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SCAG TECHNICAL WORKING GROUP MEETING SUMMARY

May 19, 2022
10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.

1. PRELIMINARY GROWTH ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY

Kevin Kane presented on the steps towards a Forecasted Regional Development Pattern, the preliminary small area (jurisdiction- and TAZ-level) growth forecast methodology, an overview of the Local Data Exchange and proposed review process, and how this process will be integrated into Connect SoCal 2024. Seva Rodnyansky (Occidental College), Josh Lee (SBCTA/SBCOG), Gail Shiomoto-Lohr (City of Mission Viejo), and Deborah Diep (CDR/CSUF) participated in discussion.

2. LOCAL DATA EXCHANGE (LDX) SURVEY

Lyle Janicek presented on the LDX Survey, providing quick overview on the principles and objectives of the survey and the timeline. Warren Whiteaker (OCTA) provided comment.

3. UPDATE ON DRAFT CONNECT SOCAL 2024 GOALS AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Sarah Dominguez and Michael Gainor presented on the draft vision, goals, and performance measures for Connect SoCal 2024 to share out updates on feedback pr. Gail Shiomoto-Lohr commented.

4. REAP 2.0 DRAFT FRAMEWORK AND OUTREACH PROCESS

Jenna Hornstock presented an overview of the REAP 2021 program, SCAG’s draft REAP 2021 Program framework that focuses on three areas (Early Action Initiatives, CTC Partnership Program, and Housing Supportive Infrastructure Program), the program development timeline, and outreach plan. Alexander Wikstrom (LADOT) provided a question for consideration.

5. REGIONAL ADVANCED MITIGATION ADVISORY TASK GROUP (RAMP-ATG) AND GREENPRINT UPDATE

Kimberly Clark provided a verbal update on the RAMP framework development, outreach conducted, and feedback received to date, as well as next steps on the formation of a technical advisory committee to ensure Greenprint alignment with the policy framework under guidance from the RAMP-ATG. Marnie Primmer (OCCOG, nonmember) and Gail Shiomoto-Lohr provided questions and comments for discussion.
Local Information Services Team (LIST) Introduction

- Purpose – Coordinate, plan, and develop a system to
  - (1) link SCAG’s value-added products (e.g., data, applications, etc.) to help address local information needs,
  - (2) deliver technical assistance, and
  - (3) provide local staff an opportunity to offer feedback on how SCAG can improve our products to facilitate better collaboration, regionally and locally

- LIST is focusing on the Local Data Exchange (LDX) process to solicit inputs from local jurisdictions

- Contact us at list@scag.ca.gov for any questions/suggestions!
Connect SoCal 2024/LDX Timeline

**COMPLETED**
- ✓ Regional & County Forecast
- ✓ Relaunched Working Groups
- ✓ Regional Data Platform (RDP)

**LDX MILESTONES**
- ✓ Soft Launch – February 23
- ✓ Complete Launch – May 4
- • Input Deadline – December 4

---

**LDX Schedule**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDP/LDX Soft Launch*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDX Full Launch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDX Formal Letter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDX 1:1 with jurisdictions in OC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDX 1:1 with jurisdictions in IM, LA, and VN County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDX 1:1 with jurisdictions in SB and RV County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input deadline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outreach efforts**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Presented to SCAG Technical Working Group, Policy Committees, and Subregional Technical Advisory Committees
**Working with SCAG Regional Affairs Officers, Communication Specialists, and LIST members to perform extensive outreach to local jurisdictions. Proposed schedule as of 7/21/2022.
LDX Current Status (as of 7/21/2022)

- Completed LDX 1:1 meetings with 55 jurisdictions
- Upcoming LDX 1:1 meetings with 7 jurisdictions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTY</th>
<th>Number of Jurisdictions</th>
<th>RDP License</th>
<th>RDP-LDX Account</th>
<th>1:1 TA Formal LDX Meeting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imperial</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For more information, please visit:

scag.ca.gov/connect-socal
scag.ca.gov/local-data-exchange
hub.scag.ca.gov (RDP)

LIST@scag.ca.gov

THANK YOU!
Objective: Forecasted Regional Development Pattern

“set forth a forecasted development pattern for the region, which, when integrated with the transportation network, and other transportation measures and policies, will reduce the greenhouse gas emissions from automobiles and light trucks to achieve, if there is a feasible way to do so, the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets approved by the state board, and (viii) allow the regional transportation plan to comply with Section 176 of the federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. Sec. 7506).” California Government Code 65080(b)(vii)

LDX GETS HERE BY BEING:

✓ Rooted in local planning
✓ Steered by a regional vision
✓ Aligned with state and federal policy

Sample of TAZs in the SCAG region
Timeline

- **August 2021**: Demographic Panel of Experts
- **November 2021**: Regional projection ranges presented
- **May 2022**: Preliminary jurisdiction and TAZ-level projections released
- **February 2022**: Preliminary regional and county projections released
- **December 2022**: Local Data Exchange (LDX) concludes
- **Fall 2023**: Draft Connect SoCal released

SCAG’s Regional Economic-Demographic Forecast Process and Expert Panel Recommendations

The diagram illustrates the SCAG’s Regional Economic-Demographic Forecast Process, which includes steps for projecting population growth and changes in labor force. The process involves adjustments for migration, natural increase, residential population, group quarters population, and household formation.
Preliminary County Growth Projections

Percent Change 2019-2050

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REGION</th>
<th>Imperial</th>
<th>Los Angeles</th>
<th>Orange</th>
<th>Riverside</th>
<th>San Bernardino</th>
<th>Ventura</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jobs</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preliminary small area **household** forecast methodology

1. Estimate remaining general plan capacity and control to county/regional projection
2. Add RHNA/housing element rezone sites if needed
3. Growth prioritization steps
   • Increase in Priority Development Areas (PDAs)
   • Minimize in Green Region Resource Areas (GRRAs)
**Forecasted Regional Development Pattern** relies on local review & approval to be complete

What local review is needed to improve the Forecasted Regional Development Pattern?

Please use this form to formally indicate that you have completed review of data for which SCAG is seeking update/corrections or optional review during the LDX process. For each layer reviewed, please indicate whether the review was provided through the Regional Data Platform (RDP) or via email to barrie@scag.ca.gov.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Review Type</th>
<th>Review Provided Via:</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land Use</td>
<td>General Plan</td>
<td>Update</td>
<td>RDP Email</td>
<td>Continue on back if needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zoning</td>
<td>Update</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Existing Land Use</td>
<td>Update</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Specific Plan</td>
<td>Update</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Key Entitlements</td>
<td>Update</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>Neighborhood Mobility Areas</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td>Livable corridors</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Housing Trajectory</td>
<td>Update</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transpo</td>
<td>Regional bikeways</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regional truck routes</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth/SED</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Jurisdiction-level</th>
<th>TAZ-level</th>
<th>Sent by:</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Households</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>RDP</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Continue on back if needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Employment</td>
<td>2035</td>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>RDP</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2050</td>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>RDP</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>RDP</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2035</td>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>RDP</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2050</td>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>RDP</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If growth/SED were revised, please select a reason and describe:

- Correction: General Plan capacity (current or expected future)
- Correction: Entitlements
- Local Policy: Zoning/plan changes resulting from the 6th cycle housing element update
- Local Policy: Growth will be focused in other priority development areas
- Local Policy: Higher development potential in green region/resource areas
Evaluating Input – Demographic Panel of Experts

• Prior RTP/SCS
  • Robustness check vs. Panel of Expert recommendations
  • Technically sound regional forecast if:
    • Within high-low range
    • Reasonable P:H and P:E ratios

• Connect SoCal 2024
  • Staff plans to assess at county level after LDX

Evaluating Input – Connect SoCal 2024 Targets

"set forth a forecasted development pattern for the region, which, when integrated with the transportation network, and other transportation measures and policies, will reduce the greenhouse gas emissions from automobiles and light trucks to achieve, if there is a feasible way to do so, the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets approved by the state board, and (viii) allow the regional transportation plan to comply with Section 176 of the Federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. Sec. 7506)." California Government Code 65080(b)(vii)

• LDX Objective: hit targets with no further modification
  • Use one-on-one meetings to discuss
  • No separate scenario process afterward*

• Complete run of travel demand model will not be available
  • Transportation data + other plan strategies still in development

• Target: February 2023 TWG meeting
  • Comparison of preliminary and locally-reviewed projections

*Required PEIR alternatives analysis will be conducted separately
An Option for Reviewing Input Received by SCAG

- Compare 20 steps by county
  - Same or better → no change
  - Worse → Identify jurisdictions:
    - with largest changes, or
    - the largest overall
  - Review differences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STEP #</th>
<th>Number of PDAs</th>
<th>Number of GRRAs</th>
<th>Final 2020 Plan</th>
<th>Preliminary 2024 Plan</th>
<th>Locally-Reviewed 2024 Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These hypothetical percentages were developed for discussion purposes only.

Discussion Questions – SCAG Review Process

1. What is the definition of “same or better?”
2. Should the 20 steps be collapsed or combined?
3. What if a PDA boundary changes too?
4. What about jobs-housing balance?
5. Should SCAG investigate additional ways to model marginal GHG impacts (SB 743 tools? SPM?)
6. Other?
THANK YOU!

For more information, please visit:

https://scag.ca.gov/local-data-exchange
https://hub.scag.ca.gov
list@scag.ca.gov

Kevin Kane, PhD
Program Manager, Demographics and Growth Vision
kane@scag.ca.gov
Green Region Resource Areas Methodology for SCAG's Local Data Exchange (LDX)

July 21, 2022

COMPLETED
✓ Regional & County Forecast
✓ Relaunched Working Groups
✓ Regional Data Platform Launch

CONNECT SOCAL 2024: DEVELOPMENT UPDATE

LOCAL DATA EXCHANGE (LDX)
• Soft Launch – February 23rd
• Complete Launch – May 23rd
• Input Deadline – December 2nd
Objective: Forecasted Regional Development Pattern

“set forth a forecasted development pattern for the region, which, when integrated with the transportation network, and other transportation measures and policies, will reduce the greenhouse gas emissions from automobiles and light trucks to achieve, if there is a feasible way to do so, the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets approved by the state board, and (viii) allow the regional transportation plan to comply with Section 176 of the federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. Sec. 7506).” California Government Code 65080(b)(vii)

LDX GETS HERE BY BEING:
✓ Rooted in local planning
✓ Steered by a regional vision
✓ Aligned with state and federal policy

Sample of TAZs in the SCAG region

Regional and County Growth Forecast (July 2017)
Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) Scenario Development (2019)
Draft Growth Vision (November 2019)
Additional rounds of corrections and Final Growth Vision (by September 2020)

Regional and County Growth Forecast (February 2022)
Local Data Exchange Process (Feb – Dec 2022)
Integrates Connect SoCal 2020 Growth Vision elements
Draft Forecasted Regional Development Pattern (2023)
Final Plan Adoption (2024)
SB 375 Resource Areas (Section 65080.01)

1) All publicly owned parks and open space
2) Open space or habitat areas protected by natural community conservation plans, habitat conservation plans, and other adopted natural resource protection plans
3) Habitat for species identified as candidate, fully protected, sensitive, or species of special status by local, state, or federal agencies or protected by the federal Endangered Species Act of 1973, the California Endangered Species Act, or the Native Plan Protection Act
4) Lands subject to conservation or agricultural easements for conservation or agricultural purposes by local governments, special districts, or nonprofit 501(c)(3) organizations, areas of the state designated by the State Mining and Geology Board as areas of statewide or regional significance pursuant to Section 2790 of the Public Resources Code, and lands under Williamson Act contracts
5) Areas designated for open-space or agricultural uses in adopted open-space elements or agricultural elements of the local general plan or by local ordinance
6) Areas containing biological resources as described in Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines that may be significantly affected by the sustainable communities strategy or the alternative planning strategy
7) An area subject to flooding where a development project would not, at the time of development in the judgment of the agency, meet the requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program or where the area is subject to more protective provisions of state law or local ordinance.

Connect SoCal 2024: Green Region Resource Areas

- Derived from SB 375 + Connect SoCal 2020 strategies
- Highlight areas where future growth is not encouraged due to sensitivity to natural hazards, biological sensitivities, and resilience to a changing climate
- Layers are consistent with Connect SoCal 2020 Plan but have been updated to reflect the latest & greatest data
- Green Region Resources Areas (GRRAs) consist of 10 topics, spanning three categories:
  - Resilience
  - Habitat
  - Administrative/Working Lands
- Layers have been combined for the purposes of the Preliminary Growth Forecast
- In the LDX materials, GRRA maps consist of 10 topic maps + 4 multi-benefit asset maps
SCAG Data/Map Book and RDP LDX Web Contents

Digital versions of these data layers are available to local jurisdictions through their RDP LDX login.

Connect SoCal 2024: Green Region Resource Areas Datasets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRRA Category</th>
<th>GRRA Topic</th>
<th>Underlying Datasets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resilience</td>
<td>Flood Areas</td>
<td>FEMA Effective: 100-Year Floodplains, 2017, FEMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coastal Inundation (Sea Level Rise)</td>
<td>Coastal Storm Modeling System (CoSMoS) for Southern California, v3.0, Phase 2, 2018, USGS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wildfire Risk</td>
<td>Fire Hazard Severity Zones Local Responsibility Areas Maps, 2008, CAL FIRE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fire Hazard Severity Zones State Responsibility Areas Maps, 2007, CAL FIRE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wildland Urban Interface, 2020, CAL FIRE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitat / Open Space</td>
<td>Open Space and Parks</td>
<td>Save Our Agricultural Resources (SOAR), 2017, County of Ventura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>California Conservation Easement Database (CCED), 2021, Multiple sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>California Protected Areas Database (CPAD), 2021, Multiple sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Endangered Species and Plants</td>
<td>California Natural Diversity Database, 2017, CA Department of Fish and Wildlife</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitive Habitat Areas</td>
<td>National Wetlands Inventory, 2020, US Fish and Wildlife Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2015 Areas of Conservation Emphasis (ACEilv2), 2015, CA Department of Fish and Wildlife</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Connect SoCal 2020 Habitat Connectivity Layer, 2020, SCAG; South Coast Missing Linkages (SCML) Wildlife Corridors, 2018, Conservation Biology Institute; and California Essential Habitat Connectivity Project, 2010, CA Department of Fish and Wildlife</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative/Working Lands</td>
<td>Natural Community and Habitat Conservation Plans</td>
<td>Conservation Plan Boundaries, Habitat Conservation Plans (HCPs) and Natural Community Conservation Plans (NCCPs), 2021, CA Department of Fish and Wildlife</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tribal Nations</td>
<td>American Indian Reservations / Federally Recognized Tribal Entities, 2021, CalOES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Military Installations</td>
<td>USA Department of Defense Lands, 2018, US Department of Defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Farmlands</td>
<td>California Important Farmland, Farmland Mapping &amp; Monitoring Program (FMMP), 2018, CA Department of Conservation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Connect SoCal 2024: Green Region Resource Areas (GRRA)

**GRRA Categories**

- **Resilience**
  - 100-Year Flood Plains
  - Coastal Inundation (Sea Level Rise)
  - Wildfire Risk

- **Habitat**
  - Open Space & Parks
  - Endangered Species & Plants
  - Sensitive Habitat Areas
  - Natural Community & Habitat Conservation Plans

- **Administrative/Working Lands**
  - Tribal Nations
  - Military Installations
  - Farmlands

**Resilience**

- 100-Year Flood Plains (Flood Risk)
- Coastal Inundation (Sea Level Rise)
- Wildfire Risk
Resilience Multi-Benefit Asset Map

Number of Overlapping Layers
0
1
2
3
4

Flood Risk
Wildfire Risk
Coastal Inundation (Sea Level Rise)

Green Region Resource Areas: Habitat/Open Space

Habitat / Open Space

Open Space & Parks
Sensitive Habitat Areas
Endangered Species & Plants*
Natural Community & Habitat Conservation Plans*

Asterisk (*) indicates layers provided for reference in Data/Map Books, but not factored into Preliminary Growth Forecast.
Habitat/Open Space Multi-Benefit Asset Map

Green Region Resource Areas: Administrative/Working Lands

Administrative/Working Lands
- Tribal Nations
- Military Installations
- Farmlands
Administrative/Working Lands Space Multi-Benefit Asset Map

Consolidated Green Region Resource Areas

- Identifies overlaps of:
  - Flood areas
  - Sea level rise
  - Wildfire risk
  - Open space and parks
  - Sensitive habitat areas
  - Tribal nations
  - Military installations
  - Farmlands
Preliminary Small Area Household Forecast Methodology

1. Estimate remaining general plan capacity and control to county/regional projection
2. Add RHNA/housing element rezone sites if needed
3. Growth prioritization steps
   - Increase in Priority Development Areas (PDAs)
   - Minimize in Green Region Resource Areas (GRRAs)

Data/Map Books Release

- February 2022 – GRRA thematic maps
- May 2022 (via SharePoint from SCAG email) – Draft SED, GRRA thematic maps, consolidated maps, and individual maps showing underlying GRRA data elements
- June 2022 (posted to SCAG website) – Updated GRRA descriptions; note underlying data did not change from previous version
THANK YOU!

For more information, please visit:

https://hub.scag.ca.gov/pages/ldx
RHNA REFORM

July 21, 2022

RHNA Reform

• During the 6th RHNA cycle, a number of issues were raised by jurisdictions and stakeholders
  • Calculation of regional determination
  • Factors used to determine housing distribution in the methodology
  • Role of Connect SoCal household projections
  • And more!

• SCAG has committed to review these issues and facilitate conversations with HCD to reform RHNA
State RHNA Reform

- AB 101 (2019) requires the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) to “develop a recommended improved RHNA allocation process and methodology that promotes and streamlines housing development and substantially addresses California’s housing shortage”

- Includes statewide stakeholder participation

- Limited to RHNA (Government Code 65584 through 65584.2)
  - Does not include zoning or housing element issues

- HCD must submit a report to the Legislature by December 31, 2023

SCAG RHNA Reform

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 6, 2022</td>
<td>Public Information and Input Session on RHNA reform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 19, 2022</td>
<td>Housing Working Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 21, 2022</td>
<td>Technical Working Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 12, 2022</td>
<td>RHNA reform comments due to SCAG: <a href="mailto:housing@scag.ca.gov">housing@scag.ca.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Special CEHD Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Presumed statewide workshops on RHNA reform by HCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>CEHD Meeting to review and approve recommendations to Regional Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Regional Council approval of CEHD recommendations/submit final RHNA Reform recommendations to HCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Presumed due date for comments to HCD on RHNA Reform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2023</td>
<td>Due date for HCD’s report to the Legislature on RHNA Reform</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6th Cycle RHNA Timeline

HCD Regional Determination
Summer 2019

Methodology
Aug 2019 – Mar 2020

Draft RHNA Allocation
Sep 2020

Appeals
Fall 2020/Winter 2021

Final RHNA Allocation
Mar 2021

Local Housing Element Update (Oct 2021-Oct 2029)
Oct 2021

Regional Determination

HCD provides a regional determination in consultation with SCAG and the Department of Finance (DOF)

4th Cycle regional determination (2006-2014)
699,368

5th Cycle regional determination (2013-2021)
412,137

6th Cycle regional determination (2021-2029)
1,341,827
### Regional Determination

#### 5th cycle calculation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing + Projected households</td>
<td>6,516,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Occupied Units (and Tribal HH)</td>
<td>-6,044,940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>= Subtotal</td>
<td>468,595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Vacancy need</td>
<td>13,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Replacement need, 0.5%</td>
<td>2,410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Vacant units</td>
<td>-75,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>= Regional determination</td>
<td>409,060</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 6th cycle calculation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing + Projected households</td>
<td>6,801,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Vacancy need</td>
<td>178,896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Overcrowding, 6.7% (new!)</td>
<td>459,917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Replacement need, 0.5%</td>
<td>34,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Occupied Units</td>
<td>-6,250,261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>= Subtotal</td>
<td>1,224,352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Cost burden (new!)</td>
<td>117,505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>= Regional determination</td>
<td>1,341,827</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCAG: 10.1%  
US avg: 3.4%

### RHNA Methodology: Primary Factors

Based on:

- Share of household growth
- Job Accessibility
- Transit Accessibility
- Social Equity Adjustment
  - Household income distribution
  - Other indicators of resources (environment, education, economy)
**RHNA Reform Input Session: July 6**

**Comment highlights**

- There should be a panel of experts to review the regional determination by HCD before its finalized
- HCD did not reflect all required factors in State housing law when providing a regional determination
- The regional determination should have been a range as in prior cycles
- The 6th cycle determination was not sufficient to meet SCAG housing needs

---

**RHNA Reform Input Session: July 6**

**Comment highlights**

- “Consistent with the development pattern of the SCS” should be more clearly defined
- More weight and emphasis should be put on transit accessibility and jobs access
- Transit and job access factors should be based on the planning period, not long-term
- Distribution methodology factors should include:
  - High wildfire risk
  - Exceeding prior RHNA need
  - Density
  - High median rents
  - Vacant office space and remote employee market
  - Aging infrastructure and cost of development
RHNA Reform Input Session: July 6

Comment highlights

• More time should be provided for jurisdictions appealing their RHNA

• Trade and transfer of RHNA units should be allowed between jurisdictions, maybe with parameters
• Trade and transfer of RHNA units should not be allowed between jurisdictions

• Subregional delegation should not be made available

Questions for discussion: Regional Determination

• How do we minimize double counting for factors, such as overcrowding and cost-burden?
  • Should the factors be reordered in the calculation of regional determination?

• What are your thoughts on a panel of experts reviewing individual regional determinations from HCD before they are finalized?
  • Who should be on this panel? What role could it have?
Questions for Discussion: Methodology

• What other factors should be included in the RHNA methodology?
  • Should these additional factors be codified statewide or at the discretion of the COGs?
  • What should be the basis year for the factors? Current, short-term, long-term?

• How can we continue furthering the objective of affirmatively furthering fair housing?

• Should the requirement for the methodology to be “consistent with the development pattern of the SCS” be further defined in State housing law?

SCAG RHNA Reform

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 6, 2022</td>
<td>Public Information and Input Session on RHNA reform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 19, 2022</td>
<td>Housing Working Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 21, 2022</td>
<td>Technical Working Group meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 12, 2022</td>
<td>RHNA reform comments due to SCAG: <a href="mailto:housing@scag.ca.gov">housing@scag.ca.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Special CEHD Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Presumed statewide workshops on RHNA reform by HCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>CEHD Meeting to review and approve recommendations to Regional Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Regional Council approval of CEHD recommendations/submit final RHNA Reform recommendations to HCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Presumed due date for comments to HCD on RHNA Reform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2023</td>
<td>Due date for HCD’s report to the Legislature on RHNA Reform</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THANK YOU!

For more information, please visit:

https://scag.ca.gov/rhna