FTIP ID# N/A

TCWG Consideration Date January 25April 26, 2022

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed project would complete intersection improvements along State Route (SR) 243 (SR-243 is 8th Street from the Interstate 10 [I-10] westbound (WB) ramps south to Lincoln Street and continues east as Lincoln Street) between Lincoln Street and the I-10 WB ramps on 8th Street in the City of Banning (see attached figures). The project improvements will include the installation of traffic signals and safely lighting at the intersections of Lincoln Street and 8th Street, 8th Street and I-10 eastbound (EB) Ramps, and 8th Street and I-10 WB Ramps. New signing and striping will be installed along 8th Street to provide a southbound left-turn pocket at Lincoln Street, a southbound left-turn pocket at 8th Street and the I-10 WB ramps. Existing roadway signs will be updated to meet Caltrans standards and new signs will be installed per *California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices* (CA MUTCD) requirements. Existing Non-Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliant ramps will be reconstructed.

Based on the available preliminary project information, the proposed project appears to qualify for a CE under *CEQA Guidelines* Section 1530 Existing Facilities and NEPA under 23 CFR 771.117.

Guidelines Secti	on 1530 Existing	Facilities a	nd NEPA under 23 (CFR 771.117.							
Type of Projection characteristics											
County	Narrative Loc	ation/Ro	ute & Post Miles	: The project I	imits are	Lincoln Street and the I-10 WB					
Riverside		ramps on SR-243 (a.k.a. 8 th Street).									
	Caltrans Projects – EA# 1M190										
Lead Agency:	Caltrans Distr										
Contact Perso			Phone#		Fax#	Email					
Arturo Vela, P.		(951) 922-3130)		Avela@banningca.gov						
	rector of Public Works/City Engineer										
City of Banning	g - Sponsoring <i>I</i>	Agency									
Hot Spot Poll	utant of Conce	rn (check	one or both) PN	12.5 x	PM10 x						
Federal Actio	n for which Pro	oject-Lev	el PM Conformity	is Needed	check ap	propriate box)					
Categori X Exclusio (NEPA)	n EA	or aft EIS	FONSI or Final EIS	PS&E Cons	or truction	Other					
Scheduled Da	ite of Federal <i>A</i>	ction: Fe	ebruary 2022								
NEPA Assign	ment – Project	Type (ch	eck appropriate box	·)							
Exer	npt	Section 326 –C Exemption	ategorical	X	ection 327 – Non- ategorical Exemption						
Current Progr	amming Dates	(as appro	opriate)								
	PE/Environn	nental	ENG			CON					
Start	2021		2021								
End	2022		2022	١	N/A	2023					

Project Purpose and Need (Summary): (attach additional sheets as necessary)

Project Purpose

The purpose of the proposed project is to provide a signalized corridor on 8th Street that includes optimal signal timing at the study area intersections to improve traffic operations to address future growth and mobility needs anticipated by year 2045 in the region. The project will also provide roadway striping that includes left-turn and right-turn lanes on 8th Street that will reduce delay to northbound and southbound through traffic.

Project Need

The 8th Street corridor from Ramsey Street to Lincoln Street is a two-lane roadway with no left-turn lanes at the I-10 ramps. Delay to local and regional traffic traveling northbound and southbound through the corridor occurs because they have to wait for the left-turning vehicles to find a gap in traffic to proceed onto the I-10 ramps. In addition, left-turning traffic from the I-10 ramps to 8th Street experience increased delays waiting for a gap in traffic because the intersections are unsignalized. With the implementation of the project, traffic operations on the 8th Street corridor will improve by reducing delays to vehicles.

Surrounding Land Use/Traffic Generators (especially effect on diesel traffic) Vacant land, residential, and commercial.

Opening Year (2024): Build and No Build LOS, AADT, % and # trucks, truck AADT of proposed facility

Table F: Opening Year (2024) No Build and Opening Year (2024) With Improvements Levels of Service

	Ор	ening Yea	No Build	Opening Year 2024 With Improvements						
		AM Peak Hour		PM Peak Hour			AM Peak Hour		PM P	
Intersection	Control	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	Control	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS
1. 8 th Street/Ramsey Street	Signal	25.1	С	38.4	D	Signal	25.7 25.8	С	40.5 41.6	D
2. 8 th Street/I-10 WB Ramps	OWSC	46.5	E	>100	F	Signal	7.8 9.2	Α	8.2 8.9	А
3. 8 th Street/I-10 EB Ramps	OWSC	85.9	F	>100	F	Signal	39.5 <u>37.8</u>	D	18.3 19.4	В
4. 8 th Street/Lincoln Street	AWSC	8.3	Α	7.6	А	Signal	20.2 <u>19.9</u>	<u> В</u>	30.6 29.0	С
5. 8 th Street/Cumulative Project Driveway	owsc	5.7	А	7.3	А	OWSC	7.2 7.8	А	13.8 11.7	В

Note: For intersections #2 through #5 8th Street is SR-243, LOS = Level of Service, OWSC=One-Way Stop Control, AWSC=All-Way Stop Control, for OWSC, the worst-case movement is reported.

Table Q: Opening Year 2024 Daily Volumes (No Build and Build Identical)

Roadway Segment	Year 2024 Daily Total Vehicles	Truck Percentage	Trucks	Passenger Vehicles
8 th Street (SR-243) south of I-10 EB Ramps	7,671	4.0%	307	7,364
I-10 EB Off-Ramp	6,013	2.6%	159	5,854
I-10 EB On-Ramp	2,316	8.4%	194	2,122
I-10 WB Off-Ramp	2,166	3.8%	82	2,084
I-10 WB On-Ramp	6,069	4.3%	259	5,810

RTP Horizon Year / Design Year (2045): Build and No Build LOS, AADT, % and # trucks, truck AADT of proposed facility

Table G: Year 2045 No Build and Year 2045 With Improvements Levels of Service

		Year 20	uild	Year 2045 With Improvements						
		AM Peak Hour		PM Peak Hour		AM H		eak ır	PM Peak Hour	
Intersection	Control	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	Control	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS
1. 8 th Street/Ramsey Street	Signal	32	С	>100	F	Signal	35.8 36.1	D	>100	F
2. 8 th Street/I-10 WB Ramps	OWSC	>100	F	>100	F	Signal	10.6 12.2	В	23.7 37	<u>C</u>
3. 8 th Street/I-10 EB Ramps	OWSC	>100	F	>100	F	Signal	49.3 44.3	D	67.9 >100	E <u>F</u>
4. 8 th Street/Lincoln Street	AWSC	17.4	С	>100	F	Signal	33.7 >100	<u>C</u> <u>F</u>	47.5 >100	D <u>F</u>
5. 8 th Street/Cumulative Project Driveway	OWSC	4	Α	>100	F	OWSC	31.6 7.3	<u>D</u> <u>A</u>	>100 24.8	<u>F</u> <u>C</u>

Note: For intersections #2 through #5 8th Street is SR-243, LOS = Level of Service, OWSC=One-Way Stop Control, AWSC=All-Way Stop Control, for OWSC, the worst-case movement is reported.

Table R: Year 2045 Daily Volumes (No Build and Build Identical)

Roadway Segment	Year 2045 Daily Total Vehicles	Truck Percentage	Trucks	Passenger Vehicles
8 th Street (SR-243) south of I-10 EB Ramps	10,529	4.0%	421	10,108
I-10 EB Off-Ramp	6,216	2.6%	164	6,052
I-10 EB On-Ramp	2,976	8.4%	250	2,726
I-10 WB Off-Ramp	2,865	3.8%	109	2,756
I-10 WB On-Ramp	6,274	4.3%	268	6,006

Opening Year (2024): If facility is an interchange(s) or intersection(s), Build and No Build cross-street AADT, % and # trucks, truck AADT

Opening Year (2024) Cross-Street AADT, Truck Percentages, and Truck AADT (No Build and Build Identical)

	Total AADT				Truck	Truck AADT				
Intersection		South	East	West	%	North	South	East	West	
Ramsey Street	7,020	11,660	9,820	10,220	4.0%	281	467	393	409	
I-10 WB Ramps	11,660	9,220	1,800	4,860	4.1%	473	374	73	197	
I-10 EB Ramps	9,220	7,490	1,520	4,610	5.5%	508	412	84	254	
Lincoln Street	7,200	2,100	6,470	3,350	4.0%	288	84	259	134	
Cumulative Project Driveway	7,400	7,210	270	0	4.0%	296	289	11	0	
	Ramsey Street I-10 WB Ramps I-10 EB Ramps Lincoln Street Cumulative Project	Ramsey Street 7,020	North South Ramsey Street 7,020 11,660 I-10 WB Ramps 11,660 9,220 I-10 EB Ramps 9,220 7,490 Lincoln Street 7,200 2,100 Cumulative Project 7,400 7,210	North South East Ramsey Street 7,020 11,660 9,820 I-10 WB Ramps 11,660 9,220 1,800 I-10 EB Ramps 9,220 7,490 1,520 Lincoln Street 7,200 2,100 6,470 Cumulative Project 7,400 7,210 270	North South East West Ramsey Street 7,020 11,660 9,820 10,220 I-10 WB Ramps 11,660 9,220 1,800 4,860 I-10 EB Ramps 9,220 7,490 1,520 4,610 Lincoln Street 7,200 2,100 6,470 3,350 Cumulative Project 7,400 7,210 270 0	North South East West % Ramsey Street 7,020 11,660 9,820 10,220 4.0% I-10 WB Ramps 11,660 9,220 1,800 4,860 4.1% I-10 EB Ramps 9,220 7,490 1,520 4,610 5.5% Lincoln Street 7,200 2,100 6,470 3,350 4.0% Cumulative Project 7,400 7,210 270 0 4,0%	North South East West % North Ramsey Street 7,020 11,660 9,820 10,220 4.0% 281 I-10 WB Ramps 11,660 9,220 1,800 4,860 4.1% 473 I-10 EB Ramps 9,220 7,490 1,520 4,610 5.5% 508 Lincoln Street 7,200 2,100 6,470 3,350 4.0% 288 Cumulative Project 7,400 7,210 270 0 4,0% 296	North South East West % North South Ramsey Street 7,020 11,660 9,820 10,220 4.0% 281 467 I-10 WB Ramps 11,660 9,220 1,800 4,860 4.1% 473 374 I-10 EB Ramps 9,220 7,490 1,520 4,610 5.5% 508 412 Lincoln Street 7,200 2,100 6,470 3,350 4.0% 288 84 Cumulative Project 7,400 7,210 270 0 4,0% 296 289	North South East West % North South East Ramsey Street 7,020 11,660 9,820 10,220 4.0% 281 467 393 I-10 WB Ramps 11,660 9,220 1,800 4,860 4.1% 473 374 73 I-10 EB Ramps 9,220 7,490 1,520 4,610 5.5% 508 412 84 Lincoln Street 7,200 2,100 6,470 3,350 4.0% 288 84 259 Cumulative Project 7,400 7,210 270 0 4,0% 296 289 11	

Note: For intersections #2 through #5 8th Street is SR-243

RTP Horizon Year / Design Year (2045): If facility is an interchange (s) or intersection(s), Build and No Build cross-street AADT, % and # trucks, truck AADT

Design Year (2045) Cross-Street AADT, Truck Percentages, and Truck AADT (No Build and Build Identical)

	Total AADT					Truck	Truck AADT				
Intersection		North	South	East	West	%	North	South	East	West	
8 th Street	Ramsey Street	8,140	15,270	15,360	16,850	4.0%	326	611	615	674	
8 th Street	I-10 WB Ramps	15,280	12,210	2,810	5,120	4.1%	619	495	114	208	
8 th Street	I-10 EB Ramps	12,210	11,280	2,260	5,670	5.5%	672	621	125	312	
8 th Street	Lincoln Street	10,990	4,550	16,200	15,360	4.0%	440	182	648	615	
8 th Street	Cumulative Project Driveway	11,190	11,000	270	0	4.0%	448	440	11	0	

Note: For intersections #2 through #5 8th Street is SR-243

Describe potential traffic redistribution effects of congestion relief (impact on other facilities)

The proposed project would complete intersection improvements that include the installation of traffic signals, safety lighting, new signing and striping along 8th Street, and left-turn pockets at several intersections. The project scope is not likely to lead to a measurable and substantial increase in VMT; therefore, neither an induced travel analysis nor a VMT-based CEQA significance determination is required.

Comments/Explanation/Details (attach additional sheets as necessary)

A queuing analysis was conducted to evaluate traffic operations under existing, opening year (2024), and year 2045 no build and with improvements conditions. Under all four scenarios (2024 and 2045, without and with improvements), the queues exceed the available storage space at multiple movements within the study area. Consistent with Caltrans guidelines, a failure year analysis scenario was evaluated to determine the last year at which the project would continue to operate at satisfactory levels of service. With construction of the proposed improvements, all intersections are forecast to operate at satisfactory levels of service in the failure year (2038); however, the gueues exceed the available storage space at multiple movements within the study area.

PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ Hot Spot Analysis

The proposed project is located within a nonattainment area for federal PM_{2.5} standards and within an attainment/maintenance area for the federal PM₁₀ standards. Therefore, per 40 CFR Part 93, hot spot analyses are required for conformity purposes. However, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) does not require hot spot analyses, qualitative or quantitative, for projects that are not listed in Section 93.123(b)(1) as an air quality concern. The project does not qualify as a project of air quality concern (POAQC) for the following reasons:

- i. The proposed project would improve the intersections along SR-243 (8th Street) from Lincoln Street to the I-10 WB ramps in the City of Banning. Based on the *Traffic Operations Analysis* (Translutions, December 2021), the tables above show the AADT for the 2024 and 2045 conditions, respectively. The traffic volume along SR-243 would not exceed the 125,000 average daily trips threshold or 10,000 truck trip threshold for a POAQC.
- ii. The tables above list the 2024 and 2045 intersection levels of service for the no build and build conditions. As shown, the proposed project does not affect intersections that are at LOS D, E, or F with a significant number of diesel vehicles.
- iii. The proposed project does not include the construction of a new bus or rail terminal.
- iv. The proposed project does not expand an existing bus or rail terminal.

v. The proposed project is not in or affecting locations, areas, or categories of sites that are identified in the $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission, as appropriate, as sites of violation or possible violation.

Therefore, the proposed project meets the Clean Air Act requirements and 40 CFR 93.116 without any explicit hot spot analysis. The proposed project would not create a new, or worsen an existing, PM_{10} or $PM_{2.5}$ violation.