $442,650
- 280-4832E.01 $57,350
- 280-4832U5.01 $1,218,811
- 280-4832E.01 $157,910

Funding source(s): Transportation Development Act (TDA) and SB1 Funds.

Funding of $1,876,721 is available in the FY 2018-19 budget, and the remaining $841,216.39 is expected to be available in the FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21 budget in Project Numbers 280-4832.01 and 280-4832.02, subject to budget availability.

**Request for Proposal (RFP):**

SCAG staff notified 4,466 firms of the release of RFP 18-040-C1 via SCAG’s Solicitation Management System website. A total of 84 firms downloaded the RFP. SCAG received the following ten (10) proposals in response to the solicitation:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ESRI (1 sub-consultant)</td>
<td>$2,784,837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zillion Plan (no sub-consultants)</td>
<td>$248,497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Center for Civic Innovation (no sub-consultants)</td>
<td>$532,609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tierra Plan (2 sub-consultants)</td>
<td>$1,036,451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgreeYa (1 sub-consultant)</td>
<td>$1,098,716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBI Group (3 Sub-consultants)</td>
<td>$1,499,511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambridge Systematics (2 sub-consultants)</td>
<td>$1,593,611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psomas (2 sub-consultants)</td>
<td>$1,777,489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estrada Consulting (no sub-consultants)</td>
<td>$1,971,518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StreetLight Data (no sub-consultants)</td>
<td>$4,688,419</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Selection Process:**
SCAG assembled a Proposal Review Committee (PRC) with participants having expertise in local land use planning, regional planning, information technology, geographic information systems, data science, environmental justice, economics, and demography. The PRC evaluated each proposal in accordance with the criteria set forth in the RFP, and conducted the selection process in a manner consistent with all applicable federal and state contracting regulations. After evaluating the proposals, the PRC interviewed the five (5) highest ranked offerors.

The PRC consisted of the following individuals:

- Kimberly Clark, Regional Planner Specialist, SCAG
- Deanna Dupuy, Assistant Planner, SCAG
- Julie Loats, Chief Information Officer, SCAG
- Tom Vo, Senior Regional Planner, SCAG
- Ping Wang, Program Manager, SCAG

**Basis for Selection:**

The PRC recommended Esri, Inc. for the contract based on their proposed technical approach and previous experience on projects of this magnitude: because the consultant:

- Esri’s technical approach exceeded competitors as their proposal best identified how SCAG’s Regional Data Platform tools could specifically help facilitate local general plan updates with technology and collaborative solutions at each stage of the planning process (e.g. establishing data and plan foundations, identifying issues and engaging the community, updating a general plan/determining community choices, and preparing the final plan); Esri’s proposed solution was also the most comprehensive in addressing how the Regional Data Platform can be integrated with SCAG’s existing enterprise geographic information systems infrastructure and open data platform, while emphasizing local jurisdictions’ user operability and integration with existing local data systems; and
- Demonstrated the best experience with producing several large scale projects of a similar nature at the local, state, and international levels.

Although other firm(s) proposed lower prices, the PRC did not recommend these firms for contract award because they:

- Did not demonstrate the same level of comprehensiveness on their general-plan driven technical approach;
- Did not provide a detailed plan for integration of the Regional Data Platform with SCAG’s and local jurisdictions’ existing software systems; and
- Did not illustrate the highest level of experience in working with public agencies on projects of this magnitude.
Approve Contract No. 18-040-C01 in the revised amount not to exceed $2,717,937 with Esri, Inc., to develop a Regional Data Platform that will serve local jurisdictions, other partner agencies, and the general public in Southern California.

The consultant team for this contract includes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant Name</th>
<th>Did the consultant disclose a conflict in the Conflict of Interest Form they submitted with its original proposal (Yes or No)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ESRI, Inc.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallace Walrod</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SCAG CONFLICT OF INTEREST FORM

RFP No. 18-040

SECTION I: INSTRUCTIONS

All persons or firms seeking contracts must complete and submit a SCAG Conflict of Interest Form along with the proposal. This requirement also applies to any proposed subconsultant(s). Failure to comply with this requirement may cause your proposal to be declared non-responsive.

In order to answer the questions contained in this form, please review SCAG’s Conflict of Interest Policy, the list of SCAG employees, and the list of SCAG’s Regional Council members. All three documents can be viewed online at www.scag.ca.gov. The SCAG Conflict of Interest Policy is located under “OPPORTUNITIES”, then “Doing Business with SCAG” and scroll down under the “CONTRACTS” tab; whereas the SCAG staff may be found under “ABOUT” then “Employee Directory”; and Regional Council members can be found under “ABOUT”, then scroll down to “ELECTED OFFICIALS” on the left side of the page and click on “See the list of SCAG representative and their Districts.”

Any questions regarding the information required to be disclosed in this form should be directed to SCAG’s Deputy Legal Counsel, especially if you answer “yes” to any question in this form, as doing so MAY also disqualify your firm from submitting an offer on this proposal.

Name of Firm: Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc.
Name of Preparer: Kourtney Carson
Project Title: Regional Data Platform
RFP Number: 18-040 Date Submitted: 06/05/2018

SECTION II: QUESTIONS

1. During the last twelve (12) months, has your firm provided a source of income to employees of SCAG or members of the SCAG Regional Council, or have any employees or Regional Council members held any investment (including real property) in your firm?

☐ YES ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list the names of those SCAG employees and/or SCAG Regional Council members and the nature of the financial interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Nature of Financial Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Have you or any members of your firm been an employee of SCAG or served as a member of the SCAG Regional Council within the last twelve (12) months?

☐ YES  ☑ NO *However,

If “yes,” please list name, position, and dates of service:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Dates of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crystal Dorn</td>
<td>Team Lead, served on the Open Data/Big Data-Smart And Connected SCAG regional Committee in 2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Are you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm related by blood or marriage/domestic partnership to an employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council that is considering your proposal?

☐ YES  ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Does an employee of SCAG or a member of the SCAG Regional Council hold a position at your firm as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or any position of management?

☐ YES  ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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5. Have you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm ever given (directly or indirectly), or offered to give on behalf of another or through another person, campaign contributions or gifts to any current employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council (including contributions to a political committee created by or on behalf of a member/candidate)?

☐ YES   ☒ NO

If “yes,” please list name, date gift or contribution was given/offered, and dollar value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dollar Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION III: VALIDATION STATEMENT

This Validation Statement must be completed and signed by at least one General Partner, Owner, Principal, or Officer authorized to legally commit the proposer.

DECLARATION

I, (printed full name) William C. Fleming, hereby declare that I am the (position or title) Assistant Secretary of (firm name) Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc and that I am duly authorized to execute this Validation Statement on behalf of this entity. I hereby state that this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form dated JUN 05 2018 is correct and current as submitted. I acknowledge that any false, deceptive, or fraudulent statements on this Validation Statement will result in rejection of my contract proposal.

[Signature]

Signature of Person Certifying for Proposer (original signature required) Date JUN 05 2018

NOTICE

A material false statement, omission, or fraudulent inducement made in connection with this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form is sufficient cause for rejection of the contract proposal or revocation of a prior contract award.
SCAG CONFLICT OF INTEREST FORM

RFP No./Contract No. 18-040

SECTION I: INSTRUCTIONS

All persons or firms seeking contracts must complete and submit a SCAG Conflict of Interest Form along with the proposal. This requirement also applies to any proposed subconsultant(s). Failure to comply with this requirement may cause your proposal to be declared non-responsive.

In order to answer the questions contained in this form, please review SCAG’s Conflict of Interest Policy, the list of SCAG employees, and the list of SCAG’s Regional Council members. All three documents can be viewed online at www.scag.ca.gov. The SCAG Conflict of Interest Policy is located under “Doing Business with SCAG,” whereas the SCAG staff and Regional Council members lists can be found under “About SCAG.”

Any questions regarding the information required to be disclosed in this form should be directed to Justine Block, SCAG Deputy Legal Counsel.

Name of Firm: Wallace Wairod
Name of Preparer: Wallace Wairod
Project Title: Regional Data Platform
Date Submitted: June 7, 2018

SECTION II: QUESTIONS

1. During the last twelve (12) months, has your firm provided a source of income to employees of SCAG or members of the SCAG Regional Council, or have any employees or Regional Council members held any investment (including real property) in your firm?

☐ YES ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list the names of those SCAG employees and/or SCAG Regional Council members and the nature of the financial interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Nature of Financial Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Have you or any members of your firm been an employee of SCAG or served as a member of the SCAG Regional Council within the last twelve (12) months?

☐ YES  ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name, position, and dates of service:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Dates of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wallace Walrod</td>
<td>Not an employee, but as lead economic advisor have been a consultant</td>
<td>Consulting Dates: 2010-2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Are you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm related by blood or marriage/domestic partnership to an employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council that is considering your proposal?

☐ YES  ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Does an employee of SCAG or a member of the SCAG Regional Council hold a position at your firm as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or any position of management?

☐ YES  ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name and the nature of the relationship:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Have you or any managers, partners, or officers of your firm ever given (directly or indirectly), or offered to give on behalf of another or through another person, campaign contributions or gifts to any current employee of SCAG or member of the SCAG Regional Council (including contributions to a political committee created by or on behalf of a member/candidate)?

☐ YES  ☑ NO

If “yes,” please list name, date gift or contribution was given/offered, and dollar value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dollar Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION III: VALIDATION STATEMENT

This Validation Statement must be completed and signed by at least one General Partner, Owner, Principal, or Officer authorized to legally commit the proposer.

DECLARATION

I, (printed full name) Wallace Wairod, hereby declare that I am the (position or title) Wallace Wairod of (firm name) Wallace Wairod, and that I am duly authorized to execute this Validation Statement on behalf of this entity. I hereby state that this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form dated June 7, 2018 is correct and current as submitted. I acknowledge that any false, deceptive, or fraudulent statements on this Validation Statement will result in rejection of my contract proposal.

[Signature]
Signature of Person Certifying for Proposer
(original signature required)

June 7, 2018
Date

NOTICE

A material false statement, omission, or fraudulent inducement made in connection with this SCAG Conflict of Interest Form is sufficient cause for rejection of the contract proposal or revocation of a prior contract award.
This Page Intentionally Left Blank
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Receive and File

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 2: Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.

STATE

David Kim Becomes CalSTA Secretary
On July 1, 2019, David Kim became the third Secretary of the California State Transportation Agency (CalSTA) following his appointment by Governor Newsom in April 2019. CalSTA’s objective is to develop and coordinate policies and programs of the numerous transportation entities in California, which is no easy task. From the Office of Traffic Safety to the High-Speed Rail Authority, CalSTA covers a range of modes of transportation.

Secretary Kim is a longtime transportation leader with experience in the private sector and all three levels of government. Most recently, Secretary Kim served as Vice President of Government Affairs for Hyundai Motor Company. Prior to this assignment, Secretary Kim spent nearly eight years in senior level roles at the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT). He served as Deputy Administrator at the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). Additionally, he was FHWA’s Associate Administrator for Policy and Governmental Affairs and before that, spent two years as Deputy Assistant Secretary for Governmental Affairs in the Office of the Secretary of Transportation.

Secretary Kim is very familiar with Southern California. From 2004 to 2009, he was the Deputy Executive Officer for Federal Advocacy and Government Relations at the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority. Additionally, he served in the administration of Governor Gray Davis from 1999 to 2003 where he represented the State of California’s interests before...
Congress and the executive branch on transportation, water, energy and environmental priorities. He also spent three years as a Washington representative for the City of Los Angeles.

A native of Davis, Secretary Kim earned a B.A. in Political Science from Occidental College and a Master of Public Administration from the University of Southern California.

State Legislature Returns from Summer Recess
The Legislature returned from its summer recess on August 12, 2019 and has until September 13, 2019 to send legislation to the Governor. The Legislature will then adjourn to Interim Recess, and Governor Newsom will have until October 13, 2019 to sign or veto legislation. The Legislature will reconvene the first week of January 2020.

During the final weeks of session, legislators, staff, and legislative advocates have primarily focused on securing legislative amendments and passing their bills out of the respective appropriations committees. The goal is to ensure that bills have garnered enough support before reaching the Governor’s desk. The last day for a bill to pass out of the appropriations committee was August 30, 2019, and only those bills can be considered by the full Assembly or Senate. During the final two weeks of session, neither policy nor fiscal committees are allowed to meet, so bill amendments may only be made on the floor through September 6, 2019. Bills that do not reach the Governor’s desk before the September 13 deadline will still have the opportunity to be heard and voted upon next year.

FEDERAL

Federal Surface Transportation Reauthorization Bill Released
Congress periodically authorizes spending on federal highway, mass transit, surface transportation safety and research, and some rail programs through surface transportation reauthorization acts. The most recent is the Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act and funds federal highway and public transportation programs through September 30, 2020. It reflects the ongoing federal commitment and investment in the nation’s surface transportation system.

On July 29, 2019, the Senate Environment and Public Works (EPW) Committee released Senate Bill (S.) 2302 – the America’s Transportation Infrastructure Act (ATIA) – a bipartisan bill to reauthorize the highway and highway research titles of the FAST Act. The following day, the EPW Committee approved the bill by a vote of 21 to 0. The proposed ATIA bill authorizes $287 billion over five years for highway and research programs, representing a 27 percent increase over the FAST Act funding level of $225 billion. The bill distributes 90 percent of funding to states by formula and includes a first-ever “Climate Change” title. Below are a few of the highlights of the bill.
• Introduces a new congestion relief grant program that provides $40 million each year for five years to advance innovative, integrated, and multimodal solutions in the most congested metropolitan areas with populations over one million.
• Establishes a new bridge investment grant program that provides $6.5 billion over five years to rehabilitate or replace structurally deficient bridges.
• Introduces a new formula program, the Carbon Reduction Incentive Program, which provides $3 billion over five years to reduce highway-related carbon emissions. Eligible activities include public transit projects and facilities for pedestrian and bicyclists, including the conversion and use of rail corridors for pedestrian and bike trails.
• Establishes a new competitive grant program that provides $1 billion over five years for states and localities to build and deploy hydrogen, natural gas, and electric vehicle fueling infrastructure along designated highway corridors.

Much work remains to be done before a final bill can be passed by the full Congress and signed by the President. Five other Senate and House committees have jurisdiction over some portion of the final legislation and none has released its portions of the surface transportation authorization bill, and this include ways to pay for it. Passing a multi-year bill without cutting infrastructure spending, raising fuel taxes, or increasing the budget deficit is an underlying theme in the ongoing debate.

**Federal Budget and Appropriations Update**

On August 2, 2019, President Trump signed H.R. 3877 – the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2019 – into law. This bill increases discretionary spending limits for defense and non-defense spending for Fiscal Years (FY) 2020 and 2021. An agreement to raise the spending limits was required in order to prevent mandatory budget cuts, known as sequestration, required by the Budget Control Act of 2011. H.R. 3877 sets the top-line number for FY 2020 at $1.37 trillion, with $738 billion for defense spending and $632 billion in non-defense spending. The top-line numbers will guide how Congress funds the FY 2020 budget.

In June, the House passed 10 of the 12 spending bills for FY 2020, including the Transportation-Housing and Urban Development (T-HUD) Appropriations bill. The spending package funds the USDOT at $86.6 billion, which is $167 million above the FY 2019 enacted level and $3.7 billion above President Trump’s budget request. Since the House’s spending package was approved before a spending limit was in place, the House must adjust its funding totals as the top-line numbers differ. For defense spending, the House totals have room to grow by an additional $2.5 billion. Conversely, the House non-defense spending bill must be adjusted downward by $9.5 billion in order to meet the limit imposed by H.R. 3877.

The Senate has differed in its approach to the federal budget by waiting until a spending limit agreement was in place. With H.R. 3877 now in effect, the Senate will move forward on passing its 12 Appropriations bills once it returns from the August Recess. Senate Appropriations Committee Chairman Richard Shelby (R-AL) stated that it is unlikely that all 12 bills will be cleared by the Senate
before the end of the fiscal year on September 30, 2019. This signals that Congress will likely approve a short-term Continuing Resolution (CR) to fund the federal government at FY 2019 levels and avoid a potential government shut-down.

2019 Congressional Calendar
The Presidential election season is in full swing and the congressional calendar is quickly winding down for the remaining year. Congress is out for the August Recess until September 9, 2019. Once the Representatives and Senators return to session, Congress will have only 13 working days before the start of the new fiscal year on October 1, 2019. Thereafter, Congress will be in session for eight weeks before the end of the calendar year.

FISCAL IMPACT:
None
September 5, 2019

RECOMMENDED ACTION:
Receive and File

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
September is California’s Pedestrian Safety Month, dedicated to educating drivers and pedestrians about safe roadway habits and reducing the number of pedestrians killed and injured on California roadways. Staff is conducting and promoting safety activities throughout September to support Pedestrian Safety Month and promote available resources for use during “Walktober,” or Walking October, in an effort to reduce pedestrian fatalities and injuries. Example safety resources will be showcased at the SCAG offices during the September regional council meeting.

BACKGROUND:
The SCAG region, like California and the nation as a whole, experienced a period of annual declines in traffic-related fatalities and serious injuries until 2012 when they began to steadily rise. Although the region has made some progress on safety, 1,500 people are killed, 5,200 are seriously injured and 136,000 are injured in traffic collisions on average each year. About 73 percent of those killed since 2001 were in vehicles or on motorcycles, while the remaining 27 percent were walking or bicycling (disproportionate to their mode share, 12 percent of all daily trips are walking or biking trips). The numbers of both pedestrians and motorcyclists killed are the highest they have been for more than a decade. These collisions are happening in every city across the region.

To heighten awareness of the region’s transportation safety challenges and opportunities, and to
reduce collisions resulting in serious injuries or fatalities, SCAG launched the *Go Human* campaign in 2015. *Go Human* is an award winning community outreach and advertising campaign with the goals of reducing traffic collisions and encouraging people to walk and bike more in the SCAG region. *Go Human* is a collaboration between SCAG and the County Transportation Commissions and Public Health Departments in the region. The campaign provides advertising and educational resources to partners and implements temporary safety demonstration projects to showcase innovative transportation designs and help cities re-envision their streets as safer, more accessible places for walking and biking.

This item is being presented to inform SCAG Policy Members that September is Pedestrian Safety Month and to highlight resources available for use in both September and October, or “Walktober” – National Walking Month. Both months highlight the increased need for safety strategies to reduce fatalities and serious injuries among pedestrians. Resources have been made with through a grant provided by the California Office of Traffic Safety, through the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. Resources include opportunities for co-branding advertisements; SCAG’s *Go Human Challenge*, which consists of educational, interactive programming modules; and temporary safety demonstration treatments, through SCAG’s Safety Kit of Parts. The Kit includes five different design treatments that partner jurisdictions can borrow. All resources are available for use during Pedestrian Safety Month, “Walktober”, and thereafter. Example materials will be showcased on site at the SCAG offices during the Regional Council meeting.

Visit [www.GoHumanSoCal.org](http://www.GoHumanSoCal.org) to learn more about available safety resources.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**
All costs associated with this item are included in the FY 2019-20 Overall Work Program (OWP) under project number 225-3564.13 and funded by a Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety Program Grant from the California Office of Traffic Safety.
To: Executive/Administration Committee (EAC)  
Regional Council (RC)  

From: Basil Panas, Chief Financial Officer, Contracts, 213-236-1817, panas@scag.ca.gov  

Subject: Purchase Orders $5,000 - $199,999; Contracts $25,000 - $199,999 and Amendments $5,000 - $74,999  

---

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:**  
For Information Only - No Action Required  

**STRATEGIC PLAN:**  
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 7: Secure funding to support agency priorities to effectively and efficiently deliver work products.

**BACKGROUND:**

**SCAG executed the following Purchase Orders (PO’s) more than $5,000 but less than $200,000**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>PO Purpose</th>
<th>PO Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office Depot Business Services Division</td>
<td>FY20 Office Supplies</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liebert Cassidy Whitmore</td>
<td>FY20 Legal Services</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Offices Of Jim Kahng</td>
<td>FY20 Legal Services</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staples Contract &amp; Commercial, Inc.</td>
<td>FY20 Office Supplies</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The LA Hotel Downtown</td>
<td>Deposit For 2019 Economic Summit</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Here Design Studio LLC</td>
<td>FY20 Connect Socal Document Editing</td>
<td>$9,582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyxtera Communications LLC</td>
<td>FY20 Cyxtera Datacenter Internet Line</td>
<td>$6,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Surface Care Inc</td>
<td>FY20 Carpet Cleaning</td>
<td>$6,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duggan And Associates, Inc.</td>
<td>FY20 Minor Office Painting</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acuprint</td>
<td>Custom Bi-Fold SCAG Folders</td>
<td>$5,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-1 Construction</td>
<td>FY20 Minor Office Repairs</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fedex</td>
<td>FY20 Courier Service</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant/Contract #</th>
<th>Contract’s Purpose</th>
<th>Contract Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultant/Contract #</td>
<td>Contract’s Purpose</td>
<td>Contract Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estolano LeSar Advisors (19-027-C01)</td>
<td>Consistent with the Office of Traffic Safety grant that funds this project, the consultant shall develop the overall strategy for SCAG’s Regional Safety Leadership Symposium (Symposium) and Sub-Regional Workshops (Workshops). The consultant shall also help SCAG execute the Symposium, develop the curriculum for it, and execute the Workshops.</td>
<td>$136,915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchestr8 (19-022-C01)</td>
<td>The consultant shall perform work on SCAG’s Go Human program (an advertising campaign that promotes walking, biking and traffic safety) through local community engagement by offering co-branded campaign materials to at least 25 local public agencies or non-profit organizations region-wide. <strong>Note:</strong> As of the date of this report, staff terminated this contract because Orchestr8 was unable to perform the scope of work. Staff subsequently requested and received the Office of Traffic Safety's permission to award the contract to Civilian, Inc. Due to timing, staff will report this subsequent contract award with Civilian on the October 2019 Regional Council Agenda under Information Items.</td>
<td>$89,750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultant/Contract # | Contract’s Purpose | Contract Amount
--- | --- | ---
Nelson Nygaard (18-001-B24) | The consultant shall provide services for a Sustainability Planning Grant for the Gold Coast Transit District (GCTD) to implement the Building Transit Supportive Communities Project (Project). Under this Project the consultant shall help educate and guide local governments, developers, non-profit organizations and community stakeholders in strategies for transit supportive land use decision making that will result in more frequent bus lines, shorter travel time for passengers, increased ridership and a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. | $74,995

University of California Irvine (19-011-C01) | The consultant shall develop a database of point-level crime events in the SCAG region which identifies crimes based on whether or not they likely occurred on a transit vehicle, at a transit station, within an individual’s likely route to a transit station, or may have otherwise been associated with transit service. | $50,000

NK Production, Inc. (19-055-C01) | The consultant shall provide high quality videography services during the General Assembly for the next four (4) years. | $37,550

SCAG executed the Amendment more than $5,000 but less than $75,000

Consultant/Contract # | Amendment’s Purpose | Amendment Amount
--- | --- | ---
N/A | N/A | N/A
ATTACHMENT(S):
1. Contract Summary 19-027-C01
2. Contract Summary 18-001-B24
3. Contract Summary 19-022-C01
4. Contract Summary 19-011-C01
5. Contract Summary 19-055-C01
**CONSULTANT CONTRACT 19-027-C01**

**Recommended Consultant:** Estolano LeSar Advisors

**Background & Scope of Work:** Consistent with the Office of Traffic Safety grant that funds this project, the consultant shall develop the overall strategy for SCAG’s Regional Safety Leadership Symposium (Symposium – A meeting to educate and encourage collaboration among local elected officials in the SCAG regions, to support collision-reducing policies, strategies, and projects as well as to conduct Sub-Regional Workshops (Workshops). The consultant shall also help SCAG execute the Symposium, develop the curriculum for it, and execute the Workshops.

**Project’s Benefits & Key Deliverables:** The project’s benefits and key deliverables include, but are not limited to providing SCAG member cities:
- Technical assistance with creating traffic safety plans; and
- Traffic safety related documents such as, Safety Workshop recaps, a final traffic safety report, a Traffic Safety Symposium white paper, webinar safety series, and a sample safety model resolution.

**Strategic Plan:** This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan Goal:
- Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians.
- Advance Southern California’s policy interests and planning priorities through regional, statewide, and national engagement and advocacy.
- Be the foremost data information hub for the region.
- Provide innovative information and value-added services to enhance member agencies’ planning and operations and promote regional collaboration.

**Contract Amount:** Total not to exceed $136,915

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant/Role</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estolano LeSar Advisors (prime consultant)</td>
<td>$70,883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratiscope (subconsultant)</td>
<td>$66,032</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Estolano LeSar Advisors originally proposed $192,913, but staff negotiated the price down to $136,915 without reducing the scope of work.

**Contract Period:** April 18, 2019 through September 30, 2019

**Project Number(s):** 225-3564J4.13

**Funding source(s):** Office of Traffic Safety Grant (OTS)

**Request for Proposal (RFP):** SCAG staff notified 2,524 firms of the release of RFP 19-027-C01 via SCAG’s Solicitation Management System website. A total of 17 firms downloaded the RFP. SCAG received the following two (2) proposals in response to the solicitation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant/Role</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estolano LeSar Advisors (1 subconsultant)</td>
<td>$192,913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulate Planning/San Diego (1 subconsultant)</td>
<td>$200,877</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Selection Process: The Proposal Review Committee (PRC) evaluated each proposal in accordance with the criteria set forth in the RFP, and conducted the selection process in a manner consistent with all applicable federal and state contracting regulations. After evaluating the proposals, the PRC did not conduct interviews because the proposals contained sufficient information on which to base a contract award.

The PRC consisted of the following individuals:

Lindsey Hansen, Active Transportation Planner, SCAG
Houston Brooks Laney, Public Affairs Specialist, SCAG
Hina Chanchlani, Assistant Regional Planner, SCAG

Basis for Selection: The PRC recommended Estolano LeSar Advisors for the contract award because the consultant:

- Demonstrated the best understanding of the project, specifically, they identified a strong project management plan in response to Task 1, and identified specific strategies for Tasks 3 and 4. The consultant also best demonstrated familiarity with SCAG’s General Assembly, and incorporating the safety training into it, a key component of the scope of work;
- Provided the best technical approach, for example, they identified contingency plans for Task 3 and clearly described logistics and cost savings opportunities for Task 4. The Consultant also demonstrated key staff with the best qualifications, including familiarity with both policy issues and communication best practices;
- Provided the best overall value for the level of effort proposed; and
- Proposed the lowest most realistic price to perform all the scope of work.
CONSULTANT CONTRACT 18-001-B24

Recommended Consultant: Nelson Nygaard

Background & Scope of Work: The consultant shall provide services for a Sustainability Planning Grant for the Gold Coast Transit District (GCTD) to implement the Building Transit Supportive Communities Project (Project). Under this Project the consultant shall help educate and guide local governments, developers, non-profit organizations and community stakeholders in strategies for transit supportive land use decision making that will result in more frequent bus lines, shorter travel time for passengers, increased ridership and a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions.

Project’s Benefits & Key Deliverables: The project will benefit the GCTD by helping it identify areas supportive of transit use. The key deliverables include, but are not limited to:
- List of areas suggested for transit supportive land uses and strategies;
- Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) reduction estimates and recommended land uses; and
- Training materials for GCTD staff.

Strategic Plan: This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians.

Contract Amount: Total not to exceed $74,995

Nelson Nygaard (prime consultant) $58,404
Lisa Wise Consulting (subconsultant) $16,591

Contract Period: July 17, 2019 through June 30, 2020

Project Number(s): 275-4823U3.01 $58,411
275-4823E.01 $7,568
275-4823Q3.01 $9,016

Funding source(s): SB1 Sustainability Planning Grant, Transportation Development Act (TDA), and GCTD Cash Match.

Request for Proposal (RFP): SCAG staff notified 2,583 firms of the release of RFP 18-001-B24 via SCAG’s Solicitation Management System website. A total of 51 firms downloaded the RFP. SCAG received the following three (3) proposals in response to the solicitation:

Nelson Nygaard (1 subconsultant) $74,995
The Arroyo Group (1 subconsultant) $69,536
Steer Davies & Gleave Ltd. (2 subconsultants) $74,887

Selection Process: The Proposal Review Committee (PRC) evaluated each proposal in accordance with the criteria set forth in the RFP, and conducted the selection process in a manner consistent with all applicable federal and state contracting regulations. After evaluating the proposals, the PRC interviewed all three (3) offerors.
The PRC consisted of the following individuals:
Joseph Cryer, Assistant Regional Planner, SCAG
Vanessa Rauschenberger, Director of Planning, Gold Coast Transit District
Matt Miller, Interim Planning Manager, Gold Coast Transit District

**Basis for Selection:**

The PRC recommended Nelson Nygaard for the contract award because the consultant:

- Provided the best technical approach, for example their methodology can be applied to the cities and unincorporated county in the study area, and be replicated elsewhere in the SCAG region;
- Demonstrated the best ability to accomplish outreach tasks, specifically the project manager and firm have experience with *train the trainer* outreach work; and
- Proposed the most staff hours to perform the scope of work.

Although other firms proposed a lower prices, the PRC did not recommend these firms for contract award because these firms:

- Did not clearly demonstrate a sufficient level of effort, primarily in the form of staff hours, to satisfactorily complete the tasks in the scope of work;
- One consultant’s proposal did not clearly demonstrate how the technical approach could cover the large size and diversity of the study area with given resources; and,
- Did not show comparable experience with *train the trainer* outreach work.
CONSULTANT CONTRACT 19-022-C01

Recommended Consultant: Orchestr8

Background & Scope of Work: Consistent with the requirements of the Office of Traffic Safety Grant that funds this project, the consultant shall perform work on SCAG’s Go Human program (an advertising campaign that promotes walking, biking and traffic safety) through local community engagement by offering co-branded campaign materials to at least 25 local public agencies or non-profit organizations region-wide.

Project’s Benefits & Key Deliverables: The project’s benefits and key deliverables include, but are not limited to:
- Support for partner agencies and local jurisdictions with traffic safety strategies through the development of co-branded materials that promote safer driving, bicycling and pedestrian safety; and
- Co-branding, printing and delivery of materials for 25 SCAG partners.

Strategic Plan: This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan Goal 1: Produce innovative solutions that improve the quality of life for Southern Californians; and Goal 4: Provide innovative information and value-added services to enhance member agencies’ planning and operations and promote regional collaboration.

Contract Amount: Total not to exceed $89,750

Orchestr8 (prime consultant)

Contract Period: July 9, 2019 through September 30, 2019

Project Number(s): 225-3564J4.13 $89,750
Funding source(s): Office of Traffic Safety Grant (OTS)

Request for Proposal (RFP): SCAG staff notified 1,228 firms of the release of RFP 19-022-C01 via SCAG’s Solicitation Management System website. A total 34 firms downloaded the RFP. SCAG received the following proposal in response to the solicitation:

Orchestr8 (no subconsultants) $89,750

After receiving only one proposal, staff surveyed 33 firms that downloaded the RFP to determine why each did not submit a proposal. Two firms responded to staff’s inquiry, but neither cited a problem with the scope of work or the solicitation process. Note staff advertised the RFP four (4) weeks as required by SCAG’s Procurement Manual (Section 6.6.3). Staff subsequently requested and received OTS approval to move forward with reviewing the single offer received.

Selection Process: The Proposal Review Committee (PRC) evaluated each proposal in accordance with the criteria set forth in the RFP, and conducted the selection process in a manner consistent with all applicable federal and state contracting regulations. After evaluating the proposal, the PRC did not conduct interviews because the proposal contained sufficient information on which to base a contract award.
The PRC consisted of the following individuals:

Lindsey Hansen, Active Transportation Planner, SCAG
Sarah Jepson, Acting Planning Director, SCAG
Diana Chamberlain, Sr. Graphics Designer, SCAG
Reece Allan, Transportation Planner, Caltrans District 7

**Basis for Selection:**

The PRC recommended Orchestr8 for the contract award because the consultant:

- Demonstrated a complete understanding of the project, specifically the consultant understands the goals and priorities of SCAG’s Go Human campaign;
- Provided a very good and creative technical approach, for example the “waved” approach identified for project delivery and to help keep track of available budget and resources; and
- Provided a reasonable overall value for the level of effort proposed.

Note, as of the date of this report, staff terminated this contract because Orchestr8 was unable to perform the scope of work. Staff subsequently requested and received the Office of Traffic Safety’s permission to award the contract to Civilian, Inc. Due to timing, staff will report this subsequent contract award with Civilian on the October 2019 Regional Council Agenda under Information Items.
CONSULTANT CONTRACT 19-011-C01

Recommended Consultant: University of California, Irvine

Background & Scope of Work: The consultant shall conduct research and analysis of the impact of crime on Transit Ridership in the SCAG region. They shall develop a database of point-level crime events in the SCAG region which identifies crimes based on whether or not they likely occurred on a transit vehicle, at a transit station, within an individual’s likely route to a transit station, or may have otherwise been associated with transit service.

Project’s Benefits & Key Deliverables: The project’s benefits and key deliverables include, but are not limited to:
- A database containing statistical information on crime near transit;
- Final written report; and
- A presentation of the final report to a SCAG Policy Committee Meeting.

Strategic Plan: This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan Goal 3: Be the foremost data information hub for the region. Develop and maintain models, tools, and data sets that support innovative plan development, policy analysis and project implementation. Develop partnerships and provide guidance by sharing best practices and promoting collaborative research opportunities with universities, local communities and the private sector regionally, nationally, and internationally.

Contract Amount: Total not to exceed $50,000

University of California, Irvine (prime consultant)

Contract Period: May 6, 2019 through September 30, 2019

Project Number(s): 055-0133B.06 $44,265
055-0133E.06 $5,735

Funding source(s): Consolidated Planning Grant (CPG) – Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Transportation Development Act (TDA).

Request for Proposal (RFP): Not applicable

Selection Process: Given the established expertise of University of California, Irvine and their excellent research proposal, staff awarded the contract pursuant to Section 3.06 of the State of California Contracts Manual, Contract with Other Governmental Entities and Public Universities, which allows sole sourcing a contract to a Public University or Institute of Higher Learning.

Basis for Selection: University of California, Irvine is the one of the best research teams for crime studies related to transit services and has studied and published multiple papers on this topic.
CONSULTANT CONTRACT 19-055-C01

Recommended Consultant: NK Production, Inc.

Background & Scope of Work: Staff required a consultant to provide high quality videography services during the General Assembly for the next four (4) years. Accordingly, staff issued a competitive bid for these services.

Project’s Benefits & Key Deliverables: The project’s benefit and key deliverables include, but are not limited to:
- Providing video recording of the SCAG General Assembly.

Strategic Plan: This item supports SCAG’s Strategic Plan Goal 4: Provide innovative information and value-added services to enhance member agencies’ planning and operations and promote regional collaboration.

Contract Amount: Total not to exceed $37,372

NK Production, Inc. (prime consultant)

Contract Period: April 22, 2019 through June 30, 2024

Project Number(s): 800-0160.06 $37,372
Funding source(s): General Fund

Request for Quote (RFQ): Consistent with SCAG’s Simplified Acquisition Procedures (for procurements estimated to be less than $50,000) staff solicited bids from thirteen qualified firms. SCAG received the following bid in response to the solicitation:

NK Production, Inc. $37,372

After receiving only one bid, staff surveyed the 13 firms that the solicitation was email to. None of the firms cited a problem with the scope of work or the solicitation process. Therefore SCAG’s Chief Financial Officer authorized moving forward with awarding the contract to NK Production, Inc.

Selection Process: The Proposal Review Committee (PRC) evaluated the proposal in accordance with the criteria set forth in the bid, and conducted the selection process in a manner consistent with all applicable federal and state contracting regulations including assessing the bid for responsiveness. After evaluating the bid, the PRC did not conduct interviews because the bid contained sufficient information on which to base a contract award.

Basis for Selection: The PRC recommended NK Production, Inc. for the contract award because the consultant:
- Demonstrated the an excellent understanding of the project;
- Provided an excellent technical approach, having performed similar services for SCAG at the 2018 General Assembly; and
- Provided and excellent value for the level of effort proposed.
RECOMMENDED ACTION:
For Information Only - No Action Required

STRATEGIC PLAN:
This item supports the following Strategic Plan Goal 7: Secure funding to support agency priorities to effectively and efficiently deliver work products.

AUDITS:
Caltrans Audit - SCAG is waiting for a response from Caltrans to SCAG’s Corrective Action Plan and Plan of Cost Substitution submitted in July. The plan would allow SCAG to satisfy the $4.4 million of repayments sought in the Incurred Cost Audit and Indirect Cost Allocation Plan Audit with expenditures out of local funds already paid.

Annual Audit - SCAG’s outside independent auditors, Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co., LLP, presented their FY19 audit plan to the Audit Committee on June 27, 2019 and they will return in September to perform the final audit work.

MEMBERSHIP DUES:
The City of Maywood paid FY19 dues on July 1, 2019. That leaves just one city that did not renew (Rancho Santa Margarita) so they and the City of Orange are being recruited for membership.

Regarding FY20 dues, 61.84% of the dues assessment has been collected.

BUDGET & GRANTS (B&G):
CONTRACTS:
In July 2019, the Contracts Department issued six (6) Request for Proposal, awarded four (4) contracts; issued one (1) contract amendment; and processed 183 Purchase Orders to support ongoing business and enterprise operations. Staff also administered 123 consultant contracts. Contracts staff continued to negotiate better pricing and reduced costs for services. It should also be noted that in fiscal year 2019 the Contracts Department has negotiated $435,740 in budget savings.

ATTACHMENT(S):
1. CFO Monthly Report
Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Monthly Status Report

JULY 2019
FY20 Membership Dues

As of August 21, 2019, 147 cities and 5 counties had paid their FY20 dues. This represents 61.8% of the dues assessment. 42 cities and one county had yet to pay their dues. Two cities are being recruited for membership.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY20 Membership Dues</td>
<td>$2,113,909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Collected</td>
<td>$1,307,138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage Collected</td>
<td>61.84%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Office of the CFO
Interest Earnings Variance

SUMMARY
The amount projected for FY20 is $95,000.

OVERVIEW
Actual interest income is plotted against the target amount. The amount credited to SCAG’s account through July was $15,738. The LA County Pool earned 2.19% in June.

The amount projected for FY20 is $95,000.
A comparison of Indirect Cost (IC), incurred by SCAG vs. IC recovered from SCAG’s grants.

Through July 2019, SCAG was over-recovered by $409,851 due to unspent Indirect Cost budget.
OVERVIEW

The percent of total invoices paid within 30 days. The target is to pay 95% of all invoices within 30 days. This goal was not met.

SUMMARY

93.75% of July 2019’s payments were made within 30 days of invoice receipt.

At month-end, 59 invoices remained unpaid less than 30 days.

OVERVIEW

The percent of total invoices paid within 60 and 90 days. The target is to pay 98% of invoices within 60 days and 100% within 90 days.

SUMMARY

These goals were met during this period.

98.86% of July 2019’s payments were within 60 days of invoice receipt and 100.00% within 90 days. Invoices unpaid 30-60 days totaled 14; 60-90 days: 5; >90 days: 3.
## Office of the CFO

### Consolidated Balance Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>6/30/2019</th>
<th>7/31/2019</th>
<th>Incr (decr) to equity</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash at Bank of the West</td>
<td>$2,274,313</td>
<td>$1,295,408</td>
<td></td>
<td>TDA receipts of $3.4 million, Dues received of $1.0 million, less AP paid down $2.3 million, less PERS prepayment $2.7 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA County Investment Pool</td>
<td>$8,156,890</td>
<td>$8,574,092</td>
<td>$417,204</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash &amp; Investments</td>
<td>$10,431,203</td>
<td>$9,869,500</td>
<td>($561,704)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Receivable</td>
<td>$8,563,877</td>
<td>$8,814,120</td>
<td>$250,243</td>
<td>FTA net receipts of $557K; FHWA net billings of $940K; SB1 net receipts of $138K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Current Assets</td>
<td>$4,795,774</td>
<td>$6,806,690</td>
<td>$2,010,916</td>
<td>Prepaids increased by $2.4 million mainly due to Calpers Employer Contribution; IC fund over-recovered $410K; A/R other decreased by $21K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Assets - Net Book Value</td>
<td>$6,672,535</td>
<td>$6,672,535</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>No change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>$30,463,389</td>
<td>$32,162,845</td>
<td>$1,699,455</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Payable</td>
<td>$(5,057,489)</td>
<td>$(2,774,365)</td>
<td>$2,283,124</td>
<td>FY 19 Invoices paid down.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee-related Liabilities</td>
<td>$(313,270)</td>
<td>$(500,438)</td>
<td>$(187,168)</td>
<td>June had 5 unpaid working days while July had 8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Revenue</td>
<td>$(532,677)</td>
<td>$(220,423)</td>
<td>$312,254</td>
<td>$312K of FY20 dues received in FY19 were taken into revenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liabilities and Deferred Revenue</td>
<td>$(5,903,435)</td>
<td>$(3,495,226)</td>
<td>$2,408,209</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Balance</td>
<td>$24,559,954</td>
<td>$28,667,619</td>
<td>$4,107,665</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WORKING CAPITAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>6/30/2019</th>
<th>7/31/2019</th>
<th>Incr (decr) to working capital</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$10,431,203</td>
<td>$9,869,500</td>
<td>($561,704)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Receivable</td>
<td>$8,563,877</td>
<td>$8,814,120</td>
<td>$250,243</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Payable</td>
<td>$(5,057,489)</td>
<td>$(2,774,365)</td>
<td>$2,283,124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee-related Liabilities</td>
<td>$(313,270)</td>
<td>$(500,438)</td>
<td>$(187,168)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Capital</td>
<td>$13,624,322</td>
<td>$15,408,817</td>
<td>$1,784,495</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Comprehensive Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adopted Budget</th>
<th>Amended Budget</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
<th>Commitments</th>
<th>Budget Balance</th>
<th>% Budget Spent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Staff &amp; Allocated Fringe Benefits</td>
<td>540,920</td>
<td>540,920</td>
<td>38,821</td>
<td>502,099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Allocated Indirect Costs</td>
<td>674,563</td>
<td>674,563</td>
<td>48,409</td>
<td>626,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SCAG Consultants</td>
<td>291,400</td>
<td>291,400</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>275,732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Legal costs</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>15,648</td>
<td>105,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Software</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Payroll, bank fees</td>
<td>12,500</td>
<td>12,500</td>
<td>12,500</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Mat &amp; equip &lt;$5K</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Office Supplies</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Outreach</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SCAG Memberships</td>
<td>116,000</td>
<td>116,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>116,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Professional Membership</td>
<td>11,500</td>
<td>11,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Res rate/sub</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Capital Outlay &gt; $5,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Recruitment Other</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Conference - Registration</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Scholarships</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>SCAG Committee Mts</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>SCAG Retreat</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>8,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>SCAG General Assembly</td>
<td>672,000</td>
<td>672,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>672,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Demographic Workshop</td>
<td>28,000</td>
<td>28,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Economic Summit</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>84,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Housing Summit</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Go Human</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Other Meeting Expense</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>28,336</td>
<td>46,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>RHNA Subreg Delegation</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Miscellaneous other</td>
<td>101,966</td>
<td>101,966</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>100,468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>SCAG - RC Meetings</td>
<td>210,485</td>
<td>210,485</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>201,485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Printing</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Travel - outside SCAG region</td>
<td>92,500</td>
<td>92,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Travel - local</td>
<td>36,500</td>
<td>36,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Mileage - local</td>
<td>28,500</td>
<td>28,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Travel Lodging</td>
<td>13,500</td>
<td>13,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Cloud Services</td>
<td>489,330</td>
<td>489,330</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>424,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Third Party Contributions</td>
<td>5,739,013</td>
<td>5,739,013</td>
<td>284,301</td>
<td>5,454,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Toll Credits</td>
<td>718,703</td>
<td>718,703</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>719,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>F&amp;F Principal</td>
<td>239,928</td>
<td>239,928</td>
<td>19,533</td>
<td>200,744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>E&amp;F Interest</td>
<td>27,635</td>
<td>27,635</td>
<td>2,614</td>
<td>22,463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>AV Principal</td>
<td>133,703</td>
<td>133,703</td>
<td>10,867</td>
<td>122,836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>AV Interest</td>
<td>6,390</td>
<td>6,390</td>
<td>5,790</td>
<td>6,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Office Expenses</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Software</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Outreach/Advertisement</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Professional Memberships</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Resource Materials - subsci</td>
<td>934,455</td>
<td>934,455</td>
<td>4,655</td>
<td>918,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Public Notices</td>
<td>57,000</td>
<td>57,000</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>56,938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Conf. Registration</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Other Meeting Expense</td>
<td>54,000</td>
<td>54,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>284,228</td>
<td>284,228</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>294,228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Printing</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>293,750</td>
<td>293,750</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>292,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Exp - Local Other</td>
<td>6,268,529</td>
<td>6,268,529</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,268,529</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total OWP & TDA Capital | 90,956,755 | 90,956,755 | 2,849,575 | 72,467,111 | 84,864,469 | 3.1% |

Comprehensive Budget

94,902,089 | 94,902,089 | 2,964,131 | 73,184,833 | 84,619,476 | 3.1% |
## INDIRECT COST EXPENDITURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Adopted Budget</th>
<th>Amended Budget</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
<th>Commitments</th>
<th>Budget Balance</th>
<th>% Budget Spent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$5,649,706</td>
<td>$5,649,706</td>
<td>$486,013</td>
<td>$5,163,693</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$414</td>
<td>$586</td>
<td>41.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$5,905</td>
<td>$69,095</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$4,507,099</td>
<td>$4,507,099</td>
<td>$391,175</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$4,115,924</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$292,150</td>
<td>$292,150</td>
<td>$1,998</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$290,153</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$1,041,600</td>
<td>$1,041,600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$112,353</td>
<td>$929,247</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$38,500</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$415,000</td>
<td>$415,000</td>
<td>$18,156</td>
<td>$214,965</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>$26,500</td>
<td>$26,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$26,500</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>$11,604</td>
<td>$11,604</td>
<td>$1,098</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$10,506</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>$19,745</td>
<td>$19,745</td>
<td>$1,853</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$17,892</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>$1,538,000</td>
<td>$1,538,000</td>
<td>$77,131</td>
<td>$1,460,869</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>$260,000</td>
<td>$260,000</td>
<td>$12,776</td>
<td>$247,224</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$64,500</td>
<td>$35,500</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$66,514</td>
<td>$33,486</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>$238,385</td>
<td>$238,385</td>
<td>$23,828</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$214,557</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>$64,000</td>
<td>$64,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$64,000</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>$73,800</td>
<td>$73,800</td>
<td>$73,044</td>
<td>$512,405</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>$195,000</td>
<td>$195,000</td>
<td>$247,224</td>
<td>$73,074</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>$76,200</td>
<td>$76,200</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$76,200</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>$1,350</td>
<td>$1,350</td>
<td>$120</td>
<td>$1,230</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>$70,800</td>
<td>$70,800</td>
<td>$4,725</td>
<td>$66,075</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>$185,000</td>
<td>$185,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$185,000</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>$1,684</td>
<td>$1,684</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$1,684</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>$62,500</td>
<td>$62,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$62,500</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$44,725</td>
<td>$275</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
<td>$5,358</td>
<td>$17,642</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>$82,800</td>
<td>$82,800</td>
<td>$179</td>
<td>$82,621</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>$19,500</td>
<td>$19,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$19,500</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>$23,500</td>
<td>$23,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$23,500</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>$23,828</td>
<td>$23,828</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$23,828</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>$82,621</td>
<td>$82,621</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$82,621</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>$19,500</td>
<td>$19,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$19,500</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>$23,500</td>
<td>$23,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$23,500</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>$16,396,323</td>
<td>$16,396,323</td>
<td>$1,026,518</td>
<td>$2,433,395</td>
<td>$12,936,411</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overview
This chart shows the number of contracts administered by the Contracts division, by month, from July 2018 thru July 2019.

Summary
The chart shows that the Contracts Department is managing One hundred-twenty-three contracts. Fifty-two are Cost Plus Fixed Fee contracts, 33 are fixed price contracts, and the remaining 38 are Time and Materials (T&M) contracts (includes Labor Hour and Retainer contracts). The Contracts Department anticipates issuing approximately 60 contracts for FY 2019-20. Note, due to the nature of SCAG’s work, the majority of SCAG contracts have a one year term and end on June 30th each year.
### Staffing Report as of August 1, 2019

#### GROUPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUPS</th>
<th>Authorized Positions</th>
<th>Filled Positions</th>
<th>Vacant Positions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy &amp; Public Affairs</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning &amp; Programs</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>141</strong></td>
<td><strong>132</strong></td>
<td><strong>9</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### OTHER POSITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUPS</th>
<th>Limited Term Positions</th>
<th>Interns or Volunteers</th>
<th>Temp Positions</th>
<th>Agency Temp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy &amp; Public Affairs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning &amp; Programs</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
<td><strong>11</strong></td>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>