**SCAG Local Data Exchange (LDX) DRAFT Survey**

**Background**

The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) is currently seeking input from local jurisdictions across our six-county region to develop Connect SoCal 2024, SCAG’s Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS). Connect SoCal 2024 will provide a long-range vision for Southern California, that integrates land use and transportation for increased mobility and more sustainable growth. It will incorporate important updates of fundamental data, enhanced strategies and investments intended to strengthen the current plan adopted in 2020 and help the region achieve state greenhouse gas emission reduction goals.

SCAG wants to better understand the trends, existing conditions and local planning in the region. Your feedback from the local perspective is essential to help us develop Connect SoCal 2024. We are also trying to get a better understanding about the implementation of our prior regional plans. Please respond to each survey question as it pertains to your jurisdiction. Due to the multidisciplinary nature of the questions, we encourage interdepartmental collaboration. The survey is organized into five parts including: Land Use & Housing, Transportation, Environmental, Public Health and Equity and Data. Each jurisdiction has their own [Data/Map Book](https://scag.ca.gov/local-data-exchange) which helps connect the local planning efforts found in this survey to Connect SoCal 2024. We encourage you to complete the survey [online](https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/LDX24) in its entirety if possible. You may save and return to the survey at any point, but please submit the survey to receive a link to return. Responses are due by December 2, 2022 by 11:59 PM.

**Contact info**

1. Your Information (Only one staff member from your jurisdiction may complete this survey)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name |  |
| Title |  |
| City/County |  |
| Email Address |  |
| Phone Number |  |

1. SCAG Subregion

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Part I – Land Use & Housing**

**General Plan**

1. Please enter the year of your jurisdiction’s most recent general plan element update. Add information for any additional elements contained in the General Plan but not listed:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Element* | Year | Web link | Comments |
| Land Use |  |  |  |
| Circulation |  |  |  |
| Housing |  |  |  |
| Conservation |  |  |  |
| Open space |  |  |  |
| Noise |  |  |  |
| Safety |  |  |  |
| Environmental Justice |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| If there are additional elements not listed above that you include in your General Plan, please provide them here alongside the adoption date. Additionally, please provide weblinks for the above elements here if possible. |

1. What are barriers and/or opportunities to updating and implementing these elements as part of the General Plan?

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. Is your jurisdiction currently updating or recently completed its General Plan? Yes  No  If yes, when do you expect to complete the update? Date: [Publish Date]
2. When was the zoning code last updated to reflect your most recent General Plan amendments?

Date:

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Web link:

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. What are barriers and/or opportunities to updating and implementing the zoning code?

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. Is your jurisdiction currently in the process of updating its land use designation and zoning code, separate from any General Plan update?

Yes  No

If yes, when do you expect to complete the update?

Date:

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. Does your 6th cycle housing element update include any of the following?

Rezone Plan Yes:  No

Overlay Zone Plan: Yes  No

Other:

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. Does the most recently adopted general plan update support any of the following [Sustainable Communities Strategies (SCS)](https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/0903fconnectsocal_sustainable-communities-strategy.pdf?1606002097#page=27) (See pages 25-32)?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***Strategies*** | | | **N/A** | **Does Not Support** | **Supports** | **Supports and Includes Strategies** |
| **Center Focused Placemaking** | | | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **Focus Growth Near Destinations and Mobility Options** | | | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **High Quality Transit Areas** | | | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **Infill** | | | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **Job Centers** | | | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **Leverage Technology Innovations** | | | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **Livable Corridors** | | | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **Neighborhood Mobility Areas** | | | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **Priority Growth Areas** | | | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **Promote Diverse Housing Choices** | | | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **Promote Green Region** | | | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **Regional Advance Mitigation** | | | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **Support Implementation of Sustainability Policies** | | | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **Transfer of Development Rights** | | | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **Transit oriented development (TOD)** | | | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **Transit Priority Areas** | | | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **Urban Greening** | | | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| **Other** | [Other] |  | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |

|  |
| --- |
| What are barriers and/or opportunities to implementing or supporting these strategies? |

1. For those strategies above that are reflected in current plans, which have seen the most implementation? The least? What are the local conditions impacting implementation of these strategies?

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. What resources, technical assistance or policy action at the regional, state or federal level would be most impactful to support implementation of these strategies?

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. Does the circulation element of your General Plan have a policy regarding **complete streets** and if so, what is its scope and applicability?

|  |
| --- |
| [Other Comments] |

1. Does your jurisdiction offer any of the following incentives for infill development or transit-oriented development?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Infill | | TODs | |
| *Incentives* | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Affordable Housing Catalyst Fund, Trust fund or revolving loan fund | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| Affordable Housing Set Aside |  |  |  |  |
| Building height waivers |  |  |  |  |
| By Right Approval | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| Density bonus |  |  |  |  |
| Fast track permitting |  |  |  |  |
| Fee Waivers |  |  |  |  |
| Increased floor area ratio |  |  |  |  |
| Reduced open space requirements |  |  |  |  |
| Rezoning |  |  |  |  |
| Super Density Bonus |  |  |  |  |
| Tax Increment Financing Districts | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| Tax subsidies or other benefits |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer of development rights |  |  |  |  |
| Waived or reduced minimum parking requirement |  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Are there barriers to offering any of the above incentives? If so, are they technical, political, financial or other? If your jurisdiction has offered incentives, which have been the most used or successful? |

1. Which of the following parking strategies are included in any of your existing specific plans, general plans or zoning code?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Parking strategies* | | | Yes | No |
| Bicycle Parking | | |  |  |
| Innovative parking design (i.e., Sustainable features) | | |  |  |
| Parking maximums in designated areas | | |  |  |
| Parking Pricing | | |  |  |
| Park-once districts | | |  |  |
| Right-sized parking | | |  |  |
| Shared parking | | |  |  |
| Unbundled parking | | |  |  |
| Waived or reduced minimum parking requirement | | |  |  |
| Other | [Other] |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Are there barriers to offering any of the above parking strategies? If so, are they technical, political, financial or other? |

1. Does your jurisdiction have a development/impact/linkage fee ordinance?

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Yes** | **No** | **Adoption year** |
| Development Fee Ordinance |  |  |  |
| Impact Fee Ordinance |  |  |  |
| Linkage Fee Ordinance |  |  |  |

Please provide weblinks for ordinances if possible.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. If yes to question 16, which of the following do any of the above fund?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Areas that receive funding* | | | Yes | No |
| Active Transportation | | |  |  |
| Affordable housing | | |  |  |
| Local Streets and roads | | |  |  |
| Natural lands/Open space preservation | | |  |  |
| Parks | | |  |  |
| Traffic and/or Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) Reduction | | |  |  |
| Transit improvements/amenities | | |  |  |
| Other | [Other] |  |  |  |

**Housing**

1. Does your jurisdiction use any of the following zoning or land use strategies for housing (e.g., in your 6th Cycle Housing Element [[HCD Housing Element Site Inventory Guidebook](https://www.hcd.ca.gov/community-development/housing-element/docs/sites_inventory_memo_final06102020.pdf)])?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Strategies* | | | Yes | | No | Considering |
| Affordable housing preservation ordinance | | |  | |  |  |
| Fair Housing Policy | | |  | |  |  |
| Housing Trust Fund | | |  | |  |  |
| Inclusionary zoning ordinance | | |  | |  |  |
| * Is there an in-lieu fee component? | | |  | |  |  |
| * Rental Program | | |  | |  |  |
| * Owner Program | | |  | |  |  |
| Incentives for Affordable Housing | | |  | |  |  |
| * Fast track permitting | | |  | |  |  |
| * Fee waivers | | |  | |  |  |
| * Density bonus | | |  | |  |  |
| * Increased floor area ratio | | |  | |  |  |
| * Building height waivers | | |  | |  |  |
| * Tax subsidies or other benefits | | |  | |  |  |
| * Waived or reduced minimum parking requirements | | |  | |  |  |
| * Reduced open space requirements | | |  | |  |  |
| * Other relaxed requirements for affordable housing | | |  | |  |  |
| Low-income housing tax credit (LITHC) | | |  | |  |  |
| Mortgage down payment assistance program | | |  | |  |  |
| Rent stabilization ordinance | | |  | |  |  |
| Special financing district (Tax Increment Financing District, Community Revitalization and Investment Authority, Enhanced Infrastructure Finance District, Others?) | | |  | |  |  |
| Other | [Other] |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Are there other strategies not identified above your jurisdiction is considering? What are barriers to include these strategies in your housing element? |

1. What are the most critical barriers to your city/county in realizing the housing production goals in your RHNA and housing element?

|  |
| --- |
| [Other Comments] |

1. What are some housing policies or broader strategic actions that would most help you achieve your RHNA housing production goals?

|  |
| --- |
| [Other Comments] |

1. How does your city or county balance the need to deliver more housing with the need to consider growth in areas of environmental resources, high habitat values, and areas at risk of climate change impacts (high fire severity zones, sea level rise, sensitive habitat areas, farmland, etc., **SEE GREEN REGION RESOURCE AREAS IN** [**DATA/MAP BOOK**](https://scag.ca.gov/local-data-exchange))?

|  |
| --- |
| [Other Comments] |

1. Connect SoCal seeks to advance land use strategies that focus on **housing affordability, production and preservation.** Please indicate and briefly describe whether your jurisdiction is implementing policies in the following areas, or if your jurisdiction has not adopted implementation policies if there are plans or interest in doing so. If there are barriers to doing so, please indicate what they are.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Area of Focus** | **Comments** |
| Permit streamlining for Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs)? |  |
| Permit streamlining and/or zoning for multi-family developments? |  |
| Affordability of housing? |  |
| Preservation of affordable housing? |  |
| Other housing issues? |  |

1. Connect SoCal seeks to advance land use strategies that focus on **infrastructure improvements and financing.** Please indicate and briefly describe whether your jurisdiction is implementing policies in the following areas, or if your jurisdiction has not adopted implementation policies if there are plans or interest in doing so. If there are barriers to doing so, please indicate what they are.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Area of Focus** | **Comments** |
| Tax-increment financing districts (e.g. Enhanced Infrastructure Finance Districts)? |  |
| Infrastructure improvement plans for future development or redevelopment? |  |
| Housing-supportive infrastructure (including broadband)? |  |
| Other Infrastructure issues? |  |

1. Connect SoCal seeks to advance land use strategies that focus on **infill development and redevelopment.** These strategies encourage development in walkable neighborhood centers, arterial corridors, transit rich areas, and job centers. Please indicate and briefly describe whether your jurisdiction is implementing policies in the following areas, or if your jurisdiction has not adopted implementation policies if there are plans or interest in doing so. If there are barriers to doing so, please indicate what they are.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Area of Focus** | **Comments** |
| Walkable mixed-use neighborhood centers? |  |
| Transit-oriented development (TOD)? |  |
| Job center creation/expansion? |  |
| Arterial/Boulevard redevelopment? |  |
| Other development issues? |  |

1. Connect SoCal is a long-term regional plan that seeks to advance a **number of land use and transportation strategies that focus on a future several decades out.**  These strategies encourage near-term actions that will lead to desirable future outcomes in communities throughout the region. Please indicate and briefly describe whether your jurisdiction is implementing policies that will result in positive future outcomes or if your jurisdiction has not adopted implementation policies if there are plans or interest in doing so. If there are barriers to doing so, please indicate what they are.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Area of Focus** | **Comments** |
| How will your community change over the next 20-30 years? |  |
| What strategies is your community interested in to retain vibrant neighborhoods and business districts? |  |
| What current trends are impacting your community’s future? |  |
| Other future issues? |  |

PART II - Transportation

1. Has your jurisdiction adopted or plans to adopt any of the following policies, plans and strategies. And if so, please indicate when it was adopted, or intends to be adopted:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Adopted Policies, Plans and Strategies* | Yes | | No | In Development | Adoption Year |
| Active transportation plan |  | |  |  |  |
| Bicycle master plan |  | |  |  |  |
| Broadband Adoption Plan/Strategy |  | |  |  |  |
| Complete streets policy |  | |  |  |  |
| * Does it include provisions for delivery vehicles or truck access? |  | |  |  |  |
| Curb Space Management or Inventory |  | |  |  |  |
| Electric Vehicle Station Plan |  | |  |  |  |
| First/Last Mile Strategies |  | |  |  |  |
| Industrial land use ordinance |  |  | |  |  |
| Intelligent transportation systems plan/program |  |  | |  |  |
| Intermodal facility plan |  |  | |  |  |
| Local Road Safety Plan or equivalent (Vision Zero Action Plan) |  |  | |  |  |
| * Does it include a High Injury Network (or equivalent)? |  |  | |  |  |
| Multimodal performance measures/targets |  |  | |  |  |
| Parking management plan/ordinance |  |  | |  |  |
| * Provisions for commercial vehicle access? |  |  | |  |  |
| * Provisions for truck parking? |  |  | |  |  |
| Pavement Management Plan |  |  | |  |  |
| Pedestrian master plan |  |  | |  |  |
| Safe Routes to School Education/Encouragement Program |  |  | |  |  |
| Safe routes to school program or plan |  |  | |  |  |
| Safety plan/safety targets |  |  | |  |  |
| Scenic Roadway Plan |  |  | |  |  |
| Streetscape standards and design guidelines |  |  | |  |  |
| Technology or Broadband Equity Plan/Strategy |  |  | |  |  |
| Technology Plan (Preparing for or implementing technology upgrades incl. 5g, connected/automated vehicle readiness, etc.) |  |  | |  |  |
| Traffic calming measures |  |  | |  |  |
| Transit overlay district |  |  | |  |  |
| Transportation demand management ordinance |  |  | |  |  |
| Transportation demand management program |  |  | |  |  |
| Truck Route/Truck prohibit route plan |  |  | |  |  |

1. Has your jurisdiction adopted or implemented any of the following [Transportation](https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/tdm-strategic-plan_scag.pdf?1607732260) Demand Management (TDM) Strategies and, to your knowledge, have any major employers or other entities implemented any such strategies?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Jurisdiction | | Major Employers | |
| *Adopted TDM strategies* | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Bike share system |  |  |  |  |
| Car share program |  |  |  |  |
| Designated pick-up/drop-off for ride sourcing or transportation network companies (TNCs, such as Lyft or Uber) |  |  |  |  |
| Dynamic pricing for parking |  |  |  |  |
| Employee training programs on multimodal travel options |  |  |  |  |
| Facilities or incentives for low speed modes (Neighborhood Electric Vehicles) |  |  |  |  |
| Guaranteed ride home programs |  |  |  |  |
| Incentives for telecommuting or hybrid work |  |  |  |  |
| Integrated mobility hubs |  |  |  |  |
| Intelligent parking programs |  |  |  |  |
| Micromobility program (bike share, scooter share, etc.) |  |  |  |  |
| Parking cash-out policies |  |  |  |  |
| Parking Pricing |  |  |  |  |
| Preferential parking or parking subsidies for carpoolers |  |  |  |  |
| Private employer shuttles or other transportation providers |  |  |  |  |
| Programs or mobility services aimed at local tourism travel (e.g. Shuttle bus) |  |  |  |  |
| Ridesharing incentives and rideshare matching |  |  |  |  |
| Transportation Network Company (TNC) partnership (providing first/last mile, dial-a-ride or paratransit, microtransit, etc.) |  |  |  |  |
| Transit pass benefits |  |  |  |  |
| Transportation management areas |  |  |  |  |
| Vanpool programs |  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Any other TDM Strategies your jurisdiction is considering? What are barriers and/or opportunities to include these strategies in your plans, programs, or ordinances? |

1. Does your jurisdiction currently have strategies for mitigating vehicle miles travelled (VMT) related development impacts? Yes  No

If yes, please list applicable projects and/or measures taken (or proposed) to mitigate VMT impacts.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Project or Measure* | Comments |
| [Title] |  |
| [Title] |  |
| [Title] |  |
| [Title] |  |
| [Title] |  |

|  |
| --- |
| [Other Comments] |

1. Does your jurisdiction use local return (from a county transportation tax measure) and/or general fund revenue to support any of the following:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Local Return | | General Fund | |
| *Funding* | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Bike Lanes |  |  |  |  |
| Complete Streets | |  |  |  |  |
| Cool streets |  |  |  |  |
| Dial-a-ride or other demand response service |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed route transit service |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian improvements |  |  |  |  |
| Repair (pavement, potholes) |  |  |  |  |
| Signal synchronization |  |  |  |  |
| Taxi scrip (Subsidized rides for seniors) |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| If yes, please describe how your jurisdiction prioritizes spending of these funds. |

1. Has your jurisdiction implemented any of the following efforts to support zero emission fueling infrastructure in your city?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Stations/Fleet* | | | Yes | No |
| Electric Vehicle Infrastructure | | |  |  |
| Electric Vehicle Incentives | | |  |  |
| Electrical Vehicle Station | | |  |  |
| * Heavy Duty Vehicles | | |  |  |
| * Passenger/Light Duty Vehicles | | |  |  |
| Alternative Fuel Fleet | | |  |  |
| * Heavy Duty Vehicles | | |  |  |
| * Passenger/Light Duty Vehicles | | |  |  |
| Permit Streamlining | | |  |  |
| Workplace Charging Opportunities | | |  |  |
| Other | [Comments] |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Any other Electric Vehicle Strategies your jurisdiction is considering? What are barriers and/or opportunities to include electric vehicle strategies in your jurisdiction? |

PART III – Environmental

1. Does your jurisdiction use any of the following natural lands conservation strategies?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Natural lands conservation strategies* | | | Yes | No | Web link |
| Conservation easement | | |  |  |  |
| Development impact fee | | |  |  |  |
| Hillside/steep slope protection ordinance | | |  |  |  |
| Mitigation bank | | |  |  |  |
| Multiple species habitat conservation program (MSHCP) | | |  |  |  |
| Natural community conservation plan (NCCP) | | |  |  |  |
| Transfer of development rights | | |  |  |  |
| Tree planting or other urban heat mitigation | | |  |  |  |
| Other | [Other] |  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Any other natural lands conservation strategies not mentioned above? What are barriers and/or opportunities to include these strategies in your plans, programs, or ordinances? |

1. Does your jurisdiction have a climate action plan or related policies in place to implement a “local version” of the State’s climate goal of reducing greenhouse gases by 40% below 1990 levels by 2030?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Yes or No** |  |
| **Adoption Date** |  |
| **Target Date** |  |
| **% Reduction** |  |
| **Weblink** |  |

1. Has your jurisdiction approved projects utilizing CEQA streamlining? (e.g. SB 743, SB 375, SB 35, or SB 226) Yes  No

If yes, please provide projects, streamlining vehicle, and approval year below.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Project* | Streamlining Vehicle | Year | Web link |
| [Title] |  |  |  |
| [Title] |  |  |  |
| [Title] |  |  |  |
| [Title] |  |  |  |
| [Title] |  |  |  |

1. What CEQA streamlining vehicles may be missing that would facilitate implementing projects?
2. Connect SoCal seeks to advance land use strategies which enhance the capacity of the SCAG region’s built, social, economic, and natural systems to anticipate and respond to changing conditions, acute shocks, and chronic stressors. Land use strategies may include a focus on **climate resilience and conservation of natural and working lands**. Please indicate and briefly describe whether your jurisdiction is implementing policies in the following areas, or if your jurisdiction has not adopted implementation policies if there are plans or interest in doing so. If there are barriers to doing so, please indicate what they are.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Area of Focus** | **Comments** |
| Agricultural and/or natural land preservation? |  |
| Development standards and/or limits on development in areas at risk of climate change impacts (e.g., wildfire, coastal flooding, extreme heat)? |  |
| Resilience plans, actions and/or metrics ? |  |
| Other climate resilience issues? |  |

PART IV – Public Health and Equity

1. Has your jurisdiction developed/adopted any of the following equity documents/efforts?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Equity Documents/Efforts* | | | Yes | No |
| Equity Action Plan or Framework | | |  |  |
| Equity Baseline Conditions Analysis | | |  |  |
| Equity Definition | | |  |  |
| Equity Resolution | | |  |  |
| Other | [Other] |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Please provide weblinks to any of the above listed documents if available. |

1. Pursuant to SB 1000, jurisdictions with disadvantaged communities are required to adopt an Environmental Justice (EJ) Element or incorporated EJ goals, policies, and objectives in the General Plan Update. If your jurisdiction is required to comply with SB 1000 requirements, did you utilize the [EJ Toolbox](https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/toolbox_environmentaljustice_final.pdf?1621573326) or include any recommended practices and approaches from the EJ Toolbox?

Yes  No

|  |
| --- |
| If applicable, include details of how the EJ Toolbox was utilize and/or which recommended practices and approaches were utilized. |

1. Does your jurisdiction use any **unique** outreach strategies to engage low-income residents, people of color and Tribal Governments? Typical engagement strategies include community workshops, events, activities, advertisement through media outlets, and partnerships with local community groups. Yes  No  If “Yes” please describe in comments and/or provide links supporting documents. Web link: [link]

|  |
| --- |
| [Other Comments] |

1. Has your jurisdiction incorporated any of the following planning practices to support health outcomes?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *Planning practices* | Yes | No |
| Analysis of the social determinants of health |  |  |
| Health Element |  |  |
| Health equity |  |  |
| Health in all policies |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| [Other Comments] |

1. Does your jurisdiction have any of the following plans to address emergencies caused by natural disasters?

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Emergency and Natural Disaster Plans* | Yes | No | Year Adopted or Finalized |
| Emergency evacuation plan |  |  |  |
| Emergency response plan |  |  |  |
| Extreme Heat plan | ☐ | ☐ |  |
| Fire protection plan |  |  |  |
| Hazard mitigation plan |  |  |  |
| SB 379 Compliant Safety Element | ☐ | ☐ |  |
| Seismic safety plan |  |  |  |
| Wildfire Emergency plan | ☐ | ☐ |  |

|  |
| --- |
| In what ways do the aforementioned plans, programs, or ordinances support built, social, and/or natural systems resilience in anticipation of changing conditions (e.g., increasing extreme heat days, wildfires), acute shocks (e.g., earthquakes), and chronic stressors (e.g., housing affordability, health and wellness disparities)? What are the barriers and/or opportunities to include these strategies in your plans, programs, or ordinances? |

PART V - Data

1. Does your jurisdiction have or collect any of the following observed data:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Observed Data* | Yes | No | Contact Name | Email |
| Allowed parking and restricted parking areas |  |  |  |  |
| Automated traffic counters |  |  |  |  |
| Bicycle or pedestrian volume data |  |  |  |  |
| Bike lane mileage data (bike lane, bike path, Class 3 bike routes, separated bike lanes (cycle tracks). Note please also include bike routes in your LDX submission. |  |  |  |  |
| Bridge condition data |  |  |  |  |
| Collision data (e.g., police or fire department data, hospital data, etc.) |  |  |  |  |
| Local road pavement management and performance data |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assessment for System Preservation |  |  |  |  |
| New Housing starts data |  |  |  |  |
| Number of manufacturing firms |  |  |  |  |
| Open data portal |  |  |  |  |
| Pavement Condition Index |  |  |  |  |
| Pavement condition index (PCI) or International roughness index (IRI) data for local roads. |  |  |  |  |
| Public health data |  |  |  |  |
| Sidewalk data |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic counts |  |  |  |  |
| Transit Ridership by Line/Route |  |  |  |  |
| Truck traffic counts |  |  |  |  |
| Warehousing/distribution centers |  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| [Other Comments] |